



Evan Stafford
Communications Director
evan@americanwhitewater.org
516 Stover St
Fort Collins, CO 80524
970.420.5377

January 10, 2023

Linda Jackson
Payette Forest Supervisor
500 N. Mission Street, Building 2
McCall, Idaho 83638-3805.

Submitted electronically at:
<https://cara.fs2c.usda.gov/Public//CommentInput?Project=50516>

Re: Form Letter Signatories Collected by American Whitewater on Stibnite Gold Project
Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement #50516

Dear Supervisor Jackson,

The following is a set of individual form letter signatories collected by American Whitewater (a national non-profit 501(c)(3) river conservation organization) from our members and community regarding the Stibnite Gold Project Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement. Also, re-submitted are public comments from over 3,000 individuals on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement that American Whitewater does not believe were given appropriate consideration in the comment period for that phase of the project. These individuals have entrusted American Whitewater with delivering their important public input on this project. These letters should be counted and entered into the public record individually. Individual addresses and other contact information are included with each comment and they were created uniquely by these individual persons. There should be no question as to the nature of these comments, and that despite their delivery method, these individual comments must be counted, considered, and numbered as such.

Our community cares deeply about the public lands in Idaho for which the Forest Service is entrusted with managing, and they have well articulated concerns about the significant impacts of this project in the South Fork Salmon watershed. The signatories included in this document are not from a "special interest group," they are from concerned individuals who cherish and recreate on the public lands your office is tasked with managing, and have a direct

relationship to the proposal, that the responsible official by law needs to consider. These comment letters express support for Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative and should also be counted as such. For American Whitewater's organizational comments, please see our separate comment letter also submitted electronically. Thank you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Evan Stafford". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Evan" written in a larger, more prominent script than the last name "Stafford".

Evan Stafford
National Communications Director
American Whitewater
PO Box 1540
Cullowhee, NC 28723
970.420.5377
evan@americanwhitewater.org

Subject:

Comment on Stibnite Gold Project Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement – I Support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative

Comment:

Dear Forest Supervisor Linda Jackson,

As an individual who values the public lands and rivers of Idaho, I am writing to express my opposition to, and to ask the Forest Service not to approve the Stibnite Gold Project. I am not writing to represent a “special interest group,” I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The South Fork Salmon watershed is also home to some of the most pristine fish spawning habitat in the country, which the water quality of I understand is protected on these Tribal fishing grounds.

The SDEIS clearly states that this mining project would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their treaty protected habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this eligible and suitable as Wild and Scenic major tributary to the Wild and Scenic designated Salmon River. This river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact the Nez Perce Tribe and all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests.

The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Signed by:

Name	Email	City	State
Stafford, Evan	evan@americanwhitewater.org	Fort Collins	CO
Shaut, Neil	neil.shaut@gmail.com	Cabin John	MD
Kleinrichert, Steve	stevekleinrichert@yahoo.com	Marietta	GA
Strickland, Ken	kenfran@tds.net	Morganton	GA

Engelman, Emma	engelmanej@gmail.com	Owenton	KY
Tarzian, Marcus	marcus.tarzian@gmail.com	Steamboat Springs	CO
Ray, Jared	jaredaray@gmail.com	Asheville	NC
Wulfers, Andrew	andrewwulfers@yahoo.com	Portland	OR
Grumbach, Zoe	zoegrumbach@gmail.com	San Francisco	CA
Ammon, Jaz	jazkammon@gmail.com	Moscow	ID
Wheeler, Sam	samwheeler123@live.com	Nashville	TN
Clauss, Emerson	ejclauss@gmail.com	Douglas	MA
McLaughlin, Stephanie	s.mclaughlin4444@gmail.com	Sacramento	CA
Curd, Shelaine	slovecurd@gmail.com	Oak ridge	TN
Robinson, Gunner	grrobinson54@gmail.com	Bowling Green	KY
Fenn, Sam	samfenn876@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
Lamb, Palmer	palmerlamb@gmail.com	Bellingham	WA
Anicito, John	johnanicito@yahoo.com	Breckenridge	CO
Johnson, Richard	richardbjohnson99@gmail.com	Chiloquin	OR
Farkas, Dave	farkasdave68@gmail.com	Hesperus	CO
Reinhart, Josh	jc_reinhart@yahoo.com	Heber City	UT
Subramanian, Rahul	rsubram9@gmail.com	Denver	CO
Ryan, Maxwell	maxwellrya1@gmail.com	Durango	CO
Drake, Alyssa	alyssadrake01@gmail.com	Golden	CO
Curtis, Michael	curtismh310@gmail.com	Golden	CO
Burge, Blythe	riverbuf@gmail.com	Fletcher	NC
Rael, Michael	mrael10@gmail.com	Oceanside	CA
Patterson, Paul	patt1535@gmail.com	Cleveland	TN
Ryan, Collins	fromthefarmky@gmail.com	Middleton	ID
Horner, Zachary	yakhorner@gmail.com	Silverton	OR
Nickless, Collin	collinnickless@gmail.com	Asheville	NC
Jackman, Jarred	Jarredjackman@gmail.com	Camas	WA
Cross, Greg	gregcross1023@gmail.com	Talent	OR
Strasser, Erin	erin.strasser@gmail.com	Laporte	CO

Cross, Bill	cross@jeffnet.org	Ashland	OR
Gordon, David	david.andrew.gordon@gmail.com	Bethesda	MD
Kinnard, Tria	triabeth@gmail.com	Lexington	KY
Haley, Aidan	haleyprints@gmail.com	White Salmon	WA
Begler, Zachary	zbe97@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
Jessup, Kyle	kjessup1972@gmail.com	Avon	CO
Ferrer, Julian	julianferrer73@gmail.com	Denver	CO
Kizer, David	dkizer21@gmail.com	Park City	UT
Walter, Mary	marywalter125@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
Smith, Olivia	oliviasmith23@hotmail.com	Fall City	WA
Sorenson, Riley	sorensr11@gmail.com	Auburn	WA
Ryan, Maxwell	maxwellrya1@gmail.com	Durango	CO
Ryan, Sam	thesendingsamurai@gmail.com	Golden	CO
Range, Natalie	range.natalie@icloud.com	Tacoma	WA
Goldbach, Josh	Josh.goldbach@gmail.com	Asheville	NC
Morgan, Christopher	ccm.morgan@gmail.com	West Springfield	MA
Evans, Amanda	alimbaugh@gmail.com	Asheville	NC
Gibeault, Neal	neal.gibeault@gmail.com	Goffstown	NH
Taylor, Julia	juliataylor70@gmail.com	Atlanta	GA
Hansel, William	patrickhansel@gmail.com	Atlanta	GA
Green, Jeffrey	greenj314@gmail.com	Clinton	MA
Heiner, Kevin	Kevinheiner@gmail.com	Durango	CO
Fullmer, Shawn	fullmer_s@fortlewis.edu	Durango	CO
Atkins, Michelle	happilyeveratkins2021@gmail.com	Charlotte	NC
Ohegyi, V	vohegyi@gmail.com	Stratford	CT
Karpel, Michael	miguelkarpel@gmail.com	Durango	CO
Kaye, Jason	kxkaye@yahoo.com	Durango	CO
Mack, Josh	mackjw@gmail.com	Durango	CO
Francesco, Michelle	michellef@gmail.com	Sunnyvale	CA
Mathis, Tiffany	ynaffit@gmail.com	Los Angeles	CA
Rezabek, Jon	rezabekjon@gmail.com	Flagstaff	AZ
Dufay, Jon	j_dufay@yahoo.com	Lake Stevens	WA

Wheat, Ellie	elwheat@gmail.com	Issaquah	WA
Macheel, Nathan	nathan.macheel@gmail.com	San Francisco	CA
Sullivan, Tim	tim.sullivan19@gmail.com	Boise	ID
Bonney, Yvette	bronkawally@gmail.com	Moscow	ID
Dillard, Vida	vidadillard@gmail.com	Carbondale	CO
Burtchaell, Margo	margo.burtchaell@gmail.com	Trout Lake	WA
Kloor, Courtney	courtney_kloor@yahoo.com	Braselton	GA
Johnson, Emma	jsponsible@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
Schomberger, Gary	gary.schom@gmail.com	Broomfield	CO
Hittle, Mike	mhittle24@gmail.com	Sacramento	CA
Hedin, Dalton	daltonhedin183@gmail.com	Hood River	OR
Speier, Brittany	brittanspeie66@hotmail.com	Missoula	MT
West, Dan	danchowest@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
Painter, Cameron	cam_painter@hotmail.com	Okanogan	WA
Wallace, Gregory	wallaceg@mit.edu	Seattle	WA
DiGiorgio, Michael	Rivertime@gmail.com	Ashland	OR
Henry, Maggie	mghenry1499@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
Moseley, Charlie	charlie.moseley02@gmail.com	Boulder	CO
Hardy, Tyler	hardytyler7@gmail.com	New Cumberland	WV
Kurth, Scott	godoggie@gmail.com	San Diego	CA
Herzog, Jonas	jonasherzog@sbcglobal.net	Chico	CA
Gosses, Katie	Kcgoose@gmail.com	Maupin	OR
Quenelle, Lindsey	cruise.quenelle@yahoo.com	Ridgway	CO
Cross, Elizabeth	elizabethcross97@gmail.com	Las Vegas	NV
Laubach, Eva	ecl84@cornell.edu	Jackson	WY
Kennedy, Sean	spkennedy14@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
Skovlin, Landra	landraskovlin@yahoo.com	Enterprise	OR
Webb, Meghan	meghanwebb1@gmail.com	Shoreline	WA
Kosel, A	Ari.kosel@gmail.com	Eugene	OR

Foley, Ivan	ianfoleyiii@hotmail.com	Denver	CO
Wiglesworth, Kelly	wiglerose@gmail.com	Truckee	CA
Curran, Laurel	laureljc@gmail.com	Bainbridge Island	WA
Joyce, Rachel	racheljoyce571@gmail.com	Corvallis	OR
Triolo, Rose	rose.triolo820@gmail.com	Hood River	OR
Zurflied, Ben	bzurflied@gmail.com	Carlisle	PA
Marvin, Francesca	francescaswork4@gmail.com	Maple Valley	WA
Perry, Scott	Sdperry93@gmail.com	Shoreline	WA
Silvis, Starr	hydroephemera@gmail.com	Asheville	NC
Pruszinski, Jolyon	jolyon.pruszinski@gmail.com	Princeton	NJ
Beezley, Robert	drewboater@gmail.com	Durango	CO
Beezley, Robert	drewboater@gmail.com	Durango	CO
Caspar, Charles	ccaspar@colgate.edu	Seattle	WA
Soleil, Tigra	SunshineTigress@gmail.com	Lotus	CA
Bossung, Blane	blane.bossung@gmail.com	Park City	UT
Strasser, Gracie	gracie.strasser@gmail.com	Livingston	MT
Ford, Leah	ford.leah.13@gmail.com	Somerville	MA
Gunnoe, Stacy	stacygunnoe@gmail.com	Knoxville	TN
Lawson, Katie	kty19860@yahoo.com	Miami	OK
Pruitt, Ryan	rhp28@nau.edu	Bend	OR
Barth, Teresa	teresajadesigns@gmail.com	Sterling	VA
Jewett, Brian	joblo_1000@hotmail.com	Fall City	WA
Anicito, John	johnanicito@yahoo.com	Breckenridge	CO
Brown, Chandra	chandra.m.brown@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
Withycombe, Richard	richard.withycombe@gmail.com	Lakeside	MT
Jorgensen, Brian	bjorgs91@gmail.com	Bend	OR
Jorgensen, Brian	bjorgs91@gmail.com	Bend	OR
McLaughlin, Molly	molls.mclaughlin@gmail.com	Fort Collins	CO

Moser, Luke	luke.moser1988@gmail.com	Grangeville	ID
-------------	--------------------------	-------------	----

Subject:

Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS

Comment:

As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit.

The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests.

The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.

Signed by:

Date Submitted	Name	Email	City	State
2020-10-07	Bob McConachie	bmconachie@mac.com	Placerville	CA
2020-10-07	Gregory Timm	gregorydtimm@yahoo.com	Bingen	WA
2020-10-07	Curtis Martin	mtkayaker1@yahoo.com	Castle Rock	CO
2020-10-07	Cindy Fornstrom	csfornstrom@yahoo.com	Englewood	CO
2020-10-07	Kurtis Schultz	kurtis.schultz@gmail.com	Bellingham	WA
2020-10-07	Lucas Blass	lucasblass@gmail.com	Asheville	NC

2020-10-07	Landon Cucinotta	landon0679@gmail.com	Blackwood	NJ
2020-10-07	Craig Beasley	cwbeasley55@gmail.com	Evergreen	CO
2020-10-07	Chris Lentz	clentz32@hotmail.com	Sun Valley	ID
2020-10-07	Steven Elsbury	ELSBLUBBER@GMAIL.COM	Bellingham	WA
2020-10-07	Reynaldo Perez	rey.a.perez@gmail.com	Lakewood	CO
2020-10-07	Paul Albin	paulalbin@verizon.net	New Kensington	PA
2020-10-07	Whitney Goodwin	perschw@gmail.com	Bellingham	WA
2020-10-07	Mark Holmberg	m_holmberg@me.com	Marietta	GA
2020-10-07	Brian Wieczorek	ivanstoker@gmail.com	Ligonier	PA
2020-10-07	Robert Fallon	fallonrob@gmail.com	Leavenworth	WA
2020-10-07	jonathan basham	jsbasham77@gmail.com	Big Island	VA
2020-10-07	Brian Morris	btm_th@hotmail.com	Lawrenceville	GA
2020-10-07	Ameir Roberts	royalty702@gmail.com	Brighton	CO
2020-10-07	Andrew Tankersley	atank22@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-07	Cody Scarpella	codyscarpella@gmail.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-08	Mark Kieran	doyouhearcOLORS@gmail.com	Chattanooga	TN
2020-10-08	Jeremy Jostad	jeromejostad@hotmail.com	Cheney	WA
2020-10-08	Marc McCord	marc@canoeman.com	Emmett	ID
2020-10-08	Josh King	josh_king34@yahoo.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-08	Cody Beach	codybeach70@yahoo.com	Sedro Woolley	WA
2020-10-08	John Burton	burtonjack48@gmail.com	Baraboo	WI
2020-10-08	David Farkas	dave.farkas@firstdescents.org	Hesperus	CO
2020-10-08	Cruise quenelle	cruise.quenelle@yahoo.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-08	Trent Pearce	trentpearce@gmail.com	Berkeley	CA
2020-10-08	Brian Behle	brian.behle@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-08	Thomas Janzen	nessmuk@gmail.com	Fort Mill	SC
2020-10-08	paul wailand	wailand@protonmail.com	Chattanooga	TN
2020-10-08	Jason Crockett	jasoncrockett@hotmail.com	Issaquah	WA
2020-10-08	Benjamin West	Benwestrealtor@gmail.com	Glenwood Springs	CO
2020-10-08	Brian Gregoire	gregoire.brianj@fmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-08	Anna Bays	annabays25@gmail.com	Bethlehem	NH

2020-10-08	Geoffrey Lane	geofflane@comcast.net	Park City	UT
2020-10-08	KELLY SAUNDERS	kellyandshell1811@comcast.net	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-08	Daniel Nagy	dan_nagy@nols.edu	Driggs	ID
2020-10-08	Eric Campbell	campbelle164@gmail.com	San Francisco	CA
2020-10-08	Oliver Skelly	oskelly94@gmail.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-09	William Lowman	bill.lowman@tds.net	Hollis	NH
2020-10-09	Terry Donoho	whiteh2o2@gmail.com	Post Falls	ID
2020-10-09	Jenni Chaffin	jenni@nrs.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-09	Randy Cummings	Rcumings@gerloffinc.com	Schertz	TX
2020-10-09	Tim Madison	tmadison3@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-09	Vicki Chemistruck	victoria.chemistruck@gmail.com	South Lake Tahoe	CA
2020-10-09	Stephen Kimmel	kimmelraft@gmail.com	Asheville	NC
2020-10-09	Neil Nikirk	nnikirk@sbcglobal.net	Lake Isabella	CA
2020-10-09	Kurt Vogel	krvogel66@gmail.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-09	Beth Carter	ejscarter@comcast.net	Lusby	MD
2020-10-09	Ed Jones	edjones66@me.com	Spokane	WA
2020-10-09	Christopher Anderson	chris75and@me.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-09	Steve Doughterty	sdougherty@erresources.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-09	winston welch	winstonwelch@hotmail.com	Driggs	ID
2020-10-09	Brent Palmer	brent.palmer@ucdenver.edu	Golden	CO
2020-10-09	Gloria Crisp	Gcrisp@yahoo.com	West Linn	OR
2020-10-09	Michael Crisp	mcrisp@yahoo.com	West Linn	OR
2020-10-09	James Studiale	jsstudia@gmail.com	Lakeland	FL
2020-10-09	Grant Whitlow	grantwhitlow14@augustana.edu	Fort Collins	CO
2020-10-09	Scott Quinn	quinns30@yahoo.com	Chicago	IL
2020-10-09	William Liles	williambliles@yahoo.com	Watauga	TN
2020-10-09	STEPHEN CAMPBELL	SCAMPBELL@CABLEONE.NET	Meridian	ID
2020-10-09	Klaire Howerton	klaireruce@gmail.com	Springfield	MO
2020-10-09	Finnegan Gormley	finnegangormley4@gmail.co	Carlisle	MA
2020-10-09	Mike Copeland	mike@stoneandwaterproductions.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-09	Joseph Hopkins	fishfinder.jh@gmail.com	Boise	ID

2020-10-09	Aidan Crisp	Acrisp@yahoo.com	West Linn	OR
2020-10-09	Matraca Hovatter	matracarenee@gmail.com	Morgantown	WV
2020-10-09	Michael Bender	michaelbender18@yahoo.com	Garden City	ID
2020-10-09	Joseph Parmalee	joeparmalee@gmail.com	Rigby	ID
2020-10-09	Erin Theis	redhead_bg35@yahoo.com	Breckenridge	CO
2020-10-09	Brett Johnson	brettjohnson80@yahoo.com	Coeur D Alene	ID
2020-10-10	Frank Lorch	franklorch@yahoo.com	Grandville	MI
2020-10-10	David Kizer	dkizer21@gmail.com	Park City	UT
2020-10-10	Mike Bailey	Baileybuild@verizon.net	Pittsburgh	PA
2020-10-10	George Faegre	georgefaegre@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-10	Phillip Martello	phillipjohnm@hotmail.com	Vancouver	WA
2020-10-10	James Morrison	jfmorrison@me.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-10	Cindy Fornstrom	csfornstrom@yahoo.com	Englewood	CO
2020-10-10	Jordana Barrack	jordana79@hotmail.com	Fort Collins	CO
2020-10-10	caelan simeone	caelansimeone@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-10	Chris Ingram	h2ofiend@gmail.com	East Hardwick	VT
2020-10-10	Mitchell Sheridan	mitch4christ@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-10	Dustin Eldridge	dustin.eldridge6@gmail.com		
2020-10-10	Tyler Turnbo	tyler.turnbo@westernalum.org	Gunnison	CO
2020-10-10	Paul Jones	smithjones@cox.net	Hailey	ID
2020-10-10	Justin Byrd	jrbyrd89@gmail.com	Mcminnville	TN
2020-10-10	Nick Kunath	nkunath@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-10	Scott Shepherd	scott@scratchstudios.com	Fall City	WA
2020-10-10	Lucas Glauser	lucasglauser@gmail.com	Garden Valley	ID
2020-10-10	Pelle Seiler	pelleseiler@gmail.com	Steamboat Springs	CO
2020-10-10	Abigail Howatt	abbi.howatt@gmail.com	Gresham	OR
2020-10-10	Ethan nelson	ethannelson2548@gmail.com	Salida	CO
2020-10-10	Cassie Sears	searscassie@gmail.com	Moscow	ID
2020-10-10	Lyndsay Hilde	lyndsayhilde8@gmail.com	Dumont	CO
2020-10-10	David Gordon	david.andrew.gordon@gmail.com	Bethesda	MD
2020-10-10	Mareike Delley	mareike.reeb@gmail.com	San Francisco	CA

2020-10-10	Susan Cherry	su_cherry@yahoo.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-10	Graham Wolfe	novagraham@hotmail.com	San Diego	CA
2020-10-10	Davis Lentz	lentzdavis@yahoo.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-10	Patrick McGinty	pmcginty1@me.com	Carbondale	CO
2020-10-10	Phil Start	pstart25@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-10	Joshua Jones	drminfundi@gmail.com	Sylva	NC
2020-10-10	John Cartwright	j.cartwrightcg@gmail.com	Lynden	WA
2020-10-10	Max Ryan	thesendingsamurai@gmail.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-10	Chris Buckley	cnbuckley@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-10	Clark Hildebrand	clarkjh53@me.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-10	Madeline Martin	madeline.martin99@gmail.com	Stanley	ID
2020-10-10	Derek Redd	reddkind@gmail.com	Edwards	CO
2020-10-10	Robert gregory	rleeavl@gmail.com	Six Mile	SC
2020-10-10	Ellen Eichelberger	ellenaeichelberger@gmail.com	Kuna	ID
2020-10-10	Mariah Underhill	mariah.underhill@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-10	Jonah Bussgang	jonahbussgang@gmail.com	Newton Highlands	MA
2020-10-10	Kaitlyn Hacker	kaitlynhacker32@gmail.com	Oakland	CA
2020-10-10	Jonathan Grald	jgrald@gmail.com	Goshen	NY
2020-10-10	Richard Evans	rick_scott_evans@yahoo.com	Placerville	CA
2020-10-10	Tate Berry	tberry0210@gmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-10	Emily Scott	escott0510@gmail.com	Chattanooga	TN
2020-10-10	Brandon Upton	bdsupt@gmail.com	Columbus	GA
2020-10-10	George Raino	rainog@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-10	Gatlin Capra	gatlincapra@gmail.com	Georgetown	KY
2020-10-10	Peter Miley	peter.miley304@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-10	Lane Tomassini	lanetomassini@gmail.com	Salida	CO
2020-10-10	Jackson Rys	savethesouthsalmon@fucktrump.com	Park City	UT
2020-10-10	Owen Kahn	owenkahn54@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-10	Drew Hartley	drewhartleh@yahoo.com	Sierraville	CA
2020-10-10	Mason Noyes	mnoisey@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-10	Matt Ryden	mattryden@gmail.com	Boise	ID

2020-10-10	nia burtchaell norman	niaburtchaell12@gmail.com	Trout Lake	WA
2020-10-10	Jesse Shapiro	jessesshapiro@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-10	Victor Folk	vic.folk@gmail.com	Lompoc	CA
2020-10-10	Lisa Lyon	lisankeith@yahoo.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-10	Marc Crowson	mrcrowon@aol.com	Eagle	ID
2020-10-10	Patrick Harper	pharper@atova.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-10	Steve Snider	csjsnider@aol.com	Kuna	ID
2020-10-10	Faith Winslow	faithwinslow@yahoo.com	Vancouver	WA
2020-10-10	Tyler Keegan	Tkeegan21@gmail.com	San Francisco	CA
2020-10-10	Carson Addison	carsonaddison775@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-10	Richard Saffo	rsaffo3@gmail.com	Benton	NH
2020-10-10	Nate Lowery	naterlowery@gmail.com	Columbia	MO
2020-10-10	Blake Cripps	blakecripps59@gmail.com	San Diego	CA
2020-10-10	Emily Stimac	emilyjostimac@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-10	Chad Burns	chadmb@comcast.net	Incline Village	NV
2020-10-10	Eric Lonn	ericlonn@gmail.com	Clayton	ID
2020-10-11	Susan Elliott	smhollings@gmail.com	The Dalles	OR
2020-10-11	Ken Goldberg	nwpce@jps.net	Portland	OR
2020-10-11	Steven Merrow	stevemerrow@gmail.com	Kernville	CA
2020-10-11	James Valle	jamievalle9@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-11	Matt Booth	georgiavet@icloud.com	Lyons	CO
2020-10-11	Peter Oconnell	pkoconnell@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-11	Massey Zieman	masseyzieman@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-11	Catherine Lozupone	catherine.lozupone@cuanschut.edu	Golden	CO
2020-10-11	Cory Abbe	cory.abbe@gmail.com	Scappoose	OR
2020-10-11	Dave Baird	davidbaird131@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-11	Diana Weil	dianajweil@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-11	Stephen Duff	stephenduffkayak@gmail.com	Eugene	OR
2020-10-11	River Clark	riverjclark@yahoo.com	Port Washington	WI
2020-10-11	Ivan Carlson	carlsinivan@gmail.com	Lincoln	NH
2020-10-11	Jake Measom	jakemeasom@gmail.com	West Lebanon	NH

2020-10-11	Claudia Quenelle	claudiamquenelle@gmail.ck	Golden	CO
2020-10-11	Shannon Crosswhite	shannoncrosswhite74@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-11	Mackenzie Nolde	macnolde13@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-11	Drew Weber	aahclem56@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-11	Matt Roberts	matrob1@me.com	Victor	ID
2020-10-11	Maggi Hines	maggihines@gmail.com	Cleveland	TN
2020-10-11	Meredith Wingerson	meredithbridget@aol.com	Woodruff	SC
2020-10-11	Tim Overland	tim@overlandrealestate.net	Leavenworth	WA
2020-10-11	Robert McIntyre	robertcmjr4@comcast.net	Englewood	CO
2020-10-11	Valleria Carr	vivacarr@msn.com	Carbondale	CO
2020-10-11	Scott Mosher	playbass97@gmail.com	Rhinebeck	NY
2020-10-11	Bayleigh Coleman	bayleighcoleman@yahoo.com	Oceanside	CA
2020-10-11	Henry Pollock	henrytpollock@gmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-11	Stephanie Steven	waterskigirl5@hotmail.com	Middleton	ID
2020-10-11	Joseph Ruddock	fastfredruddock@gmail.com	Saluda	NC
2020-10-11	Paul Deollos	pjwride@icloud.com	Aberdeen	ID
2020-10-11	Kayla Chaffey	kaylac773@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-11	Robyn Campbell	riverobyn@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-11	Ryan Carrell	ryancarrell32@gmail.com	Safford	AZ
2020-10-11	Jennifer Barnes	jenbarnesww@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-11	Doug Goodwin	dgoodwin2020@yahoo.com	Montrose	CO
2020-10-11	Maria Winner	meanders@comcast.net	Salem	OR
2020-10-11	Michael Babcock	mjbabcock07@gmail.com	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-11	Greg Hart	greghart15@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-11	Bird Lew	birdlew@yahoo.com	Truckee	CA
2020-10-11	Matthew Eskelson	matt.eakelson@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-11	Ali Jacobsen	aljacobsen91@gmail.con	Nampa	ID
2020-10-11	Micah Kneidl	micahkneidl@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-11	Robert Clawson	hafinclaw@yahoo.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-11	Julianne Searle	juju327@msn.com	Kuna	ID
2020-10-11	Alec Voorhees	voorhees.alec@gnail.com	Meridian	ID

2020-10-12	Alex Zendel	alexzendel@hotmail.com	Knoxville	TN
2020-10-12	Brandon Prince	Mugwin01@gmail.com	Logan	UT
2020-10-12	Nic Gunter	nicgunter@ymail.com	Canon City	CO
2020-10-12	Tom Barry	barryt3@gmail.com	Grand Junction	CO
2020-10-12	Charles den Tex	cls5vr@gmail.com	Snohomish	WA
2020-10-12	Bruce Rogers	onespeedmax@gmail.com	Sun Valley	ID
2020-10-12	Jim Murtha	jmurtha614@gmail.com	Lancaster	OH
2020-10-12	Brian Jorgensen	bjorgs91@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-12	Nathan Smith	nathanielrsmith1@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Tyler Reidhaar	treidhaar@gmail.com	Saint Maries	ID
2020-10-12	Ty Skoe	tskoe23@gmail.com	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-12	Will Hubbs	william.hubbs2@gmail.com	Maryville	TN
2020-10-12	William Blair	wblair4318@aol.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Steven Pruitt	scpruitt8@gmail.com	Taylors	SC
2020-10-12	kabe grant	kabegrant@gmail.com	Bingen	WA
2020-10-12	Patrick Harper	pharper@atova.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Jim Virgin	jvirgin@comcast.net	Vancouver	WA
2020-10-12	Eli Somers	elisomers@hotmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-12	Abra Renk	abra.renk@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Vicente Undurraga Perl	vicenteup@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Jan Gisslen	kgisslen@hotmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Catherine Findling	cassie_plum@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Ben Morton	ben@precisionpaddlesports.com	Moretown	VT
2020-10-12	Gharet Meng	gharett.meng@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Lesley Perkins	few2manyhorses@gmail.com	Lava Hot Springs	ID
2020-10-12	Joe Low	josephlow30@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Paul Moffatt	paulyvous@yahoo.com	Whitefish	MT
2020-10-12	Mary Rand	printzml@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Addie Tackman	addie.tf97@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Cari Cardoni	cardonic@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Lena Cimino	lmcimino12@gmail.com	Boise	ID

2020-10-12	Brenda Price	bkprice05@gmail.com	Rapid City	SD
2020-10-12	Nicholas Outumuro	nickotto327@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Scott Davis	borateen@gmail.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-12	Lauren Hon	lmhon@me.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Matt LeBeau	mlebeau20@gmail.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-12	Sara Hollis	sashollis@yahoo.com	Springfield	MO
2020-10-12	Kyle Long	kylelong483@gmail.com	Ogden	UT
2020-10-12	illyria johnson	fairelement92@gmail.com	Chicago	IL
2020-10-12	Miles Ranck	milesrxc@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Dillon Michael	d_michael95@live.com	Saint Maries	ID
2020-10-12	Britta Lindstrom	britta.ana.lindstrom@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Elizabeth Lambert	estoker@nnu.edu	Nampa	ID
2020-10-12	Jim Mcfeeley	zagsfanjim@gmail.com	Kellogg	ID
2020-10-12	Erik Jones	erikalainjones25@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Griffin Portaro	griffinportaro@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Adam Smith	adam.smith0213@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Sue Tucler	ruffandroll@gmail.com	Owens Cross Roads	AL
2020-10-12	Mark McGimpsey	markmcgimpsey6@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Joe Lutz	grealchk@yahoo.com	Garden City	ID
2020-10-12	Brendan Mackey	bjmackey51@gmail.com	Lancaster	PA
2020-10-12	Kelly Reillt	kellyannreilly3@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-12	Sarah Koerber	sarahkoerber13@gmail.com	Reno	NV
2020-10-12	Mike Kemp	mtbmikemp@gmail.com	Eureka	CA
2020-10-12	Colin Benham-marin	colinbm1@icloud.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-13	Kemper Begley	kemperbegley@hotmail.com	Rockwood	TN
2020-10-13	Ashley Teel	ashley.teel@gmail.com	Oak Ridge	TN
2020-10-13	Angela Wood	ajwahl09@gmail.com	Powell	TN
2020-10-13	Nicholas Weatherholt	nicholasw5@hotmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-13	Thomas Cronin	tomace.c@gmail.com	Plainfield	VT
2020-10-13	Lee Rust	l2roy@yahoo.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-13	Stephen Baugh	baughs84@gmail.com	Panora	IA

2020-10-13	Ryan Blackadar	salmonriversciences@gmail.com	Salmon	ID
2020-10-13	Claudia Quenelle	cruise.quenelle@yahoo.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-13	Harris Wright	harriswk11@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-13	Alexander Petit	alexpetit6@gmail.com	North Bend	WA
2020-10-13	Mark Feifarek	edwarddavidsisk@gmail.com	Cascade	ID
2020-10-13	Bill Morck	bmorck@gmail.com	Moab	UT
2020-10-13	Rebecca Lloyd	sugar4becky@hotmail.com	Kamas	UT
2020-10-13	Rachael Peterson	rachaelcram@yahoo.com	Nampa	ID
2020-10-13	David Thulin	davidkthulin@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-13	Claire Jones	clairemcginn@me.com	Garden City	ID
2020-10-13	David Potter	potterdavidm@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-13	J Edwards	jenniferanwayedwards@yahoo.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-13	Katherine Lee	kdlee2@gmail.com	Moscow	ID
2020-10-13	Caril Shannon	carol.shannon52@gmail.com	Ellensburg	WA
2020-10-13	LeeAna Albright	purkorean@gmail.com	Elkland	MO
2020-10-13	Isaac Kantor	imkantor406@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-13	Justin Furby	justinfurby7@gmail.com	Ashton	ID
2020-10-13	Matthew Blodgett	blodgettmatt@hotmail.com	Victor	ID
2020-10-14	Bruno Pitton	Brunopitton@gmail.com	Winters	CA
2020-10-14	James Welch	james.e.welch13@gmail.com	Phoenix	OR
2020-10-14	Jill Mohn	jcmohn@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-14	Bill Hildreth	boomzoom@fairpoint.net	Montgomery Center	VT
2020-10-14	Susan Moore	mooresu@sonic.net	Portland	OR
2020-10-14	Harry Lowenthal	harry@harrymusic.com	Victor	ID
2020-10-14	Dee Brodigan	flynfaires@hotmail.com	Port Orchard	WA
2020-10-14	Burgess Norrgard	bnorrgar@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-14	Megan Coleman	Mc139151@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-14	Andrew Trickey	trickeyan@gmail.com	Tualatin	OR
2020-10-14	Wes Duncan	Wes.intermountain@gmail.com	Clinton	MT
2020-10-14	Christian Gelok	c_gelok@hotmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-14	Kristin Lee	krisannleern@hotmail.com	Boise	ID

2020-10-14	Greg Bsbikoff	gbabikoff@gmail.com	Bellevue	ID
2020-10-14	Shawn Robertson	shawnrob22@yahoo.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-14	Julianne Thomas	sthomas35@comcast.net	Littleton	CO
2020-10-14	Allen Tigert	allen@symbiotes.com	Bellevue	ID
2020-10-14	Kevin Kennedy	kevin_kennedy1011@hotmail.com	Cody	WY
2020-10-14	Dominique Liguori	dominique.liguori@yahoo.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-15	Katie Adase	katherine.adase@gmail.com	Wheeling	WV
2020-10-15	Jim Daly	jim.r.daly42@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-15	Rusty Bowman	goneyakn@msn.com	Twin Falls	ID
2020-10-15	Mina Craig	smbs5718@yahoo.com	Meridian	ID
2020-10-15	Mark Stetson	3forkscustom@gmail.com	Garden Valley	ID
2020-10-15	Ty Schoenwald	ty.schoenwald@gmail.com	Richland	WA
2020-10-15	Marion Giebel	mariongiebel20@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-15	George Bouchard	Bouchardhome@gmail.com	Englewood	CO
2020-10-16	Josh King	josh_king34@yahoo.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-16	Matt OConnor	mattphoto208@gmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-16	Beth Harmon	bharm427@hotmail.com	Holyoke	MA
2020-10-17	Tory OConnor	toryoconnor7@gmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-17	Dnitra Ayers	dnitra@wavecable.com	Allyn	WA
2020-10-17	Denise Giliga	mrsgiliga@gmail.com	Astoria	OR
2020-10-18	Dee Brodigan	flynfares@hotmail.com	Port Orchard	WA
2020-10-18	christen haaland	cmhaaland@gmail.com	Ridgway	CO
2020-10-18	Andrew Pressman	andrewb.pressman@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-18	Tori Smith	vtori.smith2@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-18	Caroline Valle	cvalle@frontiernet.net	Mccall	ID
2020-10-18	Elise Monnie	monniebags@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-18	Mackenzie Lamm	mackenzielamm14@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-18	Brittany Yoest	brittanyyoest@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-18	Tate valle	alwayswanderingalwayslost@hotmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-18	Alex Maas	alexclimbsrocks@gmail.com	Moscow	ID
2020-10-18	Tanner Parker	parktann@isu.edu	Mccall	ID

2020-10-18	Aurora Ostolasa	auroraostolasa2014@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-19	Bryce Roper	bryceroper3@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-19	Paige Carlsen	pcarl2001@gmail.com	Johnson City	TN
2020-10-19	dylan kolovich	dykolovich@gmail.com	Donnelly	ID
2020-10-19	River Cogan	rivercogan@gmail.com	Moscow	ID
2020-10-19	Stephen Frandin	stephen.frandin@gmail.com	Meridian	ID
2020-10-19	Armando Sosa	armasosa26@gmail.com	Morgantown	WV
2020-10-19	Stephanie swierkos	svalle180@hotmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-19	Robert Swierkos	swierkos@hotmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-19	Reid Pritchett	Reid.pritchett@gmail.com	Cascade	ID
2020-10-20	Lora Fulton	lfulton@bu.edu	Lawrence	MA
2020-10-20	Tommy Minkler	Thomas.o.minkler@gmail.com	Morgantown	WV
2020-10-20	Patti Valle Stevenson	pate69rocket@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-20	Megan Hut	meganhut@hotmail.com	Morgantown	WV
2020-10-20	Kevin Lou	kevineddieblou@yahoo.com	San Diego	CA
2020-10-20	Salman Ahmad	salman.ahmad@mymail.barry.edu	Miami	FL
2020-10-20	Blake Costalupes	bcostalupes@mail.fresnostate.edu	Riverbank	CA
2020-10-20	Ali Ohashi	lily09@comcast.net	Morgantown	WV
2020-10-20	Luna Ugrenovic	luna.ugrenovic@gmail.com	Morgantown	WV
2020-10-20	MaryAlice Baldwin	maryaliceb2@gmail.com	Johnson City	TN
2020-10-20	Lee Neale	royalneale@gmail.com	Cascade	ID
2020-10-20	Margot Kindall	margotelaine2000@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-20	Jonathan Nowak	surfsup61@gmail.com	Albany	NY
2020-10-20	Matthew Peterson	earninturns24@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-20	Kelly Enriquez	kellyenriquez08@gmail.com	North Bellmore	NY
2020-10-21	Loren Starcher	lkstarcher@earthlink.net	Longboat Key	FL
2020-10-21	Tom Ferguson	tom_ferguson@cox.net	Mesa	AZ
2020-10-21	Justin Lowery	justin@justinlowery.com	Murrieta	CA
2020-10-21	Amanda Buening	amanda.buening@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-21	Emily Coffman	em.coffman@hotmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-21	Emily Schmidt	emfromak@yahoo.com	Amherst	NH

2020-10-21	Jeffrey Garrett	dadstap@gmail.com	Mesa	AZ
2020-10-21	Ian Cavanaugh	ianmcavanaugh@gmail.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-21	Emily Clark	clark.emily2@yahoo.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-21	Eric Hazelton	eric@hazelton-ecological.com	Norwich	VT
2020-10-21	Daniel Councell	dacouncell@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-21	Taylor Dolgin	taylor@thefeet.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-21	Trevor Boley	trevortboley@gmail.com	Bellevue	ID
2020-10-21	Arseny Vuzman	avuzman@gmail.com	Newton Center	MA
2020-10-21	Ariel Ratajczak	relrataj@gmail.com	Long Beach	CA
2020-10-21	William Brewer	wbrewer84@gmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-21	Kimberly Kishimoto	kimkishimoto@gmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-21	Tully Bragg	tullybragg@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-21	Kaycee Geitner	feelthehealth@icloud.com	Lake Placid	FL
2020-10-21	Amberdean Hurst	amberdean.g@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-21	Stephani Evans	stephhukk@gmail.com	El Portal	CA
2020-10-21	Stephani Evans	stephhukk@gmail.com	El Portal	CA
2020-10-21	Adam Engel	engelburgg@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-21	James Monfils	jc19monf@siena.edu	Latham	NY
2020-10-21	Maya Husayni	mhusayni@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-21	Chris Burchett	cburchett22@gmail.com	Big Sky	MT
2020-10-21	Asiah Reynolds	asiahreynolds@yahoo.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-21	Brian Cain	briancarlcain@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-21	Christine Perullo	tinecat@aol.com	Howard Beach	NY
2020-10-21	Zach Jackson	zachjack32@gmail.com	Carthage	NY
2020-10-21	Jon Lykins	jonathanlykins@comcast.net	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-21	Mackenzie Dowdy	kenziemac32@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-21	Ryan Chavkin	ryanchavkin@gmail.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-21	Caro Nilsson	crn5zp@virginia.edu	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-21	Caden MacKenzie	cadenmackenzie@gmail.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-21	Rebecca Natale	rnatale11@gmail.com	Brooklyn	NY
2020-10-21	Zach Moxham	zmox16@yahoo.com	Park City	UT

2020-10-21	Ashley Fisher	Fisherashleyk@gmail.com	Kamiah	ID
2020-10-21	Phill Monson	phillipmonson@gmail.com	Centerville	UT
2020-10-21	Kristina Sewell	kristinadsewell@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-21	Madeline Bare	mbare96@gmail.com	Augusta	GA
2020-10-21	Loren Root	ljroot@gmail.com	Los Angeles	CA
2020-10-21	Ryan Pohl	pohlr1@yahoo.com	Moab	UT
2020-10-21	Eric Shedd	eric.shedd@gmail.com	Lewiston	ID
2020-10-21	Haylee Stocking	hayleeidaho@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-21	Austin Wyles	austin.wyles@gmail.com	Buffalo	NY
2020-10-21	Justin Christiani	jchristiani@gmail.com	Brooklyn	NY
2020-10-22	Eugenia Vitkin	cheremsha@hotmail.com	Cumberland	RI
2020-10-22	Alison Zeller	alison.zeller6490@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-22	nate scales	natescales@msn.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-22	Gentry Higgins	ghiggins154@gmail.com	San Francisco	CA
2020-10-22	Brady Larsen	brady_larsen@yahoo.com	Sandy	UT
2020-10-22	Joshua Parke	joshparke@u.boisestate.edu	Boise	ID
2020-10-22	Dan Mosqueda	chay.mosqueda2@gmail.com	San Francisco	CA
2020-10-22	Ryan Pohanic	pohanic@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-22	Jake Anith	jakesmith0789@yahoo.com	Fresno	CA
2020-10-22	Lisa Scales	scales.buttercup@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-22	Jordan Eau claire	jordieauclaire@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-22	Kristen Binda	kristenbinda@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-22	mt Durr	durmt@gmail.com	Newton Upper Falls	MA
2020-10-22	Morgan Fuller	morganfuller@u.boisestate.edu	Mccall	ID
2020-10-22	Jeff Cone	southfield1@comcast.net	Mccall	ID
2020-10-22	Mackenzie Crigger	mackenzie.crigger@gmail.com	Orange	CA
2020-10-22	Laura Mendoza	laartistadesign@me.com	Palm Springs	CA
2020-10-22	Dani Hughes	dani.hughes@ymail.com	San Diego	CA
2020-10-22	Tanner Griffith	teletantan1313@gmail.com	Donnelly	ID
2020-10-23	James Mathews	mathewsjimm@yahoo.com	West Glacier	MT
2020-10-23	Brian Sifers	briansifers@hotmail.com	Lewiston	ID

2020-10-23	Ashley Evans	amevans907@gmail.com	San Diego	CA
2020-10-23	Matt Mayer	mmayer@mail.csuchico.edu	Chico	CA
2020-10-23	Paul Martin	paulmpax@hotmail.com	San Francisco	CA
2020-10-23	Devin Ellin	roodydoobins@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-23	Diane Sanders	lovetomtnbike@earthlink.net	Mccall	ID
2020-10-23	Greg Meyers	gorypottery@gmail.com	Driggs	ID
2020-10-23	Kattie Kingsley	kattiekingsley@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-23	Carol Lichti	emailcarolnow@gmail.com	Victor	ID
2020-10-23	Michele Brasch	michelebrasch@yahoo.com	San Francisco	CA
2020-10-23	Jay Rezabek	jayrezabek@gmail.com	Mancos	CO
2020-10-23	Victoria Brandon	wyandotte@sbcglobal.net	Northridge	CA
2020-10-23	Laura Stewart	lstewart728@yahoo.com	Los Angeles	CA
2020-10-23	Jared Deloof	jareddeloof@gmail.com	San Francisco	CA
2020-10-23	Skyler Gullickson	skygull3809@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-23	Anita Ayers	aayers@pacific.edu	Berkeley	CA
2020-10-23	Joseph Fritz	josephfritz@u.boisestate.edu	Boise	ID
2020-10-23	Breanna Young	youngbe93@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-23	Stephanie Markham	spammystef@yahoo.com	Reseda	CA
2020-10-23	Miyuki Seko	tofucute@gmail.com	Fountain Valley	CA
2020-10-23	David Flamm	david.flamm@yahoo.com	Campbell	CA
2020-10-23	Lucas Sharp	me@lucassharp.com	Woodacre	CA
2020-10-23	Chase Nobles	chasenobles12@gmail.com	Seatac	WA
2020-10-24	Diego dos Santos	diegodossantos@gmail.com	Fairview	NC
2020-10-24	Alana Louise Lyons	alanasaurusrex@yahoo.com	Austin	TX
2020-10-24	Emma Woodworth	elwoodworth11@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-24	Elizabeth Crews	crews.eg7@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-24	Virginia Ebert	administrator@lawdepotinc.com	San Diego	CA
2020-10-24	David Finkel	finkelda@hotmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-24	Claudia Cooper	claudiajcooper@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-24	Sean Zimmerman	fcbzimmerman@yahoo.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-24	Charles Black	cboozb@gmail.com	Mountain View	CA

2020-10-24	Deborah Crooks	deborahcrooks@gmail.com	Alameda	CA
2020-10-24	Frank Campbell	rwrw4444@gmail.com	Spokane	WA
2020-10-24	Rebecca Clark	rebeccaclark769@yahoo.com	West Hills	CA
2020-10-24	Nicole Kwak	nmkwak@gmail.com	Venice	CA
2020-10-24	David Ehlers	david@notthatthis.com	Lake Oswego	OR
2020-10-24	Laurynda Williams	lauryndarw@icloud.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-25	Marilyn Freedberg	marjfree@gmail.com	Davis	CA
2020-10-25	Joel McCune	knu2xs@gmail.com	Olympia	WA
2020-10-25	Luke Walkee	luke.walker2020@gmail.com	Bellvue	CO
2020-10-25	Laurie Rogers	laurielynnerogers@gmail.com	Snoqualmie	WA
2020-10-25	Sabrina McClain	ztasam@gmail.com	East Wenatchee	WA
2020-10-25	Kevin Mcgregor	kevomcgregor@gmail.com	Oakland	CA
2020-10-25	Benjamin YORK	benyorkovich@gmail.com	Grass Valley	CA
2020-10-25	Adam Goshorn	granolapaddler@hotmail.com	Mentone	AL
2020-10-25	Maria Kallman	Kallmanmaria@gmail.com	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-25	Jordan Frank	jordanfrank7@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-25	Eric Markow	markow85.em@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-25	Cody Beach	codybeach70@yahoo.com	Sedro Woolley	WA
2020-10-25	Tim Kennedy	tkoakley@gmail.com	Avon	CO
2020-10-25	Michael Harvey	mikeharvey19@gmail.com	Salida	CO
2020-10-25	Teagan Johnson-Moore	tjohnsonmoore924@gmail.com	Lyons	CO
2020-10-25	Jed Hawkes	jed_hawkes@hotmail.com	Lynnwood	WA
2020-10-25	Jeff Trauba	jeff@trauba.com	Santa Cruz	CA
2020-10-25	Stephanie Viselli	wwkayakfamily@gmail.com	Carson City	NV
2020-10-25	Eric Young	spamcount13@gmail.com	Ogden	UT
2020-10-25	Adam Shaw-Doran	asdbear@yahoo.com	Mc Allister	MT
2020-10-25	Hunter Holtrop	hholtrop94@gmail.com	Lewiston	ID
2020-10-25	Christopher Keahon	cjkny@aol.com	Pearl River	NY
2020-10-25	Craig Blackard	craigblackard@gmail.com	Ashland	OR
2020-10-25	Chuck Seidler	chucksei.public@gmail.com	Sacramento	CA
2020-10-25	Melissa Keegan	melissakeegan86@gmail.com	Twin Falls	ID

2020-10-25	Wesley Eads	weads@woh.rr.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-25	Karen Giles	fernwoods@aol.com	Portage	PA
2020-10-25	David Konigsberg	dk@davekoni.com	Arvada	CO
2020-10-25	Duncan Kepner	duncankepner@ymail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-25	Joshua Johnson	pray4morsnow@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT
2020-10-25	Tanner Rheinschmidt	tanner-rheinschmidt8@hotmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-25	Landon Wharton	whartonphoto@yahoo.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-25	Stephen Kimmel	kimmelraft@gmail.com	Asheville	NC
2020-10-25	Sunny Capper	sunny.bourdon@gmail.com	Brookings	OR
2020-10-25	Kyle Jessup	kjessup1972@gmail.com	Vail	CO
2020-10-25	Kyle Lisabeth	klisabeth6@gmail.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-25	Glenn Watt	glenn.watt3@gmail.com	Wind Gap	PA
2020-10-25	Will Hubbs	william.hubbs2@gmail.com	Maryville	TN
2020-10-25	dennis adams	downriverdennis@yahoo.com	Big Bar	CA
2020-10-25	Alex Nadauld	adebater@gmail.com	Idaho Falls	ID
2020-10-25	Hailey Jacobs	jacobshq.22@gmail.com	Leadville	CO
2020-10-25	Mark Ritchie	markritchie101@gmail.com	Sandy	UT
2020-10-25	Patrick McGinty	pmcginty1@me.com	Carbondale	CO
2020-10-25	Cody Goss	codygoss@gmail.com	Moscow	ID
2020-10-25	Quinn Martell	quinndavidmartell@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-25	Olivia Auray	olivia.auray@gmail.com	Salida	CO
2020-10-25	isaac arms	isaac_arms@yahoo.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-25	Adam Hahn	adamhahn360@gmail.com	Idaho Falls	ID
2020-10-25	Tiffany Reed	tiffanycreed@hotmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-25	Grant Kashner	kashner123@gmail.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-25	Stephanie Russell	basketball1156@gmail.com	Fields Landing	CA
2020-10-25	Daniel Housh	firlinephoto@gmail.com	Kernville	CA
2020-10-25	Paul Duffy	duffy.paulj@gmail.com	Arvada	CO
2020-10-25	Travis Coe	twcslc@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-25	Madison Hanousek	hanomadi@isu.edu	Boise	ID
2020-10-25	Pat Hain	pat_h224@hotmail.com	Herriman	UT

2020-10-25	Ethan Marx	ethankmarx@gmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-25	Bryan Casey	Bryansemail07@gmail.com	Chico	CA
2020-10-25	Micah Kneidl	micahkneidl@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-25	Ryan Brown	rbrowntasse@gmail.com	New York	NY
2020-10-25	Tyler Harmon	jacobshq.22@gmail.com	Leadville	CO
2020-10-25	Walker Williams	thawalkeman@icloud.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-25	Connor Mccarthy	cmccar23@uvm.edu	Saint Johnsbury	VT
2020-10-25	Issi Tang	issit1018@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-25	Mike Lutke	m.lutke@gmail.com	Louisville	KY
2020-10-25	Scott Feeley	feeley1206@gmail.com	Centennial	CO
2020-10-25	Caleb Williams	fjordfurious@icloud.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-25	Dustin Diesing	dmdiesing@gmail.com	Falls Church	VA
2020-10-25	Wyatt Riegel	wyatt@ironforce.us	Horseshoe Bend	ID
2020-10-25	Cruise Quenelle	cruisequenelle@yahoo.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-25	Scott White	oriandark35@gmail.com	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-25	Ivy Reeves	reevesi@colorado.edu	Denver	CO
2020-10-25	Clayton Hoskins	claytonhoskins88@gmail.com	Tabernash	CO
2020-10-25	Noel Parker	noelparker888@gmail.com	Salida	CO
2020-10-25	Amber Conrad	conrad.amber2@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-25	Frank Norton	frankwrightnorton@gmail.com	Birmingham	AL
2020-10-25	Lynne Jordan	lynneq.jordan@gmail.com	Monticello	GA
2020-10-25	Matthew Boyd	whiteh2o.boyd@gmail.com	Garden City	ID
2020-10-25	Carly Reni	carly.reni@gmail.com	Edmonds	WA
2020-10-25	Mickey Wilson	miwilson0007@gmail.com	Lakewood	CO
2020-10-25	Anthony Monson	anthonymonson9@yahoo.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-25	Alyssa Samuelson	alyssa.samuelson@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-25	Kyle Joseph	shortstopjoseph@yahoo.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-25	Stephen Groendyk	stephengroendyk@gmail.com	Pueblo	CO
2020-10-25	Chris Horsley	horschri@isu.edu	Idaho Falls	ID
2020-10-25	Niko Peha	nikopeha@gmail.com	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-25	Matthew Colton	yanks999@msn.com	Tully	NY

2020-10-25	Margie Cruise-Quenelle	margiequenelle@yahoo.com	Durango	CO
2020-10-25	Margaret Madden	zitazita14@yahoo.com	Clackamas	OR
2020-10-25	Jacoby Donaca	jacoby.donaca@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-25	Noah Thornton	noahscustomcarpentry@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-25	Will Sommerville	sommerville.will69@gmail.com	Durango	CO
2020-10-25	Evan Blackard	evanblackard@gmail.com	Flagstaff	AZ
2020-10-25	Kalia FELDMAN-KLEIN	kfeldmanklein@gmail.com	Ashland	OR
2020-10-25	Erin McClure	mcclure_erin@hotmail.com	Dover	ID
2020-10-25	Ben Hymes	behy1500@colorado.edu	Avon	CO
2020-10-25	Sally Simons	sallysimons2@gmail.com	Nampa	ID
2020-10-25	Thomas Stroud	tmkstroud@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-25	Karen Goodnow	globekag@yahoo.com	Bellevue	WA
2020-10-25	Carol Blackard	carolblackard@icloud.com	Centennial	CO
2020-10-25	Dylan Sifford	wishuweredylan@hotmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-25	Matthew Ward	2strksqrl@gmail.com	Park City	UT
2020-10-25	Carolyn Van Laare	carolynvanlaare@gmail.com	Birmingham	AL
2020-10-25	Cathie Bailey	bandcbailey@gmail.com	Osburn	ID
2020-10-25	Eric Froelicher	ERICFROELICHER@GMAIL.COM	Carbondale	CO
2020-10-25	Tim Scarl	timothyjscarl@gmail.com	Chagrin Falls	OH
2020-10-25	Rogan Brown	rsavagebrown@gmail.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-25	Anja Gruber	anjagruber@gmail.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-25	Finnegan Gormley	finnegangormley4@gmail.com	Carlisle	MA
2020-10-25	Lana Austin	rdjfan69@gmail.com	Oak Harbor	WA
2020-10-25	Lara S	laragtherapy@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-25	Owen Coutts	owen@owencoutts.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-25	Ty Duncan	tynduncan@gmail.com	Enterprise	AL
2020-10-25	Barbara Warneke	barbara.warneke@gmail.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-25	Justin Ebelheiser	justinebelheiser@gmail.com	Silverton	CO
2020-10-25	Diane Britton	dianebritton10@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-25	Sage Hudson	sagehudson21@gmail.com	Oroville	CA
2020-10-25	Aidan Doherty	aidandoherty84@gmail.com	Boston	MA

2020-10-25	Ava Christensen	avabayerchristensen@gmail.com	Charlotte	NC
2020-10-25	Claire H	hclaire000@gmail.com	Charlotte	NC
2020-10-25	Shawn Jones	sjtile@gmail.com	El Jebel	CO
2020-10-25	Oliv Munson	omviolin@nycap.rr.com	Saint Cloud	MN
2020-10-25	Tyler Berry	tylerjarredberry@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-25	Mike Raymondi	mike@troutfitters.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-25	Lorelei Hodgson	lorelei.hodgson@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-25	Ash Hughes	ashmhughes@hotmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-25	Becky Adams	Badams4428@gmail.com	Vestavia Hills	AL
2020-10-25	Sean Madden	seanmadden123@gmail.com	Hood River	OR
2020-10-25	Eric Whiteman	4ericwhiteman@gmail.com	Flagstaff	AZ
2020-10-25	Ruthie Boyd	boydr2@carleton.edu	Telluride	CO
2020-10-25	Carol Mukhalian	carol.mukhalian@gmail.com	North Bend	WA
2020-10-26	Tania Lown-Hecht	tania@outdooralliance.org	The Sea Ranch	CA
2020-10-26	Laurie Rogers	laurielynnerogers@gmail.com	Snoqualmie	WA
2020-10-26	Michele Dieterich	telechele@hotmail.com	Hamilton	MT
2020-10-26	Colin Hughes	colin@hughesriver.com	Lolo	MT
2020-10-26	Katie Jackson	Katiejack3036@gmail.com	Mountain Brk	AL
2020-10-26	Sarah Dodge	sarahselkirkd@gmail.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-26	Maggie Thompson	mubbles2@hotmail.com	Saint Paul	MN
2020-10-26	Sam Thackeray	s.thac9158@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-26	Henry Heyman	henryheyman@mac.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-26	Lisa Koralewicz	Write_lisa@hotmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-26	Lisa Koralewicz	Write_lisa@hotmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-26	Jack Keller	jeksac@earthlink.net	Los Fresnos	TX
2020-10-26	Rowan Bateman	Reb338@cornell.edu	El Prado	NM
2020-10-26	Josh Wilder	jtwilder@live.com	Priddy	TX
2020-10-26	Melissa Keegan	melissakeegan86@gmail.com	Twin Falls	ID
2020-10-26	Neil Hastings	hastings22@gmail.com	Lynnwood	WA
2020-10-26	Mat McGrath	mat20@live.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-26	Hannah Stevens	hannah.26.stevens@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT

2020-10-26	Tessa Leake	taleake66@msn.com	Evergreen	CO
2020-10-26	Britta Thackeray	brittat1993@hotmail.com	Sumner	WA
2020-10-26	Shaffer Klenda	shafferklenda@gmail.com	Aspen	CO
2020-10-26	Susan Ritchie	suzritch1961@gmail.com	New Hope	PA
2020-10-26	Sam Wheeler	svw011@comcast.net	Auburn	AL
2020-10-26	Rebecca Schroepel	rjschroepel@gmail.com	Vail	CO
2020-10-26	Justin Gill	justin.gill@hotmail.com	Vail	CO
2020-10-26	Lauren Farrell	laurenfarrellphoto@yahoo.com	Southington	CT
2020-10-26	Katie Campbell	krcampbe@gmail.com	Buena Vista	CO
2020-10-26	Priscilla Sherman	priscilla.sherman@gmail.com	Durango	CO
2020-10-26	Tabor Chartier	tachartier@gmail.com	Buena Vista	CO
2020-10-26	Abby Price	happyabbymail@gmail.com	Canon City	CO
2020-10-26	Nik Pottala	npottala@hotmail.com	Edmond	OK
2020-10-26	Mira Warner	mira@threeriversrafting.com	Moscow	ID
2020-10-26	cian sullivan	ciansul@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-26	Phil Start	pstart25@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-26	Kevin Conley	kevinthomasconley@gmail.com	Salem	SC
2020-10-26	Max Ryan	thesendingsamurai@gmail.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-26	Laura Ferejohn	lauraferejohn@yahoo.com	Laguna Woods	CA
2020-10-26	Hilary Hays	hilaryhays3@gmail.com	Victor	ID
2020-10-26	Claire Simon	deer.claire@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-26	Rena Remeza	rremeza@icloud.com	Brigham City	UT
2020-10-26	Alex Dodge	alex@skymountainexperience.com	Gold Bar	WA
2020-10-26	Valeria Narancio	visionenarancio@gmail.com	Washington	DC
2020-10-26	Olivia Huff	oliviahuff26@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-26	Wyatt Alt	wymalt@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-26	Parker Danowski	ptdanowski@gmail.com	Woodinville	WA
2020-10-26	Jake Thompson	dirtyjake@msn.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-26	Hayden Boehlefeld	klondike08@live.com	Layton	UT
2020-10-26	William Quarton	barrquarton@frontier.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-26	Chloe Cooksey	ccooksey14@gmail.com	Marietta	GA

2020-10-26	Brandon Cooper	brandonscooper@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-26	Nels Darling	Nelsdarling@gmail.com	Petersburg	PA
2020-10-26	Jesse Brucato	j.brucato@yahoo.com	Steamboat Springs	CO
2020-10-26	Clayton Geisel	claytong717@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-26	Alexandra Aldecoa	akaldecoa@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-26	Cory Kryger	ckryger@me.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-26	Mason Boos	masonboos@hotmail.com	Rapid City	SD
2020-10-26	Jeff Schmeiler	jeff@gowhitedog.com	Kamas	UT
2020-10-26	Stephen Arnold	arnold.stephen61@yahoo.com	Pocatello	ID
2020-10-26	Leslie March	lesliemarch@hotmail.com	Gig Harbor	WA
2020-10-26	Adam Nadauld	bsubroncos@gmail.com	Idaho Falls	ID
2020-10-26	Margot Walker	walker.margot@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-26	William Malesky	billybobmalesky@yahoo.com	Spirit Lake	ID
2020-10-26	Eric Bloland	ebloland@comcast.net	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-26	Emma Salomon	emmasalomonn@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-26	Collin Friedman	collinfriedman@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-26	Colin Frazee	colin.frazee@gmail.com	Gunnison	CO
2020-10-26	Marc Hanselman	proalpineguide@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-26	Amanda Marchiani	amanda.marchiani@yahoo.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-26	Nick Olson	nick87olson@gmail.com	Park City	UT
2020-10-26	Conor Sayres	sayresc@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-26	Alec Lovejoy	aleclovejoy@gmail.com	Bettendorf	IA
2020-10-26	Judith Trujillo	jubuggie21@yahoo.com	Panorama City	CA
2020-10-26	Ian Wingert	ian@immersionresearch.com	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-26	Whalen Louis	whaleface@mac.com	Park City	UT
2020-10-26	Gianna Ossello	g.ossello@gmail.com	Underwood	WA
2020-10-26	Alexandra Loya	alexandraloya14@gmail.com	Stanton	CA
2020-10-26	Randy Nettinga	camoup430@gmail.com	Eagle	ID
2020-10-26	Brogan Donahoe	br06an@gmail.com	Santa Barbara	CA
2020-10-26	Doris Tan	dltan96@yahoo.com	Las Vegas	NV
2020-10-26	Don Roberts	droberts22@gmail.com	Vancouver	WA

2020-10-26	Joy Vuselli	rugbman@aol.com	Reno	NV
2020-10-26	Kelli Gilcrest	kelligilcrest@gmail.com	Santa Barbara	CA
2020-10-26	John Moore	vaslim73@icloud.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-26	Rob Greener	robertgreener@me.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-26	Hayden Aldous	haydenaldous26@gmail.com	Escondido	CA
2020-10-26	Ryan Nesbitt	nesbitt6@icloud.com	Alpharetta	GA
2020-10-26	Vonda Winfree	winfreegv@msn.com	Rexburg	ID
2020-10-26	Vernon Smith	vernon.telford.smith@gmail.com	Emigrant	MT
2020-10-26	Marion Adams	marion.e.adams@gmail.com	Livermore	CA
2020-10-26	Hank Evatt	hevatt6@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-26	Jeremy Graham	jeremy@boillinecoffee.com	Danville	PA
2020-10-26	Laura Palmer	littlepalmererrunner@gmail.com	Durango	CO
2020-10-26	Reilly Osborne	reillyosb@yahoo.com	Northampton	MA
2020-10-26	Kira Lang	kme181emk@yahoo.com	Neptune	NJ
2020-10-26	Peter Valle	pitasio@msn.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-26	Bryan Hujar	bhujar@kent.edu	Cuyahoga Falls	OH
2020-10-26	Mckenzie Myers	mckenziemyers@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-26	Jacob Jensen	jensen.jacobd@gmail.com	Rigby	ID
2020-10-26	Nick Viselli	rugbman2@aol.com	Reno	NV
2020-10-26	Dallin Vitek	dallinvitek0909@gmail.com	Roswell	GA
2020-10-26	John Clark	jocl96955@gmail.com	The Dalles	OR
2020-10-26	Brioke Kiser	brookeelizabeth515@gmail.com	Dayton	OH
2020-10-26	Brooke Kiser	brookeelizabeth515@gmail.com	Dayton	OH
2020-10-26	Kyle Kiser	brookeelizabeth515@gmail.com	Dayton	OH
2020-10-26	Kennedy Rudy	brookeelizabeth515@gmail.com	Dayton	OH
2020-10-26	Jonathon Rudy	brookeelizabeth515@gmail.com	Dayton	OH
2020-10-26	Dudley Crisp	mcrisp@yahoo.com	West Linn	OR
2020-10-26	Kate Haderlie	kmitch89@gmail.com	Nampa	ID
2020-10-26	Adam Oken	fisherman.oken@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-26	William Bradt	billbradt@gmail.com	Stevensville	MT
2020-10-26	Brenna Boyter	brennaboyter@abbey.bac.edu	Gastonia	NC

2020-10-26	Chance McCormack	chancemccormack@outlook.com		
2020-10-26	Cooper Fisk	cooperfisk@gmail.com	North Las Vegas	NV
2020-10-26	Mike Dale	coledale097@gmail.com	Crystal River	FL
2020-10-26	Sami Godlove	sjglove8@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-26	Rebecca Evans	rsw101@hotmail.com	Terlingua	TX
2020-10-26	Austin Charvet	austin.charvet@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-26	Kaylie Sylvester	Kayaaker@gmail.com	Spokane	WA
2020-10-26	Nils Blegen	nwblegen@hotmail.com	Durango	CO
2020-10-27	Valerie Bernard	Valerie.bernard@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Mel Mac Innis	melanie@melstarr.com	Roslindale	MA
2020-10-27	Aaron Allen	amallen9867@yahoo.com	Albuquerque	NM
2020-10-27	Patrick Barry	pbarry@frasermedicalclinic.com	Winter Park	CO
2020-10-27	Alison Bermant	truckeelawyer@gmail.com	Truckee	CA
2020-10-27	Christopher Harjes	chrisharjes@hotmail.com	Asheville	NC
2020-10-27	Dennis Manning	d.w.m@comcast.net	Colorado Springs	CO
2020-10-27	Raymond Occhipinti	Bleep2112@yahoo.com	Asheville	NC
2020-10-27	Dennis Pennell	bluespaddler@gmail.com	Vancouver	WA
2020-10-27	Kelly Riley	khanlon74@yahoo.com	Hatfield	PA
2020-10-27	Erik Schultz	erikbschultz@mac.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-27	Mark Singleton	mark@amwhitewater.org	Sylva	NC
2020-10-27	Thomas Thrall	tom_thrall@hotmail.com	Forest Grove	OR
2020-10-27	Clara Winter	lexisnana1029@aol.com	Highlands Ranch	CO
2020-10-27	Adam Johnson	adam.b.johnson1@gmail.com	Morgantown	WV
2020-10-27	Susan Ballinger	skylinebal@gmail.com	Wenatchee	WA
2020-10-27	Michael Baker	Baker1mich@frontier.com	Steilacoom	WA
2020-10-27	Will Silva	wjsilva@post.harvard.edu	Bellingham	WA
2020-10-27	Tessa McGee	tessacmcgee@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Jim Freeburg	Jifreeburg@yahoo.com	Renton	WA
2020-10-27	Anita Bryant	feathy@hotmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	William Parkinson	ameradian1@aol.com	Lake Stevens	WA
2020-10-27	Holly Hill	spencerhill369@gmail.com	Seattle	WA

2020-10-27	David Schomer	davidcschomer57@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Melody Winkle	mwinkle@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Olga Kachook	olka@frontier.com	New York	NY
2020-10-27	Sylvia Burges	sylviaburges@comcast.net	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Thomas Fawell	tfawell@yahoo.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Ken Strickland	kenfran@tds.net	Morganton	GA
2020-10-27	Paul Eisner	peisner55@hotmail.com	Pittsburgh	PA
2020-10-27	Peter Rawlings	prawlings60@comcast.net	North Billerica	MA
2020-10-27	Jonathan Shelby	whitewater.fraggle@gmail.com	Cashmere	WA
2020-10-27	Lisa Kincannon	lisa.kincannon@comcast.net	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	John Holder	jolderco@gmail.com	Crested Butte	CO
2020-10-27	Michael Berwaldt	bort.squid@gmail.com	Maple Falls	WA
2020-10-27	John Wolf	jwolf842@yahoo.com	Mount Gretna	PA
2020-10-27	Diane Verna	skiyurts@yahoo.com	Alta	WY
2020-10-27	Gabriel Newton	gabnewton@yahoo.com	Burien	WA
2020-10-27	Bruno Pitton	Brunopitton@gmail.com	Winters	CA
2020-10-27	Steve Taber	stevetaber2003@gmail.com	Kenmore	WA
2020-10-27	matthew marino	mssdd118@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Bob Boland	bboland79@yahoo.com	Great Falls	MT
2020-10-27	Philip Coebergh	pjcoebergh@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Robert Burnett	robert.burnett8@gmail.com	Crested Butte	CO
2020-10-27	Francelia Lieurance	fliuran@gmail.com	Salida	CO
2020-10-27	Delorse Lovelady	loverainsky@yahoo.com	Kenmore	WA
2020-10-27	Stephen Ice	Stephen.a.ice@gmail.com	Vashon	WA
2020-10-27	Elisa Mace	e.mace@jjnursery.com	Kaysville	UT
2020-10-27	Scott Parson	scott485@centurytel.net	Rogersville	MO
2020-10-27	William Alexander	b.alexander@hotmail.com	Longmont	CO
2020-10-27	Charles Beebe	vinosource@yahoo.com	Bellingham	WA
2020-10-27	Osama Hafez	sidi000@hotmail.com	Wesley Chapel	FL
2020-10-27	John Wiggins-Goff	Michaelwigginsgoff@gmail.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-27	Evangelos Germeles	egermeles@wyoming.com	Pinedale	WY

2020-10-27	Pete Lardy	Snowwave@gmail.com	Colorado Springs	CO
2020-10-27	Gian Visciano	gian.visciano@gmail.com	Arvada	CO
2020-10-27	Gerald Brooks	dlandbrooks@gmail.com	South Lake Tahoe	CA
2020-10-27	Margie Reimers	margiewreimers@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-27	Jim Harris	jim@perpetualweekend.com	Glenwood Springs	CO
2020-10-27	Mark Egge	mark@eateggs.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-27	Todd Winzenried	twinzenried@netwize.net	Park City	UT
2020-10-27	JAMES WHITE	jsandywhite@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Sean Cargill	huntingtonbeachstangs@yahoo.com	Tacoma	WA
2020-10-27	Connor Nash	connornash5@gmail.com	Lakewood	CO
2020-10-27	Lisa Glodowski	lisamarieflores@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-27	Blythe Burge	riverbuf@gmail.com	Fletcher	NC
2020-10-27	Devin Bishop	denalidevo@gmail.com	Lynnwood	WA
2020-10-27	Grant Barnard	gbtelemark@gmail.com	Red Lodge	MT
2020-10-27	Therese McRae	theresemcrae@msn.com	Bellevue	WA
2020-10-27	greg didriksen	greg.didriksen@yahoo.com	Lotus	CA
2020-10-27	James Welch	james.e.welch13@gmail.com	Phoenix	OR
2020-10-27	Adam Goshorn	granolapaddler@hotmail.com	Mentone	AL
2020-10-27	Matt Nielson	mattfisher22@gmail.com	Oxford	MS
2020-10-27	Levi Rose	levidroze@gmail.com	Spokane	WA
2020-10-27	Elizabeth Hickman	hickman.beth@comcast.net	Auburn	WA
2020-10-27	Mark Handy	Markdhandy@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Karen Debraal	thestayfreekarebear@gmail.com	Springfield	OR
2020-10-27	Willard Smith	willardallansmith2@gmail.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	George Heleker	earthman1950@whidbey.com	Clinton	WA
2020-10-27	John Desmond	jackdrs@gmail.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-27	Claudia van Poperingen	cvanpop@gmail.com	Colorado Springs	CO
2020-10-27	Cody Robertson	codygrobertson@gmail.com	Parker	CO
2020-10-27	B Mitchell	bl_mitchell@hotmail.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-27	Paul Tomlinson	pault-co@hotmail.com	Lafayette	CO

2020-10-27	Eric Oliver	ericparkeroliver@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Chris Johnson	chrisjj13@hotmail.com	Liberty Lake	WA
2020-10-27	Bret McGreer	bretalvin@gmail.com	Illinois City	IL
2020-10-27	Rich Howard	colrich30@gmail.com	La Verkin	UT
2020-10-27	Joe Wiederhold	jwiederhold47@gmail.com	Bellingham	WA
2020-10-27	Carol Collins	ccollins54@msn.com	Dover	DE
2020-10-27	Eric Boat	eboat_2000@yahoo.com	Saint Charles	IL
2020-10-27	Mitch Lex	lexmitch10@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-27	Paula Ozzello	pozzello@gmail.com	Trinidad	CO
2020-10-27	Jenner Harsha	Jma.harsha@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-27	Glenn Randall	ggrandall@comcast.net	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	Steph Spencer	poppyspencer@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-27	Eric Brody	Eric.g.brody@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Carrie Callaway	cdenkinger@yahoo.com	Nashville	TN
2020-10-27	James Hunt	jhunt4115@yahoo.com	Wadsworth	OH
2020-10-27	Gudrun Dennis	gdennis2@cox.net	Gainesville	FL
2020-10-27	Randy Harrison	ran6711@comcast.net	Eugene	OR
2020-10-27	Todd Clark	tbradyclark@yahoo.com	Indianapolis	IN
2020-10-27	Del Stiewert	adi1del@aol.com	Colorado Springs	CO
2020-10-27	Bonnie Helmer	bonniehelmer@gmail.com	Las Vegas	NV
2020-10-27	Brenda Michaels	brenda@conscioustalk.net	Port Townsend	WA
2020-10-27	Margaret Schnipper	chromefiend@hotmail.com	Frisco	CO
2020-10-27	Maureen O'Neal	momoneal77@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Debra Rehn	BibleoGirl@aol.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Dan and Paula Fogarty	fogarty830@aol.com	Santa Rosa	CA
2020-10-27	Jonathan Hunt	huntsters@msn.com	Richland	WA
2020-10-27	Lorraine Johnson	lorraine.d.johnson@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Marck Oconnell	moconnell5001@yahoo.co.uk	Tampa	FL
2020-10-27	Dustin Kearns	Kearnsmdustin@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Richard Kite	coloneledamvc@aol.com	Washington	DC
2020-10-27	Patricia Dishman	dishmanx2@aol.com	Nashville	TN

2020-10-27	Amy Hansen	pittle.r.us@gmail.com	Asbury	NJ
2020-10-27	Susan Porter	susansporter@hotmail.com	Pasadena	CA
2020-10-27	Jason Crawford	jrobcrawf@aol.com	Lancaster	PA
2020-10-27	Abigail Corbet	abbycorbet@yahoo.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	David Amrod	bendybubble@yahoo.com	Baldwinsville	NY
2020-10-27	K Danowski	silver_kd@yahoo.com	Pittsburgh	PA
2020-10-27	Linda Prostko	lprostko@gmail.com	Caledonia	MI
2020-10-27	Kathie Takush	ktakush@yahoo.com	Reading	PA
2020-10-27	Alison Lay Cranston	Alsamae@gmail.com	Redmond	OR
2020-10-27	Robert Keiser	skeiser2@bellsouth.net	Miami	FL
2020-10-27	Florence Harty	flharty@yahoo.com	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-27	William Orr	wv4orr@gmail.com	Coeur D Alene	ID
2020-10-27	Steve Fontes	cyclor_sf@comcast.net	Fremont	CA
2020-10-27	Melvin Hughes	mellh_50@yahoo.com	Sparta	TN
2020-10-27	Tim Beardmore	tim_beardmore@hotmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Anne Dwyer	annedwyer1@gmail.com	Louisville	CO
2020-10-27	Peggy Kocoras	pkocoras@clarku.edu	Northfield	MA
2020-10-27	Jonah Meyers	jonahmmeyers@gmail.com	Silver Spring	MD
2020-10-27	Kathleen Klacik	katieklacik@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Dan Murray	danmurraybv@gmail.com	Buena Vista	CO
2020-10-27	philip blevins	philblevins@comcast.net	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Alex Stavis	ams64@juno.com	New York	NY
2020-10-27	Paula Beers	pbeersz@aol.com	Beaverton	OR
2020-10-27	Bob Martin	bobmntntandem@gmail.com	Park City	UT
2020-10-27	Ryan Galloway	Ryanmooregalloway@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-27	Lacey Anderson	lacey2u@sbcglobal.net	Lake Isabella	CA
2020-10-27	Hood Whitson	hoodwhitson@gmail.com	Fort Worth	TX
2020-10-27	Drew Kintz	Andrew.p.kintz1@gmail.com	Issaquah	WA
2020-10-27	Michael Ledvinka	mledvinka1@hotmail.com	Lawrenceville	GA
2020-10-27	Tyler Johnson	flacojohnson@gmail.com	Salida	CO
2020-10-27	Erin Kelly	Erin.lorraine14@gmail.com	Fort Collins	CO

2020-10-27	Susan Hahn	sch7174@coldreams.com	Oldtown	ID
2020-10-27	Carolyne Whelan	Whelan.writes@gmail.com	Pittsburgh	PA
2020-10-27	Matt Wood	Mattdwood14@hotmail.com	Richmond	VA
2020-10-27	Daniel O'Keefe	danny@dannyokeefe.com	Vashon	WA
2020-10-27	David Johnson	Dsjohnson@uwalumni.com	Morrison	CO
2020-10-27	William OHalloran	colodpm@aol.com	Fort Collins	CO
2020-10-27	Tyler Harris	letrbuck307@gmail.com	Laramie	WY
2020-10-27	Rick Reynolds	rrr.trf@gmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-27	Harrison Rea	goterps18@gmail.com	Kirkland	WA
2020-10-27	Russ Beebe	winehiker@gmail.com	Sunnyvale	CA
2020-10-27	Carl Reintsema	reintsema@yahoo.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	Noah Metzler	njmetzler@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-27	Brent Bernard	Bmbernardslc@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-27	Christopher Troxell	c_troxell@comcast.net	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Anna Christiansen	anna.christiansen@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Nick Chambers	fishnick62@gmail.com	Index	WA
2020-10-27	Tyler Jacob	jtjacob@nevada.unr.edu	Reno	NV
2020-10-27	Susan Ford	fords208@gmail.com	East Nassau	NY
2020-10-27	Stefan Taylor	ststevectaylor8@gmail.com	Tampa	FL
2020-10-27	Todd Huston	todd.huston100@gmail.com	Molalla	OR
2020-10-27	Peter Guerrero	studio374photography@gmail.com	Port Townsend	WA
2020-10-27	Ali Pasquill	ali_mcnabb@hotmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-27	Cindy Fornstrom	csfornstrom@yahoo.com	Englewood	CO
2020-10-27	Matt Jordan	matthewgjordan@yahoo.com	Mount Hood Parkdale	OR
2020-10-27	Jim Addington	jraddin77@gmail.com	Auburn	CA
2020-10-27	David Farkas	dave.farkas@firstdescents.org	Hesperus	CO
2020-10-27	Ryan Spanke	spanke.ryan@gmail.com	Reno	NV
2020-10-27	Katelyn Scott	katelyn.scott21@gmail.com	Wellpinit	WA
2020-10-27	Bob Shippee	rsoxbob@gmail.com	Henrico	VA
2020-10-27	Kyle Gardner	kylegard81@gmail.com	Broomfield	CO
2020-10-27	Jessica Jern	jessica.jern@gmail.com	Wilson	WY

2020-10-27	Matthew Vildzius	m85476585@gmail.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	Andrew Vann	vandrew10@gmail.com	Fort Collins	CO
2020-10-27	Jared Pearce	jared.pearce@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Laura Sutkus	laura@sutkuslawfirm.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Matthew Eivers	mjeivers63@gmail.com	Black Diamond	WA
2020-10-27	DLayne Benson	dlaynebrown@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-27	Ghayda Mirza	ghayda.mirzaa@gmail.com	Issaquah	WA
2020-10-27	Michael Kovacs	mtkovacs@comcast.net	Seatac	WA
2020-10-27	Victoria Poling	vpoling@gmail.com	Bellevue	WA
2020-10-27	Alex Marienthal	alexm1417@hotmail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-27	Kae McDonald	kaemcdonald@comcast.net	Carbondale	CO
2020-10-27	Suzanne Corriell	sschultzee@yahoo.com	Wheat Ridge	CO
2020-10-27	Ellen Atkinson	Jeanne184490@gmail.com	Lynchburg	VA
2020-10-27	Tom Dancs	tomdancs@gmail.com	Moab	UT
2020-10-27	Mark Canright	rebeccagroovypeace@gmail.com	Asbury	NJ
2020-10-27	Mark Canright	rebeccagroovypeace@gmail.com	Asbury	NJ
2020-10-27	Robert Parrish	robertparrish@hotmail.com	Leavenworth	WA
2020-10-27	Amy Hattemer	amyhattemer@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Gerry Rhoades	gerry@montanapens.com	Billings	MT
2020-10-27	Jacob Noland	jacob.noland@gmail.com	Ellensburg	WA
2020-10-27	Danielle Graham	pedergraham@gmail.com	Issaquah	WA
2020-10-27	Lee James	36jamlee@gmail.com	Salida	CO
2020-10-27	Greg Parrish	hooper042270@icloud.com	Lynnwood	WA
2020-10-27	Robert Warren	Cedarstduplex@yahoo.com	Rogue River	OR
2020-10-27	Gary Lussier	gelconn@yahoo.com	Oakdale	CT
2020-10-27	Allison Phipps	allison.m.phipps@gmail.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-27	Andrew Richardson	andrewtheemail@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Matt Bell	mab09200@gmail.com	Olympia	WA
2020-10-27	Gary Ivey	grusboy@yahoo.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-27	Julia Klema	juliaklema@gmail.com	Durango	CO
2020-10-27	David Zor	david.zorii@gmail.com	Kalispell	MT

2020-10-27	Matthew Klema	mrklema@hotmail.com	Hesperus	CO
2020-10-27	Peter Throckmorton	tmortonpt@gmail.com	Reno	NV
2020-10-27	Charles Dean	heycharley3000@gmail.com	Lyons	CO
2020-10-27	Miriam Stone	mimistone@comcast.net	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Michael Stjernholm	michael.stjernholm@gmail.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	ted cookson	cooksonated@gmail.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-27	Steve Smith	stephen.m.smith01@gmail.com	Durango	CO
2020-10-27	Richard Dzija	rjdzija@comcast.net	Loveland	CO
2020-10-27	Masayo Kaneko	masayokk@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Philip Naro	pnaro21@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-27	Howe Crockett	taycro5@comcast.net	Vancouver	WA
2020-10-27	Dan Schillo	Dschillo721@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	David Gilbertson	degil@sonic.net	Stevensville	MT
2020-10-27	Ann Gidel	hartspring2@gmail.com	Helena	MT
2020-10-27	Levi Dexel	levidexel@gmail.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-27	Thomas Brady	placeminer@me.com	Spokane	WA
2020-10-27	Philip Weisbach	filzip@comcast.net	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	Dan Sherman	daniel.sherman85@gmail.com	Corvallis	OR
2020-10-27	Hanah Hesper	hanahmia@yahoo.com	Billings	MT
2020-10-27	Max Stein	max.stein88@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Bill Clark	william.m.clark56@gmail.com	Anaconda	MT
2020-10-27	Kirk Richardson	kbrich3591@gmail.com	Sisters	OR
2020-10-27	Jacob Betzen	jakebetzen@gmail.com	Olathe	CO
2020-10-27	William Keeting	wdkwild@yahoo.com	Miami Beach	FL
2020-10-27	Mary Shabbott	Mshabbott@sbcglobal.net	Punta Gorda	FL
2020-10-27	Eric Polczynski	ericcki75@yahoo.com	Pagosa Springs	CO
2020-10-27	Samantha White	samantha.white406@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-27	Maegan Jossy	maeganjossy@gmail.com	Hillsboro	OR
2020-10-27	Wendy Lasher	wendylasher@hotmail.com	Durango	CO
2020-10-27	Ian Peisner	ipeisner@yahoo.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Deborah Rigg	ladyonanisle@gmail.com	Quilcene	WA

2020-10-27	Jennifer Nelson	jennnel@comcast.net	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Joseph wolfrom	josephwolfrom@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-27	Ryan Nobles	hiimmurdoc@gmail.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-27	Joseph Pinkard	mailjpink@gmail.com	Lynchburg	VA
2020-10-27	Susan MacGregor	seesue@gmail.com	Redmond	WA
2020-10-27	Michael Rees	michaelrees2@yahoo.com	Lakewood	CO
2020-10-27	Yogesh Simpson	yogeshsimpson@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-27	Marv Binegar	mbinegar@aol.com	Oregon City	OR
2020-10-27	Chris Ramias	cramias@gmail.com	Phoenix	AZ
2020-10-27	Emily Estrada	emilyl.estrada@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Kara Purser	agirlandherdog22@gmail.com	Star Valley Ranch	WY
2020-10-27	Patricia Always	bikerpat@mindspring.com	Sun City	AZ
2020-10-27	Casey Tango	caseyatango@gmail.com	Arvada	CO
2020-10-27	Michael Goyette	mcgoyette@gmail.com	Hood River	OR
2020-10-27	Jeremy Silcox	jersilcox@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-27	Logan Knowles	logan@outdooralliance.org	Washington	DC
2020-10-27	Mollie Guillemette	mollie.guillemette@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Lana Young	lmyphoto@yahoo.com	Hood River	OR
2020-10-27	Andrew Kerth	time4thepow@gmail.com	Colorado Springs	CO
2020-10-27	Hanna Ory	hanna.ory@gmail.com	Hollister	CA
2020-10-27	Jackie Selevan	jselevan@gmail.com	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-27	Kristine Clausen	kristine0416@gmail.com	Moscow	ID
2020-10-27	William McCauley	wjmccauley5@gmail.com	Atlanta	GA
2020-10-27	karl kecman	karl.kecman@gmail.com	Bayfield	CO
2020-10-27	Nick Casamatta	ncasamatta@me.com	Lakewood	CO
2020-10-27	Jo Jones	jojones.co@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-27	polly hilleke	pollyhilleke@comcast.net	Glenwood Springs	CO
2020-10-27	Ms Zentura	zeejaz@yahoo.com	Casper	WY
2020-10-27	Shannon Orcutt	shannon.orcutt@gmail.com	Washington	DC
2020-10-27	Jo Kemper	jokemper@gmail.com	White Salmon	WA

2020-10-27	Maria Agne	agne.marialto@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Jim Norton	jrnorton2@gmail.com	Issaquah	WA
2020-10-27	Claire Aiello	clairecaiello@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Cody Wasuta	codywasuta@gmail.com	Nevada City	CA
2020-10-27	Larry Lubbers	larryl49@verizon.net	Annapolis	MD
2020-10-27	Annette Smith	annette.smith687@gmail.com	Issaquah	WA
2020-10-27	Henry Heyman	henryheyman@mac.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	cody curtis	cmkestral@hotmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	ZACHARY HALVERSON	zhalverson@hotmail.com	Lansing	IA
2020-10-27	Stuart Chapin	chapins@whitman.edu	Walla Walla	WA
2020-10-27	James Knight MD	darkrider_64@yahoo.com	Corvallis	OR
2020-10-27	ginger ikeda	ginger.ikeda@gmail.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	Noreen Hyre	nhyre@verizon.net	Bowie	MD
2020-10-27	Katie Haldeman	kmhaldeman@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-27	Nathan Pulliam	npulliam23@gmail.com	Decatur	GA
2020-10-27	Jeff Sloss	jssloss@gci.net	Juneau	AK
2020-10-27	Jason Lynn	jltahoe@gmail.com	Truckee	CA
2020-10-27	Lon Strong	lonstrong4@gmail.com	San Antonio	TX
2020-10-27	Rebecca Bauer	rebeccabauer141@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Ken Alspach	kealspa@wa-net.com	Woodland	WA
2020-10-27	GINA ANSON	g.anson@mac.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Wayne Sparks	wsparks5@comcast.net	Vancouver	WA
2020-10-27	Mark Rochester	mrock@q.com	Sutherlin	OR
2020-10-27	Kevin Fink	kfink64@gmail.com	Lacey	WA
2020-10-27	Erik Hvoslef	rep2serve@gmail.com	Salida	CO
2020-10-27	McCaella Daffern	mcdaffern@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Marcus Rhodenhill	rhodenhill@gmail.com	Nevada City	CA
2020-10-27	Michael Albalah	michaelalbalah@gmail.com	Haworth	NJ
2020-10-27	Alex Morros	alexanderjmorris@yahoo.com	Sacramento	CA
2020-10-27	Kielly Lewis	kielly.lewis@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Catherine Tuttle	kaycat@comcast.net	Boulder	CO

2020-10-27	Brad Fuhrman	brad.fuhrman@outlook.com	Durango	CO
2020-10-27	Kingsley Grunwald	kings411@sbcglobal.net	San Mateo	CA
2020-10-27	David Hatcher	RANGERDANGER2017@COMCAST.NET	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	William Ewer	wcjewer@comcast.net	Longmont	CO
2020-10-27	Dan Corn	Mtncorn@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-27	Clay Elkin	Clay.elkin@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Caleb Rawson	rawsoncs@gmail.com	Edgewood	WA
2020-10-27	Marc Draper	marcdraper@earthlink.net	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Kevin Dooley	casadooley@comcast.net	Spokane Valley	WA
2020-10-27	Tim Browning	browtim4@isu.edu	Pocatello	ID
2020-10-27	Jason Hatfield	jason.j.hatfield@gmail.com	Grand Rapids	OH
2020-10-27	BoLars Matson	Lars2223@yahoo.com	Whitefish	MT
2020-10-27	Annika Swenson	widget043@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	John Montgomery	waynemon55@yahoo.com	Sandpoint	ID
2020-10-27	Anne Fitzgerald	afitzgeraldg@aol.com	Clifton	CO
2020-10-27	Judy Lerner	Heyjudeann@yahoo.com	Cortez	CO
2020-10-27	Irma Grogan	irmagrogan@gmail.com	Ellensburg	WA
2020-10-27	Allie Bean	allietincher@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-27	Paul LaFontaine	paullafontaine@hotmail.com	Moab	UT
2020-10-27	Mark Henderson	markinbham@gmail.com	Bellingham	WA
2020-10-27	Lenka Duskocil	lenka.dusk@gmail.com	Fort Collins	CO
2020-10-27	Kendall Guillemette	kendall.guillemette@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Paul Rubright	prubright@gmail.com	Manhattan	MT
2020-10-27	Michael Green	megreen138@gmail.com	Mount Vernon	WA
2020-10-27	Jennifer Evans	Idaho_ski_mom@yahoo.com	Pocatello	ID
2020-10-27	Marcus Vanata	Marcus.vanata@student.prescott.edu	Prescott	AZ
2020-10-27	Patty Olson	oleacres3@gmail.COM	Twin Lakes	CO
2020-10-27	Nancy Cencula	ncencula@yahoo.com	Sparks	NV
2020-10-27	Eric Booton	eric.booton@westernalum.org	Anchorage	AK
2020-10-27	Michael Hoaster	michaelhoaster@comcast.net	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	Guy Trombley	guytrombley@gmail.com		

2020-10-27	Chelsea Metzger	cmetzgerca@gmail.com	Asheville	NC
2020-10-27	niki markoff	niki@calleva.org	Driggs	ID
2020-10-27	Carolyn Miesel	mieselc@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Michael Price	mp969@comcast.net	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Matthew Perkins	perkinsm89@gmail.com	Hermosa Beach	CA
2020-10-27	William Kaiser	bk.realestate@hotmail.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-27	Amy Green	amymgreen7@gmail.com	San Juan Bautista	CA
2020-10-27	Julie Washnock	j.washnock@gmail.com	Fraser	CO
2020-10-27	Matthew Taylor	matthew.taylor1999@gmail.com	Grapevine	TX
2020-10-27	Steve Obert	surfid@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Meg Smith	earthlyedibles.ms@gmail.com	Loveland	CO
2020-10-27	Marsha Smith	adk.marsha@gmail.com	Dryden	NY
2020-10-27	Jennifer Calvert	jennifercalvert@comcast.net	Spokane Valley	WA
2020-10-27	Jan Weisel	weiscon@comcast.net	Woodinville	WA
2020-10-27	Jamie Kitson	jlk3free@gmail.com	Marysville	WA
2020-10-27	Scott Kennedy	tykieh@comcast.net	Keizer	OR
2020-10-27	Barbara Blackwood	barbara.bb@comcast.net	Spokane Valley	WA
2020-10-27	William Summer	bill@hardyrealty.com	Rome	GA
2020-10-27	Gayle Chapin	gychapin@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Taylor Rane	taylorrane96@gmail.com	Rexburg	ID
2020-10-27	Karin Kyes	metsfan630@yahoo.com	Las Vegas	NV
2020-10-27	Rolf Friis	rristrue4u@yahoo.com	Louisville	KY
2020-10-27	Susanne Varlese	suvarlese@yahoo.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	Laurie Sterling	jupinator2000@yahoo.com	Port Orchard	WA
2020-10-27	Kathy Samsel	ksamsel44@gmail.com	Seaside	OR
2020-10-27	Julie Harris	julieanneh@aol.com	Beaverton	OR
2020-10-27	Robert Hessling	brianh@durango.net	Palisade	CO
2020-10-27	Lance French	lance@soldbyfrench.com	Broomfield	CO
2020-10-27	Cathryne Seem-Ruggiero	cateseemruggiero@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Elizabeth see	epaint@centurylink.net	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-27	April Dawn	Dawns_designs_3@yahoo.com	Bellingham	WA

2020-10-27	Allan Carlson	acflyer73@gmail.com	Englewood	CO
2020-10-27	Rochelle Gravance	rmg13@hotmail.com	Columbus	MT
2020-10-27	Kendra Ickes	klwomack@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Kelle Sweeney	kelrock_2000@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Michael Peterman	alertboom@yahoo.com	Parker	CO
2020-10-27	Tracy L. Fleming	tlfleming@aol.com	Battle Ground	WA
2020-10-27	Daniel Godshall	daniel.godshall@gmail.com	Colorado Springs	CO
2020-10-27	Joan Donnaway	jpd1952@me.com	Hesperus	CO
2020-10-27	Sandra Curry	sandcurry3@gmail.com	Sequim	WA
2020-10-27	Campbell Houston	campbell80439@gmail.com	Evergreen	CO
2020-10-27	Mel Bell	melbellel9@gmail.com	Amargosa Valley	NV
2020-10-27	Denny Wesche	weschedenny@yahoo.com	Colorado Springs	CO
2020-10-27	Joshua Barza	jbarza1@alumni.jh.edu	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-27	Shelly Davidson	sunseekershel@aol.com	Olympia	WA
2020-10-27	Tracy kanno	bavarianprincessshelga@yahoo.com	Cranston	RI
2020-10-27	Alex Schramm	aschramm295@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Seth Endriss-Dygert	sethendrissdygert@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Richard Campagna	campagnar13@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Brandon Irish	birish@ymail.com	Johnson City	TN
2020-10-27	Barbara Scavezze	barb@scavezze.com	Olympia	WA
2020-10-27	Mark George	Markbgeorge@gmail.com	Ranchester	WY
2020-10-27	Aubrey Noonan	aubrey.noonan@gmail.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-27	Kenny Marks	kenny@westernpilates.net	Gunnison	CO
2020-10-27	George Latta	ghlatta3@comcast.net	Draper	UT
2020-10-27	Marija Minic	killahchik@yahoo.com	Las Vegas	NV
2020-10-27	Jason Fox	jason.gerard.fox@gmail.com	Carson	WA
2020-10-27	Sarah Irwin	sarahi@worldcentric.org	Sebastopol	CA
2020-10-27	Patrick Holm	patrickholm316@gmail.com	Ammon	ID
2020-10-27	Amy Andreas	amyconreyandreas@gmail.com	East Glacier Park	MT
2020-10-27	Cass Stoltzfus	cass.stoltzfus@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-27	Scott Shepherd	scott@scratchstudios.com	Fall City	WA

2020-10-27	Dr. Amy Bellomy	amybellomy1@gmail.com	Santa Barbara	CA
2020-10-27	Mike Dickenson	dickensonmike@yahoo.com	Ashland	OR
2020-10-27	scott Fortner	1scottfortner@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-27	Charles Magee	charles.magee7@gmail.com	Champion	PA
2020-10-27	Frank Harter	frankharter63@gmail.com	Lehi	UT
2020-10-27	Erick Bonar	erickbonar@yahoo.com	Reno	NV
2020-10-27	Ro Vanstrien	zvan030@gmail.com	Warren	NJ
2020-10-27	Steven Augustine	sdaugustine09@gmail.com	Bryson City	NC
2020-10-27	Mallory Belknap	meb365@georgetown.edu	Scottsdale	AZ
2020-10-27	DAVID BUBES	davidbubes@outlook.com	Centennial	CO
2020-10-27	Brandon Ward	guntroll.customs@gmail.com	Lander	WY
2020-10-27	Lauren Cetin	mclauren@vt.edu	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Daniel O'Brien	dgobthunder@hotmail.com	Milton	NY
2020-10-27	Shane Koskinen	shanekoskinen@gmail.com	Arcata	CA
2020-10-27	Renee Thessing	rftuessing@gmail.com	Hood River	OR
2020-10-27	Jonathan West	jwest76@gmail.com	Asheville	NC
2020-10-27	Stephen Motsco	stephenmotsco@gmail.com	Eureka	MT
2020-10-27	Aimee Jacobs	aimee.jacobs18@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	M. O'Brien	Mobrien@compuplus.net	Polson	MT
2020-10-27	Scott Hussey	SDHussey@gmail.com	Walland	TN
2020-10-27	Andy Whilden	andywhilden@gmail.com	Fort Collins	CO
2020-10-27	John K. Johnson	jkj78666@yahoo.com	San Marcos	TX
2020-10-27	Melinda Quick	melindaquick@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	William Mcknight	mcknightpac@hotmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Shaw Hughes	shawhawkeye@gmail.com	Aspen	CO
2020-10-27	William Dudley	emrys7495@gmail.com	Port Townsend	WA
2020-10-27	Matthew Surprenant	mrsurprenant@gmail.com	Woodinville	WA
2020-10-27	Gregory Schwendinger	gregschwendinger@yahoo.com	Austin	TX
2020-10-27	Stephen Tegtmeyer	stegtmeyer13@hotmail.com	Springfield	OR
2020-10-27	Todd Walton	todd@thewaltonworks.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Lucas Blass	lucasbllass@gmail.com	Asheville	NC

2020-10-27	David Luxem	daluxem1@yahoo.com	Burien	WA
2020-10-27	Landon Cucinotta	landon0679@gmail.com	Blackwood	NJ
2020-10-27	Jason Filcman	jfilcman@hotmail.com	Lebanon	OR
2020-10-27	Jeremy Syz	JPSyz@hollandhart.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	Katherine Nelson	nicoeli3@yahoo.com	Kent	WA
2020-10-27	Vanessa Jamison	msvanjam@outlook.com	Marysville	WA
2020-10-27	Jonathan Wiegraffe	jwiegraffe@gmail.com	Florissant	MO
2020-10-27	Lynn Chuba	lynn.chuba@gmail.com	Green Valley Lake	CA
2020-10-27	joey gore	joeyjmangore@gmail.com	Aragon	GA
2020-10-27	Kathleen Lee	kathyjee60@hotmail.com	Lacey	WA
2020-10-27	Jocelyn Lavallee	jocelyn.lavallee@colostate.edu	Fort Collins	CO
2020-10-27	Danika Bevirt	paper.tigre@gmail.com	Eugene	OR
2020-10-27	Ambrose Bittner	ambrose.bittner@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Jennifer Jenkins	mausiii13@hotmail.com	Lakewood	WA
2020-10-27	Earl Olsen	emolsen@whidbey.com	Freeland	WA
2020-10-27	Laurie Gogic	laurie.gogic@frontier.com	Methow	WA
2020-10-27	Nance Epstein	ndheath@hotmail.com	Kirkland	WA
2020-10-27	Jennifer Stewart	Larkspur@mrlic.net	Nederland	CO
2020-10-27	Will Hubbs	william.hubbs2@gmail.com	Maryville	TN
2020-10-27	Lyn du Mont	8a5a4408@opayq.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-27	Rebecca Bosen	beccabosen@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Liat Ankonina	liat.ankonina@yahoo.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Annie Ranjan	a.t.lets goclimb@gmail.com	Charlottesville	VA
2020-10-27	David Caplan	dcaplan@bendcable.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-27	Josh Cooper	coopercustomcarpentry@gmail.com	Hamilton	MT
2020-10-27	Travis Provin	travisprovin@gmail.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	Emily Neal	emilyneal_99@yahoo.com	Monroe	WA
2020-10-27	Jennifer Corrigan	jenalah@netscape.net	Snohomish	WA
2020-10-27	Sam Crook	samantha.crook@ymail.com	Park City	UT
2020-10-27	Victoria Helfer	victoriahelper@yahoo.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-27	Tonya Stiffler	tstiffler@comcast.net	Shoreline	WA

2020-10-27	Michael Montagne	mmontagne83@gmail.com	Bryson City	NC
2020-10-27	Dee Brodigan	flynfares@hotmail.com	Port Orchard	WA
2020-10-27	Fred A Reimers	fredareimers@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-27	Gordon Philip Baldwin	malatestamaquis@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Emily Cunetto	emily.cunetto@gmail.com	Washington	DC
2020-10-27	Lee Haines	rockcod74@aol.com	Auburn	WA
2020-10-27	myra lighthart	myralighthart@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Paul Brooks	oregonian.pjb@gmail.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-27	Patrick Carney	p.t.carney1345@gmail.com	Eaton	CO
2020-10-27	Trent Tullis	trentnt@gmail.com	Lake Stevens	WA
2020-10-27	Brad McQueen	bjmqueen4@gmail.com	Huntsville	UT
2020-10-27	Scott Skidmore	sskid64@gmail.com	Greensboro	NC
2020-10-27	David Hoddinott	hoddinott.david@gmail.com	Rockville	MD
2020-10-27	Rachael Mounts	rntrumbo@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Sierra Bishop	shbishop6@gmail.com	Spokane Valley	WA
2020-10-27	Catherine Taylor	catherine.belme@gmail.com	Moscow	ID
2020-10-27	Melissa Day	melissa@okckayak.com	Garden Valley	ID
2020-10-27	Jason George	jlgeorge08@gmail.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-27	Will Baker	whbaker4@gmail.com	Sammamish	WA
2020-10-27	clifford myers	whitewaterotter@aol.com	Deer Island	OR
2020-10-27	Michael Gosenski	michaelg251@hotmail.com	Cave Junction	OR
2020-10-27	Paige Robins	paigeleeanne@gmail.com	Hood River	OR
2020-10-27	Mikki Fritz	mikaylafritz@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Andrew Christ	andrewpchrist@gmail.com	Southlake	TX
2020-10-27	Teresa Fuster	teresanegele@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Debbie Mahder	missonyxk9@msn.com	Battle Ground	WA
2020-10-27	Cynthia Hobbins	cynhobbins@gmail.com	Cave Junction	OR
2020-10-27	Kathleen Carson	kthcarson@gmail.com	Durango	CO
2020-10-27	Katie Anderson	katherine.jean.anderson@gmail.com	Loveland	CO
2020-10-27	Tracy Ouellette	tracyjouellette@gmail.com	Bow	WA
2020-10-27	Holden Petty	HBPETTY@gmail.com	Bryson City	NC

2020-10-27	Melissa Nicolli	mmnicolli1s@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-27	Meaghan Kennedy	meaghankarakennedy@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Terry Woods	twoodswalker@triad.rr.com	Eden	NC
2020-10-27	Kevin Mack	kmack3225@gmail.com	Colorado Springs	CO
2020-10-27	Brandon Herman	brandon.m.herman@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Ashley Lodmell	ashley@leadersforcleanair.org	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Lee Wenk	lee@lpwenk.net	Bend	OR
2020-10-27	Alyssa Sumner	ajfinnesgard@gmail.com	Sandy	UT
2020-10-27	Kathryn West	katpears91@gmail.com	Post Falls	ID
2020-10-27	Bekah Sanders	rebekahsanders.wa@gmail.com	Lander	WY
2020-10-27	Bekah Sanders	rebekahsanders.wa@gmail.com	Lander	WY
2020-10-27	Emily Van Alyne	oceanminded09@gmail.com	West Richland	WA
2020-10-27	Richard McChrystal	richmcchrystal@gmail.com	Donnelly	ID
2020-10-27	Ann Enderle	ann.enderle@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	William Johnson	william.t.johnson@hotmail.com	Hoover	AL
2020-10-27	Anna Scofield	scofield.anna@gmail.com	Wenatchee	WA
2020-10-27	Kyle Loggins	kjloggins@gmail.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-27	Tiffanie Kung	tiffaniekung@gmail.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	Mark Rasmussen	roody1778@gmail.com	Eden	UT
2020-10-27	Connor Hansell	u0400172@umail.utah.edu	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Mike Seyfried	mseyfriedjr@gmail.com	Boulder City	NV
2020-10-27	Brianna Sullivan	brianna.sullivan406@gmail.com	Billings	MT
2020-10-27	Christina Waters	nina.waters2010@gmail.com	Silverthorne	CO
2020-10-27	Emily Swogger-Reaves	emily_swogger@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Sam Chipkin	schipkin1@gmail.com	Avon	CO
2020-10-27	Julie McFarlane-Platt	julie.platt@frontier.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-27	Demetrius Pampouktsis	demech11@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	bill kinzel	bkinzel99@gmail.com	Spokane Valley	WA
2020-10-27	carmen ruud	RUUDCN@YAHOO.COM	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Hollis Hampton	hollishampton@gmail.com	Steamboat Springs	CO
2020-10-27	Wren McNally	wren.mc@gmail.com	Seattle	WA

2020-10-27	Elizabeth Scully	info@fourcornersguides.com	Mancos	CO
2020-10-27	Malia Collins	maliaanncollins@gmail.com	Bluff	UT
2020-10-27	Julie Alt	juliealt58@gmail.com	Eagle	CO
2020-10-27	Bob Garcia	gbobmary006@gmail.com	Grayslake	IL
2020-10-27	Gloria Wurst	gzwaction@gmail.com	Ogden	UT
2020-10-27	Paul Brower	pbother@comcast.net	Everett	WA
2020-10-27	Sarah Jennings	sarahkjennings93@gmail.com	Belton	TX
2020-10-27	Wes Soward	wsoward@gmail.com	Knoxville	TN
2020-10-27	Andrea Moore	andrea@soulvistayoga.com	The Dalles	OR
2020-10-27	Cheyenne Ohmer	cheyohmer26@gmail.com	Whitefish	MT
2020-10-27	Sherry Singer	singer6970@gmail.com	Anthem	AZ
2020-10-27	steven schaeffer	steven.schaeffer@nau.edu	Flagstaff	AZ
2020-10-27	CHRIS HOEX	Chrishoex83@gmail.com	Sequim	WA
2020-10-27	Daniel Ott	SnowRafter@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Moriya Beck	mbeck.m5160@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Matt Booth	georgiavet@icloud.com	Lyons	CO
2020-10-27	Cory West	fism72@gmail.com	Laramie	WY
2020-10-27	Chloe Gladys	cgindica@gmail.com		
2020-10-27	Colton Eversole	coltongeversole@gmail.com	Friendsville	MD
2020-10-27	Margaret Gildner	margot.sloan19@gmail.com	Moscow	ID
2020-10-27	Frank Haines	skibcfrank@yahoo.com	Fall City	WA
2020-10-27	Emily Whalen	emwhalen93@gmail.com	Pocatello	ID
2020-10-27	Peter Oconnell	pkoconnell@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Gordon Klco	gordon.klco@gmail.com	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-27	Gordon Klco	gordon.klco@gmail.com	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-27	Kaelan Hendrickson	hkaelan@gmail.com	Bellevue	WA
2020-10-27	Stephanie Russell	basketball1156@gmail.com	Fields Landing	CA
2020-10-27	Michael Bolding	mbolding@gmail.com	Avon	CO
2020-10-27	Lindsey Davis	l.browne.davis@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	benjamin graboyes	drskeetrix@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Andy Frazier	tafrazier_dec@yahoo.com	Renton	WA

2020-10-27	Rachel Becker	rachellikescreature@gmail.com	Cameron Park	CA
2020-10-27	Ellen Homola	ehomola@hotmail.com	San Francisco	CA
2020-10-27	Stephen Besch	sbesch@hotmail.com	Highlands Ranch	CO
2020-10-27	Katerina Miskell	katievojtechova@gmail.com	Tehachapi	CA
2020-10-27	Paul Radman	p.radman@yahoo.com	Bellvue	CO
2020-10-27	Emmy Dimitroff	fishingyaak@aol.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-27	Mike Pigg	MPIGG@OUTWARDBOUND.ORG	Golden	CO
2020-10-27	John Stinnett	shuckyall@gmail.com	Madison Heights	VA
2020-10-27	BREYA JASPER	Breya.Jasper@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Gabriella Whittaker	gwhittaker@sandiego.edu	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-27	Breana Pabst	breana.pabst@gmail.com	Great Falls	MT
2020-10-27	Vaughn McDaniel	superv Vaughn@gmail.com	Arvada	CO
2020-10-27	Dylan Walker	chefnfish@gmail.com	Johnstown	CO
2020-10-27	McKenna Heck	mckenna.heck.95@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Anna Maki	anna_maki@live.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Anna Durrans	polo4anna@gmail.com	Heber City	UT
2020-10-27	bill hespe	bill.hespe@gmail.com	Bernardsville	NJ
2020-10-27	Nick Spanier	nickspanier@gmail.com	Oregon City	OR
2020-10-27	Kaylee Andrews	kaylee34@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Jaxson Landrus	jaxson.landrus@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-27	Sean Evangelista	evan@thirtysecondsout.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-27	Katharine Burn	burn.katharine@gmail.com	West Lafayette	IN
2020-10-27	Brian Kratz	brnkratz@sbcglobal.net	Spanaway	WA
2020-10-27	Dee Steele	ccredhead22@gmail.com	Carson City	NV
2020-10-27	Phillip Townsend	felipe24fps@gmail.com	Everett	WA
2020-10-27	Kay Calhoun	k15calhoun@yahoo.com	Sparks	NV
2020-10-27	Ian Tomcho	iantomcho@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Kevin Simpson	kevinscottsimpson@gmail.com	Port Orford	OR
2020-10-27	OLIVIA Almada	oliviarae.almada@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Amber Obert	obert.amber@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Andy Goggins	telegoggins@yahoo.com	Bend	OR

2020-10-27	Andrew Arell	events@cbnordic.org	Crested Butte	CO
2020-10-27	Ashley Nee	hella.ashley@gmail.com	Novato	CA
2020-10-27	Lalitha Chittoor	madhvi4ecoethics@gmail.com	Arvada	CO
2020-10-27	Sarah Lembo	slembo18@gmail.com	Whitefish	MT
2020-10-27	Lauren Bergen	lauren.bergen@gmail.com	San Francisco	CA
2020-10-27	Stephanie Daniels	s.daniels928@gmail.com	Tucson	AZ
2020-10-27	Patti Wermeling	patti.wermeling@colostate.edu	Fort Collins	CO
2020-10-27	Ebba Hooft Toomey	ebbatoomey@gmail.com	Eugene	OR
2020-10-27	Ayden Bolin	ayden.bolin@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Charles Guilbault	wwpaddle@sbcglobal.net	Chico	CA
2020-10-27	SHANE VICKERMAN	shane.j.vickerman@gmail.com	Saint Paul	MN
2020-10-27	Laura Boggess	lboggess@mhu.edu	Mars Hill	NC
2020-10-27	mary claire mccarthy	mclaire7@live.unc.edu	Hendersonville	NC
2020-10-27	Dean Trey Byus	treyb@expeditions.com	Renton	WA
2020-10-27	Cortney Arrington	carrington@nevada.unr.edu	Reno	NV
2020-10-27	David Bell	belldavid333@gmail.com	Reno	NV
2020-10-27	Patricia Pasetta	spideytech@aol.com	Santa Cruz	CA
2020-10-27	Robert Massey	Masseyetal64@gmail.com	Milwaukee	WI
2020-10-27	Mark Glenn	markpglenn@gmail.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	Jordan Rickard	rickard.jordan@gmail.com	Maple Valley	WA
2020-10-27	Abigayle Metcalf	abigaylemetcalf@gmail.com	Superior	CO
2020-10-27	Jordan Mullens	mullja15@alumni.wfu.edu	Jacksonville	FL
2020-10-27	Alyssa Florack	aflorack@aol.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Rien Zabor	rien.zabor@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Linda Vliet	lindavliet1@yahoo.com	Quilcene	WA
2020-10-27	Kristin Remenschneider	Kremenschneider@gmail.com	Holland	MI
2020-10-27	Kyle Riddle	K3riddle@outlook.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Moriah Heaney	moriah15@comcast.net	Asheville	NC
2020-10-27	Madeline Baker	madeline_j_baker@yahoo.com	Post Falls	ID
2020-10-27	Max Ryan	thesendingsamurai@gmail.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-27	Jeff Drawe	jdrawe@mac.com	Meridian	ID

2020-10-27	Dylan Cousins	dylan.cousins@montana.edu	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-27	Candice Cassato	cand47@ool.com	Olympia	WA
2020-10-27	Michele Kratz	mskratz@icloud.com	Spanaway	WA
2020-10-27	Rachel Wood	rcwood88@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	bruce c hochberg	bch1983@gmail.com	Sandy	UT
2020-10-27	Rick Miller	rickmiller3@gmail.com	Wilson	WY
2020-10-27	Frances Dunham	francesdunham@opendoor.com	Ashland	OR
2020-10-27	c clark	dr_carolyn@yahoo.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Sylvia Gray	sylviajanegray@gmail.com	Bellingham	WA
2020-10-27	Cari Cardoni	cardonic@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Logan Jackson	zang345@yahoo.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-27	Carol Shannon	carol.shannon52@gmail.com	Ellensburg	WA
2020-10-27	Matthew Blodgett	blodgettmatt@hotmail.com	Victor	ID
2020-10-27	Kevin Kennedy	kevin_kennedy1011@hotmail.com	Cody	WY
2020-10-27	Rusty Bowman	goneyakn@msn.com	Twin Falls	ID
2020-10-27	Aidan G	agerrity95@gmail.com	Corvallis	OR
2020-10-27	Louisa Orr	louisamae31@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Ty Schoenwald	ty.schoenwald@gmail.com	Richland	WA
2020-10-27	Tailor Dolgin	tailord@mountaineers.org	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Stephen Arnold	arnold.stephen61@yahoo.com	Pocatello	ID
2020-10-27	Andrew Edson	skibum743@gmail.com	Twin Falls	ID
2020-10-27	Fletcher Libre	fletcherlibre@gmail.com	Sandy	UT
2020-10-27	Ben Mors	benmors@gmail.com	Eagle	ID
2020-10-27	Sarah Larson	sc.larson42@gmail.com	Knoxville	TN
2020-10-27	Collin Struble	trapperboy68@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Christian Sanchez	cgsanchez88@gmail.com		
2020-10-27	Emily Lenor	emily.lenor05@gmail.com	Bangor	ME
2020-10-27	Blake Martin	giantsfan9@cableone.net	Pocatello	ID
2020-10-27	Fiona Lovejoy	Fiona.f.lovejoy@gmail.com	Niwot	CO
2020-10-27	Duncan Swersey	i.sk8.better@gmail.com	Jerome	ID
2020-10-27	Joseph Fullerton	jaf1999z@yahoo.com	Half Moon Bay	CA

2020-10-27	Rebecca Warnet	magpie01@camasnet.com	Kamiah	ID
2020-10-27	Tyler Jazo	Tylerjazo1990@gmail.com	Palmer	AK
2020-10-27	Mary Bilbao	maryklancaster@gmail.com	Nampa	ID
2020-10-27	Miranda Anspach	miranda_rivers373@hotmail.com	Genesee	ID
2020-10-27	Preston Lethrud	p.s.lethrud@gmail.com	Everett	WA
2020-10-27	Christopher OGrady	1812marine@gmail.com	Nampa	ID
2020-10-27	Matt Adams	adamsmj89@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-27	Lauren Bally	lx.bally@gmail.com	Palmer	AK
2020-10-27	Chris Youlton	romesds13@gmail.com	Bountiful	UT
2020-10-27	Brad Ludden	brad.ludden@gmail.com	Whitefish	MT
2020-10-27	Alexander Dahm	lowriseseconomy@gmail.com	Richmond	VA
2020-10-27	Susan Lendvay	bill@lendvay.org	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	John Parente	parente.john@gmail.com	East Glacier Park	MT
2020-10-27	John Havens	john@redhavens.co	Grand Junction	CO
2020-10-27	Billy Howard	billyraytrey@gmail.com	Huntsville	AL
2020-10-27	Brett Ratchford	lostinthetriangle2021@gmail.com	Mechanicsville	VA
2020-10-27	Paul Hubbard	pjhubba+salmon@gmail.com	Saint Louis	MO
2020-10-27	Meredith Holland	meredithjholland@gmail.com	Gainesville	VA
2020-10-27	Joey Filmanowicz	joefilman@icloud.com	Lolo	MT
2020-10-27	Zachary Jones	shoothemoon1zj@gmail.com	Moab	UT
2020-10-27	Taylor Cofer	coferth@gmail.com	Bellingham	WA
2020-10-27	Joni G	joniboater@gmail.com	Hood River	OR
2020-10-27	Adam Edwardd	amichaeledwards@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Chris Cox	clcox12@yahoo.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Libby London	libbylondon22@gmail.com	Minneapolis	MN
2020-10-27	Collin Green	cgreen238@gmail.com	Hood River	OR
2020-10-27	Mark Patzer	mark.patzer1@gmail.com	Sacramento	CA
2020-10-27	Aileen Johnsom	ajstaz@gmail.com	Belmar	NJ
2020-10-27	Laurel Williams	lwilliams012@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Todd Anderson	gorgekayaker@gmail.com	Underwood	WA
2020-10-27	Kathleen OMalley	kgomalley@gmail.com	Newport	OR

2020-10-27	Robert Wheaton	rbwheaton3@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Landis Jurd	landis.lcbon@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Abigail Chidlow	achidlow98@aggiemail.usu.edu	Logan	UT
2020-10-27	Christopher Shih	chs820@gmail.com	Short Hills	NJ
2020-10-27	Cody Grimm	cody.grimm@me.com	Salem	OR
2020-10-27	ethan hartley	ethan.hartley302w@icloud.com	Wheeling	WV
2020-10-27	Chris Wing	crwing57@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Emily Maynard	emaynard10@icloud.com	Snowmass Village	CO
2020-10-27	Anita Penuelas	anitapenuelas@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Adam Roberts	adamroberts0307@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Thomas Cooper	thomasccooper77@gmail.com	Steamboat Springs	CO
2020-10-27	John Rezell	johnnieraz@gmail.com	Dallas	OR
2020-10-27	Patricia Martinson	pmartinson@yahoo.com	Billings	MT
2020-10-27	Allyson Brator	abrator9@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Claire Remsberg	claire.rems@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-27	Colleen McDonald	mcdcoll10@gmail.com	Wyoming	PA
2020-10-27	Luigi Haslam	luigihaslaml@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Abby Holcombe	kayakgirlyo5@gmail.com	Sioux Falls	SD
2020-10-27	Adam Fedor	adam.fedor@gmail.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	Elliott Murray	e_emurray@yahoo.com	North Bend	WA
2020-10-27	Shane Katz	shanekatz@gmail.com	Lakewood	CO
2020-10-27	Sean Barovetto	rev-jalisco@hotmail.com	Sun Valley	ID
2020-10-27	Tommy Hilleke	pollyhilleke@comcast.net	Glenwood Springs	CO
2020-10-27	tyler hamilton	tyler_hamilton11@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Sarah Caracciolo	sicaracciolo@gmail.com	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-27	Hollie Leonelli	hollie@cedslc.com	Tooele	UT
2020-10-27	Amy Hale	AHale10@AggieNetwork.com	College Station	TX
2020-10-27	Elina Petersen	elina.petersen@outlook.con		
2020-10-27	Ethan Felt	ethanfelt@yahoo.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Cameron Graves	cagraves510@gmail.com	Millbury	MA

2020-10-27	Jesse Barber	barber.jesse@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Swetha Ramaswamy	rambinderwedding@gmail.com	Arlington	VA
2020-10-27	Jose Farnot	home5@nuvitas.com	Meridian	ID
2020-10-27	Megan Nicola	megan.nicola@tcu.edu	Eagle	ID
2020-10-27	Aj Osborne	austinjosborne@gmail.com	Eagle	ID
2020-10-27	Amy Glover	glove3am@gmail.com	Joshua Tree	CA
2020-10-27	Laura Dooley-White	dooleywhite81@gmail.com	Spokane	WA
2020-10-27	Andrew Spears	alcspears@hotmail.com	Weston	FL
2020-10-27	Scott McJames	scottmcjames@hotmail.com	Park City	UT
2020-10-27	Matthew Nagy	mattnagy94@gmail.com	Cardiff By The Sea	CA
2020-10-27	John Weld	jweld4@gmail.com	Hood River	OR
2020-10-27	Arianna Kosel	ari.kosel@gmail.com	Mount Shasta	CA
2020-10-27	thomas jacobson	jakeofthetown@yahoo.com	Salida	CO
2020-10-27	Jason Hesse	toady.dog@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Sandra Hurlburt	slhurlburt99@gmail.com	Newman Lake	WA
2020-10-27	Christina Barron	christybarron21@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Randall Spears	rmlances@gmail.com	Weston	FL
2020-10-27	Jared Day	dayjared5@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	PATRICK GALLIGAN	pgalligan00@gmail.com	Jefferson	NH
2020-10-27	Ross Klein	rak413@comcast.net	West Glacier	MT
2020-10-27	Sharon Storey	freewoman75@icloud.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-27	Aaron Sease	seaseat7@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Lauri Morris	lauri_morris@hotmail.com	Columbus	GA
2020-10-27	Stephanie Ball	strph.ball07@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Hugh Carey	hcarey@summitdaily.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-27	Garrett Long	badger7458@yahoo.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Adlar Thomas	adlarthomas294@gmail.com	Medford	OR
2020-10-27	Greg Wanta	gregory.wanta@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Jennifer Smith	clamlegs@outlook.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-27	Colin Hall	colinhall@pathcom.com		
2020-10-27	Alex Rutan	jahrutan@gmail.com	Walnut Creek	CA

2020-10-27	Debra Johnston Bacca	debbiebacca@yahoo.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-27	Zach Averill	zach.averill@bdel.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Michael Hughes	hughes.mike.r@gmail.com	Austin	TX
2020-10-27	Ann Owen	annagain3030@gmail.com	Sun City	AZ
2020-10-27	Kiira Antenucci	kantenucci@gmail.com	Tacoma	WA
2020-10-27	Jonathan Davidson	jad448@cornell.edu	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Becky Yzaguirre	byza415@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Jaivi Aalberd	jaiviaalbers@gmail.com		
2020-10-27	John Buck	john.buck.1995@gmail.com	Leavenworth	WA
2020-10-27	Jeff Perkins	few2manyhorses@gmail.com	Lava Hot Springs	ID
2020-10-27	catherine Mooney	catmmooney@gmail.com	Draper	UT
2020-10-27	James Slanetz	jimslanetz@hotmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-27	Andrew Larson	Andrewslarson26@gmail.com	Provo	UT
2020-10-27	Kiev Dixon	kievd@hotmail.com	Meridian	ID
2020-10-27	Eric Matthews	ematthews74@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-27	Rachelle Ballard	rachelle@intothewoodswellness.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Eli Zatz	eli@zatzworks.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-27	Alex Laakmann	alex.jacob.lk@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-27	Adrian Croke	adrian.croke@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-27	Charles Jos Biviano	josbiviano@aol.com	Henrico	VA
2020-10-27	R Kallal	rnbkallal@wavecable.com	Maple Falls	WA
2020-10-27	Samuel Mull	samuel.w.mull@gmail.com	Point Of Rocks	MD
2020-10-27	Corey Riggerbach	corey.riggen@gmail.com	Wadsworth	OH
2020-10-27	jared seiler	jared_seiler@yahoo.com	Wynnewood	PA
2020-10-27	Marc Joeris	mighttower@gmx.de		
2020-10-27	Jared Josefchuk	jaredj1833@gmail.com	Flagstaff	AZ
2020-10-27	Amanda Valenti	AMANDARENEEVALENTI@GMAIL.COM	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Dawn Jones-Pickett	djonesspud@hotmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Luis Carlos Pinzón Riaño	magpinzon@yahoo.com	Camp Verde	AZ
2020-10-27	Justin Wasielewski	jraywaz80@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Anna Greenberg	liger.tily@gmail.com	Eugene	OR

2020-10-27	Jp Carey	jpcarey4@gmail.com	Wilson	WY
2020-10-27	Jes Amacher	jessie7662@gmail.com	Albertville	AL
2020-10-27	Paul Fish	subs.paulf@mountaingear.com	Spokane	WA
2020-10-27	Reed Hutton	reedhutton5@gmail.com	Victor	ID
2020-10-27	Olar Matteo	a.matteo.olar@gmail.com		
2020-10-27	Ryan Bettin	ryan111987@hotmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-27	Anthony Kokinos	akokinos@gmail.com	San Jose	CA
2020-10-27	Walter Dannenhoffer	jmill6542@gmail.com		
2020-10-27	Richard Preuit	ruchard.preuit@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-27	Ruth Olson	ruthierye3@gmail.com	Lees Summit	MO
2020-10-27	Jon Klemer	jklemer28@hotmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Joshua Zimmerly	77zimmerly@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Nate Thomas	natthoma@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-27	Kayce Anderson	kaycelu@gmail.com	Glenwood Springs	CO
2020-10-27	Kevin Packham	KTPACKHAM@GMAIL.COM	San Leandro	CA
2020-10-27	Peter ODonnell	peterodonnell@gmail.com	North Bend	WA
2020-10-27	Rachel Horne	rachel@balhorne.com	Redmond	WA
2020-10-27	David Nassar	dfnssr@gmail.com	Falls Church	VA
2020-10-27	Bianca Imel	buddyb88@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-27	Chris Imel	chrisimel@live.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-27	Peter Zemlock	pzemlock57@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-27	Tomas Wilkening	tomaswilkening@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Emily Boerner	charoglu@hotmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Sam Eurich	sameurich@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-27	Christopher Millar	noiseandlight1@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Jesse Murphy	jessemurphyre@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Jordan Hartman	jthartman720@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-27	Colyn Petty	colynpetty@gmail.com	Bryson City	NC
2020-10-27	Emily Dickerson	emily.dickerson@rocketmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Kai Kirsch	ktkirsch03@gmail.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-27	Martin Kramer	m.kramer99@gmail.com	Flagstaff	AZ

2020-10-27	Théo Meunier	theomeunier57@gmail.com	Dunbar	KY
2020-10-27	Christopher Falcone	chrisfalcone28@yahoo.com	College Station	TX
2020-10-27	Ryan Nelson	ryannelsonlikespizza@gmail.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-27	Lars Reber	larsreber1@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Brennalyn Robinson	brennalyn.sophia@gmail.com	Priest River	ID
2020-10-27	Dana Haines	danafernbach@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-27	Emily Siegel	emilysiegel9921@yahoo.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-27	Nick Kieffer	nick.kieffer@onxmaps.com	Carver	MN
2020-10-27	Ryan Loebach	uofary80@gmail.com	Glenwood Springs	CO
2020-10-27	Lindsey Walters	lindseymwalters91@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Sundar Rajendran MD	srajmd@gmail.com	Colorado Springs	CO
2020-10-27	Nathaniel Hering	okay123@gmail.com	Albany	OR
2020-10-27	Kaitlin Kominsky	kaitlinkominsky08@gmail.com	Laramie	WY
2020-10-27	Justin Jaeger	justinrichardjaeger@gmail.com	Grand Rapids	MI
2020-10-27	Elizabeth Roe	embmessage@gmail.com	Mesa	AZ
2020-10-27	Adrienne Forrester	adrienne.forrester@onxmaps.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-27	Noah Christenson	chri4160@alumni.uidaho.edu	Fort Collins	CO
2020-10-27	Taylor FORSBERG	taylorforsberg@gmail.com	Tucson	AZ
2020-10-27	Ethan Tomlin-Dodd	edodd45@gmail.com	Whitewater	WI
2020-10-27	Ian Staples	istaples9@gmail.com	Chester	VT
2020-10-27	Barbara Crusp	bcrisp@yahoo.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Bradley Garnick	BGARNICK@HOTMAIL.COM	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-27	Phillip Gamache	philgamache@gmail.com	Salida	CO
2020-10-27	Peter Maher	ptmaher86@gmail.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-27	Austin Breuninger	austin.breuninger@gmail.com	Dillon	CO
2020-10-27	Merna Baker Blagg	mern3sons@yahoo.com	Vancouver	WA
2020-10-27	Margaret McClellan	mcmc2010@gmail.com	Asheville	NC
2020-10-27	Karen Wahrmund	txbluegal@yahoo.com	Fruita	CO
2020-10-27	Brianna Dean	brianna.dean@activebrands.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-27	Owen Brennan	owenbrennan10@gmail.com	Boise	ID

2020-10-27	Alicia Halpern	asphalpern@gmail.com	South Lake Tahoe	CA
2020-10-27	Michael moore	mm46x12@gmail.com	Covina	CA
2020-10-27	samantha schmidt	samschmidt406@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-27	Ben Staley	therealbenstaley@gmail.com	Silverthorne	CO
2020-10-27	Justin Shearer	whitestallion44@gmail.com	Garden City	ID
2020-10-27	Mike Stankiewicz	mike@stanksgarage.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-27	Angela Listug-Vap	alistug@comcast.net	Missoula	MT
2020-10-27	Tess Freeman	tessfreeman31@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-27	Jessica Eskelson	eskelsonj@gmail.com	Homestead	MT
2020-10-27	Ashley Liggett	ashleycliggett@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Suzy Weiner	suzyweiner1@gmail.com	Mount Kisco	NY
2020-10-27	wendy gill	wendyglynngill@gmail.com	Decatur	GA
2020-10-27	Blake Anderson	apmblake@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-27	Ben Castleberry	blcberry@gmail.com	Farmington	UT
2020-10-27	Colleen DeYoung	thewindeyranch@gmail.com	Belgrade	MT
2020-10-27	Dave Kelly	dnkelly@sopris.net	Carbondale	CO
2020-10-27	Lee Ellis	ellis51lee@gmail.com	Yakima	WA
2020-10-27	K M	kaitlyn318@msn.com	San Marcos	CA
2020-10-27	Kye Harned	kyeharned.lacrosse@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-27	Dave Elmquist	dgelmqvist@gmail.com	Great Falls	MT
2020-10-27	Katie Crites	katherine.crites@gmail.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-27	Tyson Winner	ty720@yahoo.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Stefan Lungren	slungren@outlook.com	Bellevue	WA
2020-10-27	Sam Birchfield	sbirch124@gmail.com	Cleveland	OH
2020-10-27	Marissa Shearer	shearermarissa24@gmail.com	Idaho Falls	ID
2020-10-27	Lisa Zimmerly	lisatoone79@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Michelle Duskey	mbduskey@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Steve Hazelton	steveh@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Mark Courson	coursonmark609@gmail.com	Houston	TX
2020-10-27	Joel Wafford	wafford11@aol.com	Taylorsville	KY
2020-10-27	Althea Albright	althea.albright@gmail.com	Denver	CO

2020-10-27	Carlyn Anderson	carlyn.a12@gmail.com	Helena	MT
2020-10-27	Miles Sweek	mrsweek@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-27	Leslie Taylor	lmtcreative@gmail.com.com	Phoenix	AZ
2020-10-27	Ben Tjaden	ben.tjaden@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Anna Mckee	annacmckee@hotmail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-27	Erin McKee	erin.grace.mckee@gmail.com	Tacoma	WA
2020-10-27	Kelly Manley	kelly.d.manley@gmail.com	Ogden	UT
2020-10-27	Fanchon Collson	fanchon.collson.li1m@gmail.com	Saint Helens	OR
2020-10-27	Kara Brody	karakmsolo@yahoo.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Adam Ernesti	arenersti@gmail.com	Boring	OR
2020-10-27	Jason wllson	jasonwilson408@gmail.com	Austin	TX
2020-10-27	Nick Schirato	echosong951@hotmail.com	Lexington	SC
2020-10-27	Michael Karp	mrmikekarp@gmail.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-27	Erik Stoermer	erik.stoermer@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Amber Baisden	amberkayemusic@gmail.com	Broomfield	CO
2020-10-27	Tommy Golden	thom.j.golden@gmail.com	Meridian	ID
2020-10-27	Kindra Miiller	kindracarlson@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Lucas Nugent	lnug84@gmail.com	Teton Village	WY
2020-10-27	Richard Baginski	vareb1st@aol.com	Lake Ariel	PA
2020-10-27	Heather Herbeck	hherbeck@yahoo.com	Hood River	OR
2020-10-27	Christian Jordan	cjordan.or@gmail.com	Tualatin	OR
2020-10-27	Huijgens Merleyn	mh0104@laposte.net		
2020-10-27	Tom Shepard	tomshep@gmail.com	Orem	UT
2020-10-27	Ben JOhnson	benjaminallen.johnson@gmail.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-27	Dominik Blair	blair.dominik@gmail.com	Des Plaines	IL
2020-10-27	Melinda Shelkey	Msshelkey@gmail.com	Mesa	AZ
2020-10-27	Gregory Adams	utahgreg7@gmail.com	Park City	UT
2020-10-27	Elijah Evans	mail2squid@gmail.com	Maryville	TN
2020-10-27	Wakako Tashiro	wtashiro@hotmail.com	Renton	WA
2020-10-27	David Bennett	davidbennett@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-27	Lauren McCard	lmccard21@aol.com	Burlington	CT

2020-10-27	Lindsey Shearer	lfmaras@gmail.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-27	Kevin Peterson	godoakinc@yahoo.com	Flagstaff	AZ
2020-10-27	Mark Shearer	mshearer7434@gmail.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-27	Campbell Layman	clayman038@gmail.com	Chesterfield	VA
2020-10-27	Christopher Zozaski	czozaski@gmail.com	Austin	TX
2020-10-27	Tracy Peterson	savetpet@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Gary Beyer	Gebeyer@gmail.com	Eugene	OR
2020-10-27	Bill Maxwell	billmax@compuserve.com	Roswell	GA
2020-10-27	Anita Moore	froggypants02@yahoo.com	Puyallup	WA
2020-10-27	Wendy Fox	wendyfox@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Brent Best	brentwbest@earthlink.net	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	gregory booth	gregaboath@gmail.com	Huntsville	UT
2020-10-27	Samantha McDonnell	samantha.mcdonnell77@gmail.com	Stilwell	KS
2020-10-27	Cristina Brown	richncrisbrown@gmail.com	Meridian	ID
2020-10-27	Callum Brock	callumfrabrock@gmail.com	Hood River	OR
2020-10-27	Ponokaitsoiyaohkomi RidesAtTheDoor	koberatd@gmail.com	Browning	MT
2020-10-27	MICHAEL OMEARA	omearamd@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Casey Brown	caseybrown963@yahoo.com	Truckee	CA
2020-10-27	Will Reimers	Willreimers8888@gmail.com	Garden City	ID
2020-10-27	Sam Ferguson	zgsammy@hotmail.com	Aspen	CO
2020-10-27	Pamela McChrystal	mcchrystals@msn.com	Donnelly	ID
2020-10-27	Jo Howard	jofromidaho@gmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-27	VJ Barre	vdolphinj@yahoo.com	Austin	TX
2020-10-27	Stephen Chase	wildgoose21@gmail.com	Kamuela	HI
2020-10-27	Stan Pitcher	ski.climb.bike@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Noah Hetrick	noahhetrick@gmail.com	Pittsburgh	PA
2020-10-27	Thomas Wiggs	tirasismyride@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	jeff spanke	jspanke@gmail.com	Whitefish	MT
2020-10-27	Ken Curtis	kennymac.curtis@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Donald Benz	donaldpbenz@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Linda Schibler	schibler1@att.net	Springfield	MO

2020-10-27	Alicia Archambault	aliarchambault07@gmail.com	Billings	MT
2020-10-27	Ian Havlick	i.havlick@utah.edu	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	Katherine Roberts	kbrts79@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Greg MacMillan	macmillan.g@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Kristy Turco	kristiturco@yahoo.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-27	Chad Williams	ecwms48@gmail.com	Idaho Falls	ID
2020-10-27	Amanda Bauan	manderspander@yahoo.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-27	Crimson Strong	crimsonstrong@hotmail.com	Renton	WA
2020-10-27	Andrew Kirk	drewkirk1@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-27	LINDA REISS	reissort@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-27	Allani Delis	lanidelis@gmail.com	Heyburn	ID
2020-10-27	Christine Panagos	cpanagospt@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Rachel Helmerichs	rhelmeri@olivet.edu	Moose	WY
2020-10-27	Jack DAVIS	johnphilipdavis@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Jill Bertaina	jillchristine16@gmail.com	Fair Oaks	CA
2020-10-27	Carolyn Kennen	crkennen@yahoo.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-27	Sean Tierney	sptbull28@gmail.com	Flagstaff	AZ
2020-10-27	Kyle Chynoweth	kyle.chynoweth@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-27	Claire Christensen	clairemckc@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-27	Holly Hilgemeier	hh2749@hotmail.com	Driggs	ID
2020-10-27	Erika Vu	erika.t.vu@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-27	Diana Brazzell	dbrazzell@gmail.com	Richland	WA
2020-10-27	Faren Rajkumar	faren.rajkumar@gmail.com	Plantation	FL
2020-10-27	Kristine Asin	kristine@stinalu.com	Garden City	ID
2020-10-27	Chris Reed	creed@honeystinger.con		
2020-10-27	Jake Beren	jakeberen@gmail.com	Crested Butte	CO
2020-10-27	Rashi Sanghavi	rashisanghr@gmail.com	Voorhees	NJ
2020-10-27	Wendy Smith	Wendypenman@gmail.com	Henrico	VA
2020-10-27	Brian Rozyla	Brozyla@yahoo.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-27	Cole Rozyla	rozyla19@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-27	Becky Cross	beckyc6202@att.net	Springfield	MO

2020-10-27	Aaron Rettig	capo@worldclassacademy.com	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-27	Jeff Landers	jlanders951@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Brad Hussey	bradford.hussey@gmail.com	Covington	KY
2020-10-27	Anna Pastusek	Anna@SilverMountain.llc	Fort Worth	TX
2020-10-27	Elise Ray	echilgemeier@gmail.com	Dallas	TX
2020-10-27	Andrew Malo	drewjmalo@gmail.com	Collinsville	IL
2020-10-27	matt davanzo	mattbjh@aol.com	Briarcliff Manor	NY
2020-10-27	Natasha Jain	jazminatashanti@gmail.com	Tewksbury	MA
2020-10-27	Angela Halbruner	thehalbruners@gmail.com	Ewing	NJ
2020-10-27	Holly Goscha	hollygoscha@gmail.com	Glenwood Springs	CO
2020-10-27	Marilyn Seastrand	seastrandswimschool@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Belinda Hartley MD	bhartleymd@gmail.com	Billings	MT
2020-10-27	Graham Stafford	grahamstafford@mac.com	Reno	NV
2020-10-27	Ava Troy	atroy208@gmail.com	Salmon	ID
2020-10-27	James Ball	jball@hamilton.edu	Saugerties	NY
2020-10-27	Jeff Horton	jeffhort@att.net	Rimrock	AZ
2020-10-27	Simon Malk	smalk@accretive-group.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Jo Doherty	jodoherty@me.com	Boston	MA
2020-10-27	Kody Spencer	kspencer802@gmail.com	Bellingham	WA
2020-10-27	Colin Doherty	colindoherty8@gmail.com	Boston	MA
2020-10-27	Sean McDonald	sean@wearebast.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Cole Pietsch	coletpietsch@gmail.com	Carbondale	CO
2020-10-27	Jazmine Rivera	jazmine.rivera.90@gmail.com	Challis	ID
2020-10-27	Josey Willier	kennedyshinee@gmail.com	Lemhi	ID
2020-10-27	Lana Young	lana@lanayoung.com	Hood River	OR
2020-10-27	Brad Normand	normandbrad@gmail.com	Mountain Home	ID
2020-10-27	Will Lyons	lyonswr@gmail.com	Bingen	WA
2020-10-27	Erin Meek	erinraymeek@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-27	John Reusser	johnreusser@hotmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Fallon Born	fbhockey3@yahoo.com	Salmon	ID
2020-10-27	Mary Grace Sponholz	gsponholz42@gmail.com	Lake Geneva	WI

2020-10-27	Aaron Oxenrider	theox26@gmail.com	Dallastown	PA
2020-10-27	Mary Weber	mccweber@gmail.com	Reno	NV
2020-10-27	amelia kohl	ameliakohl14@gmail.com	Laie	HI
2020-10-27	Rio Davidson	riodavidson@gmail.com	Newport	OR
2020-10-27	Sarah Lewis	sarahrebecca.lewis@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Emily Haas	yeehaas@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Maureen D	sailor1383@hotmail.com	Grand Island	NY
2020-10-27	Wendy Jones	wendy_jones12@hotmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Aidan Coey	acoey583@uwsp.edu	Rollingstone	MN
2020-10-27	Summer Ash	855.summer@gmail.com	Meridian	ID
2020-10-27	Joshua Blyth	jblyth70@hotmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Evan Vautour	evanjvautour@gmail.com	Park City	UT
2020-10-27	Katherine Graf	katherinelgraf@gmail.com	Downers Grove	IL
2020-10-27	Ian McClaran	skiinian623@yahoo.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-27	Hannah Lee	triphopshop@gmail.com	Flagstaff	AZ
2020-10-27	Brandi Solace	brandisolace@hotmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-27	Catherine Williford	cathw4@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Wiley Borof	wileythedude@gmail.com	Placerville	CO
2020-10-27	Bill Bogdanoff	bbogdanoff1@gmail.com	Star	ID
2020-10-27	Timothy Hastings	irentit2@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Elizabeth Whistler	bethwhist@yahoo.com	Buffalo	NY
2020-10-27	Tara Copeland	tarakeigley@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Kirk Langford	klang7320@aol.com	Eden	UT
2020-10-27	Sarah Haustveit	Sarahhaustveit@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Drake Bakkum	dbakkum75@gnail.com	Rochester	MN
2020-10-27	Jordan Schaer	jordanschaer@yahoo.com	Mason City	IA
2020-10-27	Kelli Keefe	kelli.keefe@hotmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Laura Bohler	lbohler@icloud.com	Poseyville	IN
2020-10-27	Tyra Shira	ctscholtec@frontiernet.net	Donnelly	ID
2020-10-27	Katie Welborn	jrktwelborn@aol.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-27	Tanner Kadleck	kadleck@gmail.com	East Montpelier	VT

2020-10-27	Andrea Wittekind	dreawitt@yahoo.com	Flagstaff	AZ
2020-10-27	Ron Miller	ronmillerpt@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Jack Barbour	jack00barbour@gmail.com	Mill Valley	CA
2020-10-27	Abraham Copeland	abejcopeland@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Walker Wilson	walkerwilson05@icloud.com	Mountain Brk	AL
2020-10-27	Kate McArthur	kmcarth2@gmu.edu	Fairfax	VA
2020-10-27	Laura Kirk	kirkklk@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-27	Eric Lindahl	eeickeflakdf@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Jesse Becker	jbmtnkayaker@gmail.com	Hood River	OR
2020-10-27	Tai Jacober	taij@sopris.net	Carbondale	CO
2020-10-27	Kourtney Kehr	koke8177@colorado.edu	Longmont	CO
2020-10-27	Brenton Petrillo	brentonpetrillo@gmail.com	Nashville	TN
2020-10-27	Mary Barrett	mlemon29@hotmail.com	Asheville	NC
2020-10-27	Matthew Vanek	mvaneck24@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-27	Amy Yost	amyost@cableone.net	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Mike Voorhees	voorhees.mike@gmail.com	Meridian	ID
2020-10-27	Heather Nichols	miamicane2@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Zack Kresge	zackkresge@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-27	Robert Hadley	roberthadley24@gmail.com	Meridian	ID
2020-10-27	John Mathai	jdmathai@gmail.com	Port Saint Joe	FL
2020-10-27	Avery Clark	averyc1231@hotmail.com	Rigby	ID
2020-10-27	Mary Stewart	marystewart1995@gmail.com	Billings	MT
2020-10-27	Chelsi Bengier	brighteye208@gmail.com	Kuna	ID
2020-10-27	Daniela Aguilera-Titus	dmaguileratitus@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Larry White	lwhiker@gmail.com	Emmett	ID
2020-10-27	Godwin Peck	godwinceck@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Heather Markus	heathermarkusmarkus@yahoo.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	Zander Ulmer	zanderulmer@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-27	Brax Kauffman	johnwick8675@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Corey Sameron	csameron@gmail.com	Oakley	CA
2020-10-27	Breanna McKinley Johnson	breannammarie@gmail.com	San Diego	CA

2020-10-27	Marilyn Malo	lizzie2147@yahoo.com	Tucson	AZ
2020-10-27	Annette Dong	annettedong11@gmail.com	Bellingham	WA
2020-10-27	Alex Sholes	alexsholes@alumni.uidaho.edu	Great Falls	MT
2020-10-27	Jennifer Thoman	jencurtiss@hotmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Scott Hershey	scotthershey@gmail.com	Aspen	CO
2020-10-27	Goldie Kirk	pgoldkirk@gmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-27	Anhwei Kirk	me@anhweikirk.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-27	Starr Shepard	starrshepard@msn.com	Garden City	ID
2020-10-27	Bryan Toston	btoston@live.com	Spokane	WA
2020-10-27	Josh Fairmont	jmjfairmont@gmail.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	Pamela Marie Countryman	pcpamcountry@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-27	Dana Christenson	christenson.dana@gmail.com	Sequim	WA
2020-10-27	John Holmes	jaholmes55@gmail.com	Eagle	ID
2020-10-27	Kathy Herd	kasmitv@yahoo.com	South Lyon	MI
2020-10-27	Magnolia Sinisi	peach.ms22@gmail.com	Durham	ME
2020-10-27	Heidi Mireles	hjaemireles@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Aaron Schifrin	aaron.schifrin@gmail.com	Moultonborough	NH
2020-10-27	Alexandra Betters	sandibetters@yahoo.com	Nederland	CO
2020-10-27	Theo Tannahill	theoft@icloud.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Marlon Collova	gnarmar82@gmail.com	Victor	ID
2020-10-27	Jennifer Knight	yogatreeofboise@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Rachel Kutskill	rkutskill@gmail.com	Pueblo	CO
2020-10-27	Dylan Goetting	dylangoetting@gmail.com	Berkeley	CA
2020-10-27	Savannah Blake	savvyblake021@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Sophie Martin	smartin.red.33@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Tanya Allmaras	tanyaallmaras@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Chris Tonozzi	glenwood.chris@gmail.com	Glenwood Springs	CO
2020-10-27	Julie Dimick	musicisinme2016@gmail.com	Reno	NV
2020-10-27	Sophia Matarrese	sophiamariem0@gmail.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-27	Tanya Rush	rushtanya@gmail.com	Boise	ID

2020-10-27	Colin Kitz	kitz.colin@hotmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-27	Emilie Pomerleau	pomtraine@gmail.com	Pueblo	CO
2020-10-27	Gabriel Ruiz	Gruiz123456789@gmail.com	Honolulu	HI
2020-10-27	Sarah Vuittonet	sarahvuittonet@gmail.com	Meridian	ID
2020-10-27	Mike Garcia	michaelgar6520@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Misty McConnell	mistyspetlodge@gmail.com	Picabo	ID
2020-10-27	William Sheppell Jr	billsheppell@yahoo.com	Fayetteville	WV
2020-10-27	Scott sullivan	hmscottsullivan@gmail.com	Asheville	NC
2020-10-27	alex laursen	ajlaursen@aol.com	Ann Arbor	MI
2020-10-27	Joe Lindquist	joe.akpwr@gmail.com	Eagle River	AK
2020-10-27	Amy Beamon	amy.l.beamon@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-27	Matthew Fineman	matthew.fineman@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Gareth Coad	garethcoad@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-27	Jillian Arnold	jlnarnold@icloud.com	Flossmoor	IL
2020-10-27	Aimee Evans	aimee_evans789@hotmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-27	Alicia Martinez	alimartinez_45@hotmail.com	Pueblo	CO
2020-10-28	David Frank	davidhfrank@mac.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-28	Bruce Hare	bruce.hare@yahoo.com	Franklin	NC
2020-10-28	Scott Hastie	scott_has@hotmail.com	Bellevue	WA
2020-10-28	Dan Jimenez	danojimenez@gmail.com		
2020-10-28	Erik Miller	tellyport@hotmail.com	New Meadows	ID
2020-10-28	Kristen Tripp	kristenannapurna@yahoo.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-28	Brian Larson	rocnoggin@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-28	Christopher Finley	debenbain@gmail.com	Lynnwood	WA
2020-10-28	Maxine Dunkelman	maxdunk@comcast.net	Olympia	WA
2020-10-28	Margaret Graham	magraham4@comcast.net	Seattle	WA
2020-10-28	John Grace	info@amongstit.com	Asheville	NC
2020-10-28	Lisa Kincannon	lisa.kincannon@comcast.net	Boulder	CO
2020-10-28	Maitri Honeyman	maitrih@yahoo.com	Redmond	OR
2020-10-28	Kyle Kraft-Culkin	kyle.kraft.culkin@gmail.com	Ellicott City	MD
2020-10-28	Magali Lequient	magleq@yahoo.com	Park City	UT

2020-10-28	Charles MacLaren	skimac11@gmail.com	Kenmore	WA
2020-10-28	Tallie Casucci	tallie.casucci@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Ryan Delaney	ryandelaney@gmail.com	Arlington	VA
2020-10-28	Andrew Jaquiss	crashpile@yahoo.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-28	David Jaben	jaben_d1@denison.edu	Waynesville	NC
2020-10-28	Daniel Davis	ddavis528@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-28	Chris Roberts	chriis.roberts@gmail.com	Accident	MD
2020-10-28	Barrett Phillips	sterling1986@comcast.net	Tunbridge	VT
2020-10-28	Rich Howard	colrich30@gmail.com	La Verkin	UT
2020-10-28	Jeremy Howlett	jhowlett12@gmail.com	Lehi	UT
2020-10-28	Alyssa Tomevi	alyssa81415@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Richard Styron	cossatot@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-28	Jeffrey Martin	Jlmtrot@aol.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-28	Devon Chalfant	Devonchalfant@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-28	Mira Latoszek	mira.latoszek@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-28	Chason Russell	chasonphoto@gmail.com	Woody Creek	CO
2020-10-28	Justin Michaels	justinmichaels88@gmail.com	Edgewater	CO
2020-10-28	Eric Young	playboat9@msn.com	Glenville	NC
2020-10-28	Topher Smith	captainpanic247@yahoo.com	Reisterstown	MD
2020-10-28	Brooks Whitten	Brookswhitten@gmail.com	Richmond	VA
2020-10-28	Eric Parker	eparker0558@gmail.com	Victor	ID
2020-10-28	Breana Clark	breanaclaire@gmail.com	Eugene	OR
2020-10-28	Kate COUSINEAU	kdc2498@gmail.com	Trout Lake	WA
2020-10-28	Cheryl Jones	cjlasvegas1@gmail.com	Las Vegas	NV
2020-10-28	Elaine Fischer	efischer@workmail.com	Roanoke	VA
2020-10-28	Leah Colatosti	leah.colatosti@gmail.com	Littleton	CO
2020-10-28	Sydney Miller	srm_1@hotmail.com	Arvada	CO
2020-10-28	Gail Myers	gailmyers621@gmail.com	Pleasanton	CA
2020-10-28	Jenine Estlick	Jenine.Estlick@gmail.com	Yakima	WA
2020-10-28	Chris Tilghman	mountainsup@gmail.com	Blue Ridge	GA
2020-10-28	Charles Martin	chuckemartin@gmail.com	Seattle	WA

2020-10-28	Kyle Vickstrom	kyle.vickstrom@gmail.com	Lawrence	KS
2020-10-28	Ellen Atkinson	Jeanne184490@gmail.com	Lynchburg	VA
2020-10-28	Katherine Bennett	kedarter@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Peter Harvey	powpierre@hotmail.com	Reno	NV
2020-10-28	Linda Cockrell	linda.e.cockrell@gmail.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-28	Mitchell Harter	mitchell.harter@gmail.com	Bellingham	WA
2020-10-28	Nicholas Benoit	nick.benoit14@gmail.com	Preston	ID
2020-10-28	Fernando Rodriguez	swedishwakeboarder@yahoo.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Gabrielle Olsen	gabby_j_olsen@hotmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Tobias Corwin	tobiascorwin@hotmail.com	Prescott	AZ
2020-10-28	Brad Hatch	telemark1013@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Emily Shanblatt	emshanblatt@gmail.com	Asheville	NC
2020-10-28	Carl Stapler	wystaplers@hotmail.com	Evanston	WY
2020-10-28	Luke Weinstein	lukeweinstein89@gmail.com	Eugene	OR
2020-10-28	Kyle Buswell	kyleb2@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-28	John Burns	giovanni472000@yahoo.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-28	Thomas French	frenchthomas6@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-28	Joshua Roberts	Kronikdyver@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Sean Chapelle	sdc_91@yahoo.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-28	Joseph Johnson	joeyhjohnson@gmail.com	Asheville	NC
2020-10-28	Cindy Koch	Ck55@verizon.net	Long Beach	CA
2020-10-28	Ryan Colatosti	ryan.c.colatosti@gmail.com	Littleton	CO
2020-10-28	Ryan Colatosti	ryan.c.colatosti@gmail.com	Littleton	CO
2020-10-28	Orion LeCroy	orion.lecroy@gmail.com	Girdwood	AK
2020-10-28	Marcus Rhodenhill	rhodenhill@gmail.com	Nevada City	CA
2020-10-28	John Lynch	jhlynch@pacbell.net	Corte Madera	CA
2020-10-28	Guy Perkins	gaperklake@gmail.com	Reno	NV
2020-10-28	Matthew Ford	mattford@vt.edu	Beaver	OR
2020-10-28	David Andruss	dkruzs@gmail.com	Richland	OR
2020-10-28	Kelly Odell	kellyodell@hotmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-28	Rosie Wuebbels	rwuebbels@yahoo.com	Anacortes	WA

2020-10-28	Mike Feeheley	Mike.feeheley@gmail.com	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-28	Shane Sisco	shane.sisco@gmail.com	Charlotte	NC
2020-10-28	Tekla Bottemiller	Teklamb@gmail.com	Buckley	WA
2020-10-28	Dave Sjogren	drsjogren@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-28	Kevin Golic	kgolic@comcast.net	North Bend	WA
2020-10-28	Caleb Fujimori	caleb.fujimori@gmail.com	Atherton	CA
2020-10-28	Candace Premo	cpremo13@gmail.com	Elmhurst	IL
2020-10-28	Vaden Green	greenv71@gmail.com	Gresham	OR
2020-10-28	Matthew Hassler	hass0237@gmail.com	Northglenn	CO
2020-10-28	Sally Elliott	eselliott@citlink.net	Mccall	ID
2020-10-28	Nathan Bogusz	ntbogusz@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-28	Sergey Lazutin	lazutin@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-28	Paul Forward	paulforward@gmail.com	Girdwood	AK
2020-10-28	Samuel Ortiz	samportiz@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Daisy Kunzler	daisykunzler@gmail.com	Rexburg	ID
2020-10-28	Annalise Wille	Annalise.wille@gmail.com	Flagstaff	AZ
2020-10-28	Gary Thompson	fuzgye@yahoo.com	Glide	OR
2020-10-28	William Becker	will.becker84111@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Mark Hodges	markphodges@yahoo.com	La Verkin	UT
2020-10-28	Chris Bade	bade.chris@yahoo.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-28	Tanya Dias	tanyaad@live.com	White Haven	PA
2020-10-28	Kory Kirchner	korykirchner@utexas.edu	Albuquerque	NM
2020-10-28	Sam Hopkins	hopkinssm@hollins.edu	Ashland	VA
2020-10-28	Liam Kelly	liam@ssasf.org	Seattle	WA
2020-10-28	Steven Bernstorf	drswb1@gmail.com	Greensboro	NC
2020-10-28	M colony	merrycolony@gmail.com	Crestone	CO
2020-10-28	Mark Riegel	mvinwildwood@yahoo.com	Mariposa	CA
2020-10-28	Eric Ramirez	funniboan@gmail.com	Heber City	UT
2020-10-28	Haley G	omwhitewater@gmail.com	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-28	Andy Farquhar	andy.farquhar@comcast.net	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-28	Brittani Marts	bbush2913@gmail.com	Evansville	IN

2020-10-28	Julie Bernstorf	jmbernst@ncsu.edu	Lewisville	NC
2020-10-28	Ethan Nelson	ethannelson2548@gmail.com	Salida	CO
2020-10-28	Harris Montgomery	harrisdog8@gmail.com	Balsam	NC
2020-10-28	Harrison Whitten	harrisonwhitten@gmail.com	Richmond	VA
2020-10-28	DIXIE-MARREE PRICKETT	dixiemarree@hotmail.com	Arden	NC
2020-10-28	Isaac Hull	hull.isaac1@gmail.com	Henrico	VA
2020-10-28	Pete Bellande	pbellande@gmail.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-28	Fiona Stefanik	fiona.stefanik@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-28	Sara Salmon	sara.salmon204@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Laurie Foutty	lfoutty@gmail.com	Coeur D Alene	ID
2020-10-28	Thomas Bjorklund	thomasbjorklund@me.com	Stockton	CA
2020-10-28	Jane Nicolai	jane.nicolai@gmail.com	Vancouver	WA
2020-10-28	Sarah Swanson	smoss@post.harvard.edu	Ann Arbor	MI
2020-10-28	Mareike Delley	mareike.reeb@gmail.com	San Francisco	CA
2020-10-28	Michael Bodrero	michael.bodrero@gmail.com	Hyrum	UT
2020-10-28	Sammy Pitts	sammypitts@gmail.com	Sandpoint	ID
2020-10-28	Susan Shippey	susanshippey@yahoo.com	Garden Valley	ID
2020-10-28	Eli Castleberry	ecastleberry18@gmail.com	Gainesville	GA
2020-10-28	Dalton Hedin	daltonhedin183@gmail.com	Hood River	OR
2020-10-28	Austin Deitz	thedeitz95@gmail.com	Greenville	SC
2020-10-28	preston woods	prestonwoods12@hotmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	James Holmes	holmes_j@rocketmail.com	Arroyo Seco	NM
2020-10-28	Brad Wilson	splitterday@gmail.com	Laramie	WY
2020-10-28	Paul Wailand	wailand@protonmail.com	Chattanooga	TN
2020-10-28	Matt Gerhardt	matt@riversports.com	Durango	CO
2020-10-28	Erin Schrott	ems3029@gmail.com	Lewiston	NY
2020-10-28	Virgene Link-New	linkerwan@yahoo.com	Anacortes	WA
2020-10-28	Sam Brown	samuelderadobrown@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-28	Ciera Johnson	thesmoutshack@gmail.com	Fort Mill	SC
2020-10-28	Kathy Golic	kkfm@comcast.net	North Bend	WA
2020-10-28	Morgan Gratz-Weiser	mgratzweiser@gmail.com	Portland	OR

2020-10-28	Carrie Smith	cacsmith997@gmail.com	North Charleston	SC
2020-10-28	Malcolm Simpson	roadrunnersam@earthlink.net	Las Vegas	NV
2020-10-28	Robert Edmondson	redmondson1@gmail.com	Monroe	NC
2020-10-28	Casey Sande	caseysande@gmail.com	Fruita	CO
2020-10-28	Mikaela Ruland	mikarabians@gmail.com	Broomfield	CO
2020-10-28	Sara Morger	mbd.wrd@gmail.com	Taylorville	UT
2020-10-28	Kalev Wade	kalevwade@gmail.com	Golden	CO
2020-10-28	Kendra Stautz	kendra.stautz@gmail.com	Atlanta	GA
2020-10-28	Brendan Wells	brendan@mountainmind.media	Trout Lake	WA
2020-10-28	Kara McCutchen	kaymccutchen@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	John Cravens	johncravens@risebroadband.net	Loveland	CO
2020-10-28	Rico Alderete	outside@aol.com	Flagstaff	AZ
2020-10-28	Mark Ariniello	mark.ariniello@gmail.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-28	Henry White	hw7915@gmail.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-28	Dan Bates	dj.bates101@gmail.com	Victor	ID
2020-10-28	Cody Pitz	cpitz715@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-28	Allen Klosowski	allen@klosowski.org	Arvada	CO
2020-10-28	Allysa McGill	ajm08@live.com	Crested Butte	CO
2020-10-28	Maddie Kimmel	madeline.kimmel@gmail.com	Cabin John	MD
2020-10-28	Andrew Carmicheal	andycarmicheal@gmail.com	The Dalles	OR
2020-10-28	Breanne Barrett	breannebarrett22@hotmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-28	Donald Lintner	beckyine@gmail.com	Crystal Falls	MI
2020-10-28	Will Wilder	williamfwilder@gmail.com	Denver	CO
2020-10-28	Spencer link	linkspence@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-28	Edward Monrad	edward.monrad@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-28	Matthew Hillman	matthewkyle85@gmail.com	Rigby	ID
2020-10-28	Joanna Gaver	supermamajo@gmail.com	Durango	CO
2020-10-28	Tim Dodge	ttdodge@aol.com	Lindenhurst	IL
2020-10-28	Sarah Lembo	slembo18@gmail.com	Whitefish	MT
2020-10-28	Scott Zerba	s.zerba@comcast.net	Bellingham	WA
2020-10-28	Jonathan Mohr	jon.mohr@my.wheaton.edu	Reston	VA

2020-10-28	Ricky Becker	rickybecker@hotmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-28	Nick Miley	nicholasmiley@gmail.com	South Lake Tahoe	CA
2020-10-28	Todd Harville	stharvil@gmail.com	Lexington	KY
2020-10-28	Amanda Thomas	integrativeapproach@gmail.com	Sandpoint	ID
2020-10-28	Shawn Robertson	shawnrob22@yahoo.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-28	Valeria Narancio	visionenarancio@gmail.com	Washington	DC
2020-10-28	Benjamin Steinberg	tsbagel1@gmail.com	Miami	FL
2020-10-28	Jessica Stoll	jescoyotestudio@gmail.com	Foresthill	CA
2020-10-28	Tighe Satterfield	idahoislander@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Julie French	juliethefrench@hotmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-28	Leif Routman	leifroutman@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-28	Rette Green	miss.marette@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Vanessa Schademan	Vanessa.schademan@hotmail.com	Ellensburg	WA
2020-10-28	hayley harmon	hharmon18@icloud.com	Harrison	OH
2020-10-28	Ryan Baker	rgb37312@gmail.com	Eagle River	AK
2020-10-28	Mikey Muirhead	mmuirhead@icloud.com	Provo	UT
2020-10-28	Will Richmond	skibum93@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Wilson Dunn	wilsondunn1@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-28	Kaitlin Thomas	kra245@hotmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-28	Sofia DeWolfe	sofiadewolfe@gmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-28	Brooks Cowles	bcowles3@gmail.com	Carbondale	CO
2020-10-28	Ted Keyes	teckeyesindustries@gmail.com	Twin Falls	ID
2020-10-28	Catherine Loke	catherine.s.loke@gmail.com	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-28	Sam Mershon	samm1423@yahoo.com	Marietta	GA
2020-10-28	Kassadi Bilski	krbilski@gmail.com	Rathdrum	ID
2020-10-28	Steve Carrico	carolinamudduck@yahoo.com	West End	NC
2020-10-28	Krista Seljaas	kristaseljaas@icloud.com	Ogden	UT
2020-10-28	Bret Golden	golden0bret@gmail.com	West Linn	OR
2020-10-28	Chase Hetler	chasehetler@gmail.com	Wilmette	IL
2020-10-28	Zach McClellan	zmcclellan0429@gmail.com	Ogden	UT
2020-10-28	TYLER WIGHT	TWIGHT25@COMCAST.NET	Jackson	WY

2020-10-28	Lisa Shaffer	lafshaffer@gmail.com	Spokane	WA
2020-10-28	Luke Wilson	luceswilson1@gmail.com	Bishop	CA
2020-10-28	Cathy Freeman	exteach14.cathy@gmail.com	Meridian	ID
2020-10-28	Tavan Karbassi	tkarbassi@gmail.com	Niwot	CO
2020-10-28	Alex Sidorsky	alexpeckjan@gmail.com	New York	NY
2020-10-28	John Hanson	john@hansonimages.com	Leadville	CO
2020-10-28	Keri York	keri_york@yahoo.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-28	Melissa Sunshine	melissa.m.sunshine@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Jesse Naymik	rulwthpunches@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Christine Waters	cw@crissywaters.com	Wilson	WY
2020-10-28	Lindsee Malmstrom	caseysande@gmail.com	Fruita	CO
2020-10-28	Cole Greenberg	cgreen0001@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-28	Moritz Reimann	reimann.mo@gmail.com		
2020-10-28	Rachel Clark	rachellynnclark@live.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Kylie Nulty	kylie.nulty@gmail.com	Tucson	AZ
2020-10-28	Jocelynn Smith	archemedes_6@hotmail.com	Twin Falls	ID
2020-10-28	Wyatt Walters	wyattwalters7@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-28	Nanette Meyers	nanhoos@icloud.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Sarah Leath	sleath@gmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-28	Seth Brown	14sethfootball@gmail.com	Meridian	ID
2020-10-28	Luke Strong	lukerstrong@gmail.com	Port Angeles	WA
2020-10-28	Kelsey Stansberry	kelseystansberry@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-28	Brian Jamieson	briansjamieson@gmail.com	Spokane Valley	WA
2020-10-28	Cedar Bertelsen	cedartree.ski@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-28	Julius Jadasch	julejad@gmail.com		
2020-10-28	Trevor Dowd	trevordowd113@gmail.com	Corvallis	OR
2020-10-28	Kameron Walser	kamwalser1@gmail.com	Central Point	OR
2020-10-28	Kyle Fischler	sirlaughalot555@yahoo.com	Goleta	CA
2020-10-28	Kristine Turner	kristine_turner@alumni.baylor.edu	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Gerd Serrasolses Solà	gerdk1@gmail.com	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-28	Gerd Serrasolses Sola	gerdk1@gmail.com	White Salmon	WA

2020-10-28	Gerd Serrasolses Sola	gerdk1@gmail.com	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-28	Jan Cihlar	cihlajan@gmail.com		
2020-10-28	Jan Weccard	jan.weccard@gmail.com		
2020-10-28	Jibran Humayun	jibbyrox@gmail.com		
2020-10-28	Shawn Stafford	shawnstaffordofficial@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Joshua Kern	jkern85@hotmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Holly Hollar	hollyhollar311@gmail.com	Charlotte	NC
2020-10-28	Loie capeloto	princessloie@cox.net	Hailey	ID
2020-10-28	David Art	davidart56@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-28	Dorina Langer	Dorina.langer@gmail.com	Potomac	MD
2020-10-28	Sarah Lucas	s.r.hackman@gmail.com	Washington	DC
2020-10-28	Millie Fuller	milliefuller@me.com	New Orleans	LA
2020-10-28	Paul van Barneveld	Paulv1951@Gmail.com	Calhan	CO
2020-10-28	Stef woods	bajasur@me.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-28	Michael Trevors	chefrhody@gmail.com	Indianola	WA
2020-10-28	Robyn Smith	rmsgsmith@comcast.net	South Jordan	UT
2020-10-28	robert sweeney	dsweeney@dynamicdish.biz	Clayton	GA
2020-10-28	Sally Mee	mee.sally@gmail.com	Cabin John	MD
2020-10-28	Molly Hendricks	hendricksmolly9@icloud.com	Draper	UT
2020-10-28	Christine White	chwhite86@yahoo.com	Fort Hood	TX
2020-10-28	Jason Overocker	jason.overocker@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Robert Morgan	merseysidefc@yahoo.co.uk	Saint Paul	MN
2020-10-28	Christine Aros	emeraldstar@gmail.com	Star	ID
2020-10-28	Courtland Caldwell	courtlandcaldwell@gmail.com	Roanoke	VA
2020-10-28	Traci Thompson	traci.thompson@hsc.utah.edu	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Nancy Buxton	nanbuxton@gmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-28	Gawain Neighbor	gawain21@live.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-28	Laura Diaz	09diaz@live.com	Nampa	ID
2020-10-28	David Curtis	dcurtis.mft@gmail.com	Centerville	UT
2020-10-28	Jean-Simon Gagnon	sims75@hotmail.com	Bristol	FL
2020-10-28	Kari Weiss	llkanga@yahoo.com	Sandy	UT

2020-10-28	Katy Hicks	telemarkkate@hotmail.com	Victor	ID
2020-10-28	Ingram Crosson	acurioustook@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-28	Maj-Britt Strife	majstrife@gmail.com	Boca Raton	FL
2020-10-28	Frannie Smith	francesandersonsmith@gmail.com	Washington	DC
2020-10-28	Joan Reynolds	begereynolds@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-28	Lindsey McConnell- Soong	lindseymcsoong@gmail.com	Caldwell	ID
2020-10-28	Keyvin Pollington	skivin@hotmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-28	Rebeca Espinosa	rebeca.espinosa16@gmail.com	Coppell	TX
2020-10-28	Adam Leman	adam.leman@gmail.com	Chapel Hill	NC
2020-10-28	Nate Ostis	nate@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-28	Danielle Corrick	Danielle.Corrick@gmail.com	Kyle	TX
2020-10-28	Adele Schopf	aschopf@ovusd.org	Bishop	CA
2020-10-28	Douglas Marshall	elphotogrande@gmail.com	Sandpoint	ID
2020-10-28	Kent McGrew	kent.mcgrew@comcast.net	Frisco	CO
2020-10-28	James Emerson	temerson52@gmail.com	East Bend	NC
2020-10-28	Patty Wilde	wildepatty@hotmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-28	Chancey Floyd	cirquesports@gmail.com	Salmon	ID
2020-10-28	Toni Loyd	tloyd@roadrunner.com	Upland	CA
2020-10-28	Andrea White	atwhite5@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Jim Edwards	jedwards@ucar.edu	Lafayette	CO
2020-10-28	Susan Christian	SusieSquirrel@comcast.net	Denver	CO
2020-10-28	Hailey Barker	haileybarker1389@outlook.com	Ogden	UT
2020-10-28	Cheryl Wailand	CHERGOWA@GMAIL.COM	Lake View	NY
2020-10-28	Bob Hoyman	bobhoy@live.com	Crescent City	CA
2020-10-28	Bob Hoyman	bobhoy@live.com		
2020-10-28	Cory Kerr	mil06_24@msn.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Kimi Eames	kstcks11@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Janelle Stauffer	ellajanellehadley@gmail.com	Nampa	ID
2020-10-28	Alice Sherbow	asherbow@me.com	Carlsbad	CA
2020-10-28	james Hendrick	kichaachui@yahoo.com	Pacifica	CA
2020-10-28	Zach Tower	thekidshepherd@gmail.com	Fullerton	ND

2020-10-28	Ben Macht	bmacht@hotmail.com	Big Sky	MT
2020-10-28	Holly Coleman	hollyjc7@yahoo.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-28	Sean Jordan	seanjjordan@live.com	Eagle River	AK
2020-10-28	Jon Miller	jonmiller0@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Nicholas Demetriades	Dreamscapeboise@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Matteo Olar	a.matteo.olar@gmail.com		
2020-10-28	ma nigu	kozmapatric@gmail.com		
2020-10-28	ma niggu	numelecris@yahoo.com		
2020-10-28	man igu	kozmapatric@gmail.com		
2020-10-28	ping tzungping	kozmapatric@gmail.com		
2020-10-28	Amon Barker	amon.barker@yahoo.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-28	Kyle Haugen	khaugen91@gmail.com	Whitefish	MT
2020-10-28	Cole Moore	coldenmoore@gmail.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-28	Paula Marshall	pmarshall311@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	julia markoff	jbookmarkoff@gmail.com	Dickerson	MD
2020-10-28	Ruth Fuller	fullergrizz@q.com	Pocatello	ID
2020-10-28	Alex Tita	alemtota@gmail.com	Hood River	OR
2020-10-28	Brent Peterson	burtnurdeleman@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Emily Cox	emcox8109@gmail.com	Silver City	NM
2020-10-28	Joshua Bennett	jwb@hey.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Clyde Barre	clyde.barre@texmed.org	Austin	TX
2020-10-28	Jason Bosley	bosleyj@gmail.com	Garden City	ID
2020-10-28	Andrew Morrissey	andyt.morrissey@gmail.com	Trout Lake	WA
2020-10-28	Darcy Anderson	andedarcy12@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-28	Jill Hart	jillk08@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Virginia Clark	virginiaxclark@gmail.com	Oakland	CA
2020-10-28	Drew Lovell	icefieldimages@gmail.com	Twisp	WA
2020-10-28	Ruth Hibarger	ruthhibarger@gmail.com	Weston	MO
2020-10-28	Ryleigh Pesik	ryepesik@gmail.com	Holmen	WI
2020-10-28	Samantha Crone	smcflag17@gmail.com	Flagstaff	AZ
2020-10-28	Caleb Strough	caleb.strough@gmail.com	Mccall	ID

2020-10-28	Tai Koester	tai.koester@gmail.com	Billings	MT
2020-10-28	Rebecca Hurd	rhurd18@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-28	Anne Kim	annekim611@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Hailey Bradley	hailey.bradley24@gmail.com	Colorado Springs	CO
2020-10-28	Brian Guido	guidobrian94@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-28	Michael Connelly	conmaninc@me.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-28	Matej Holub	mates.holub@gmail.com		
2020-10-28	Suzy Moss	suzanna.moss@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-28	Bob Tracy	tracyhouse@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	David Paskett	dnp189@gmail.com	Garden City	ID
2020-10-28	Dan Hohler	danhohler@outlook.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Braden Cook	bradencook91@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Virginia Umbdenstock	debbie_u33498@yahoo.com	New Meadows	ID
2020-10-28	Peter Cueno	pmcueno@gmail.com	Gunnison	CO
2020-10-28	Michael Spinosa	mspinosa@hotmail.com	Meridian	ID
2020-10-28	Frannie Moulton	fran1783@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-28	Aaron Flessner	flessna@hotmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Rachael Schick	raeschick@gmail.com	Bellingham	WA
2020-10-28	Emily Stafford	estafford@une.edu	Saco	ME
2020-10-28	Mahalie Hill	mahalie99@gmail.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-28	Ahren Exeter	ahrenlee@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Sarah Kays	sarah.k.5590@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-28	Cameron Hall	romerider4@aol.com	Huddleston	VA
2020-10-28	Courtney Friehe	ckfriehe@gmail.com	Wallace	ID
2020-10-28	Ned Clark	nedasaurus@gmail.com	Ojai	CA
2020-10-28	Grant Jacobsen	grant_jacobsen@live.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Samantha Allen	allensj2@gmail.com	Redmond	WA
2020-10-28	Paige Fritz	ruthie7270@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Mira LAUTERBACH	miralauterbach@gmail.com	Kent	WA
2020-10-28	Annie Fast	anniefast@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-28	Gina Magro	28junkmail20@gmail.com	Tower Lakes	IL

2020-10-28	Connor Birkeland	birkelandcw@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-28	Robert Heston	roberthestone25@hotmail.com	Denali National Park	AK
2020-10-28	Kevin Geer	kevingeer.design@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Siusan Boyd	shboydvt@gmail.com	Emmett	ID
2020-10-28	Kelly Premo	kpremo13@gmail.com	Elmhurst	IL
2020-10-28	Katelyn Perry	katelynperry17@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Monique Way	lilmomo727@msn.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Kelly Smith	dksmith2000@hotmail.com	Walla Walla	WA
2020-10-28	Jessica Taylor	jess.colorado19@yahoo.com	Fruita	CO
2020-10-28	Sandy Macewan	sandy_macewan@hotmail.co.com	Carr	CO
2020-10-28	Megan Oleson	meganoleson@gmail.com	Bellingham	WA
2020-10-28	Bobbi Wilcox	wilcoxb@live.com	Incline Village	NV
2020-10-28	Kurt Schafsteck	mossykurt@aol.com	Glenfield	NY
2020-10-28	Cassandra Cannon	cassiecannon66@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Mohit Kaura	mohit.kaura@yahoo.com	Long Beach	CA
2020-10-28	Frank McMahon	blast393@gmail.com	Durham	NC
2020-10-28	Kenyon Bethke	kb18682@ns.wasatch.edu	Heber City	UT
2020-10-28	Jamie Zolber	jamie@bogusbasin.org	Garden City	ID
2020-10-28	Carmen Benhameda	benhamedac@gmail.com	Indianapolis	IN
2020-10-28	Brian Brost	bmbrost@hotmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-28	Andrew Brombach	andrew.brombach@gmail.com	Olympia	WA
2020-10-28	Erin Clancey	clanceyerin@gmail.com	Troy	ID
2020-10-28	Michael Simms	dontlift@gmail.com	Loveland	CO
2020-10-28	Walker Chancellor	walker.chancellor@gmail.com	Flagstaff	AZ
2020-10-28	Christopher Colburn	cscolburn@live.com	Middleton	ID
2020-10-28	Nickolas Birdseye	nick.birdseye@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-28	Kaylin McLaughlin	kaylinmc17@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-28	Ashley Skains	askains@bellsouth.net	Starkville	MS
2020-10-28	Rita Keil	rita.s.keil@gmail.com	Mammoth Lakes	CA
2020-10-28	Aaron Coates	aaronthomascoates@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-28	Walter Colburn	colbuwa@aol.com	Middleton	ID

2020-10-28	Blake Sommers	blakesommers77@gmail.com	Spokane	WA
2020-10-28	Jimmy Wright	jimmylloydwright@icloud.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-28	Matk ARMISTEAD	mark@ocoee.com	Copperhill	TN
2020-10-28	Emily Thilo	emilyjthilo@gmail.com	New York	NY
2020-10-28	Brad Maddock	bradly.maddock@gmail.com	Helena	MT
2020-10-28	Gabriela Llorc Jones	gadela@gmail.com	Hopewell	NJ
2020-10-28	Shannon Deist	d5skiers@msn.com	Idaho Falls	ID
2020-10-28	Lee Leroux	leemcquillan@yahoo.com	Austin	TX
2020-10-28	Brandon Newman	brandon.newm@gmail.com	Sparks	NV
2020-10-28	Jackson Norberg	norby2121@gmail.com	Englewood	CO
2020-10-28	Aisa López-Arenas	lopezarenasaisa@gmail.com		
2020-10-28	Brody Gordon	gordonbw9@gmail.com	Garner	NC
2020-10-28	Damon Nelson	d@senseworldcafe.com	Rexburg	ID
2020-10-28	Silas Mentzer	silas51@yahoo.com	Eugene	OR
2020-10-28	Valentin Gutierrez	valentinguti96@gmail.com	Avon	CO
2020-10-28	Elaine Lazalde	esltexas9@gmail.com	Atascosa	TX
2020-10-28	Braeden Taylor	braedentaylor@gmail.com	Minneapolis	MN
2020-10-28	Megan Chambers	megannchambers94@gmail.com	Mayville	NY
2020-10-28	Sidney Bateman	sjbateman@gmail.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-28	Kelly Richmond	kelly@idahoaccountingservices.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Nico Carpignano	nicocarpignano@gmail.com	San Diego	CA
2020-10-28	Angus MacDonald	amacd86@gmail.com	Portsmouth	NH
2020-10-28	Adrian Flepp	adidope420@gmail.com		
2020-10-28	Stephanie Herman	sh959209@ohio.edu	Cleveland	OH
2020-10-28	Pamela Tucker	pamela.tucker10@yahoo.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Natalie Kozlowski	nataliekozlowski3@gmail.com	Eugene	OR
2020-10-28	Vanessa Browning	happy_ness2u@yahoo.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Matias Lopez	matikayak1@gmail.com		
2020-10-28	Alison Peterson	alisonpeterson65@yahoo.com	Newburyport	MA
2020-10-28	Judy Hurd	judyhurd95@gmail.com	Menomonie	WI
2020-10-28	Samantha Skowronek	saskowronek@yahoo.com	Charlotte	NC

2020-10-28	Joshua Cooke	riverrunner1980@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-28	William DeWolfe	wdewolfe42@gmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-28	Alicia Casas	aliciacasas7@gmail.com	Scott Depot	WV
2020-10-28	Sophia Jensen	sophiajensen@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-28	Kathryn Glaessner	crimsonsagenursery@gmail.com	Orleans	CA
2020-10-28	Christian Garcia	christianalejandro07@gmail.com	Caldwell	ID
2020-10-28	Alison Riley	alisonelizabethriley@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-28	Alyssa Benally	alyssa_benally45@yahoo.com	Albuquerque	NM
2020-10-28	Jayanne McLaughlin	caribevoage@hotmail.com	Fajardo	PR
2020-10-28	Brian Butcher	brian.butcher.91@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-28	Nick Shockney	nrs shock@comcast.net	Westminster	MD
2020-10-28	Zachary Shaub	zjshaub@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Sean Vierling	seanvierling@gmail.com	San Diego	CA
2020-10-28	Joseph Van Eeckhout	jvaneeckhout@gmail.com	Vashon	WA
2020-10-28	Christopher Decker	cdecker40@gmail.com	Lolo	MT
2020-10-28	Eve Preucil	evepreucil@gmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-28	Michael Wessler	mewessler90@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Shauna North	shaunanorth@msn.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Jessica Ransom	ransomjm@gmail.com	Salida	CO
2020-10-28	Jon Snider	jonfsnider@gmail.com	Colorado Springs	CO
2020-10-28	Aaron Johnson	allwateraj@yahoo.com	Aptos	CA
2020-10-28	Raquel Cloyed	raquelrenee@hotmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Phil White	bearvalleyraft@gmail.com	Banks	ID
2020-10-28	Chris Houston	southeast.c.boat@gmail.com	Asheville	NC
2020-10-28	Ben Miller	benmillergd@me.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-28	Tisa Djahangiri	tisa@jhalliance.org	Jackson	WY
2020-10-28	Benjamin Manzano	ben.manzano2009@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-28	Miles Yazzolino	yazzo jazz@yahoo.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-28	Maddie Johnson	madeleinejohnson@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-28	Ashley Hermanowski	ashlerherman@gmail.com	Wilson	WY
2020-10-28	Jason Fike	jfike8@yahoo.com	Jackson	WY

2020-10-28	Ethan Williams	ethanjohnw10@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Ashley Kelly	ashleytinkelly@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Eric Mount	emount3@gmail.com	Portland	OR
2020-10-28	Ingrid Buiceag-Arama	ibuiceag6@gmail.com	Sartell	MN
2020-10-28	Alex La Rotta	alexrlarotta@gmail.com	Atlanta	GA
2020-10-28	Max Pelosi	max@jacksonholekayak.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-28	Cory Smith	cory@idarado.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-28	Hannah Pohlmann	hannah.pohlmann@gmail.com	Charlotte	NC
2020-10-28	Jennifer Pascoe	moving.n.shaking@gmail.com	Jerome	ID
2020-10-28	Kaci Pomeroy	kap1056@plymouth.edu	Plymouth	NH
2020-10-28	Ian Harkins	ianharkins@bellsouth.net	Atlanta	GA
2020-10-28	Trevor Kazen	kazentrevor@yahoo.com	Arlington	WA
2020-10-28	Kristen Anderson	kristendebra@outlook.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Daniel Pond	dpond001@gmail.com	Garden City	ID
2020-10-28	Cammy Stukel	cameron.stukel@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Virginia Smith	leviwithsilvercreek@gmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-28	Thomas Reisinger	tommy.reisinger45@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-28	Trinity Hadam	trinityhadam@gmail.com	Bellevue	ID
2020-10-28	James OBrien	james.boyne03@gmail.com	Boyne City	MI
2020-10-28	Leena Chawla	lchawla@middlebury.edu	Austin	TX
2020-10-28	Cade Greenfield	cadegreenfield@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Reuben Alter	reubenjalter@gmail.com	Berkeley	CA
2020-10-28	Lora Kennedy	jhok1622@me.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-28	Kristian DeWolfe	kristiandewolfe@gmail.com	Underwood	WA
2020-10-28	Minam Cravens	minamcravens@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Harrison Clegg	harrison.clegg@myjerometigers.org	Jerome	ID
2020-10-28	Naomi Schware	nschware@gmail.com	Boulder	CO
2020-10-28	Conner Nelson	connernelson1999@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Kiera Yap	yapk99@gmail.com	Kailua	HI
2020-10-28	Kiera Yap	yap9509@pacificu.edu	Forest Grove	OR
2020-10-28	Aubrey Romero	aubreyromero88@gmail.com	Forest Grove	OR

2020-10-28	David Young	indaydream@gmail.com	Austin	TX
2020-10-28	Darren Johnson	darrenjohnson3@gmail.com	Kensington	MD
2020-10-28	Emily Meredith	emeredith21@gmail.com	Weaverville	CA
2020-10-28	Katie Chapman	chapgka@gmail.com	Flagstaff	AZ
2020-10-28	Margot Truini	truinibills@gmail.com	Flagstaff	AZ
2020-10-28	Robin Christy	rechristy@comcast.net	Mercer Island	WA
2020-10-28	Rebecca Kelly	beckyfkelly@gmail.com	Arvada	CO
2020-10-28	Daniel Krist	DANIELKRIST01@GMAIL.COM	Hood River	OR
2020-10-28	Lindsey Jefferies	s.l.hazelwood@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Julie Macdonald	juliedmacd@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Kiley Eversole	eversolekiley@gmail.com	Plainwell	MI
2020-10-28	Dustin Stoenner	dustinstoenner@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Mark Bryson	jmarkbryson@gmail.com	Bedford	WY
2020-10-28	Caroline Personette	moto12girl@hotmail.com	Driggs	ID
2020-10-28	Clayton Gottler	claytongottler@gmail.com	Driggs	ID
2020-10-28	Shannon Hahn	fortenbery@uwalumni.com	Driggs	ID
2020-10-28	Dan Butters	dan.butters@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-28	Emily Jamison	emilyjamison8292@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Megan Lucas	meglucas10@gmail.com	Gunnison	CO
2020-10-28	Dominick Guliuzza	dom@aspenflat.com	Mccall	ID
2020-10-28	Tyler Barton	bartont@byui.edu	Rexburg	ID
2020-10-28	Karl Kassler	tobaccovalleyranch@gmail.com	Eureka	MT
2020-10-28	Julia DiGrazia	digrazia.julia@gmail.com	Meridian	ID
2020-10-28	Brian Kidd	brian@delawarepaddlesports.com	Lewes	DE
2020-10-28	Spencer Huff	spenchuff@gmail.com	Langlois	OR
2020-10-28	Allyson Maynard	allysonvgalloway@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Jeff Bryson	jeff.tami.bryson@gmail.com	Centerville	UT
2020-10-28	Noah Bigelow	bigelownoah@gmail.com	Jackson	WY
2020-10-28	Jackson Higgins	jacksonhiggins12@hotmail.com	Vail	CO
2020-10-28	Anna Hopper	amiche807@gmail.com	Truckee	CA
2020-10-28	Sam Stone	kayakguidesam@gmail.com	Newcastle	ME

2020-10-28	Samantha Groeger	sm.groeger@yahoo.com	Gilbert	AZ
2020-10-28	Madeline Lima	madelinel.lima@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Evathea Drougas	drougaseva@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-28	Robert Raupp	robert.raupp@icloud.com	Sauk Rapids	MN
2020-10-28	Jake Warzon	clawedfrog617@comcast.net	Newman Lake	WA
2020-10-28	Michael Hellstern	authenticreplica@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-28	Jake Scannell	jakescannell@yahoo.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Steven Stroud	telestroud@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Paul McDougall	paul.mcdougall6@yahoo.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Jennifer Stroud	jstroudx1@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Dylan Wile	dylan.wile@utah.edu	Portland	OR
2020-10-28	Lukas Mcdougall	lukasmcdougall@yahoo.com	Eugene	OR
2020-10-28	Daniel Capone	dcapone70@gmail.com	Arden	NC
2020-10-28	Kim Mazik	kmazik@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-28	Tori Allmaras	tori_all@hotmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Hayley Niehaus	hniehaus75@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-28	Courtney Wilkins	courtwillkins@gmail.com	Issaquah	WA
2020-10-28	Chris Vaday	chrisv@dakine.com	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-28	Robert Jaarsma	wljrsm1@gmail.com	Cornish	NH
2020-10-28	Geoffrey Fast	geoffrey.fast@gmail.com	North Fork	ID
2020-10-28	Valentin Flores	vflores0568@gmail.com	Kuna	ID

Form Plus and Comment Letters:

Date Submitted	Subject	Comment	Contact
----------------	---------	---------	---------

2020-10-07	Letter with comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The South Fork Salmon is quite possibly my favorite whitewater run in North America. Protecting its water quality and value as a healthy river with exceptional recreation quality is very important to me. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources across Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Evan Stafford, 516 Stover St, Fort Collins, CO, 80524-3123, evan@americanwhitewater.org
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-07	Please rethink mining in the South Salmon drainage	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I paddle in that drainage every Spring. I would hate to see it changed or damaged due to mining. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Josh Reinhart, 485 N 500 W, Heber City, UT, 84032-1478, jc_reinhart@yahoo.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-07	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. Furthermore, as someone who has paddled this river I can personally attest to the truly outstanding natural beauty of this place. I understand that there is a legitimate need for resource extraction, but this is unequivocally not it. In addition to the guaranteed dire environmental impact to this river and watershed, all mines financially play out leaving the taxpayers once again to foot the bill of restoring this river, twice! Economically it has been shown time and again that there is far greater benefit in having a sustainable industry based around outdoor recreation, which already exists in this region. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Matt Goodwin, 2011 Evening Star Ln, Bellingham, WA, 98229-4163, matthew.m.goodwin@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-07	Comments on DEIS for Stibnite Mine on S Fork Salmon River	<p>I am a person who loves and values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current state and wants to make sure they are not damaged or used anymore than they already are. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect the Salmon that are federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your time and consideration.</p>	Loren Cognetti, 5 Kelly Rd, Salmon, ID, 83467-5128, lopaddlefund@gmail.com
2020-10-07	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Last week I paddled in the Salmon River watershed for seven days. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Frank McCann, 153 Livingston Ave, Missoula, MT, 59801-8103, frankjmccann@yahoo.com

2020-10-07	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The affects of a mine upstream of this watershed will have dramatic affects on the fish, wildlife, and recreational well-being of this river with literal downstream impacts. This is a special river that deserves the protection of the forest service.	Paul Kelly, 9312 Ridge Rd, Arvada, CO, 80002-2937, paulmkellyco@gmail.com
2020-10-07	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I have spent time on the South Fork Salmon and hope to continue with pristine conditions. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.	Tom Sutton, 27431 172nd Ave SE, Covington, WA, 98042-7379, tastravel@yahoo.com
2020-10-07	A citizen's thoughts on the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I have been visiting the South Fork of the Salmon River and vicinity for over 20 years. It represents one of the last truly wild places in the lower 48 and holds both remarkable whitewater recreational activities and wildlife habitat (specifically fish), both resources that I highly value. Each year I spend a significant amount of money in the local economy in pursuit of these resources. It is clear that apart from Alternative 5, all other alternatives would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. I urge you to choose the long term sustainability of this ecosystem over the short term gains of this potentially destructive mining operation. It is worth noting that I understand the need for domestic mining operations, this risk to this particular watershed is just too great.	Michael Giamellaro, 2324 NW Lemhi Pass Dr, Bend, OR, 97703-6782, giamellaro@gmail.com

2020-10-07	Feedback on the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. I do not anticipate visiting this section of River if this project is approved. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of the proposed mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Tyson Glover, 402 S 970 E, Logan, UT, 84321-5867, tyson.glover@aggiemail.usu.edu
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-07</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project DEIS....</p>	<p>If there was ever a horrible place for a gold mine, here it is. Surrounded by hundreds of miles of undeveloped, mostly untouched country. Way high in the water shed so if it there is (inevitable), the whole thing goes down the shitter. I personally raft the Southfork of the Salmon every year. It is the best whitewater run in the state. More demanding than the big 4 and a sense of solitude such as you can not find on any river anywhere else in Idaho. Please draw the line by doing everything in your power to send these money grubbers back to Canada. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Mike Carr, 1840 N Ballantyne Ln, Eagle, ID, 83616-3734, mikecarr@boiserelocation.com</p>
<p>2020-10-07</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The South Fork of the Salmon contains incredibly pristine and challenging whitewater that I traveled to Idaho all the way from Arkansas to experience this past summer. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. The Forest Service should protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River. This river system is important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it and the project would negatively impact all of the above. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Best, David Vandergriff Member, Arkansas Pollution Control & Ecology Commission (the views expressed in this comment are my own)</p>	<p>David Vandergriff, 7216 Rockwood Rd, Little Rock, AR, 72207-1710, dbv@qgtlaw.com</p>

<p>2020-10-07</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. I've guided on Clear Creek, in Colorado, which hasn't seen active mining since the early 1900's. Even though its been nearly 100 years, there are still major impacts to the creek, its habitability for fish, and the quality of the whitewater that did not go away when the mines closed. The Stibnite Gold Project will have a huge impact on the South Fork, and at the end of the day, I would love to someday come up and guide in the amazing scenery and whitewater that's found today on the South Fork.</p>	<p>Reed Flentge, 411 Meadow Ln, Glenwood Springs, CO, 81601-9223, reedflentge@mymail.mines.edu</p>
<p>2020-10-07</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Hello, My name is Fritz Horst and I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. As someone who has had the privilege to float and spend time on this river, I cannot understate how unique, beautiful, and Important this place is and how it can never be replaced. I am writing as a river runner and someone who cherishes not only rivers, but all of our public lands. These places, ones we have left largely in there natural state are one of our country's biggest assets and we must protect them. I have had the privilege to spend time on numerous other 'wild and scenic' rivers, a designation the South Fork does not have, and this river corridor is as wild and scenic as anything I've seen. This watershed is a precious resource, not only for whitewater, but for fisheries and for the beauty that wild lands bring to our state, our country, and our world. This place is precious and should not be treated as less, don't let it be used to harvest resources, let it be what it naturally is, a breathtaking wilderness river. Thank you, Fritz Horst</p>	<p>Fritz Horst, 710 Ace Of Diamonds Blvd, Stanley, ID, 83278, fchordt1@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-07	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS - comments from river user, please stop this project.	<p>I am an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am a former Idaho resident, and a frequent user of the drainages of the East Fork of the South Fork of the Salmon, the South Fork of the Salmon, and the Middle and Main Forks of the Salmon River. I cherish my time kayaking, fishing, camping and rafting with my young family in these beautiful areas. The facts show that the Stibnite mine threatens all of these areas, in particular the East and South Forks which drain into the Main Salmon. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Daniel Abraham, 170 Aspen Dr, Jackson, WY, 83001, dmabraham@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-08	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. I appreciate your consideration.</p>	Mike Lee, 3600 Seymour Ave, West Sacramento, CA, 95691-5413, mleeaia@jps.net
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-08</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>The South Fork of the Salmon is one of the most beautiful and challenging multiday self-supports in the United States. As a kayaker, I try to experience this place every year. No large mining projects have ever not polluted local watersheds. How is this different and how would that not violate the Federal Clean Water Act? As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jonathan Shelby, 6695 Stine Hill Rd, Cashmere, WA, 98815-9574, whitewater.fraggle@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-08</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I have made several trips to Idaho's South Fork Salmon river and feel that it is one of the most spectacular drainages in Idaho and maybe the entire Western US. Because it has such a wild feel, with minimal human impact, but accessible, it is a rare find. Visiting this water shed is the only reason I visit Idaho these days. I am a white water kayaker, biker and hiker who enjoys wild places which is why I'm expressing my concern. I support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft EIS. It appears that it is the only alternative that doesn't compromise the river in some significant way. Isn't it time to take a longer run perspective and protect these places for future generations? We have only been exploiting the west's resources for that last 200 years, which is a blink of an eye in human history, and yet we are already running out of unspoiled river drainages. The SF Salmon has already been exploited enough and has gone back to a wild feel. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Graeme Plant, 111 Haswell Ct, Auburn, CA, 95603-4411, graeme.plant@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-08</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As someone who frequently visits the many spectacular Whitewater rivers in Idaho and highly values their wild nature, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Becca Bredehoft, 2701 Chuckwagon Ln, Emmett, ID, 83617-9112, R.H.Bredehoft@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-08</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am a Metallurgical Engineer and my livelihood depends on mining, nevertheless I oppose the Gold Project on the South Fork of the Salmon. I feel like there must be a balance between conservation and industry, and in this case, in an amazing river corridor that joins a wild and scenic river and is adjacent a wilderness, that we should push the scale in favor of conservation. I realize that industry is vital to state income and well being, but recreation is a more sustainable source of that income, without the potential environmental consequences. We are currently looking for property to buy in the McCall area, for the very reason of highly available recreation on the rivers in the area. That is economic impact that should also be accounted for on the side of conservation.</p>	<p>Michael Hales, 2068 E Wilmington Ave, Salt Lake City, UT, 84109-1105, mike@muse-software.com</p>

2020-10-08	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I have navigated the South Fork Salmon by kayak once, the Main Salmon several times, and I hope to do so again in my lifetime. More importantly, I want my children and future generations of people to have the opportunity to experience these pristine rivers in their current form--certainly not in a more polluted, toxic form. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Ambrose Tuscano, 53964 Sherritt Ln, Soda Springs, CA, 95728, amtuscano@yahoo.com</p>
------------	--	---	---

<p>2020-10-08</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Let's keep this resource pristine for future generations of fish and humans. It's worth so much more than gold as is!!</p>	<p>Andrew Abdelnour, 17509 W 62nd Pl, Arvada, CO, 80403-7452, abdelnou@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-08</p>	<p>Prevent the Stibnite Gold Project from going through</p>	<p>As someone who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Matt Stine Oregon, Washington and Idaho kayaker</p>	<p>Matt Stine, 3962 SE Oak St, Portland, OR, 97214-2028, treetix@yahoo.com</p>

2020-10-08	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. I spent three days paddling down the South Fork Salmon River this summer, and saw several sensitive habitats that could be adversely affected by impacts from the proposed mine. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jack Henderson, PO Box 1242, Pisgah Forest, NC, 28768-1242, hendersonjc3@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-08	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>My name is Jacob Crist and I'm from Twin Falls, ID. As a local Idaho kayaker and guide, I hold high values for the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. This river is not only important to me, but countless others. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Jacob Crist</p>	Jacob Crist, 419 S Asbury St, Moscow, ID, 83843-2248, jacobcrist24@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-08	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," but am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. I have had two opportunities to kayak the South Fork of the Salmon River, and on both occasions was stunned by the watershed's remarkable water quality, rugged landscape and world-class whitewater. There are few opportunities in river running that hold a candle to the South Fork. This river is a treasure for recreational users like myself, but of critical importance is the deep place it holds in the history of its ancestral inhabitants, including the Shoshone, Nez Perce, Bannock and Paiute tribes. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Elizabeth Tobey, 404 Burlington Avenue Missoula Mt, Missoula, MT, 59801, etobey6@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-08</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Dear Forest Service Administrators, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The South Fork Salmon is a pristine watershed of unparalleled quality. The mining project will irreparably harm the habitat, wildlife, wilderness character, water quality and will compromise a world class whitewater kayaking destination. Expert kayakers from around the world value Idaho's high quality whitewater, rugged wilderness, and pristine ecological conditions. There are many options world wide for gold to be mined in less sensitive areas. There is only one South Fork Salmon, and it would be a travesty to allow narrow private mining interests to profit at the expense of the American citizens who prize the rugged wilderness that only Idaho and the South Fork Salmon provide. Our wise leaders signed the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act to protect some of America's most pristine natural resources from development and destruction, and we must continue the legacy of stewardship to preserve the rapidly diminishing global resource of wilderness river watersheds. We must look past the short term financial gain for the few private interests and preserve our most precious resources for generations to come. I urge you to choose Alternative 5. Concerned citizens who value our natural resources are counting on our Forest Service Administrators to honor their duty to protect and preserve our land and our rivers. Please do not allow a mining company to irreparable harm OUR land. Sincerely, Ian Foley</p>	<p>Ian Foley, 9355 E Center Ave, Denver, CO, 80247-1225, ianfoleyiii@hotmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-08</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and river user who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Nicholas Filla, 190 Aidan Rd, Eagle, CO, 81631, ncfilla@yahoo.com</p>

2020-10-08	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I fulfilled a 30-year goal this summer, to kayak the South Fork of the Salmon River in Idaho. It is challenging, beautiful, and remote, and lived up to its reputation. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I do not represent a "special interest group," I am a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world will travel to Idaho to visit forever. A gold mine, by contrast, will produce a few decades of money for a tiny special interest group and a few dozen miners and leave a perpetually trashed environment in its wake. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Ken Ransford, 132 Midland Ave, Basalt, CO, 81621-8354, ken@kenransford.com
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-08</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>We just returned from a spectacular river trip down the Main Salmon. My family (18 of us on this trip, three generations) had an amazing journey down one of the least polluted, most un-impaired rivers in the United States. Of course, we pass the confluence of the Southfork about half way down this 7 day trip, and the water quality and clarity were both remarkable coming out of this stream. Additionally, we were treated to the spectacle of a spawned out salmon in the shallows. Obviously both rivers (the Salmon and The South Fork) have been impacted in many ways by development in the watersheds, and there are reminders of this throughout the watershed, but the proposed mining/cyanide leaching operation is potential impact on an entirely different scale, and potentially deadly to the life in the river. It's not a risk we should take. This is an exceptional resource, which reminds us of the importance of wilderness, free flowing streams, and pristine water, both for the ecosystems and for the wellness of humans. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. No amount of short term gain will justify the potential impacts. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Curtis Millsap, 6593 N Emu Ln, Springfield, MO, 65803-7934, millsapfarms@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-08</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an Iowa resident, I am anticipating a trip to the South Fork Salmon river area in the near future. I desire to see the river in its unspoiled natural condition. This is very important to me and others like me who already live in areas that are far from their natural state as development of various industries has changed the landscape forever. These unspoiled places like the South Fork of the Salmon River are critical to not only the health and well being of the residents of Idaho but of residents of other states and even other countries who come to visit to experience the peace and beauty of a natural environment to enrich their lives. Please restrict any mining activities and industrial development on this river. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Craig Mackaman, 602 NW Wagner Ridge Ct, Ankeny, IA, 50023-4246, cdmackaman@yahoo.com</p>

2020-10-08	Do we really need the Stibnite Gold Project?	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world-class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, but it would also negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represent an unacceptable risk to this watershed. I hope to enjoy this river with my friends and family for many years. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	C. Grant Maledy, 1818 E 14th St, Sedalia, MO, 65301-6376, cgrantmaledy@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-08	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS - comments	<p>I am writing to express my support for Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. Within the last 10-years I have become very familiar with the South Fork Salmon watershed and have visited annually to kayak the South Fork Salmon and its tributaries. The South Fork Salmon is a very special place and I am deeply concerned about the proposed alternatives that are being considered, as all of the alternatives, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Leif Embertson, 1231 Brookstone Dr, Bellingham, WA, 98229-5016, force_leif@yahoo.com
------------	---------------------------------------	--	--

2020-10-08	Preserving an exceedingly rare wild river	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I personally am employed as a whitewater river guide who operates on the main salmon river. Since the south fork pours into the already designated wild and scenic salmon river, a degradation of environment for the south fork would lead directly to a degradation of one of only 7 wild and scenic rivers in the United States. This mine would no doubt affect the ability of both me and the company I work for, Rocky Mountain river tours, to offer a pure wilderness experience to people who are increasingly living in the planned and built world of urban sprawl. Letting this mine be built would be yet another tragedy of nature that could be easily prevented by just not having the mine operate. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Andrew Greenshields, 409 S Main St, Ketchum, ID, 83340, andrewgreenshields24@gmail.com
------------	---	--	--

2020-10-08	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an IDAHO RESIDENT, I AM 100% OPOSED to the Stibnite Gold Project! It is clearly a danger to fish, wildlife and water quality which all of us here in Idaho depend on. Economically it is a terrible use of the land and a raw deal for Idaho and all US taxpayers. As someone who has spent weeks in the area of the proposed project and who has been down the South Fork of the Salmon. I understand the value the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Trevor Deighton, 10325 Colters Run Trl, Victor, ID, 83455-4660, trevordeighton@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-08</p>	<p>No on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Do not follow through on further destruction and degradation to the preserved wildlife our founding fathers intended we would be blessed with. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Sage Vogt, 311 Bannock St, Denver, CO, 80223-1174, sage.vogt@coloradocollege.edu</p>
<p>2020-10-08</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a frequent guest on the various salmon rivers in Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>James Rajasingh, 902 Haight St, San Francisco, CA, 94117-3101, jrajasingh95@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-08	STOP the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I Just spent two weeks exploring Idaho and the Salmon river, As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Julia Roether, 2349 NE 20th Ave, Portland, OR, 97212-4639, rainorshine89@gmail.com
------------	-------------------------------------	--	--

2020-10-08	Vote NO to Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Please think of the individuals who value the intrinsic and inherent beauty of the Salmon watershed. It is vitally important that mining not operate there now or in the future.</p>	James Fried, 1162 N 11th St, Laramie, WY, 82072-2719, Jamesfried9@gmail.com
------------	---------------------------------------	--	---

2020-10-08	Please Prioritize the South Fork of the Salmon	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," Over the past 2 years I have had the privilege of floating the South Fork and the Middle Fork along with the Colorado through the Grand Canyon. Of all those memorable days, one of my days on the South Fork stands out as the most memorable. Crystal clear water, no one else around. Seeing 3 Bears in one day as they walked on the trail. It is hard to find stunningly clean water, a fantastic ecosystem with such easy access. The South Fork is one of the few Rivers that has actually been cleaned up and improved over the past decade. The South Fork is just as valuable as the Middle Fork but because it is harder, it gets less commercial traffic. That makes it even more special. It is time to stop appropriating public resources for the private benefit of a few shareholders in a mining company. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Gregory Young, 3339 Waterfowl Way, Driggs, ID, 83422-1236, gsyoun@tetoncap.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-08	Please don't take our rivers away	<p>Please save America's rivers!!! People and other animals need and deserve fresh, clean uncontaminated water. Mining this beautiful area will be a tremendous detriment to the people and the environment. This place is much more valuable than gold!!!! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Jody Lindemann</p>	Jody Lindemann, 1339 NW Vicksburg Ave, Bend, OR, 97703-1034, jodyisme@gmail.com
------------	-----------------------------------	---	---

<p>2020-10-09</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am a avid outdoor recreationist, who USES and values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that I and paddlers from across the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact MY personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Edward Dery, 1345 Lower Smith Gap Rd, Kunkletown, PA, 18058-3221, hawkinnv@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-09</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a whitewater paddler who values spectacular whitewater rivers of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who uses these unspoiled public land, land for which I am part owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. The Forest Service must protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is a center of outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Charles Walbridge, 1886 Little Sandy Rd, Bruceton Mills, WV, 26525-5268, ccwalbridge@cs.com</p>

2020-10-09	Opposition to the Stibnite Gold Project	<p>I have traveled to Idaho for 20+ years to paddle the amazing rivers of Idaho. The South Salmon is a treasure that should be protected from this type of development. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Shane Benedict, 108 Meadowcrest Dr, Flat Rock, NC, 28731-9770, shane@liquidlogickayaks.com
------------	---	--	---

2020-10-09	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I have personally made several trips to paddle the South Fork of the Salmon, and the clean waters there will continue to draw me and my friends back to Idaho. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Josh Goldbach, 33 Lakeside Dr, Asheville, NC, 28806-1227, Josh.goldbach@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

<p>2020-10-09</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I had the opportunity to paddle this river just a month ago (in September) at base flows and was completely blown away by the river corridor; the whitewater was incredible along with the scenery and camping sites! I'm eagerly planning my return to the river next spring / summer to experience it at higher flows. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Rahul Subramanian, 13085 W Mississippi Ct, Lakewood, CO, 80228-3461, rsubram9@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-09</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Hello, I would beg you to consider the massive impact that mining operations have on local watersheds. The effects are devastating and long lasting and as an avid outdoorsman and appreciator of the amazing waterways that comprise the Salmon watershed I would be distraught to see mining operations put into place. Please continue to protect our invaluable natural wonders instead of whoring the land out for profit.</p>	<p>Jack Leary, 1207 N Willow St, Ellensburg, WA, 98926-2667, jckleary3@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-09	Please Protect the South Fork Salmon	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the 'No Action Alternative' outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented (apart from Alternative 5) would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. I am writing as a concerned citizen-stakeholder who utilizes the public lands in question in their current undiminished state. As an American citizen, I speak as an owner of these lands, which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed are pristine in their current state, and contain world-class whitewater that paddlers from across the country (and world) regularly travel to visit. It is incredibly important to me that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River. This river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to this river and National Forest. In light of the fact that the South Fork Salmon River has taken decades to heal from the damage of past mining activity, the high likelihood that significant and preventable harm will result from the currently proposed mining activity makes it an unacceptable risk for the watershed. Thank you for your time and service.</p>	Joseph Guthrie, 3684 Frankfort Rd, Georgetown, KY, 40324-9481, guthrie.js@gmail.com
2020-10-09	Stop failing the important places we should seek to protect	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Micah Bland, 3476 York St, Homestead, PA, 15120-3012, blandm4@gmail.com

2020-10-09	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I paddled this river in July 2019 and it is absolutely beautiful! It would be a crime to allow this watershed and river to be impacted by a mining operation. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please protect this valuable resource for us and future generations. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Tim Friday, 1451 S Winston Dr, Golden, CO, 80401-8043, tffriday@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-09	comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I have been an avid paddler for over 35 years, running rivers around the country and in other countries as well. I have not had the opportunity to paddle the South Fork Salmon yet, but it has been on my 'bucket list' for years. A large group of my friends paddled it summer of 2019 and I unfortunately was unable to join; however we are planning another trip as they all indicated it was spectacular, pristine, and a true whitewater gem of North America. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Scott Thomas, 6586 S Newland Way, Littleton, CO, 80123-3656, ScottThomas519@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

<p>2020-10-09</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project DEIS Comments</p>	<p>As an avid kayaker and outdoorsman who loves the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>John Francisco, 7350 S Andrea Ln, Morrison, CO, 80465-2825, johncfrancisco@msn.com</p>
<p>2020-10-09</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As someone who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Kevin Prather, 2053 S Manley Dr, Springfield, MO, 65807-2119, kpratherscg@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-09</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. I travel to the area spending my money with the local residents and businesses in a way that brings resources into rather than pulling them out of the area. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Ryan Owen, 626 S Fremont Ave, Springfield, MO, 65804-0110, ryancowen@outlook.com</p>
<p>2020-10-09</p>	<p>OBJECTIONS on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>The EIS clearly is bias and has not been completed by a non bias entity. It neglects specific threats to the ecosystem, river, reclamation and contingencies. Don't sell out one of the most beautiful places and most pristine rivers in our state to a company that clearly has ZERO interest in anything other than turning emended profits. DO THE RIGHT THING! Put value on wilderness, and prioritize conservation. Please we beg you!</p>	<p>Steven Appling, 1009 Parkway Dr, Twin Falls, ID, 83301-3233, steven.appling@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-09</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I kayaked in Idaho last year, in the summer of 2019. The rivers were beautiful, and need to be protected. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Sherri Spencer, 1451 S Winston Dr, Golden, CO, 80401-8043, spencer22@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-09</p>	<p>My personal Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a resident of Donnelly Idaho, I am an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Chelsea Tuttle, 13090 Hill House Loop, Donnelly, ID, 83615-5015, chelseamtuttle@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-09	Stibnite Gold Project on the head waters of the South Fork Salmon	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater and spectacular fishing. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally and my family, that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Joe Hughes, Life Time Idaho Resident</p>	Joe Hughes, 188 PO, , , 82825, joehughes403@gmail.com
------------	---	---	---

2020-10-09	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The South Fork Salmon River is a very special place to me, my family and friends. I am one of tens of thousands whitewater enthusiasts making annual pilgrimages to the South Fork. We spend our dollars supporting family businesses, grocers, gas stations and outfitters in Riggings and throughout central Idaho. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Collin Whitehead</p>	Collin Whitehead, 5725 NE 32nd Ave, Portland, OR, 97211-6833, cwhitehe@oregonmba.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-09</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. This was a favorite place to camp when the kids were little.then you moved all the camping away from the river. We lost all our favorite spots to camp so why let big mining destroy that wonderful spot in Idaho.</p>	<p>Jerry Prouty, 4873 Lake View Pl, Garden City, ID, 83714-1969, joprouty@me.com</p>
<p>2020-10-10</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater rafting and cat boating, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Darin Martens, 464 Dreamy Draw, Durango, CO, 81303-6104, theriverdaze@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-10	One of the last wild ppaces	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. The south fork has been a special place in my life for many years. There are not too many places that allow you to escape the impact of mankind. The south fork offers that. Please keep one of the last wild places wild! Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jon Dufay, 118 Long St, Snohomish, WA, 98290-2224, j_dufay@yahoo.com
------------	-----------------------------	--	--

2020-10-10	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS-SF Salmon Protection	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. Ive traveled to Idaho to attempts to do a South Fork Salmon, and while circumstances didn't work out that time, it is on my list of must-paddle destination rivers that I want to see protected. I have traveled to Idaho multiple other times for the world class whitewater and natural beauty. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Nina Frankel, 997 N 3rd St, San Jose, CA, 95112-4934, ninabfrankel@gmail.com
------------	---	---	--

2020-10-10	Say no to Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>A few weeks ago, I got to raft on the Salmon River. It was so spectacular and beautiful and my favorite part was how wild and natural the landscape was for the majority of the river. I am also a fisheries biologist, and I don't agree with any developments that would impact native fish. This alone should be enough reason to prevent this project from happening, but the aesthetic impact to the land would also be extremely detrimental to the entire river system. Please consider these comments when you make your final decisions. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Dana Shellhorn, 109 W New York Ave, Gunnison, CO, 81230-2334, dsduck19@gmail.com
------------	--------------------------------------	---	--

2020-10-10	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Personally, I am a nonprofit outfitter (LEAPadventure.org) who takes youth and adults who are healing from trauma on multiday kayaking programs on the lower section of the Salmon. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment but also the public enjoyment and that of the groups we serve of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing. It would also negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Valerie Pufahl, 5620 NE Alberta St, Portland, OR, 97218-2558, valerie.pufahl@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-10	Fuck the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. The Salmon is one of the USAs only free flowing river and we need to do everything possible to protect it. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Monika Bierschenk, 203 N Plum St, Richmond, VA, 23220-3556, Monikabierschenk@gmail.com</p>
------------	-------------------------------------	--	---

2020-10-10	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Hello. I grew up in Montana and Idaho knowing how critical it is for our wild rivers and forests to remain simply that. These places must be maintained as they are, without digging into the earth for profit. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. I grew on rafting on the salmon, recalling out clean the wilderness seemed to be. In the future, I plan to take my children down the river with it being as pristine as when I first saw it. The river of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit, stimulating our growing outdoor economic industry. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. I hope we as the people of this land may know the deep importance of our responsibility as caretakers of this place, for its sustainability and protection. I am counting on you to make our voices heard. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Brooke Dunnagan</p>	Brooke Dunnagan, 1104 W Koch St, Bozeman, MT, 59715-4247, fast.female1@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-10</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. If the south for gets degraded all of the main below the confluence feels the effects. The salmon river system is one the most intact river system in the lower 48 let's keep it that way.</p>	<p>Sam Lowenthal, 146 N Main St, Victor, ID, 83455, slowenth@marlboro.edu</p>
<p>2020-10-10</p>	<p>My opposition to the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am a 61 year old outdoor enthusiast who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing on my own behalf and do not write on behalf of a "special interest group." I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who has kayaked the South Fork Salmon watershed. It is world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I live in colorado and even here there is nothing that compares to the south fork salmon got big water wilderness kayaking. The DEIS clearly states that other Alternative 5, every other alternative would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. For me, protecting the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the surrounding local communities. Moving forward with this project would adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, it would also negatively impact the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. Expanded mining activity on the south fork of the salmon river represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed.</p>	<p>Patrick Tooley, 1731 Hudson St, Denver, CO, 80220-1452, pdtooley@centurylink.net</p>

2020-10-10	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and white water kayaker who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers, like me, from across the country (I live in Oregon) and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Kay Mattson, 3255 NW Hurleywood Dr, Albany, OR, 97321-9685, kdmquito@gmail.com</p>
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-10	Rejection of the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I have personally spent many nights camping on the South Fork Salmon river and days paddling its incredible clear waters. The fishing is incredible. I plan to make my yearly pilgrimage to the Salmon this upcoming May. I'm terrified of the thought a gold mine inserted into the headwaters would destroy one of Idaho's great waterways and pristine wilderness experiences. I've experienced the devastating effects of mining on rivers first hand by regularly paddling the Cheat River in West Virginia. The Cheat River banks are permanently scarred, water quality forever ruined and fish dead from mining activity at the top of the watershed. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Please reject the insertion of a devastating mine into a sacred watershed.</p>	Joseph Keck, 43 Robinwood Ave, Asheville, NC, 28806-1234, jckeck1@aol.com
------------	---	--	---

2020-10-10	Concerns about the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Today, more than ever, we need to be stewards of our land and protect our natural resources for current and future generations. There's already enough exploitation of resources that have destroyed public natural locations; it's our responsibility to save the rest. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Hailey Jacobs, 56 Jacobson PI, Leadville, CO, 80461-9728, jacobshq.22@gmail.com
------------	---	--	---

<p>2020-10-10</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Although I may not be from Idaho, I've spent time on the Salmon and it means a lot to me. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jasper Hoag, 2986 Eagle Way, Boulder, CO, 80301-1373, skier4life.hoag@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-10</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project DEIS don't screw up the south fork</p>	<p>Don't screw up our water. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jordan Combs, 5235 Quay St, Arvada, CO, 80002-3915, jordancombs@yahoo.com</p>

2020-10-10	Rivers are more valuable than gold	<p>Hello, I am writing to share my opposition to the Stibnite Gold Project on the South Fork of the Salmon River. Nearly every single river in the United States has been negatively affected by human development, alteration and pollution. This leads to permanent environmental consequences, species loss, and ruining of the same water we ourselves rely on. As our awareness and understanding of these effects grows, so must our efforts to protect these rivers and their ecosystems from this senseless destruction. Rivers are the veins that carry the lifeblood necessary for every organism across every kind of biome for thousands of miles. Healthy drainages are imperative to the ecological functions that humans and thousands of species of flora and fauna need to live. Gold is not. The value of gold is arbitrary and gold is not vital to the life of any human or being. I ask those reading this to consider the economic value of a clean river to Idaho and its inhabitants for decades to come versus the temporary economic boost of a project like this. Just like all mining efforts before this one, once the gold is out of the ground, any local economic benefits will cease immediately. Families will be left displaced and out of work. Boom and bust. Once all the gold is out of the ground, the tailings and toxic waste will still be left behind to leak into the water system at any time and rate for decades. This project will almost certainly cause detrimental effects to recreation and tourism, biodiversity, and peoples' health. As demonstrated by our huge efforts today to mitigate the consequences of past mining and development projects, restoring rivers to their clean and naturally flowing state is best for all. Please do not accept the DEIS as it stands and include all risks of the project including those that will exist beyond its completion in your consideration. Your mission as the Forest Service is 'To sustain the health, diversity, and productivity of the Nation's forests and grasslands to meet the needs of present and future generations'. Future generations don't need more gold. Your kids and mine need clean water, places to recreate, to appreciate nature undisturbed. The future generation will need sustainable jobs with purpose that grow their communities, not short-lived jobs that destroy the land and provide zero locally lasting opportunity or prosperity. These projects only benefit the few who have no stake in or care for Idaho besides what they can extract from it. Do not let this project rob the people of Idaho of their essential elements of life to chase their greed. I urge those of you with the power to stop this project and any of its nature from harming Idaho's most vital artery, the Salmon River.</p>	Owen Kelsall, 4201 Wildridge Rd W, Avon, CO, 81620, okelsall@gmail.com
------------	------------------------------------	---	--

2020-10-10	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I ran the South Fork Salmon last summer and it was remote and beautiful. There are not many rivers so fine and hard to access as the S. Fork Salmon. We need to protect it for posterity. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is vital to me that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would likely adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource as the area would receive more mining traffic and water withdrawals. More traffic and less water (of diminished quality) would negatively impact whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would also negatively impact the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Brandt Gutermuth, PO Box 2577, Weaverville, CA, 96093-2577, brandtgoot@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-10	Stop mines on pristine rivers	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. SAVE THE SOUTH SALMON ITS A NATURAL RIVER THAT A MINE WOULD COMPLETELY RUIN. Precious metals such as gold hold little value to the true value of this river. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Casey Fullem, 2040 Lance Dr, Bozeman, MT, 59718-6775, caseyfullem@gmail.com
------------	-------------------------------	--	---

<p>2020-10-10</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>My name is Greg Lee, and I've paddled the S fork Salmon at a variety of flows. I've paddled hard whitewater from Maine to Alaska, from South Carolina to California. I can say that the S Salmon is, hands down, one of the best whitewater rivers in the country. Let's not ruin this gem. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Greg Lee, 1839 SE 36th Ave, Portland, OR, 97214-5127, action@gregliest.com</p>
<p>2020-10-10</p>	<p>Please protect the south fork salmon river</p>	<p>Please help protect the south fork salmon. You are putting a monetary value on a priceless resource. With all of my heart, I love the rivers of Idaho and I fear they won't be a source of pride when my children would be old enough to enjoy them. Someone can make money now by mining or you can stop this mining operation and EVERYONE can enjoy this slice of beauty. Thanks</p>	<p>Hugh Russell, 311 NW Broadway St, Bend, OR, 97703-2615, hprussell7@hotmail.com</p>

2020-10-10	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS request	<p>I am writing to express my concerns with the future of the Salmon River. A river corridor my family holds dear to their heart. A corridor we spend countless hours in every year creating memories that will last a lifetime. Traditions being passed on for generations. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Roger Ekers, 1104 Lanny Ln, Olympic Valley, CA, 96146, ekersconstuction@me.com
------------	------------------------------------	---	---

<p>2020-10-10</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers, fish, and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. Salmon, bull trout, and steelhead are already seriously diminished across the west. It's getting harder to plan destination fishing trips as big fish populations decline in many states. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Liz Rose, 4625 Ludlow St, Boulder, CO, 80305-6753, ejrose012@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-10</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Please keep Idaho wild.</p>	<p>John Doe, 115 Street Ave, Lynchburg, VA, 24503, johndoe@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-10</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. We have the opportunity to set ourselves apart as a country and really show our core values. Please let us not sell our souls for short term gain, when we can save our land, our water, and our country's majesty for the future.</p>	<p>Cameron Painter, 468 8th Ave S, Okanogan, WA, 98840-9753, cam_painter@hotmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-10</p>	<p>Concerns relating to Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a person who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. As a Canadian citizen, the South Fork Salmon River is the number 1 natural resource which brings me to the US for tourism purposes. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit and must remain in its undiminished state. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Hamish Dobson, 1786 HARBOUR DRIVE BC CANADA, , , 35600, holebait7@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-10	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The Salmon River in Idaho is one of the most unique watersheds in the country. I spent 5 wonderful summers river guiding on the Salmon, and hope it remains unspoiled for future generations. Mining in the SF would be a huge loss for fish habitats, conserving the beauty of Idaho, and all who love to recreate on public lands. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Kelly Hall, 3137 Wilderness Dr SE, Olympia, WA, 98501-4990, kellyhall2612@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-10	Another Reason You Shouldn't do the Stibnite Gold Project	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. On top of this, I would personally like to ask what the point of it all is and why do you and others still try damage out earth just for your own profit? Every tributary to the salmon is a very special current of water, but the south fork is something else. Not only for whitewater, but it remains in such an important ecosystem that a huge mine would completely destroy. I myself have never paddled the south fork salmon, but I'm writing this because I really hope to paddle it someday soon, and a mine at the headwaters would not only ruin my future experience(s) but every one else's not to mention all of the fish and other species you would significantly harm with this proposal. So please take in to consideration not only the entire whitewater community when you make this decision, but our planet and everyone else we share it with. Stop valuing corporate profit over endangered species, delicate and pristine ecosystems, and everyone else who goes to these places to enjoy them.</p>	Ridge Dirksmeier, 245 Alturas Dr, Hailey, ID, 83333-5131, ridgedirk@gmail.com
------------	---	---	---

2020-10-10	A voice for a voiceless river	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. Even more importantly than recreation, we need to work together to protect the voiceless rivers and bodies of water. We all understand how it works, impact the water which will impact the fish which will ultimately impact every single other living creature in that ecosystem including human beings. Water is all living creatures' life force and impacting it will only do us harm. Isn't it terrible that humans are so blinded by money that they prioritize it over the health of their own environment? The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would negatively impact all the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jes Fisher, 63805 Gallatin Rd, Gallatin Gateway, MT, 59730-8623, jesfisherr@gmail.com
------------	-------------------------------	--	---

2020-10-10	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Do not rob Idahoans of the water quality and wildlife of the south salmon and main salmon rivers. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Isaac Chrisman, 1920 N 18th St, Boise, ID, 83702-1033, isaaac1043@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-10	NO Stibnite MINE ON THE SOUTH FORK	<p>Not only is the SF Salmon a true Gem of the great state of Idaho, it is also vital habitat to the whole columbia river basin. A mine here would compromise the health of one of the most important watersheds of the West, all for the short-term profits of very few people. This is purely selfish. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Alex Mager, 3085 S Crescent Dr, Salt Lake City, UT, 84106-3478, iskifree123@gmail.com
------------	------------------------------------	---	---

2020-10-10	Feedback regarding Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As a person who values the rivers and whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a citizen who prioritizes the stewardship of public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain unique whitewater paddling opportunities which I value, and the protection of the land and water is an important piece of environmental management. I prioritize clean water and protection of resources over private interests and uses that present risks to irreparably change, and harm, our lands. The DEIS states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is important to me that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is healing from previous mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Dana Vincent, 1656 S 15th Way, Ridgefield, WA, 98642-7811, dies_ira@live.com
------------	---	--	--

2020-10-10	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As a spouse on a veteran who cherishes going to the South Fork Salmon to restore particularly after serving in deployments, I am extremely concerned about the health and integrity of the river for years to come. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Isabelle Chiaradia, 4587 Bermuda Ave, San Diego, CA, 92107-3835, imcclara@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-10	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Ryan Scott, 712 W White Fang St, Kuna, ID, 83634-2902, ryants2015@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-10	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I simply can't stand the dismantling of environmental protections, can you? Don't you care about the future generations to come? Or are you so bold to big money that your priorities are to just screw the stewardship of God's creation and the only planet we have to live on? As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Suzanne Leitner, 1714 Laval Dr, Cincinnati, OH, 45255-3242, Leitnersue@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-10	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>This river is used by many annually by myself and my family. Please stand up for what Idaho stands for, clean water, clear rivers and beautiful places for generations. For your and my posterity, please protect this river. Protect this river for the many and please don't allow it to be ruined to satisfy a few. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Grant Hughes, 752 Sunshine Dr, Twin Falls, ID, 83301-8965, hughes.grant.86@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-10	Don't ruin the river	<p>I have dreamed of floating the salmon for years and years. Wilderness deserves to be protected. Especially from greed. The mine will destroy the salmon. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Brendan Newby, 770 Woodmore Dr, Orem, UT, 84058-6104, bubba.newby@gmail.com</p>
------------	----------------------	--	--

2020-10-1 1	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. History has shown that the impacts from mining carry the true costs to future generations. Often these impacts will endanger the drinking water and health of those living downstream. The actual 'benefit' of mining does not outweigh the environmental and public health impacts. Private benefit and 'right' to mining is a TAKE from the American people and only damages public lands. We should be taking PRIDE in our public lands by protecting them from degradation and pillage. Have some pride, STOP allowing the resources in the public trust to be taken by private business as there is NO public benefit from mining gold in this area.</p>	Phillip Kirkman, 504 Bluffs Dr, Eagle, CO, 81631-5149, phillersk@hotmail.com
----------------	--	---	--

2020-10-1 1	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I value the rivers and resources of Idaho, and travel from another state multiple times per year to visit them. I support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I do not represent a "special interest group," I am a concerned citizen and stakeholder. The Forest Service is supposed to manage in the Public Interest. A Canadian mining company does not care about American Public Interests!! The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that attracts paddlers from around the world. Interest in these resources is increasing. People of Idaho who seek lucrative employment might do well to provide services to this group, instead of tearing up the SFS headwaters in search of gold that will enrich a Canadian corp. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Teresa Gryder, 3830 SW Nevada Ct, Portland, OR, 97219-1513, T4eresa@gmail.com
----------------	--	---	--

2020-10-1 1	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I have personally rafted these rivers many times and would be devastated to see their pristine state lost. We should seek to restore rivers that have been damaged by human action, not tarnish a national treasure. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Erika Winner, 4816 Wyoming St, Duluth, MN, 55804-1275, erika.winner@gmail.com
----------------	--	--	---

2020-10-1 1	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I have had the opportunity to paddle this beautiful stretch of water from near the Stibnite mine site all the way to it's confluence with the Main Salmon, a wild and scenic river. This is an outstanding waterway and should not be allowed to have it's water and fisheries impacted by mining concerns. Please do not allow the reopening of this failed venture. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Phil DeRiemer, 5535 Lodestar Ln, Lotus, CA, 95651, phil@adventurekayaking.com
----------------	--	---	---

2020-10-1 1	Stibnite Gold Project concerned citizen comments	<p>Idaho's Salmon River watershed is truly spectacular. The South Fork in particular is a special, irreplaceable river. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. This decision will decide the ability of future generations of fish, anglers, and paddlers alike to thrive and find abundance in these waters.</p>	Emma Johnson, 4831 Columbia Dr S, Seattle, WA, 98108-1919, jsponsible@gmail.com
----------------	--	---	--

2020-10-1 1	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. Personally speaking, I look forward to spending tourism dollars in your community to visit these wild places. Clean water and pristine rivers for fishing and other recreation opportunities are the images that come to mind when thinking about Idaho. Tourism dollars are more valuable to your communities when outdoor recreation is factored in rather than extractive industries that render the landscape blemished. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Karl McCollom, 4670 Indian Peak Rd, Mariposa, CA, 95338-9371, karl.mccollom@gmail.com
----------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-1 1</p>	<p>Please Abandon Stibnite Gold Project</p>	<p>As both an outdoor professional and an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Abigail Taylor, 6400 NW 83rd St, Redmond, OR, 97756-7186, krabstaylor@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-1 1</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>The South Fork of the Salmon is a beautiful resource that would be tragic to lose. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Stacey Fornstrom, 3141 S Clarkson St, Englewood, CO, 80113-2805, stacey_fornstrom@comcast.net</p>

2020-10-1 1	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I personally have been coming to this river yearly for a decade, never ceasing to be awed by the beauty of the canyon and wildlife, and fabulous whitewater. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Ellie Wheat, 8413 308th Ave SE, Issaquah, WA, 98027-8768, elwheat@gmail.com
----------------	--	--	--

2020-10-1 1	Please protect the Salmon River	<p>I grew up rafting and enjoy my time spent on the salmon river. It is such an amazing natural feature and I would hate to see it degraded by mining operations. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Kelsey Seibel, 700 Divide South Dr, Divide, CO, 80814-8401, runkiva@gmail.com
----------------	------------------------------------	--	--

<p>2020-10-1 1</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>The South Fork Salmon is one of the best white water in North America. All the other rivers that compare are dammed or have been destroyed. There is only one other river that comes close to it the Futaleufu and it is 6,000 miles away in Chile. And even that river is at risk of being destroyed. We must save these natural wonders not just for us but the future. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Harley Goedhart, 52 S 950 E, Declo, ID, 83323-5064, goeharle@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-1 1</p>	<p>An Eastern Kayaker's comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I first had the pleasure of driving along the SFS and the EF/SFS almost 20 years ago. I was just a young carpenter on my way to work on a project in Yellow Pine, but I was already an experienced whitewater boater and I was thrilled to see the beauty of the South Fork, even in the cold hush of winter. I learned that it was possible to put on Johnson Creek above Yellow Pine and follow it to the EF, the SF, and finally into the Main. That trip got added to my bucket list, because this sort of adventure inspires me I'm now middle aged, with 1 wife, 2 businesses, 3 kids, and 4 rental houses. I haven't made it back to the South Fork yet...but I'm coming, and I'm likely bringing my kids with me. They will expect to find the magical mountain river that I've described. Please don't disappoint them with industrial pollution. Thank you.</p>	<p>Mike McDonnell, 555 Saw Mill HI, Rochester, VT, 05767-9647, mikemcdonnell.watershed@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-1 1</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a citizen who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Ernest Yaggi, 711 Anna St, Moscow, ID, 83843-3509, mreyaggi@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-1 1</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am an individual who values the rivers, fishing and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I want these rivers restored and preserved for future generations. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. We should not make these mistakes again. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jefry Huey, 6434 Kenhowe Dr, Bethesda, MD, 20817-5446, jlhueyc2@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-1 1</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS. DON'T ALLOW A GOLD KING MINE SPILL IN IDAHO.</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Witness the unmitigated disaster of the GOLD KING MINE SPILL. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Thomas Troy, Stakeholder</p>	<p>Thomas Troy, 1697 Mohawk Ln, Ogden, UT, 84403-4618, brineshrimpdirect@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-1 1</p>	<p>Save my south fork! Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As someone who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho and the wild west, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Robert Hollister, 1224 NW Hartford Ave, Bend, OR, 97703-2446, bobby.braaps@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-1 1	Worried About Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As a native Idahoan, I have been rafting on the Salmon River since I was 7 years old in 1994. The past several years I have started taking my children with my husband who has been rafting since he was 8 on the Salmon River. In his adult years he and his brothers have katarafted the South Fork as well. We have noticed small changes all ready on the river. We drew a permit for the Main this last year. Not as much wildlife and fishing. We are all ready saddened that is different for our kids than it was for us. Salmon Falls rapid has disappeared because of natural disasters. With the proposed mining, I worry about the affects it will have on the Main, Lower, and the Middle Fork with man made changes. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Katelyn Hughes</p>	Kate Hughes, 752 Sunshine Dr, Twin Falls, ID, 83301-8965, katehughes426@gmail.com
----------------	--	---	---

2020-10-1 1	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>My name is Jesse Brown. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jesse Brown, 454 E Haven Ave, Salt Lake City, UT, 84115-2828, brownsbrew@gmail.com
----------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-1 1</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. As a Geologist who worked for Pegasus Gold at the Zortman Landusky mine in central Montana I can tell you that the problems and expense that loom in the future from a mine of this type is not worth it.</p>	<p>Nancy Sendler, 1610 Sherwood St, Missoula, MT, 59802-2206, nancysendler@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-1 1</p>	<p>STOP Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I have lived in Idaho my whole life, and value it's recreation. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Micah Buckmiller, 104 S Main St, Moscow, ID, 83843-2864, mbuckm@uw.edu</p>

2020-10-1 1	Voicing Concerns on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. As a lifelong Idahoan, I value the wild spaces that our state is fortunate enough to have access to. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. It is extremely important to protect this incredible testament to the exceptionalism of American land and natural spaces, both for the people and species of today as well as for those that come after us. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Emily Pape, 4106 W Irving St, Boise, ID, 83706-2412, pape.emilyr@gmail.com
----------------	--	--	--

2020-10-1 1	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As a whitewater enthusiast, I have seen the impacts mining can have on rivers and wildlife. How it can stain the surrounding area for years after the mining has ceased and in some cases permanently change the environment around. I oppose mining around watersheds especially in areas like Idaho that are relatively untouched and undeveloped. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Erika German, 286 Monument St, Red Cliff, CO, 81649, little_germany96@yahoo.com
----------------	-------------------------------	--	---

2020-10-1 1	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork of the Salmon is also a premier Class IV-V multiday float. Those pristine waters are also part of a natural Salmon run where the Salmon swim upstream from the Pacific Ocean to spawn in Idaho. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Richard Erney, 3575 S West Temple, Salt Lake City, UT, 84115-4451, rjerney@gmail.com
----------------	--	--	--

2020-10-1 1	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. As someone who has worked on projects with the Chinook salmon runs on the South Fork of the Salmon River, these fish are barely hanging on, and the last thing they need is another dam, contamination, and altered fluvial geomorphology, as it could be the last straw. These past years, managers such as Jamie Mitchell, Malia Gallagher, and Ken Felty at the McCall Fish Hatchery have worried and literally lost sleep over whether there would be enough spawning salmon to keep the population viable. Fishing seasons have closed to protect this vital (and potentially valuable) resource and it would mistake of morally epic proportions to let decades of work, millions of dollars, and countless acres be destroyed for the sake of money, particularly when the vast majority of the money will only benefit members and executives of the mining company at the cost of the peoples' recreation (which ultimately boosts state and local economy/commerce). The South Fork of the Salmon River wasn't named for the bears that rely on its salmon runs. It wasn't named for the wild game that inhabit the area. It wasn't named for the vegetation that depends on the clean mountain water. And it sure wasn't named after any precious metal deposits found in the area. The Salmon River and it's tributaries were named after the fish that make the journey of hundreds of miles just to give birth and breathe their last breathes in its crisp waters. To kill the salmon as a consequence of mining would be to erase from our memories the river itself and deprive from future generations still 'in the womb of time' the chance to recreate and love the land as countless others do. If you want to dig a hole, do it in your own backyard, but please let us enjoy this land with our families. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Nate Heiner, 338 E Main St, Rexburg, ID, 83440-2015, nheiner@hotmail.com
----------------	--	---	--

2020-10-12	Looking forward to returning to the Salmon one day	<p>My family and I have traveled from North Carolina all the way to Idaho on two occasions. First to raft the Main Salmon in 2013 and more recently the Middle Fork of the Salmon in 2019. We plan to apply for permits for summer 2021 and for many years to come. This experience leads me to write in support of Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers like me travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Ben Fleming, 35 Caledonia Rd, Asheville, NC, 28803-2536, losflemings@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-12</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I personally travel to the South Fork to boat there a couple of times every year. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represent an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Max Blackburn, 226 Sterling Blvd, White Salmon, WA, 98672-1949, max@immersionresearch.com</p>
<p>2020-10-12</p>	<p>NO! to the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I kayaked the South Fork Salmon this past June. It's an amazing and pristine location. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Christopher Paulo, 1489 W 5th Aly, Eugene, OR, 97402-4463, chrispaulo3@msn.com</p>

<p>2020-10-12</p>	<p>Opposition to Stibnite Gold Project</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a special interest group. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Desiree Tullos, 1770 NW Alta Vista Dr, Corvallis, OR, 97330-1805, desiree.tullos@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-12</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Idaho is too great to dump toxins in the South Salmon. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Scott Dent, PO Box 445, Bingen, WA, 98605-0445, kickflip_bs_ts@yahoo.com</p>

<p>2020-10-12</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I've enjoyed some of the greatest river trips of my life on the Main and South Fork Salmon Rivers. Protect these places at all costs! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Abby Burk, 18781 Little Park Rd, Glade Park, CO, 81523-8740, kabbyburk@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-12</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Jared Richins</p>	<p>Jared Richins, 10249 W Macaw Ct, Boise, ID, 83704-5373, jrdrichins@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-12	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I am writing to let you know that I strongly oppose the proposed stibnite mine. The South Salmon is a world class river that should be preserved in it's current state for existing and future Americans to enjoy. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>John Baker, 13390 W Warren Ave, Lakewood, CO, 80228-4616, adventuringtheplanet@gmail.com</p>
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-12	Stibnite Gold DEIS Comment	<p>I am a graduate student studying stream ecology at Idaho State University, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater, fishing, and other recreation resources of Idaho, and I also recognize the value of pristine and undisturbed habitat for our imperiled fish. My thesis project studies the fish communities of Big Creek, just across the ridge, and there are many parallels between the fish that I study and those that are threatened by this project. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Laurel Faurot, 906 E Halliday St, Pocatello, ID, 83201-5325, laurel.faurot@gmail.com
------------	----------------------------	--	--

2020-10-12	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. I have had the great privilege of rafting and kayaking on the Middle Fork and Main Salmon Rivers, the Lochsa, Clearwater, and South Fork of the Payette Rivers. Last summer I was a support team (shuttle driver) for a group of friends and family who went on a 3 day self support kayak tripod the South Fork of the Salmon. It was an epic trip for them, and I hope to be able to join them on the South Fork of the Salmon in the future. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jennifer McCurdy, 1432 S Sherman St, Denver, CO, 80210-2215, jennymackayak@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-12	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Keep Idaho wild. This is a statement I have heard my entire life and believe in wholeheartedly. Idaho has rugged, beautiful and untouched wilderness. This wilderness gives Idaho spirit. This propose project will hurt the environment in devastating ways. The health of the river comes before any profit. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Makayla Sundquist, 3569 S Cheryl Dr, Flagstaff, AZ, 86005-9011, msundquist13@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-12</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho and as a person that spends every summer in the Big Creek area, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Joey McGuire, 14453 Prairie Meadows Rd, Rapid City, SD, 57701-7373, eodmac@me.com</p>
<p>2020-10-12</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. My husband is a wildland firefighter and this subject is very personal. Please do not destroy our public lands.</p>	<p>Whitney Mayfield, 4248 N Azalea Ln, Boise, ID, 83703-3482, whitneylmayfield@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-12</p>	<p>South Fork Salmon Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>The SF Salmon has seen some hard use by humans. Extreme logging in mid 1900's destroyed a lot of habitat for fish, and for us humans who value a sustaining intact ecosystem. Please learn from our past and protect this wonderful and recovering river system. Wild habitat and the salmon and other fish it sustains has a tremendous and lasting value. A gold mine gives no lasting value but does give long lasting habitat degradation to the SF Salmon River and its surrounding forests. Alternative 5, no action, is the only way to avoid destruction of this River, again. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a CONCERNED CITIZEN, and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining and Logging activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>douglas nicholson, 5815 NE 182nd St, Kenmore, WA, 98028-4524, basta350@hotmail.com</p>
-------------------	---	---	---

2020-10-12	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The South Fork of the Salmon is one the greatest rivers in our nation, if not THE greatest river! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jay Gifford, PO Box 603, Bingen, WA, 98605-0603, jaypgifford@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-12</p>	<p>Please reconsider the Stibnite Gold Project</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I have personally moved to Idaho to enjoy these places, and would be devastated if they were destroyed. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Danica Meng, 211 E Crestline Dr, Boise, ID, 83702-3024, danica_patrick@msn.com</p>
<p>2020-10-12</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Ben Davidson, 2040 SW Winthrop Ave, Portland, OR, 97225-5135, b davidson@scu.edu</p>

2020-10-12	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. I have been a resident of Idaho and plan to be again by starting a business there. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. The rivers of Idaho are on the license plate as their pristine state represents so much to so many people. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Samuel Morrison, 121 S Summit Ave, Bremerton, WA, 98312-4119, slmorriso@gmail.com</p>
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-13	NO to Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. I have travelled to Idaho to run the Salmon more than any other river west of my home state of Arkansas. I love the pristine river and mountain environment. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jonna Hussey, 517 S Lytton Ave, Fayetteville, AR, 72701-6137, jlhussey13@gmail.com
------------	----------------------------------	--	--

2020-10-13	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>My name is Erik Sand. I am a whitewater kayaker and the South Salmon is one of all around best rivers I have ever paddled. The quality whitewater, world class fishing, and pristine scenery make it an incredibly important resource to protect for recreation. In the whitewater world, the quality of the South Salmon is a fairly recent discovery, and therefore the number of people floating the river has been increasing incrementally over the past 10 years. Recreation on the S Salmon will be an important long term economic resource for the Yellow Pine area. When we float the river, we use the river as our drinking water supply, and while there already is some tainting from previous mining projects, it will be an increased hazard for boaters to drink the water with active mining runoff both on the S Salmon and further downstream in the Main Salmon drainage. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Erik Sand, 645 Rollins St, Missoula, MT, 59801-3771, erikdsand@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-13</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Mike Eiseman, 1429 S Higgins Ave, Missoula, MT, 59801-4251, eisemanmt6@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-13</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Please let's protect our natural resources. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Joel Wilson, 2616 S Wallis Smith Blvd, Springfield, MO, 65804-3864, joeltwilson@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-13	Opposition to Stibnite Gold Project	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. These visitors, myself included, mean revenue for local businesses. Sure, initial build of this mine would bring in contractors for a short period. But in the long run, tourism and visitors will mean more for the rural economy than they will. O The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Tom</p>	Tom Whipple, 735 Wolf Creek Dr, Bigfork, MT, 59911-6430, tomwhipple4@gmail.com
------------	-------------------------------------	---	--

2020-10-13	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I am writing to discuss the ecological, recreational, and cultural significance of the South Fork Salmon watershed in efforts to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. As an Idaho native, I am deeply concerned about the consequences that Stibnite mine could have on our public lands, as well as our fish and wildlife species. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed remain a beautiful wilderness area that draws world class whitewater paddlers from across the country and the world. I had the privilege of paddling down the South Fork Salmon for the first time this summer, and it marked the accomplishment of a major goal in my kayaking career. While the whitewater made this trip exciting, it the beautiful landscape and clean rivers made it a unique wilderness expedition that I hope to continue paddling for many years to come. While the recreational value of the South Fork Salmon is second to none, its ecological significance as a major tributary to the Salmon River, where several endangered fish species live and breed, make it essential to conserve this river. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. As an Idahoan, a member of the whitewater community, and a conservationist, I ask that you consider the impact that moving forward with this mine will have on our people, wilderness, and wildlife. Thank you.</p>	Aliya Brown, 2809 N Hillway Dr, Boise, ID, 83702-0941, aliyab23@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

<p>2020-10-13</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual with a higher education degree in Freshwater Ecology, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed represent some of the most ecologically significant habitat for native aquatic species in the Northwest, and failure to address the potentially irreversible decimation of this ecosystem by the proposed mining activity would represent a failure by the Forest Service. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Sawyer Finley, 510 S 10th Ave, Pocatello, ID, 83201-4805, finlsawy@isu.edu</p>
<p>2020-10-13</p>	<p>Please do not allow more mining at stibnite</p>	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current state. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests.</p>	<p>Michael Brazell, 803 Logan St, Moscow, ID, 83843-3037, michaeljbrazell@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-13	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As someone who values the authenticity of the rivers and whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a special interest group, I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. In both the short- and long-term, diminishing these resources would not be in the public interest. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping, and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. Thank you for your time.</p>	Maddie Fletcher, 344 W Hale St, Boise, ID, 83706-6257, fletchie24@gmail.com
------------	----------------------------	---	---

2020-10-13	Stibnite Goldmine Projects and its impacts on future generations	<p>Hello, my name is Alex Nelson, I am writing you in regards to the Stibnite Gold Mine and the risks it poses to the East Fork of the South Fork of the Salmon and all waters down stream.</p> <p>This past summer I had the privilege to explore the East Fork of the South Fork and South Fork of the Salmon from just down stream of Yellow Pine all the way down to the Main Salmon. A section of river I had been eying for years, the stars finally aligned for myself and a group of talented whitewater kayakers to descend the stretch while water levels were considerably high. The quality of whitewater, scenery, and remoteness validated the arduous journey to get to this beautifully remote area of Idaho. I hope to paddle and explore this incredible area for the rest of my life and hope that outdoors people from all walks of life will get the opportunity to do the same. This river corridor needs to be protected and left intact for all whom have not explored it. For those who cannot it still holds intrinsic value that is far more important than a gold mine and the adverse effects it will have on this natural habitat. The citizens of this great country deserve to have public lands that are wild. This is one of those places that we, as Americans, cannot afford to relinquish. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Alexander Nelson, 540 Forest Circle Dr, Alpine, WY, 83128, alex.nelson16@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-13	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As someone who values the wildlife, rivers, water quality and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen who utilizes and cherishes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to experience. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. Human interests and economy aside, this watershed holds priceless value as is. Its integrity and quality should be protected fiercely. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please think big picture and do not allow such toxic use of this area.</p>	Karin Perk, 2904 Laura Duncan Rd, Cary, NC, 27513-5350, perkkarin@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-14	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>2020 has been a trying year. The best escape from Coronavirus pandemic was 5 days floating through the wilderness on the Salmon river. It really highlighted the value of having protected public lands to visit during a pandemic. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Aaron Wernerehl, 224 E Kensington Ave, Salt Lake City, UT, 84115-1617, aaronwern@comcast.net
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-14	Stibnite Gold Project, Alt 5 saves an amazing river watershed	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as someone who utilizes these public lands for paddling, fishing, and hiking in their current state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. In my home town, several mines continue to pollute our local river and its been difficult to secure funding to clean them up. This affects fish habit, whitewater recreation, and even swimming in our beloved river. Please don't let this happen in a place as wonderful as the South Fork Salmon, too. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Dana Stayrook Hobbs, 11245 Sky Pines Ridge Rd, Nevada City, CA, 95959-9109, danarene22@yahoo.com
------------	---	--	--

<p>2020-10-14</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Sabine Weil, 4527 S Wallace Ln, Salt Lake City, UT, 84117-4629, utahsabine@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-14</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. I along with many friends have been kayaking on the South, Middle and Main Salmon, as well as the East Fork of the South Fork. The tributaries to the Salmon River watershed are one of the most beautiful and less developed parts of our country. These areas are important for future generations of people and wildlife to inhabit and explore. How do you put a price on these last few and far between sacred spaces. Please do not allow this place to be another sell out to greed and politics.</p>	<p>John Kennedy, 1005 W Brahma Dr, Jackson, WY, 83001-9242, kennedywastaken@yahoo.com</p>

<p>2020-10-15</p>	<p>My Comments for Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a resident of the state and individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing on behalf of any special interest group. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater, excellent fishing, and clean water which me and my neighbors, and many other paddlers, anglers, and outdoor enthusiasts from around the state and all over the country travel to visit and recreate. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Steve Koning, 4960 Windermere Dr, Victor, ID, 83455-5469, koning.steve@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-15</p>	<p>Save The South Fork</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am a 19 year old Idahoan who is concerned about the protection of this beautiful and unique watershed. Not only does has this watershed had a tremendous impact on my life and my wilderness experience, but it is my job as an Idahoan to stand up for my public lands. It is these wild and remote areas that define my lifestyle as an Idahoan, as well as so many others'. In addition to the world class whitewater that this watershed provides, it represents our wild and beautiful Idaho, and we must protect it. If this project goes forth, it is clearly stated that the watershed, endangered fish species, their habitat would be adversely affected. Alternative 5 is the only alternative that will not harm the watershed and its inhabitants. I hope to enjoy this watershed for the rest of my life, and want to protect it so that future generations of Idahoans can see and enjoy the beautiful landscapes that define our amazing state. Please, SAVE THE SOUTHFORK!</p>	<p>Henry Cherp, PO Box 537, Ketchum, ID, 83340-0481, h.cherp2@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-15	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS : The South Fork Salmon drainage is too precious to risk any further pollution !	As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. This is a place I will visit every spring , as long as I live here, for the rest of my life, whether in a kayak or simply enjoying a camping trip in these wonderful mountains. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. This year, because of the pandemic, Idaho's public lands were FULL of people recreating close to home. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.	Alex Mandell, 2320 N 24th St, Boise, ID, 83702-0209, boateralex@hotmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-15	In support of the No Action Alternative for the Stibnite Gold Project	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am a river scientist and hydrologist by trade, and with building on this background I am concerned about irreversible damage to the downstream water quality. Simply put, this mining project and its downstream effects are an attack on the outstandingly remarkable value of the Wild and Scenic Salmon River. Idaho residents benefit economically as people travel from around the world to experience its renowned rivers. The Stibnite project is shortsighted. Specifically, the rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. It's a long-term dream of mine to paddle this river with clean free flowing water. If we damage this resource then I will not be making an annual paddling trip and patronizing local businesses. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Eric Levenson, 260 W 8Th St Ketchum Idaho, Sun Valley, ID, 83353, elevens2@uoregon.edu
------------	---	---	--

<p>2020-10-15</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. This place is far too special to be put at risk by a mine. The potential benefit of this mine is far outweighed by the potential for the mine to cause harm in this area. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>John Swanson, 125 Graduate Dr, Ketchum, ID, 83340, jackswanson@outlook.com</p>
<p>2020-10-15</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project DEIS Comments</p>	<p>As an individual who highly values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Marie Krochmal, 443 Mission Ct, Eagle, ID, 83616-5061, mariekrochmal@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-17</p>	<p>Salmon are more important than gold for a Canadian company</p>	<p>The south fork provides paddling fishing and hiking in a beautiful wilderness setting. Would love see this river continue to flourish and not see the salmon population diminish. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Martin Kepner, 1050 N 15th Ave, Bozeman, MT, 59715-3265, martinkepner@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-17</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed and the lands surrounding it. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Emily Hooker, 9535 SW Lehman St, Portland, OR, 97223-6764, hooker_emily@yahoo.com</p>

2020-10-17	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a river user who uses and values the South Fork of the Salmon River and who has witnessed the devastation caused by other gold mines around the world. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. I have seen descriptions of the 'safeguards' put in place to prevent damage to the surrounding land. I would point out that the history of mining in the West is dominated by reports of inadequate remediation, businesses vanishing and leaving behind environmental and economic devastation, lack of rule enforcement, public payments for restoration, and lack of accountability. In the event that the Forest Service chooses to move forward with destroying a public resource for private profit for a few, I would like to see assurances like bonds adequate to cover site restoration and leak/spill management sufficient to cover any conceived cost, potentially in the amount of billions of dollars, and personal criminal liability for company executives, employees and contractors. The best solution is clearly for the Forest Service to learn from past mistakes and steer clear of subsidizing the destruction of precious public resources for private profit. Respectfully, Paul Diegel pddiegel@gmail.com 112 Aspen Meadows Rd #22 Driggs, ID 83422</p>	<p>Paul Diegel, 112 Aspen Meadows Rd, Driggs, ID, 83422-5352, pddiegel@gmail.com</p>
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-18</p>	<p>Please consider: Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who grew up rafting and values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Sam Rainey, 1917 N 33rd St, Boise, ID, 83703-5815, samwiserailey@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-18</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Please DO NOT allow for a mining to take place in the watershed of the South Fork of the Salmon River. As a user of this river and water shed, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I am not writing representing a "special interest group." The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Lucia Stewart</p>	<p>Lucia Stewart, 415 E Aspen St, Bozeman, MT, 59715-3043, olusciouslucia@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-18	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>We have witnessed the degradation of our rivers in the past by the mining industry. How with our understanding of the past and the damage mining has caused would we allow another mine to continue in a fragile ecosystem like the South Fork of the Salmon. It will certainly cause irreversible damage. Please do your part to see that this does not happen. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jeff Ford, 321 Eureka Dr, Hailey, ID, 83333-8514, jford@blaineschools.org
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-19	Feedback for the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The backcountry and waters of Idaho and the west are some of the most incredible places I've ever been. I've had the pleasure and privilege of experiencing the Middle Fork and the Main Salmon, and am hoping to add the South Fork to my list in the future. We have a chance to help usher in a new way of managing rivers for the upcoming and ongoing challenges of multiple user groups. Idaho can lead the way. We've become aware throughout 2020 how vitally important outdoor resources are for public health. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Melissa Connolly, 2288 E Stillman Ln, Salt Lake City, UT, 84109-2462, melissa.d.connolly@gmail.com</p>
------------	---	--	---

2020-10-19	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, as a raft guide, as someone who's livelihood depends on the wellbeing of our waterways, as an Idahoan who loves this state for its pure, pristine wilderness, and as someone who gives a damn about our planet, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest, that is, my interest, the interest of my children, the interest of my children's children, and the interest of anyone who touches this land or breathes this air from this point forward. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. We must keep this area pure. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jack Kindall, 500 Queen Rd, Moscow, ID, 83843-2430, jbkindall@outlook.com
------------	--	--	---

<p>2020-10-20</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am writing you this letter as public feedback in response to the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) recently released by the Forest Service for a large-scale mining project in the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon (ID). I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. In June of this summer, I did a 4-day self support kayak trip on the East Fork Of South Fork of the Salmon, down the South Fork of the Salmon and through the Main Salmon. As an Experienced class V kayaker who has paddled around the world, I found the quality of whitewater was world class, the clarity of the water like nothing I'd EVER seen before and the wildlife that was teaming on the banks constantly held our attention during calm sections between the excitement of the rapids. This was a magical experience that has made me fall in love with an unquestionable natural beauty and I plan to return again next summer. In addition to the land and water quality are the various species of fish that stand to be negatively impacted by your open pit mine; Bull Trout, West Slope Cutthroat, Chinook Salmon and Steelhead. All of these species are listed or candidates for listing on the Endangered Species Act and all require cold clean running water for their survival. As a concerned taxpayer I am even more concerned about how the local Nez Perce continue to have their promised resources jeopardized by the government. Ever since the treaties of 1855 and 1863 when the government reserved their rights to subsist off these lands, the various (27) failed mining operations have contaminated their resources. In fact, there was even a 2013 study released that found that all 27 gold mines had experienced at least one pipeline spill or accidental release. Even with the use of liners, 20 of the 27 mines failed to capture or control the contaminated miner seepage from mine tailings negatively impacting water, land, fish, wildlife, air quality and vegetation. The 7 mines that did not leak were not located near perennial streams and had extremely deep ground water. . . .whereas, this stibnite site is surrounded by dozens of perennial streams and the groundwater is extremely shallow which significantly increases the danger of contamination. Not only have tribes like the Nez Perce been continually stripped of these resources, but, they have invested 2.5 million dollars annually in this very region restoring rivers, salmon and steelhead fisheries sustainably in the area's natural resources, economy and quality of life. Midas Gold is a Canadian corporation and they are not invested in our lands, water and communities. They promote this as a restoration project, but, how can we, you, believe their clean-up efforts with be any different than every other gold mine project that has preceded them!?? As stewards of this land, can we really afford to risk losing such resources in perpetuity at the risk of financial gain for a few? The current EIS lacks specifics and raises many questions such as what liners will be used and have they been tested? How can they assure us the hazardous chemicals will be safely transported along roadways? How will fish be affected by warmer water temps resulting from the mine? I ask that supplemental impact study be sought to more adequately address the many outstanding concerns that persist. Thank you!</p>	<p>Baird Morrish, 83 Navajo Trl, Nederland, CO, 80466, morrish.baird@gmail.com</p>
-------------------	---	---	--

2020-10-21	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I grew up in central Idaho and still consider it my home. I have spent much time recreating on the South Fork Salmon River and consider it to be an unparalleled recreational resource which must be preserved in its current state. The proposed Stibnite Gold Project dramatically threatens the pristine character of the South Fork Salmon and must not be allowed to proceed, especially given its anticipated adverse impacts on endangered fish species, fish habitat, water quality and quantity. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Matthew Swanson, 15 Wallace Dr, White Salmon, WA, 98672-8353, matthew.d.swanson@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

<p>2020-10-21</p>	<p>Protect our Rivers. No on the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>The Salmon is a place of refuge to so many who seek to commune with unspoiled nature, to gain reprieve from the hectic place of life, and to return to a deeper spirituality. Not only is this river vital to white water enthusiasts, it's a place where children have a chance to be kids, and see the beauty in nature. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Marci Nault, 20 Mystic Ave, Melrose, MA, 02176-4708, skatingmarci@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-21</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who has enjoyed many of America's western rivers, the Salmon River and its watershed has impacted my life the most. Its wild nature, being one of the last major ones left in our country, holds an opportunity and stands as an example for every American to experience the natural, mildly touched, wonders of our great country. As a graduate student studying land use impacts on major watersheds, I have an understanding of the hydrologic response to these sorts of established activities and how the watershed can be completely reshaped by large scale mining. For many other watersheds, this the case, but we can choose to hold others protected so that generations to come can be reminded what an unaffected, healthy watershed looks like. Thank you for your time reading my input about this situation and your consideration. Sincerely, Justin Bowen</p>	<p>Justin Bowen, 1323 Ord St, Laramie, WY, 82070-4717, j.bowen1529@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-21</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>This project is a joke, and in complete contradiction with what the U.S. Forest Service was first created to do: 'To sustain the health, diversity, and productivity of the Nation's forests and grasslands to meet the needs of present and future generations.' Idaho is home to some of the most beautiful forests and rivers in the continental United States. This mining project will completely ruin - whether through the direct action of mining or dam building, or through subsequent and unforeseen construction accidents and leaks - the land and the wildlife, such as the endangered Chinook Salmon. Having experienced deep connections with the land in Idaho and its rivers and mountains, I'm completely embarrassed to have the U.S. Forest Service represent my interests (i.e. the greater public's interests) in even thinking to allow this project. Clean up your act, Forest Service, and stop bending at the knee to big oil and big ag. ---- As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Timothy Behuniak, 603 E Ramona Ave, Salt Lake City, UT, 84105-3026, timothybehuniak@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-21</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Recently, several of my friends and I were able to go on a week long trip down the Salmon River. I was entirely mesmerized by the serene beauty that we were able to thoroughly enjoy day in and day out. Fishing licenses in tow, many a fish were wrangled via fly rod. While I'm sure the intentions of the mine are good, I'm troubled to think that this proposed plan would change the landscape that needs absolutely nothing. Rarely, if ever, does a mine enhance its surrounding area. Our experience is one of many that people have had, and only hope that more people can share in this with the respect to the land that is expected and owed. Because of this, I encourage a massive reconsideration as to the location of this mine (assuming that this mine needs to happen anyway...).</p>	<p>Caden MacKenzie, 311 Bannock St, Denver, CO, 80223-1174, cadenmackenzie@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-21	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	Please mine further downstream. Spencer Cordovano	Spwncer Cordovano, 100 Picabo St, Ketchum, ID, 83340, scpowexplorer@gmail.com
2020-10-22	Comments regarding Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Lura Snow, 83 E 480 N, Hurricane, UT, 84737-2096, lura.snow@gmail.com

2020-10-22	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I doubt you realize that the destruction of nature is the destruction of human kind. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. Mining would ruin the natural ecosystem, and pollute the south fork, which grants life to everything in that area and everything downstream. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please understand that opening this area to mining will cause death and that blood will be on the hands of the US Forest Service. Thank you for your consideration. Remember your duty to protect the Earth.</p>	<p>Victoria Rapchak, 1617 Watova Rd, Toledo, OH, 43614-4021, victoria.raptor.rapchak@gmail.com</p>
2020-10-22	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a citizen of Idaho and active user of its wild places. I love Idaho because unlike many other states it still has untouched wild areas. My understanding is that because this area is on public lands this land has also been designated for my use and not just the use of mining companies. I believe that if this mining project goes through at the headwaters of the South Fork of the Salmon River it will irreversibly change the area and will no longer be the same for future generations. Please do not let this project go through! Instead I would love to see this area preserved for generations to come as a pristine water area and representative of the wild state of Idaho. Sincerely, Megan Sutton, Public Lands Owner.</p>	<p>Megan Sutton, 910 E Warm Springs Ave, Boise, ID, 83712-7945, masutton756@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-22</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact the environment, and would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Alice Brown, 624 Woodlands Dr, McCall, ID, 83638, al_wrightca@yahoo.ca</p>
<p>2020-10-22</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS, and damage to the Salmon River</p>	<p>As an whitewater rafter and child of a USFS recreation professional, who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," or any group other than myself, I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that I and 1000s of other paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. The next 7 generations thank you.</p>	<p>Kathryn Olney, 1259 NW Trenton Ave, Bend, OR, 97703-1026, chickenkate@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-22	Stibnite Gold Project is wrong for American preservation	<p>I come from the Mississippi, where IA, IL, & WI all connect. We would be overjoyed to have the healthier ecosystem like the spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. This is Josie, a real person I writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk. Please do not view profit over the wonderful, irreplaceable ecosystem.</p>	Josephine Brannon, 2955 Vallejo St, Denver, CO, 80211-3866, jos.brannon@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-22	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS Public Comment	<p>As an a long term resident of the Pacific NW who values the nature in the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned US citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current wild state, who takes seriously that I am an owner of lands and waters that the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain critical habitats as well as world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is very important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality and fish & wildlife habitats of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River. The long term affects that would result from this project are unacceptable. The river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only permanently adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from past mining activity damages, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Dona Wuthnow, 2066 Wold Rd, Friday Harbor, WA, 98250-9457, dona@rockisland.com
------------	---	--	---

<p>2020-10-22</p>	<p>Stibnite DEIS, Alt 5 please</p>	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state. I am also a professional botanist and ecologist, and an active member of the local scientific and land management community. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. They also contain unique and irreplaceable ecosystems that support rare and special plants and animals. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It will bring an increase of noxious weeds into areas with healthy, intact native plant communities and erode the integrity of these habitats. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please consider the CONTINUED health and productivity of these areas and protect our public lands from death by a thousand cuts due to short-sighted projects like these. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Elizabeth Kramer, 475 Borah Ave W, Twin Falls, ID, 83301-4511, ek.kramer@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-23</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold EIS</p>	<p>I strongly urge the no action alternative (5). The key tributary to a Wild and Scenic River should not be the site of such a mine. I am one of the hundreds of thousands of people who travel to fish this river and spend money in these communities and this sustainable source of economic activity would be an unacceptable risk to this fishery and watershed.</p>	<p>Patrick Bachmann, 7563 Lamar Ct, Arvada, CO, 80003-2846, bachmann007@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-23</p>	<p>Comments to support Alternate 5 on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Hello, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. These watersheds additionally provide important habitats for steelhead trout, bull trout, chinook salmon, wolverines, and gray wolves. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. Every year I travel from Seattle to the South Fork Salmon watershed multiple times to enjoy the outdoor recreation that is enabled by the outstanding water quality of this watershed. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River. Moving forward with any alternative apart from Alternative 5 would not only severely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource, it would also severely and negatively impact the fish populations, outdoor recreation, and economic vitality of the local communities. The South Fork Salmon River watershed is finally starting to recover from past mining activity. The impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for the opportunity to comment and for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jeff Robinson, 916 N 72nd St, Seattle, WA, 98103-5339, ajeffro@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-23</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am entirely fed up with greed over the environment and totally against the Stibnite Gold Project. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Evalee Gifford, 2581 BAHAMAS Way, Grand Junction, CO, 81506, iegifford@q.com</p>

2020-10-23	Stop mining plans on the Salmon - Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Hi I am a citizen who enjoys these areas. The Salmon River is the birthplace of many fish and aquatic species. It serves as a rare and special wild place. Mining of the sort proposed risks this area. No not risk. It ensures destruction of it for gold. Gold for the few. Not the many that enjoy this land. It should be preserved and protected. Hundreds of millions of gallons and pounds of waste will inevitably leach and leak and be a waste problem long after the few extract this wealth. Lets leave Americas wealth intact and in the ground. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Matt Sweeney, 969 Los Altos Ave, Los Altos, CA, 94022-1111, mudstonephoto@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

<p>2020-10-23</p>	<p>Keep nature natural! Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Hello, As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Mike Weeks, 852 W Valley Vista Way, Lehi, UT, 84043-2682, mikeweeks11@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-23</p>	<p>NO to Stibnite Gold Project, YES to Saving the SF Salmon River and it's watershed</p>	<p>As a Native Idahoan, state and federal taxpayer, and an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit, and anglers such as myself enjoy annually! The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Stacy Bowers, 104 Mather Rd, McCall, ID, 83638-3713, stacylbowers@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-23	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. This project affects a huge area of Idaho that will be put at risk of leaking the cyanide, arsenic, and antimony expected to be present in tailings from this mine. It's not reasonable to entrust this precious land and water to these interests, for them to extract the profitable gold and then return to the site to completely clean it up. And it's unreasonable to expect accidents won't occur, it can be cleaned up and returned to anything close to its current condition after the gold extraction. And i haven't even mentioned the off-site impacts, electrical upgrades needed, traffic, building bigger roads to accommodate heavy equipment. To allow this huge proposal to go forward would be a complete and total travesty to the precious waterways of Idaho and therefore to the people of Idaho. I vote NO.</p>	Sheree Sonfield, 664 Woodlands Dr, McCall, ID, 83638, sonfield@telus.net
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-24	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Why should we bother taking gold out of the ground? last I checked something like 70% of it sits in vaults in banks. It produces no human good. It does feed people, clothe people, or nurture their soul. Only their greed. Instead of creating jobs that don't help people, why don't we invest in creating jobs that make people happier, like teaching, nursing, craftsman, etcetera? There is a surplus of gold in the world for actual applications that improve quality of life. Why destroy a beautiful natural space (that improves people's quality of life) just to take some shiny mostly useless yellow stuff out of the ground so we can make it into bars and put it back underground in banks? Seems pretty pointless to me. Or if you don't buy that, then lest hate on the fact that it's a foreign company doing it. Also, as a public landowner, shouldn't the miners pay to take my gold out of the ground? (As they do in most countries.) Just saying. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world-class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Michael Jorgensen, 1677 Ginney Way, McCall, ID, 83638, michaeljorg@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-24</p>	<p>Fishing: Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I've traveled to Idaho many times for the scenery and fishing. As someone who values the wild rivers and resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest—the PUBLIC interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>D Williams, 645 Valle Vista Ave, Oakland, CA, 94610-1921, djwdoc@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration for the coming generations.</p>	<p>Cathy Hearn, 3301 E 4th Ave, Durango, CO, 81301-6003, cathyhearn@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>My thoughts on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Having kayaked the South Fork of the Salmon and knowing what incredible country is in the area and as an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as someone who has spent time in this country who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Ken Duncan, 5000 E Ridge Dr S, Fort Collins, CO, 80526-4620, kenhduncan@aol.com</p>
<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>What I think about the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho and utilizes the South Fork and East fork of the South Forks of the salmon annually, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Alec Getzloff, 1111 E Santa Maria Dr, Boise, ID, 83712-6526, alecget@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>Stop mining planned about the sf salmon</p>	<p>What a special place that isn't rivaled anywhere else in the lower 48 for quality of whitewater and wilderness camping and fishing experience... As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Nicholas Hinds, 32123 NE 117th St, Carnation, WA, 98014-9716, nickahinds@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world, including myself and family members, travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Tyler Cline, 554 Larsen Dr, Bountiful, UT, 84010-3870, Tylercline@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-25	Stibnite Project DEIS Comments	<p>These comments are in opposition regarding the Stibnite mining activities in the mountains of West central Idaho. It is important to consider the value of the remaining natural landscapes and untrammled areas of our great state of Idaho. The Stibnite Gold Project will undoubtedly alter the landscape in a negative fashion. Unfortunately, the greatest impacts will occur to the threatened fish populations, wildlife and natural beauty. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho every year to visit. Their time in Idaho truly does support the local economies they visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration to these concerns.</p>	Alex Dagleish, 309 Edgewater Cir, McCall, ID, 83638-3709, aloo1dag@gmail.com
------------	--------------------------------	--	--

2020-10-25	Protect the south fork salmon from mining	<p>The south fork salmon is an amazing natural resource, and one that must be protected. It is one of the best wilderness multi day sections of river in the country. I have personally travelled to Idaho to float it 7 times, something I try to do every year. The quality of the whitewater combined with the pristine nature of the area make it one of my favorite places in our whole country. That is not an exaggeration. We cannot risk losing this resource for ourselves and future generations. For that reason, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Mike Gottlieb, 1731 NE 62nd Ave, Portland, OR, 97213-4149, mhgottlieb@gmail.com</p>
------------	---	--	--

2020-10-25	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Please, don't let this mine go forward. I can't tell you how much wild rivers mean to the people that use them, OR to the economies of communities near them. Mining sounds lucrative, looks lucrative, IS lucrative, in the short term. But those are short term, temporary gains that hurt our most valuable resources- and the long term, renewable profits those resources provide. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jo Schroeder, 410 Van Dreff St, Salmon, ID, 83467-4225, rckstr781@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-25	Protect the south fork Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The south fork of the salmon is an amazing and special place. Extractive industries are dirty and untrustworthy neighbors anywhere but the risk is even higher in a pristine setting like the south fork. These companies care only about profit and routinely cut corners and violate regulations to save a few pennies. The south fork of the salmon needs to be protected. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Matt Janzen, 1134 Straight Creek Dr, Dillon, CO, 80435-5646, majikmj@hotmail.com
------------	---	---	--

2020-10-25	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I paddled them this year and would like to in the future. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Ben Carter, 5720 SW Huddleson St, Portland, OR, 97219-6608, bfcarter@pcisys.net</p>
------------	--	--	---

<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I did my masters research in stream ecology in the East Fork South Fork Salmon River watershed. And I know this amazing place is something to treasure and protect. It's wild salmon and rivers are irreplaceable. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Hannah Harris, 277 Flynn Ave, Burlington, VT, 05401-5305, hannahharris21@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>I support Alt 5-NO Stibnite Gold Project! Comments on DEIS</p>	<p>I support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Nick Turner, 515 Henderson St, Bozeman, MT, 59715-7104, turnerdirective@icloud.com</p>

2020-10-25	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Below is a prepared statement that I agree with entirely. I will just add that I am from TN and I travel to Idaho as often as I can to enjoy the rivers and wild areas of the state. I had the fortune to run the SF Salmon a few years back and it was one of the most spectacular experiences of my life. It would be a tragedy beyond words to let this pristine treasure be impacted with this mine. I hope you can see past the short term economic gain to appreciate the timeless value of this river. Please keep the SF as it is! AG As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Andrew Gunnoe, 7310 Sevierville Pike, Knoxville, TN, 37920-6723, AGUNNOE@GMAIL.COM</p>
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-25	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Please read! This message concerns the future of the Salmon watershed! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Alec McDowell, 503 Gray Eagle Rd, Maupin, OR, 97037-7132, alec.mcdowell@gmail.com</p>
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-25	No mining on the south fork	<p>Hey im a raft Guide and this beautiful section of country has so much to offer that is constantly being impeded on becuase individuals want to bring back fossil fuels and other harmful practices to our nature. We need to stop looking to the past for help on what to do with our future! We are smarter than ever and have ample ways to produce fuel and other things we need to try to find new ways to harness these energies I stead of constantly trying to go back to fossil fuels that are known to destroy our planet! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Kyle York, 2177 Hawkcrest Dr E, Saint Johns, FL, 32259-2922, yorkkyle5@gmail.com
------------	-----------------------------	---	---

2020-10-25	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I support the NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE 5 in the Stibnite Goldmine DEIS. This will be a tragedy with monumental loss and destruction that is not acceptable in any way shape or form. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Shari Weiller, 918 23rd St, Santa Monica, CA, 90403-2104, sbweiller@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. PS. Don't be greedy for some boom bust economic BS.</p>	<p>Massey Zieman, 560 E Kelly Ave, Jackson, WY, 83001-8116, masseyzieman@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho and is in the process of moving from Salt Lake City to Valley County, ID, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Kristie Giles, 116 W Layton Ave, Salt Lake City, UT, 84115-1812, klgslc@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. It is also a region of the country that I call home and value tremendously for its outdoor recreation opportunities. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please keep the health and welfare of our Salmon River a priority. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Natalie Koncz, 1203 N Inland St, Spokane, WA, 99224-7037, nataliekoncz@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>South Fork Salmon</p>	<p>To whom it may concern, Please stop prioritizing profits over our environment. Your children will see the horrifying effects of climate change, so please do not go forward with the mine.</p>	<p>Jackson Simon, 245 Morgan Valley Dr, Oswego, IL, 60543-8165, jsimon1589@yahoo.com</p>

<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>In July of 2018, I got an incredible opportunity to paddle the South fork of the salmon. The three days I spent kayaking, fishing, camping, and recreating on those public lands was the highlight of a four week trip to Idaho, and it compels me to write you today. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Chris Loomis, 120 Converse St, Greenville, SC, 29607-1310, chris@blackflycanoes.com</p>
<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>Please keep the South Fork Salmon wild and clean. I am 27 years old, and I have hopes to do this section of river every year. The great whitewater, wilderness feel and clean water is what makes this place so special. Please leave it alone!</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Nicholas Miller, 4011 Big Horn Rd, Vail, CO, 81657-4995, shreddytimes098@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-25	Save the South Fork Salmon	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group." I am writing as a concerned citizen who utilizes these public lands in their current state; wild and free, lands for which I am an owner of and active participant of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest; my interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Cameron Solon, 1903 N 18th St, Boise, ID, 83702-1034, cameronsolon@gmail.com
------------	----------------------------	---	--

<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>Stop the Stibnite gold mine</p>	<p>I've spent my entire life traveling down the rivers of North America. This spring I had the opportunity to paddle the east fork of the south fork salmon to the south fork salmon, to the salmon river. This ended up being one of my top 3 river trips of my life. These beautiful pristine rivers are too rare in our country to be harvested of their resources for anything but recreation and conservation. Please do everything in your power to stop this mine so we can continue to enjoy the beauty of the salmon river watershed for years to come. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Kyle Warren, 1135 Taylor Mountain Dr, Victor, ID, 83455-5540, kylewarren7@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Leave S fork Salmon alone. It is a treasure as it is. It has been mined before, leave it to recover.</p>	<p>Charles Mimnaugh, 1819 E 1700 S, Salt Lake City, UT, 84108-2932, cmimnaugh@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-25	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I have spent days and nights on this river and experienced it's beauty first hand. We need to leave some spaces untouched and this is one of them. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Karlie McCormick, 311 119th Dr SE, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258-7717, karlie.mccormick@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-25	DEIS does not care about the harm they will cause.	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Do you really want to destroy something so beautiful that is enjoyed by so many across the world? I have just got into the sport of white water kayaking and this is a stretch of river I have been looking forward to running. It it's close to home and, from what I heard and not yet had the chance to experience, a beautiful land scape and amazing adventure. Thank you for your time and consideration.</p>	Kevin Black, 6326 W Rose Run Way, Herriman, UT, 84096-3906, kev.black1992@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-25	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I, Taven Anderson, oppose and object to this mining project! As a whitewater boater, this is a sacred section of river that should be protected. The state of Idaho is renowned for its high quality whitewater. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Taven Anderson, 16 N Cherokee Rd, Salmon, ID, 83467-5343, tavena@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-25	Stibnite Gold Project is a terrible idea	<p>I honestly couldn't have said it better than what is said below. The awful effects of this mine are FOREVER. PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE DO NOT LET THIS MINE BE BUILT. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Dean Lihou, 845 Park Ave, Whitefish, MT, 59937-2833, dean@deanlihou.com
------------	--	--	---

<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>Forester comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a Forester, I think on century long timelines to manage natural resources for society. This venture is clearly near sited myopic and will negatively impact a broad spectrum of resources down stream and over the next century. Please consider our public lands and our natural resources. Form letter below. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Matthew Aghai, 63075 Fresca St, Bend, OR, 97703-1582, maghai85@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>The last of truly wild places</p>	<p>I made my first trip through the south fork salmon when I was 14 years old. And to this day and many trips later it's hard to express in words how special of a place this is. Pristine wilderness in its purest form. While paddling down these rivers in a kayak your main priority is too focus on running the rapids however in this beautiful environment it's hard to take your eyes of the towering cliffs and surreal mountains jutting up from the riverbanks. It is by far one of the most special in the lower 48. To think that Midas Gold cares more about a rock then the preservation of this outstanding landscape is hard to comprehend. The damage a gold mine would do to this landscape would be irreversible and would destroy one of Idaho's natural beauties for future generations to enjoy not to mention the severe harm it would do to rivers downstream and fish throughout the south salmon corridor. I ask that you please consider the permanent harm that could be done to one of America's last truly wild places before selfishly sacrificing it all for money.</p>	<p>Erik Greger, 1935 W Homestead Dr, Jackson, WY, 83001-9116, churchstoutmaster@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-25	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The south fork of the salmon is a place myself, and my family have been enjoying for many years. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Brindi Jackson, 3420 W Shasta St, Boise, ID, 83703-4739, brindij5@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-25	Natural Resources are Finite! Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The South Salmon River is an amazing resource as it is. I have been kayaking on the South Salmon every spring for 10 years. The river is only a small part of a much bigger ecosystem, yet the river is also the foundation for the environment. There is no substitute for natural spaces once they have been destroyed they cannot be repaired. Any damage done to the environment in the Stibnite area will cause damage to every part of the downstream watershed all the way to its confluence with the Snake River and the Columbia River. Please choose Alternative 5. I am including these following statements in support of my above submission: As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world-class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	James McLeod, 11430 Deerfield Dr, Truckee, CA, 96161-0530, jmcLeodphoto@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Janet Bruns, 301 Gun Rd, Halethorpe, MD, 21227-3822, janetbruns354@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. It will also impact birds. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Olivi Miller, PO Box 1203, Talent, OR, 97540-1203, paintedpawproductions@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>In addition to the following statement, I am currently raising a 4 year old who loves water. I urge you to recognize the need to preserve unique whitewater for future generations! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Erin Whaley, PO Box 2757, Crested Butte, CO, 81224-2757, whaleyek@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Hello, my name is Jonathan Bertagna. I value the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jonathan Bertagna, 734 E Coyote Springs Rd, Sisters, OR, 97759-9520, jbertagna@bendbroadband.com</p>

2020-10-25	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group." I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who wants these public lands to remain in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Len Cobb, 2407 Calle Granada, San Juan, PR, 00913-4728, lcobb1187@gmail.com</p>
2020-10-25	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>We have to keep our rivers clean, and help the wildlife that relies on the river. Please help the salmon! Sincerely, Anthony DeRosa</p>	<p>Anthony DeRosa, 139 Locust Glen Dr, Slatyfork, WV, 26291-9029, anthony.derosa1@gmail.com</p>
2020-10-25	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS - Irreparable harm	<p>Surrendering I disturbed public land to mining interests is a short sighted decision. There are plenty stories of mining accidents and environmental deterioration caused by private companies that only veil for their own interests. Mining regulations don't take into consideration the moral corruption of people that will only look to comply when necessary to avoid fines but do not care for the environment and will risk the fines if the monetary return is higher. Keep the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho as they are. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for keeping our rivers and lands free of risks.</p>	<p>Valentina Dostal, 621 Beryl St, Redondo Beach, CA, 90277-2379, vcamaran@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-25	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Please do the right thing for everybody.</p>	Charlie Price, 1230 Queen Of The Hills Dr, Hailey, ID, 83333-8773, Charlieprice102@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-25	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I've spent my whole life on this river, and I'm please, please asking you to reconsider how a move like this will effect those of us who basically live on the water. Our rivers and lands need to be protected. This is a monumental resource that belongs to Idahoans, and a big reason why people want to move and live here. Not only would this affect us Idahoan lifers, it could stop the monumental growth we've seen in population in the past few years. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Megan Harrigfeld, 1207 W Washington St, Boise, ID, 83702-5354, meganharrigfeld@u.boisestate.edu</p>
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>Keep the rivers wild! Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. We appreciate you considering these concerns!</p>	<p>Bari Cunningham, 1230 Birmingham Dr, Encinitas, CA, 92024-5201, b2cunningham@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-25</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Alex Smith-spond, 5559 W Maplewood Pl, Littleton, CO, 80123-3744, Spond_alex@yahoo.com</p>

<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Opposition to allowing the Stibnite Gold Project</p>	<p>Over the past decade, I have kayaked and fished numerous rivers in Idaho. One of the most spectacular for both of these activities is the East Fork of the South Fork of the Salmon River. Therefore, I encourage you to choose Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The East Fork of the South Fork of the Salmon River is a truly world class whitewater stream and arguably the best Cutthroat Trout fishery in the entire Salmon River drainage. Further downstream, the South Fork Salmon Canyon provides a unique wilderness river experience that draws paddlers from all over the world. Fishermen and paddlers support outfitters and guides that make up part of the outdoor recreation industry providing truly sustainable jobs for today and the future. In 2017, outdoor recreation contributed \$887 billion dollars the U.S. economy and provided 7,1 million jobs (https://outdoorindustry.org/advocacy/). Unlike ephemeral mining jobs that disappear with exhausted ore deposits, these jobs can support Idahoans indefinitely. Risking these sustainable resources for gold makes this an especially foolish undertaking as more than 92% of newly mined gold goes into jewelry, investments, or reserves (https://www.statista.com/statistics/299609/gold-demand-by-industry-sector-share/). The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. Due to my experience kayaking and fishing in this drainage, it is important to me the Forest Service protect this watershed. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Steven Powers, 915 Piedmont Ave, Salem, VA, 24153-4807, fishdoc.powers@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Please Do Not Mine Here</p>	<p>As a human species, we need to protect the last remaining wilderness and intact ecosystems in this world. This is one of those areas. As one of many who makes a yearly pilgrimage to recreate on the South Fork of the Salmon River I can tell you that disturbing this fragile ecosystem should not be considered as this will negatively impact fishermen, kayakers, campers, and many many more users. As a tributary of the Main Salmon, the pollution created by a mine at the headwaters of the South Fork will have negative impacts on this stretch as well. Thousands upon thousands of families and children commercially raft the section of the Main Salmon downstream of the confluence of the South Fork and Main every year. Allowing mining and the pollution it emits to occur on a tributary of this river is a travesty and is going to have negative health effects on all users of these river stretches that the mine will impact for years and years to come. Please do not do this. The forest service should be for the people, not corporate interests. This is public land. Not corporate land. Keep it pristine, keep it public.</p>	<p>Kent Schaffer, 461 Wind River Hwy, Carson, WA, 98610-3400, schaffek5@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I love the South Fork Salmon and value it for its inherent scenic and recreational opportunities, not to mention the immeasurable value the South Fork Salmon provides wildlife. I am writing in to Support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I also assert that I am not writing as a representative of any 'special interest group'. The DEIS makes clear that apart from Alternative 5, all other Alternatives would adversely impact the inherent scenic and recreational opportunities as well negative impact wildlife, their habitit, and the quality and quantity of the watershed's water. As an owner of these lands, I do not support their development. The proposed expanded mining activity constitutes an unacceptable risk to the watershed and unacceptable impacts to MY recreational opportunities. Thank you.</p>	<p>BENJAMIN ORKIN, 91 Hess Ave, Golden, CO, 80401-9523, orkinben@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>No No NO Stibnite Gold Project</p>	<p>Why on earth would you permit this project. The world doesn't need any more gold. We all need wild places that heal the soul. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Phillip Martello, 720 W 16th St, Vancouver, WA, 98660-2832, phillipjohnm@hotmail.com</p>

2020-10-26	The Greatest Good for the Greatest Number	<p>As a concerned citizen, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. As a United States citizen, I have had the opportunity to live and work in many different regions of our beautiful country for public lands and recreation. After living in Alaska and witnessing pristine and natural salmon runs it is hard to understand why we continuously make decisions that can further destroy what we've already been known to significantly hamper. Salmon used to be the life blood of the west and deep into Idaho. We need to protect what we have left. Wild and Scenic Rivers were born out of a need to stop the uncontrollable desire to expand into every corner of our country, to protect our most precious resources for future generations. I think public lands are America's best idea and we have set a good example for the rest of the world. So why would a public lands agency allow such a high impact mining operation to enter into the headwaters of one of the most pristine and high profile Wild and Scenic waterways of the continental United States? It is your duty to protect and enhance the outstandingly remarkable values of these rivers. By allowing this mine to go into the headwaters, the USFS is threatening to degrade water quality, fish habitat, and recreational opportunities to the Salmon River system, a protected system. People from all over the world visit Idaho for its pristine recreational river opportunities; camping, fishing, whitewater, hot springs, amongst others. Although leaving the resource untouched is undoubtedly priceless, putting a dollar value on tourism, natural resources, and salmon could help communicate how important this area is for our nation. Do we really need to allow a foreign company to threaten our last remaining pristine resources? The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. I was truly sad to hear the amount of development this proposal entails. This is not reversible. What are we truly gaining as a nation? What we are losing is clear. Please choose the no action alternative and support the USFS mission by caring for the land and serving the people to meet the needs of our current and future generations. It's critical now more than ever that we make decisions supporting the greatest good for the greatest number. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Monica Morin, 84033 Highway 216, Tygh Valley, OR, 97063-9605, morin.mm@gmail.com
------------	---	---	--

2020-10-26	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Stibnite Gold Project's DEIS. I was born and raised in Idaho, and treasure my memories of the South Fork of the Salmon watershed as a resident of Idaho and later as a visitor. My views are that of an independent individual who values resource protection but also the careful development of resources when warranted. I would like to voice my support for Alternative 5, or No Action per the NEPA process. The land in its current state has tremendous value for wildlife and recreation. While I am a supporter of mining activity where necessary, I do not believe that extraction primarily of Gold and Silver justifies the significant reduction of the quality of the resource in its current state. I know that regional residents may value the economic stimulus in this proposal; at the same time, I think the Forest Service does more good to the economic value of the region in the long run by curtailing activities such as this one.</p> <p>Alternatives 1-4 present adverse impacted to endangered fish species; living in Alaska I can tell you that there are few resources as important to return to a thriving state in Idaho as Salmon. For the people and wildlife that make the South Fork of the Salmon Watershed home, please consider Alternative 5--No Action. Thank you for your consideration</p>	Henry Munter, 364 Monarch Mine Rd, Girdwood, AK, 99587, henrymunter@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-26	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS in the headwaters of the SF Salmon	<p>To whom it may concern As someone who values being outside, values natural resources, values responsible use of those resources, and someone who really really fucking LOVES kayaking, I am writing to ask that you do not permit the proposed gold mine in the headwaters of the South Fork of the Salmon river. I'm just a dude who likes to be outside, and let me tell you the SF Salmon watershed is a fucking gem of a place. I've kayaked all over the US and Canada, and I struggle to think of a place that I appreciate as much as the South Fork. Between the scenery, the whitewater, and the people I've met in the process of running the river, the SF is a world class river that has value far and beyond any gold they can take out of the ground. The crystal clear water, fish, animals, and beaches would all be irreversibly damaged by the extraction of Gold through cyanide leaching in the headwaters, and that would be bad. Going to the SF and the other beautiful rivers in Idaho is something that brings me back every year and is a highlight of my summer each time. I used to work as a guide on the lower Salmon, work that was only possible due to the water quality coming downstream from the headwaters, and the people coming on the trips provided jobs and money for hundreds of people in Riggins alone and I can only imagine brought a ton of money into Idaho and into the communities that needed it. This would all be destroyed by the reduction in water quality and quantity that would result from the proposed mining in the headwaters. The whitewater in the summer, the hunting and fishing in fall through spring, etc, would all be destroyed were this mine to continue. Also like this last part is obvious but the company proposing the mine is named after a king we use as a parable for short term thinking and greed. He chose greed and being able to turn everything he touched into gold without the long term thinking of 'how the fuck am i gonna eat' and he died for it. While I'm not saying you will die if you allow this mine (you're gonna die anyways, we all die), its especially stupid to permanently destroy a natural wonder in the hunt for riches in the name of a guy who killed himself with his greed. I mean come on, you really gonna let this happen? It'd be ordained that things would go terribly, there's no way 'Midas Gold' doesn't destroy everything (the river, the fish, the water, the recreation, the money, the jobs, etc) in the name of short term profit. COME ON! The environmental study this is based on doesn't deal with the long term effects of a massive tailing pile held behind what is sure to be a shitty dam, and as we all know corporations love to clean up after themselves and definitely will not leave a big old mess that no one could have foreseen, no way. If you're going to go through with this (please don't) at least make sure your environmental analysis actually spans the length of the impact from the mine and not solely the setup and operation of the mine. That cyanide in the tailings aint just gonna evaporate. Anyways, those are my thoughts, please don't do it, feel free to call me to discuss if you have questions. Cheers, Ian Hennebery</p>	Ian Hennebery, 4537 NE 76th Ave, Portland, OR, 97218-3941, ihennebery@gmail.com
------------	---	--	---

<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>One of my favorite family memories was a trip my daughter then aged 12. One part of the trip was soaking in a hot spring on the side of the south salmon along the south salmon road, as well as crossing paths with a grey wolf. This gold mine would threaten that pure wilderness area and make it so other families like our to have that memory. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely Tom Sherburne</p>	<p>Tom Sherburne, 202 N F St, Salida, CO, 81201-2107, tom.sherburne@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>The South Fork Salmon means so much to me! This place is unparalleled in beauty. I want my kids to be able to paddle this river one day. The effects of this mine would be long lasting and detrimental to the rivers eco system. The money from this mine will quickly dry up. Eco tourism dollars are much more likely to turn a profit on the long run WHILE protecting this area. Please help is protect this wonderful place for years to come! -Mitch Sheridan</p>	<p>Mitchell Sheridan, 5315 SE Henry St, Portland, OR, 97206-6847, mitch4christ@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-26	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. This river means so much to me and has for decades. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Robin Ahrens, 1260 SW 66th Ave, Portland, OR, 97225-6072, robin.ahrens@gmail.com</p>
2020-10-26	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Carson Lindsay, 10539 Foxmead Ln, Truckee, CA, 96161-0347, carson.l@me.com</p>

2020-10-26	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I have been whitewater kayaking and rafting for 25 years. I've run rivers all over the globe, from the Grand Canyon in Arizona to the White Nile in Uganda. The South Fork of the Salmon River is hands-down my favorite whitewater river, and it has become an annual trip for me. It breaks my heart to think that it could be damaged by mining interests. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Allen Roberts, 4239 NE 74th St, Seattle, WA, 98115-6035, d.allen.roberts@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. Here are some specific examples: Environmental Impacts and related economic and social impacts are as follows. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. This further negatively impacts economic vitality related to tourism and recreation of surrounding communities and those in Idaho who depend upon the integrated watershed of Salmon River systems and the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. This number and economic benefits are growing immensely annually, with a recorded record number of visitors and related economic benefits in summer 2020. The type of mining Midas uses is called cyanide leach vat -this type of mining is already banned in Montana, Wisconsin, and a few counties in Colorado because of their affinity to fail. What is the mitigation plan for the trucking cyanid in along South Fork Salmon, Bunlong Creek and Johnson. This will also effects neighboring creeks such as Fiddle creek, a currently unaffected waterway (also viable spawning habitat) will become non existent as it fills up with waste rock ("development rock" as stated by midas) from mining ore. The current DEIS lacks this significant information, which is significant and necessary for review and consideration. Fish habitats are already at risk. The Chinook are protected under the Endangered Species act. DEIS States: "following closure and reclamation, the overall net effect will be a loss of both quality and quantity of habitat compared to existing conditions." Fish will face a decrease in productivity related to decrease in flow, increase in stream temps from current summer high of 17.4°C to 25.5°C (not considering effects of climate change), critical habitat will decrease by 26%. Midas claims that fish tunnel restoration has been successful in the past. During the tunnel construction the DEIS States, "an anticipated 7,500 chinook salmon could be potentially affected by injury or death from the removal of just one of the barriers** planned for removal". Midas cites references to support this tunnel but they don't represent the fish species. The South Fork</p>	<p>Eliza Carver, 1262 Highway 141, White Salmon, WA, 98672-8029, emaycarver@gmail.com</p>
-------------------	---	--	---

2020-10-26	Save the South Fork	<p>As a paddler who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Ty Skoe, 230 Lincoln St, White Salmon, WA, 98672, tskoe23@gmail.com
------------	---------------------	---	--

2020-10-26	Stibnite Gold Project	<p>The South Fork Salmon is already a 'gold mine' of whitewater, scenic beauty and wildlife. These wild places cannot be replaced. We have a responsibility to not let these amazing places be jeopardized by the desire for monetary gain. Money could never create a place like the South Fork Salmon but it could destroy it. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Brian Olson, 11364 W Andromeda Dr, Star, ID, 83669-5655, hisfireinside@live.com
------------	-----------------------	---	---

2020-10-26	Opposition to the Stibnite Mine	<p>I am writing to voice my opposition to the Stibnite gold mine in the South Fork Salmon drainage. I have been paddling Idaho's rivers for nearly ten years, and was lucky enough to paddle the iconic South Fork Salmon five times this year. People come from all over the world to experience the pristine water quality and fishing on the rivers here. Clean water is not only crucial to a healthy ecosystem, but a basic human right. Because the Stibnite mine poses a threat to water quality, the ecosystem, and communities downstream, I am asking that the USFS take alternative 5, the "No Action Alternative" to Midas Gold's proposed mine. There are a number of concerning points, or lack thereof in Midas Gold's DEIS. The DEIS fails to acknowledge the cultural importance of the South Fork historical range of the Nimiipuu (Nez Perce) people. A number of treaty violations have occurred over the last several hundred years after the Nimiipuu were driven from their land. Salmon decline and loss of this historical land have had significant impacts to the tribe and their way of life. Further decline of Salmon and their habitat would only worsen this, and the lack of consideration of the tribe only perpetuates the ongoing travesties that have been committed against them. One of the biggest threats to water quality is the leaching of mining chemicals into the drainage. The DEIS clearly states that the tailing pond liners that Midas Gold intends to use are untested and "The liner system proposed does not currently meet regulatory requirements." The area is also prone to large earthquakes, as we have observed this year. This poses a threat because an earthquake could cause catastrophic failure of the tailings ponds. Loss of water quality would further endanger the many species of fish such as Bull Trout, Chinook Salmon, and Steelhead that already struggle to survive in their severely altered habitat. The diversion of water around the mining site will likely also cause an increase in water temperature that will be detrimental to the fish. Transportation of hazardous materials poses a threat to the surrounding communities. The increased traffic alone will cause increased pollution of the rivers, and the possibility of a spill is de As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of</p>	Anneka Door, 16626 Henrys Dr, Bozeman, MT, 59715-7902, annekadoor@gmail.com
------------	---------------------------------	--	---

<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Idaho rivers should be preserved and protected. As a mother of 2 and someone who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Stacy Gunnoe, 7310 Sevierville Pike, Knoxville, TN, 37920-6723, stacygunnoe@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a paddle and Idaho resident who values our rivers and spectacular whitewater resources, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jefferson Slagle, 4491 Cedar Butte Cir, Rexburg, ID, 83440-4320, kwagunt@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-26	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. We love to paddle this area it would be a travesty to scar this area again. Sincerely Deb Ruehle</p>	<p>Deb Ruehle, 612 Olive Sandpoint Idaho, Sandpoint, ID, 83864, go2debrules@yahoo.com</p>
------------	--	--	---

<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I have reviewed the pertinent details of the Stibnite Gold Mine and am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. As each year passes, we learn more and more about the negative impacts of resource extraction and habitat loss through the Inter governmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and this is clearly an example of when we can prevent a disaster to a cherished public resource by not letting the disaster start in the first place. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please pursue Alternative 5.</p>	<p>Michael Pero, 239 W Utah 9, Virgin, UT, 84779, michaelpero7@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Public Land Owners Concerns with Stibnite Gold Project</p>	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am an avid whitewater enthusiast and have enjoyed multiple trips to Idaho, taking advantage of the lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Grant Boney, 2255 W Exposition Ave, Denver, CO, 80223-2203, grant.boney@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-26	THESE ARE LEGITIMATELY SERIOUS COMMENTS on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Below is a personalized message and response to the growing concern regarding the gold mine proposed for the south fork of the salmon. I am so incredibly strongly against this. Precious metals aren't precious but protecting natural treasures is precious. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Casey Fullem, 2040 Lance Dr, Bozeman, MT, 59718-7634, caseyfullem@gmail.com
------------	---	--	---

2020-10-26	Outrageous and wrong Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I have seen gold mining up close as my Father invested in one. And I worked in environmental work for several years and saw open pit mines and other types. This mining operation has no right, makes absolutely zero sense to be in that location as we lose more and more of our natural resources that can never be replaced. This is truly an abomination if you've ever seen the beauty of that area and value Continuing to natural and wild areas. I ask you to reconsider this one time harvest for some gold at the expense of permanently damaging something that cannot be replaced. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Paul Hessinger, 212 Gates St, San Francisco, CA, 94110-5660, 5jaguar5@comcast.net
------------	---	---	---

2020-10-26	Objection to the proposed Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as an individual passionate about the South Fork Salmon, who believes that it is a truly unique and special place. I am a New Zealander by birth, and even with all of the amazing rivers NZ contains, I consider the South Fork Salmon as one of the most impressive places I have visited. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater, scenery, and wilderness experience that I've personally travelled significant distances to visit as have many of my other foreign and American friends. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Nick Pascoe, Wanaka-Luggate Box 192 Hwy, APO, AE, 9382, pascoe.nick.j@gmail.com</p>
2020-10-26	Please leave the EFSS salmon the way it is	<p>Wild Idaho is worth more than gold. I fly fish that river and would hate see the impacts presented in the DEIS. You don't get that type of fisheries all over. Please have a more sustainable plan or don't proceed. Tyler Hildebrand</p>	<p>Tyler Hildebrand, 5743 S Olearia Pl, Boise, ID, 83716-7004, thilde11@live.com</p>

2020-10-26	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS comments	<p>I'm an individual who values the rivers and whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I do not represent a "special interest group," I am a concerned citizen who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Josiah Luebben, 1814 Hunt Ave, Richland, WA, 99354-2646, mynameisjosiah@gmail.com</p>
------------	-------------------------------------	--	--

2020-10-26	No Action Please on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I am writing as an Idaho resident to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. Clean water is the most valuable resource on the planet. As more watersheds become polluted, and especially in the American West where future drought is an ongoing concern, access to clean water will only become increasingly valuable because it is critical to supporting human populations. The threat to this watershed, in the form of the pollution from mining activities, is without demonstrable public good. Mining activities benefit the few, who generally include non-local stakeholders. And the benefits are short lived. Indeed the goal of mining is to extract all resources from an area until there is nothing left to sell. The consequent environmental damage, however, will extend for decades and decades, even under the most ideal conditions for regeneration. A single accident involving the storage of 100 million tons of contaminated mining material, or a leakage of cyanide during the process, would be a catastrophic event. Effected would be not just a remote stretch of river, which in the case of the South Fork of the Salmon is home to world-class hot springs, camping, fishing, hunting, and whitewater paddling, but the watersheds downstream including the Wild and Scenic Main Salmon, and the Snake River. This impacts not just recreation but agriculture in 3 states, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. Closer to home, if a final breeding ground for Chinook salmon is poisoned, the effects on wildlife will be felt far from the rivers edge. The salmon anchor an ecosystem that includes deer and elk populations. As the Headwaters of the South Fork of the Salmon become less habitable, animal populations will become stressed in the surrounding areas for hundreds of miles in all directions. Not only will hunting be affected from Boise to McCall to Challis, but pressure on animal populations will also bring more predators, in the form of wolves and mountain lions, in contact with human populations. Hunting, fishing, camping, hot springs, and whitewater paddling are all renewable resources with minimal environmental impact and an incredibly broad public benefit. This resource can not only continue without being used up, it can increase its output, as seen in the large jump in outdoor recreation within the past year. Indeed, recreation ranks above ranching and agriculture as the leading source of revenue in Idaho. And of course, the public benefit to agriculture and clean water is as broad and incalculable as possible. It is no overstatement to suggest that the Headwaters of the South Fork of the Salmon are among a handful of headwater regions, most within a hundred miles, that are the initial source of water for the greater Pacific Northwest. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Shawn Phillips, 204 Ritchie Dr, Ketchum, ID, 83340, shawnrif@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Preserve the beauty and wildlife of the south fork</p>	<p>As an individual who values and uses the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Heather Post, 1010 W Bowman Ave, Woodland Park, CO, 80863-2394, haldous89@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a neighbor to Idaho I value the rivers and incredible whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for considering healthy stewardship, Cheyenne Aura Rogers</p>	<p>Cheyenne Rogers, 323 Hazel St, Missoula, MT, 59801-1860, aurarogers@yahoo.com</p>

<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Hello, Please read carefully As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Kathryn Sulzinski, 18 Comstock Ct, Ridgefield, CT, 06877-5826, ktsulzinski@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>My, Cliff Stockton's, comments on the proposed Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an Idahoan who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, and as a former resident of Montana who valued the water resources of that state. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. As an old timer, ok, pretty old timers, I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Cliff Stockton, 1018 E 2700 S, Hagerman, ID, 83332-5800, stockton.cliff@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>To Whom it May Concern, As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>wink jones, 814 W Braemere Rd, Boise, ID, 83702-1311, winkjones3@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Save the South Fork Salmon!!</p>	<p>Some places are too special to not protect. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. We will not stand by for more damage! Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Alia Payne, 5664 Oak Grove Ave, Oakland, CA, 94618-1243, alia.payne@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Concerns with the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that I hope to be one of the many paddlers from across the country and the world to come visit and partake. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of renewed mining with ineffective restoration mitigations is an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Corey Humphrey, 1936 State Highway 96, Yreka, CA, 96097-9219, chumphrey3106@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>To Whom it May Concern, I am a concerned citizen writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. There is no need to make this mine and it's introduction will seriously impact my visits to Idaho and the resulting dollars I spend in the economy. The South Folk of the Salmon is an amazing resource and is no place to risk with a mine. No MINES IN THE SOUTH FORK.</p>	<p>David C Ennis Jr, 198 Nostalgia Ln, Bozeman, MT, 59715-7178, chrisennis406@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-26	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I grew up in Cascade, Idaho. One of the closest towns to the South Fork Salmon. I have grown up in the Frank Church Wilderness and on these rivers. To see this river become scarred and polluted by a mine would not only hurt the environment, it would hurt the people who have so many memories attached to the place, and hurt the future generations trying to experience an untouched wilderness. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Crosby Crevelt, 218 E Franklin St, Bingen, WA, 98605-9131, crevcros@isu.edu
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-26	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>This river saved my soul and showed me some of the best whitewater I have ever known. This place is not only sacred to the natives before us but is sacred to anyone that has the fortune of running its rapids. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	David Meyers, 151 Ponderosa Trl, Bigfork, MT, 59911-6391, aquaholic1553@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-26	In support of Alternative 5 - Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>We need more clean water and healthy ecosystems, not more gold. I am gravely concerned for the South Fork of the Salmon watershed if the Stibnite Gold Project moves forward. This is a vital resource for Idaho and the greater country. We cannot afford any possible sacrifice to this pristine watershed. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Katie Brady, 32 Lincoln St, Portland, ME, 04103-4408, katherine.brady8@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Opposing the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Hello, As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Christopher Caudill, 1624 16th Ave, Columbus, GA, 31901-2152, Cdcaudil@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. p.s. i really need this river to stay the way it is. it is much to special of a place to be interfered with.</p>	<p>Brody McBee, 602 Wood River Dr, Ketchum, ID, 83340, br0dymcbee1@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-26	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I took a trip down the middle fork of the salmon when I was 8 years old and those memories and experiences spawned a love for whitewater and the outdoors in general that could not be replaced with anything else. Being able to use our public lands and keeping them clean and free of chemical pollution has is something I am extremely passionate about this mine could compromise the entire watershed for generations to come. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Chris Hines, 248 Whitetail Lane Whitefish Mt, Whitefish, MT, 59937, chris.hines1@yahoo.com
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>The South Fork is Worth More Than Gold</p>	<p>As someone who has been lucky enough to experience the wonders Idaho and it's rivers, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing to combat special interest groups who would prefer to exploit rather than protect. I am a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed.</p>	<p>Lindsay Murphy, 3489 E Creek Rd, Salt Lake City, UT, 84121-5842, lgmrphy7@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>PLEASE don't let this mine happen. This is one of the most beautiful places in the US, and approval of the mine would go a long way towards damaging it irreversibly. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Ross George, 4628 N Gantenbein Ave, Portland, OR, 97217-2912, rbgeorgejr@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project DEIS - Comments from a concerned citizen</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. I hope you consider that supporting the interests of the environment is the right thing to do. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Christopher Morrison, 247 Annie Glade Dr, Bozeman, MT, 59718-7689, chrismor34@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Water is more precious than gold.</p>	<p>LynnWat Diels, 504 Spotswood St, Moscow, ID, 83843-3154, paralynn@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-26	Stakeholder Input on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an environmental engineer who often consults for mines and mining operations, I oppose any further development of gold mining operations in the South Fork drainage. We should be working towards further remediation of historic abandoned mines, not permitting new ones. This watershed is of critical importance to sustaining downstream habitat, and a wild place to be preserved for future generations. I highly value the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, and I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River deserves protection, and to be enjoyed by all who venture into its wild currents. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Ross Monasmith, 2835 Moulton St, Butte, MT, 59701-6553, ross.monasmith@gmail.com
------------	---	---	--

<p>2020-10-26</p>	<p>Save the South Fork</p>	<p>I first paddled a kayak down the South Fork of the Salmon in 1979, I have seen a lot of healing of the land since then. Please do not allow a mine to undo all of the recovery work that has taken place on the South Fork. This is perhaps the outstanding overnight river trip in the nation. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jim Stratton, 37 Pacific Pl, Longview, WA, 98632-5301, jims52@comcast.net</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. All mines have a horrible history of pollution and degradation of land. They commonly go bankrupt and leave tax payers with the financial burden of cleaning up negative environmental impacts. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Lauren McCullough, 3304 15th St, Lewiston, ID, 83501-5606, lauren.m.mccullough@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Idaho clean environment - Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Idaho is known for some really beautiful areas. I love to take my sister and her family camping in my state. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Annette Hanson, 2061 E Feldspar Ct, Boise, ID, 83712-8456, annetterun@aol.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Citizen Comment on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am an RV owner and whitewater paddler, and I love visiting Idaho for the pristine natural experience , I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a private citizen and father, not as a "special interest group," because I am very concerned about the proposed mine project on public lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jason Hart, 11216 Westcott Ridge Ct, Glen Allen, VA, 23059-7075, jhartva@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As someone who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I strongly support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am a concerned American citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state. These are lands which belong to all Americans and that the Forest Service must manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS is clear that all of the alternatives, except Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protects the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River. This river system is crucial for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please implement Alternative 5.</p>	<p>Brett O'Sullivan, 380 W Sutton Cir, Lafayette, CO, 80026-1068, brettbos@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>My Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am an avid whitewater kayaker who has paddled the South Fork along with the Middle Fork and the Main Salmon over the years. We have a precious little left of pristine wilderness and clean natural rivers in our country. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Michael Smith, 818 Crestone Ave, Salida, CO, 81201-3502, mikecolorado@msn.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I was a member of the first team to paddle the 'River of Return.' This is a packraft trip that starts at Corn Creek, runs the Main, then we hiked up the South Fork for a day paddle, then hiked over Chicken Ridge into the head of Big Creek, and then ran Big Creek and Middle Fork back to Corn Creek. The South Fork canyon was wild country with bears, deer, and elk sighted, and the water was clean and beautiful. A mine at the head of the South Fork that benefits the wealthy is not acceptable to the large population of citizens who value this area for its wild qualities. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Thomas Turiano, 3948 Hawthorne Ln, Wilson, WY, 83014-9195, tturiano@selectpeaks.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Ann Watts, 473 S Reed Ct, Lakewood, CO, 80226-3322, annwatts@mikeberkow.com
2020-10-27	NO! Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Hello I'm writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's draft EIS. I am an individual, not a PAC or SIG. At least once a year I visit that area to float/paddle the S Fork Salmon river, and I'm shocked the USFS would even consider such a mega proposal. Isn't the USFS supposed to manage our lands by what's best in the public interest? How could you kowtow to such Corporate interests? Trump and his corporate allies will soon be gone, plan ahead for your career/pension by distancing yourself from these destructive entities and PROTECT our lands in every possible way. Mineral extraction should be done on private land, and then under strict govt. supervision. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	C Crashcup, 10810 298th Ave NE, Carnation, WA, 98014-9016, hpywndrr@yahoo.com

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. The forest service has been given the esteemed role of stewardship for our National Forests. As a veteran, and a taxpayer, I would expect that you live up to that role in protecting our natural resources. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Thom Peters, 7725 Riverview Rd, Snohomish, WA, 98290-5884, voice4wild@aol.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Re: Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I would like support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Robert Dahlgren, 8311 141st Ave NE, Redmond, WA, 98052-6483, dahlgren@jslabs.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project DEIS (please protect the South Fork Salmon)</p>	<p>The rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho are a rare gift. I am writing to urge you to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and hiker who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world-class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, but it would also negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represent an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Let's discover a brighter future instead of repeating past mistakes. Thank you for your time and consideration.</p>	<p>Kindra Ramos, 2121 Mcdougall Ave, Everett, WA, 98201-2422, rkindra@hotmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who grew up in Idaho and who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of my home state, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Elliot Smith, 7536 D 43rd Ave S, Seattle, WA, 98118-3902, elliot.smith.uw@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Please support Alternative 5 No Action on Stibnite Gold Project</p>	<p>Thank you for your public service. Please support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am a concerned citizen and former resident of Idaho who believes these public lands best serve the public in their current undiminished state. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. Please protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, please protect my ability to camp and raft on this river without the negative impacts of mining. Please don't repeat the mistakes of past mining activity on the South Fork Salmon River. Thank you for considering my comments.</p>	<p>Steven Cox, 607 21st Ave, Seattle, WA, 98122-5909, stevecox68114@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Too much of our natural world has been destroyed for a short term profit! Now is the time to take seriously our stewardship of the planet that sustains the human race. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Roger Johnson, 802 N J St, Tacoma, WA, 98403-2022, rockyrajpb@wamail.net</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>NO on Stibnite Gold Project</p>	<p>I value the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, and am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I do not represent any "special interest group"; I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Grace Huang, 2863 29th Ave W, Seattle, WA, 98199-2709, statesofgrace@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a combat veteran of thirty years and an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Thomas Vail, 2128 New Main St, Louisville, KY, 40206-2008, thomasdvail@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I write to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative as described in the the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I do not represent a "special interest group." I write as a concerned citizen, stakeholder, and avid whitewater paddler who uses and enjoys these public lands in their current state. These are lands for which I am a joint owner of, along with all US citizens. I remind you that the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and around the world come to Idaho to go down and spend time along. In addition to destroying the river for human paddlers, the DEIS clearly states that all the alternatives besides 5 would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. New mining along the South Fork Salmon River represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Richard Montgomery, 223 Dickens Way, Santa Cruz, CA, 95064-1058, rmont@ucsc.edu</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Preserve the South Fork Salmon River: Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular natural and whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. We need to preserve these lands and find other alternatives to destroying the precious and minimal natural public lands like these. I write as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. It's also a prime destination for fishermen and nature lovers like myself. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. I implore you to save this natural resource for our children and their children's children. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Mark Boyden, 5900 Thames Dr, Austin, TX, 78723-3233, me@mark.boyden.name</p>

2020-10-27	Support for Alternative 5 in the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>My family and I frequently recreate in and around the public lands of the Salmon River drainage. The area is breathtakingly beautiful in its natural state containing unmatched whitewater recreation that paddlers from across the country travel to visit. Permitting a mine in the headwaters of the South Fork will forever damage these pristine waterways. Furthermore, the DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. As a stakeholder, I urge the Forest Service to protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. My family and I will be directly affected if a mine is permitted in this sensitive area. And it is unconscionable that such a project would be contemplated given the irreversible effects it will have on the local ecosystem.</p>	<p>Antonia Adams, 2400 Meadows Dr, Park City, UT, 84060-7032, utahadams@yahoo.com</p>
2020-10-27	I Strongly Oppose the Stibnite Gold Project	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I strongly oppose the Stibnite Gold Project and ask that the South Fork Salmon River be protected from this environmental disaster. Please do not stand by and watch another one of our natural wonders become destroyed by mining. Please protect the South Fork Salmon.</p>	<p>Daniel Brown, 216 W Bullion St, Hailey, ID, 83333-8506, dpbrown4321@yahoo.com</p>
2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Do not allow mining to occur on this valuable recreation river!</p>	<p>Chuck Tomkiewicz, 9540 W US Highway 50, Salida, CO, 81201-9587, Denalichuck@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Please protect the South Salmon! Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I was born in northern Idaho and I spend part of every May and June in central Idaho, paddling the pristine waters of the Salmon drainage. As a kid, I grew up playing in Johnson Creek, rafting the Lower Salmon, and camping near Yellow Pine. I've paddled the South Salmon and the Secesh many times. The South Salmon has already been degraded by decades of mining and logging activity, and the Stibnite project is a terrible project for the river. In my circle of whitewater paddlers, the South Salmon is regarded as one of the best rivers in the world, let alone Idaho. I want to protect what makes Idaho so special- its vast and unspoiled wilderness areas and public lands. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Will Stauffer-Norris, 8294 Sunrise Loop, Park City, UT, 84098-6288, Willsn@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am quite concerned about this proposed open-pit mine on the Payette National Forest, which is my land by birthright. Although the DEIS suggests that my concerns might be mitigated, we all know that these things rarely work out as planned. In view of that, we must step back and consider more carefully the potential affects of the proposed project. In particular, I am concerned that the mine would threaten endangered salmon and steelhead. We already have spent billions of dollars trying to recover these fish, and the money already spent should be weighed against the the benefits of the mine. Furthermore, the proposed mine is sited in the territory of the Nez Perce Tribe, who I understand vigorously oppose the mine, and social justice demands that their voices be heard. In view of everything to this point, I support Alternative 5, at least until the effects can be considered more carefully. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jim Boone, 3112 Ivory Coast Dr, Las Vegas, NV, 89117-2346, Jlboone@aol.com</p>
-------------------	-----------------------------------	--	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Having grown up on Idaho Rivers I have had the pleasure of rafting past the South Fork of the Salmon at it's confluence with the main salmon many times. Despite the wild nature of the Main Fork of the Salmon it is nothing when compared to the South Fork. Idaho is home to more roadless and wild rivers that anywhere in the Lower 48 and we cannot give up a world class asset for temporary extraction that can cause irreversible damage. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jake Parker, 309 N 3rd Ave, Bozeman, MT, 59715-3482, Jacobdparker@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I travel to Idaho nearly a dozen times a year to raft, camp, and fish in the area near this proposed mine. I have been visiting this area for it's solitude and scenery for two decades and hope to do so for decades more. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Robert DeBirk, 417 E 8th Ave, Salt Lake City, UT, 84103-2816, RobertDeBirk@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-27	Please don't sacrifice our Salmon River to extractive industry	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am a native Idahoan who chooses to still live here because of the amazing and boundless outdoor opportunities our state offers. Areas such as the South Fork of the Salmon River in the Payette National Forest are a rarity anymore due to human activity, despite once being the norm on our continent. As such, it is paramount that we do not sacrifice our long-term ecological health, more sustainable outdoor recreation economy and salmon habitat for short-term gain for a for-profit company who does not care about Idaho or its people. According to the U.S. Travel Association, Idaho's tourism economy is worth \$3.7 BILLION (https://commerce.idaho.gov/tourism-resources/). That's our third largest industry! I can tell you that people aren't coming here for museums, concerts or events, they are coming for our amazing outdoor resources. Building a gold mine at the headwaters of the South Fork of the Salmon would jeopardize this industry that promises more long-term economic health than extractive industries. The New West is upon us, it's time to embrace it and say goodbye to industries that show up, pillage our land and leave without cleaning up after themselves. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Once our salmon are gone, they are not coming back. Please do not erase decades of hard work to keep salmon swimming in the river named after them. Mining leaves a lasting mark that is unacceptable on our landscape. We do not have the laws to enforce clean up, and who sees the profits from desecrating OUR public lands? Not me, not you. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Sara Gress, 235 Galena Dr, Hailey, ID, 83333-8000, saragressor@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I have personally paddled the South Fork Salmon and am saddened by the thought of an open pit mine in this amazing area, threatening the river, headwaters, and all that is downstream. It's not worth the risk. Having worked as an engineer on many mine reclamation sites in ID, WA, AK, and the Yukon (Canada), I know from experience that these proposals promise everything and deliver the absolute minimum leaving threatened environments, costly treatment systems, and taxpayers left holding the bag. Please deny this proposal. In addition to this statement, I agree with the good and well-articulated points made by American Whitewater, below. Thank you, Mark Kacmarcik Wenatchee, WA. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Mark Kacmarcik, 140 S Emerson Ave, Wenatchee, WA, 98801-2613, mark.kacmarcik@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project DEIS Comments</p>	<p>I travel to Idaho most summers to paddle the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Shane Benedict, 108 Meadowcrest Dr, Flat Rock, NC, 28731-9770, shane@liquidlogickayaks.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please do not allow short-term profits to outweigh the future of endangered fish, as well as for current and future generations of Americans that deserve this wild river. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Joe Szuszwalak, PO Box 48176, Denver, CO, 80204-8176, joe.szusz@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>We ALL need Clean Water! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Elaine Becker, 2514 Sharmar Rd, Roanoke, VA, 24018-2625, elainebecker@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands of which I am an owner and of which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit, and they're GORGEOUS! The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Mary Lynn Monge, 4907 Fam Camp Dr, Las Vegas, NV, 89115-1917, marylynm@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS to Protect the South Fork Salmon Watershed	<p>I love the Salmon River just the way it is. Please protect it from unnecessary mining encroachment. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Lisa Marno, 149 E Center St, Victor, ID, 83455-5259, lisa@losmarno.com
------------	---	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As a whitewater user, fisherman and biologist I embrace the idea of being environmentally and ecologically aware in my actions. I follow the reduce, reuse and recycle lifestyle and use my purchasing power with an eye towards conservation. Sadly I see a continuous disregard for the precious resources we depend upon. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Erik Hagstrom, 23515 82nd Ave SE, Woodinville, WA, 98072-9565, ehagstrom13@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not representing a "special interest group;" I am a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Kathy Bradley, 1220 Fredericksburg Dr S, Lugoff, SC, 29078-9626, khayb55@aol.com</p>
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-27	OPPOSE Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Protect our public lands! The short term economic gain (for only a few individuals) is not worth the years of environmental cost that future generations will have to repay. STOP the shortsighted destruction of OUR lands! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Garrett Coman, 214 COTTONWOOD Ln, Ketchum, ID, 83340, garrett.coman@hsc.utah.edu
------------	-----------------------------------	--	--

2020-10-27	The Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing as part of a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I am one of those that travelled across the country to raft on the Salmon River this summer. There is not a more stunning backdrop to rafting that I have ever been on. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Ryan Riddlesperger, 3430 Clubview Ter, Colorado Springs, CO, 80906-4420, brooksride@gmail.com
------------	--------------------------------	---	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>In the face of climate change and fisheries population declines resultant from decades of damming and unsuccessful hatchery management, opening a pit mine in the watershed of one of America's gretest wild rivers is irresponsible and unjustifiable. Salmon and steelhead are invaluable natural resources that we must be managing ever more carefully as we confront the impacts of climate change on our fisheries. As someone who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I urge you to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit, myself included. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Brian Taylor, 16 Travis Dr, Gustavus, AK, 99826, brianmichtay@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. Presumably, the project is proposed for financial gain, but recreational use of public lands creates more jobs (and long term jobs), as well as more state and local income than a mining project. Given that these a public lands, the decision that most benefits the public good should be chosen. That would be Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Reuel Kurzet, 8675 SW Cecilia Ter, Portland, OR, 97223-7234, rkurzet@comcast.net
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Let the past historical record be noted. There have been many western America mining operations started since 1872. Mines were made all across the western United States. The legacy of past mining is abysmal. A reasonable person would have to ask why is the subsequent environmental record so poor? People would wonder why government efforts to require safe mining have been slipshod? Some answers are very clear - the government has not been stringent enough. Companies have used every means possible to keep it that way. Even more to note in the current climate, efforts to construct activities are clearly not using high enough safety factors. The result is failure upon failure upon failure. Dams have had high failure, waters have been polluted, and wildlife has been lost. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jeff Baysinger, 1958 S Taft St, Lakewood, CO, 80228-4446, dbtc80228@yahoo.com
------------	--	---	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. I have whitewater kayaked the Middle Fork of the Salmon 7 times, the Main Salmon twice, and the Selway and Lochsa and South Fork Payette, to name a few prominent rivers in beautiful Idaho. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world-class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. PLEASE support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement.</p>	<p>Christopher Hest, 12650 Quail Run Dr, Chico, CA, 95928-8337, chest@christopherhest.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Stibnite gold project and the Salmon river</p>	<p>I recently learned that there is a proposal to build a gold mine in the headwaters of the Salmon River. I was very sad to hear this. I have a close connection to this river through a family whitewater and camping trip on the Salmon many years ago. I would hope that this river would be protected for me and my kids to someday take the same trip and have the same wonderful wilderness experience. Tom Pinckney 1839 Westview Rd Charlottesville VA 22903</p>	<p>Tom Pinckney, 1839 Westview Rd, Charlottesville, VA, 22903-1632, thomaspinckney3@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Losing is the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Consider the economic contribution that this mine will make ONCE. Subtract the cost of potential future damages. Then consider the economic contribution of a LIFETIME of recreation uses. We have an economic incentive to say no to mining.</p>	Kim Martin, 18516 11th Ave W, Lynnwood, WA, 98037-4940, Kim.Villines@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I have personally seen and experienced the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Kathleen Dobson, 18353 Miss Ellis Loop NE, Poulso, WA, 98370-7329, dobson.k@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a couple who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. Although my husband & I do not paddle the rivers any more, we are still concerned with a mine in such a pristine area. Not good at all. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Marty And Sara Wilson, 5301 E Warm Springs Ave, Boise, ID, 83716-6201, mslwils6@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Consideration for Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. Some of my earliest time on the rivers was in Idaho, in this area. I do not want this area impacted. If mining were 'clean', if accidents never happened, this would be a different conversation. History has shown, here in the US and all over the world, that even the best protocols will allow unrecoverable destruction. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Aaron Palmer, 4350 S Little Horsethief Ln, Jackson, WY, 83001-7920, aaron.apalmer@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I'm a big fan of the Salmon river watershed and an individual who values the rivers of Idaho. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as citizen who utilizes enjoys public lands in their current undiminished state. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class habitat for endangered fish. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Dave Werntz, 450 W 2nd Ave, Twisp, WA, 98856-9868, dwerntz@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a paddler who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, especially my favorite river in the whole world, the South Fork Salmon, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that myself and many of my friends have traveled from far and wide to enjoy. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Evan Smith, 7171 State Route 14, Lyle, WA, 98635-9149, smithlifeinwater@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I do not understand why as a nation we continue to threaten, with ill conceived mining projects, our most valuable resource-clean water. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Joseph Krawczyk, N3296 Kozuzek Rd, Peshtigo, WI, 54157-9590, info@fieldforest.net</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. Its almost 30 years ago that I took a private summer trip out there to kayak on the Middle Fork of the Salmon. It was a wonderful time and resource. I am sorry I have not gotten back there. I do not think grubbing around for gold to enrich someone is a adequate reason to damage this habitat. Please do not move forward with this. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	william kranz, 118 Deer Valley Rd, Bradford, NH, 3221, will_kranz@yahoo.com
------------	--	--	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group", merely as a concerned citizen with a deep and abiding connection with the entire Salmon-Challis ecosystem, of which the South Fork of the Salmon River is inseparable. I've spent many years working with the Montana Conservation Corps and the Forest Service maintaining trails throughout the Salmon-Challis National Forest. I've also been blessed to enjoy the world-class whitewater as a paddler on the Main and South Forks of the Salmon River, these rivers are some of the most spectacular in the WORLD, truly! Nowhere else can you find the corridor's unique culture of private inholdings and their eccentric caretakers, beautiful natural hot springs, spectacular trout and in-land salmon fishing, fun and challenging whitewater all woven into the meandering river and it's hypnotic chorus. Every year I along with countless friends travel annually the Salmon-Challis area for fishing and paddling, spending thousands of dollars on this vacation. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Joe Cleinmark, 12 N Fork Valley Dr, Lander, WY, 82520-9134, cleinjd7@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am a Utah resident originally from Texas. The South Fork of the Snake and the connecting ecosystems are irreplaceable. The proposed mining project should not go forward. I have traveled the world in my profession and I have not seen any lands more unique and important than the Snake River drainage. This mining project must not be allowed. The impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Nick Norton</p>	<p>Nick Norton, 1208 E Harvard Ave, Salt Lake City, UT, 84105-1906, nick.norton@comcast.net</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration!</p>	<p>Jennifer Durban, 1001 S Main St, Kalispell, MT, 59901-5635, jdurban@me.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I've rafted rivers in Idaho, and I highly value the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I'm writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I'm not writing representing a "special interest group," but as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I'm an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is extremely important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Lehman Holder, 8916 NE 11th St, Vancouver, WA, 98664-2411, tripsguy@aol.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As a voter and a concerned citizen and as an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Layne Wheeler, 405 N 7th St, Livingston, MT, 59047-1825, Layne.wheeler@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who has kayaked the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The mission of the USDA Forest Service is to sustain the health, diversity, and productivity of the Nation's forests and grasslands to meet the needs of present and future generations. Clearly the scientific evidence has NOT been fully processed or understood since the proposal is for 3 open pits with cyanide leach processes and would leave 100 million tons of contaminated mining materials behind a 450-foot high dam in the headwaters of Wild and Scenic Rivers. Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on clean drinking water, viable in tact ecosystems, and the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please do NOT permit any of the alternatives except Alternative 5 - the NO Action Alternative. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Betsy Frick, 1330 Saratoga Ave, Steamboat Springs, CO, 80487-4925, betsyk1@q.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Please stop Stibnite Gold Project</p>	<p>I and thousands of others in the current generation have not yet had the opportunity to float the south fork. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Denis DuBois, 1613 N Astor Ct, East Wenatchee, WA, 98802-4223, denisseattle@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration of these comments.</p>	<p>Dorothy Jordan, 1407 Abbott Rd, Lynden, WA, 98264-9401, dorrie.Jordan@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, as well as the surrounding ecosystems and forests, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Alyssa Barton, 1830 108TH Ave SE, Seattle, WA, 98109, alyssa.a.barton@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I also object to this project being built on Nez Perce land, because it is their land. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Julie Tonkinson, 10671 Marine View Dr SW, Seattle, WA, 98146-1672, joolee777@yahoo.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As a person who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and a stakeholder who has been on these lands and view them most usable for the most amount of people in their current undiminished state. These lands are such that I am an owner of, along with my fellow constituents/citizens of the US, and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. This is also a huge economic boon for this area while keeping the current state free from bad water management, wildlife degradation, and pollution. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me, personally, that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River. This river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, hiking the area, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Karen Blackmore, PO Box 620101, Littleton, CO, 80162-0101, blackmorekd@aol.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes public lands and appreciates them in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest - including protection of environmental and cultural resources. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. This resource would be greatly diminished from the impacts of mining as well as fish species, habitat, water quality and quantity. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. I ask the Forest Service to protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Allowing mining in this location would negatively impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource and I believe it will impact all of the people of Idaho, including those in low income or rural communities near this river, who rely on economic impact of tourism from the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. We have an immense responsibility to steward the lands and waters of our federal public lands for the greatest good and the greatest number over the longest term. Mining strips many of the benefits for the greatest number of people - especially since alternative opportunities to generate energy to fuel our lifestyle and economies exist. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Let's do better than our past generations and work towards a future that places value for intact ecosystems and public enjoyment over resource extraction industry based economics. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Andrea Hassler, 3725 Carl White Pl, Chattanooga, TN, 37410-1268, andrea@seclimbers.org
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS. Protect the south fork of the salmon</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. I have been lucky enough to kayak down the South Fork Salmon River from its confluence with the east fork south fork and into the main salmon. It is a one of a kind river in the world that deserves protection so current and future generations can enjoy it! The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Neil Waggoner, 5060 Vance Dr, Anchorage, AK, 99508-5653, akneil@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Thoughts on Stibnite Gold Project</p>	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a citizen who wants to preserve these lands for my children, lands which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling and camping, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Ashley Morgan, 1229 E South Temple, Salt Lake City, UT, 84102-1704, asheleen@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am a co-owner and steward of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Michal Matyjasik, 2268 E Walling Dr, Boise, ID, 83712-7735, mima7985@colorado.edu</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a recent visitor to the region, as an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. This area needs protecting and the viability of mines along with risks do not make this a good move. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Matt Atwood, 25 Belmont Ct, Pleasant Hill, CA, 94523-4015, matt.b.atwood@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands of which I am an owner and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who also enjoy all that the river offers and rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of this proposed expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Anne Honrath, 2938 Madrona St, Bellingham, WA, 98225-1527, annie.honrath@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Please no mining on the South Fork of the Salmon. The fishery is worth more than it's weight in gold to the planet! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Teanna Kordel, 17705 NE 105th St, Redmond, WA, 98052-2887, tjordel@earthlink.net</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. I also want to add as a Montana resident, who is very aware of the environmental devastation caused by cyanide leech mining, I urge you not to go down that expensive and dangerous path. Despite the claims of better process a containment failure is inevitable. Montanans banned cyanide leech mining and Idaho should too.</p>	<p>Patrick McGuffin, 250 Ulm Vaughn Rd, Great Falls, MT, 59404-6313, McGuffin3@msn.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen who utilizes these public lands in their undiminished state, and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. The Forest Service must protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would adversely impact our personal enjoyment of the area, and would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed.</p>	<p>Jennifer Nitz, 407 Nora St, Missoula, MT, 59802-3937, grizzalo@hotmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>To the USFS, As an Idaho resident, avid outdoor enthusiast, and recreator in the S Fork Salmon headwaters area, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Cale Marthens, 4079 S Mill Site Ave, Boise, ID, 83716-8637, CaleMarthens@yahoo.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>To the forest service, regarding the Stibnite Gold Project: As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Please, we must care for these waters for our future generations and for the animals that rely on them. Thank you.</p>	Christine Lustik, 3124 Evans Ridge Rd, Missoula, MT, 59803-9684, clustik@centurylink.net
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Please reject the Stibnite Gold Project</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. Our natural resources--particularly our public lands--need more protection than ever. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Ted Grudowski, 2803 NE 115th St, Seattle, WA, 98125-6746, tgrudowski@hotmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>This is one of the sacred places for me. I make a pilgrimage to Idaho every spring to paddle camp and overall enjoy these rivers. I am extremely concerned about the current climate in Washington in regards to public lands. I feel protecting wonderful places used to be something both sides could get behind. Now it seems that neither side is doing very well at ensuring I have wild places to go recreate with my kids. Please do not go through with this mine.</p>	<p>Travis Miller, 96 Heart Butte Cutoff, East Glacier Park, MT, 59434-5007, Travisnmiller@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an person who values the wildlife, rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group"--I am writing as a PhD ecologist, an outdoor enthusiast, a concerned citizen and a stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed support fishes listed under the Endangered Species Act and are a critical component of the Pacific Northwest ecosystem. These rivers also contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Peter Nelson, 930 Western Dr, Santa Cruz, CA, 95060-3037, p.nelson6@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments from Erin Calfee on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I travel to Idaho every year from California, and am considering moving there, because of the amazing whitewater, beautiful camping, and pristine clear waters of the salmon river. I love to visit the Salmon River area and the surrounding communities that are economically supported by recreational boating, fishing and tourism that the river brings. Mining pollution of the South Fork Salmon continuing downstream into the Wild and Scenic Main Salmon would negatively impact some of the country's most sought out wilderness whitewater runs and the vitality of local communities. As someone from 'gold country' California, where in many rivers we cannot safely eat the fish we catch and mining scars abound, I can personally attest that the environmental costs to public lands last long after the economic boost of mining runs out. The DEIS clearly outlines the public costs to the watershed if expanded mining were allowed to move forward. I am writing to you today to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am a US citizen who uses these public lands for recreation and who trusts the Forest Service to protect the quality of my public spaces for my future use and my children's use. Out of all the alternatives under consideration, all except Alternative 5 would negatively affect endangered fish and their habitat, downstream water quality and flows. A preserved South Fork has significant recreational and economic value in its own right, and as a major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Main Salmon, the risk of negative downstream consequences are just not worth the potential short-term economic gains of this mining proposal. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Erin Calfee, 27275 Willowbank Rd, Davis, CA, 95618-5055, erincalfee@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Stop the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an avid user of our rivers through kayaking, rafting, camping and fishing, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. Our family has had many amazing adventures in Idaho. And my husband and I have paddled the South Fork many times. Paddlers from around the world travel to Idaho to visit the South Fork because of its beauty and whitewater. It's a very unique, beautiful river of which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. Damage to this area would be a tragedy. The water quality of this beautiful river is great right now. And this river is a major tributary to the extremely popular Wild and Scenic Salmon River. Doing anything to negatively effect this river system is irresponsible and egregious. For me, it is incredibly important to protect this area. Moving forward on this project would be a travesty that would impact me, my family, all of the thousands of people who travel to this area to enjoy these rivers. Not to mention, the people of Idaho who rely on these visitors as an important part of their economy. Expanding mining operations in this beautiful area is unacceptable. It's a terrible risk to this important watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Vida Dillard, 852 Handy Dr, Carbondale, CO, 81623-9446, vidadillard@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Please Halt the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The rivers of Idaho have provided me with some of the most spectacular, wild, and magical moments of my life. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit as well as clean waters that house fish who have lived and mated here for millennia. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. I urge you to consider the impacts beyond those who benefit directly from this mine and think about the generations of people that will benefit from the pristine waters of this river for years to come. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Thomas West, 4136 1/2 N Sheridan Rd, Chicago, IL, 60613-4291, navethom@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	My very special comments on the proposed Stibnite Gold Project	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who lived in central Idaho for almost twenty years and utilized these public lands, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	John Apel, 1212 Animas St, Montrose, CO, 81401-4290, jkatrout@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Much of this letter is from the Friends of Whitewater, but I agree completely with everything they state. The Salmon is no place for a gold mine. I've floated and fished the river. The risks to that incredible resource are simply not worth it. Deny the applications for a mine! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Phil Aaberg</p>	<p>Phil Aaberg, 5496 Soaring Eagle Dr, Helena, MT, 59602-9609, phil@sweetgrassmusic.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an avid whitewater and river enthusiast, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jeff Baltrush, 349 Mcconnell Dr, Lyons, CO, 80540-3806, jeffrey.baltrush@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The proposed chemical extraction process with all of their inherent poisonous 'side effects' should be enough reason to deny proceeding especially when the region is still suffering from previous mechanical extraction methods! The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Rick Provencio, 6213 Cadiz St, El Paso, TX, 79912-5001, rickproquo@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Support Alternative 5 Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an employee of the outdoor recreation economy and as an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not not part of a "special interest group." I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service has the responsibility to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I had the great pleasure to raft the whitewater on a stretch of the Salmon for the first time this past summer and I can tell you: this river is a national treasure. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. Therefore, this should be an easy choice. It is important to me personally, and it is important to my industry, that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling and camping it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Lisa Nichols, 215 N 7th St, Hamilton, MT, 59840-2433, lisa@onxmaps.com
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Urgent Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who avidly values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I myself have paddled the S. Fork many times and highly value the unique terrain and history here. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Liane Owen, 6235 NE 30th Ave, Portland, OR, 97211-6737, equinoxpdx@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Please don't be short sighted</p>	<p>The South Fork of the Salmon is an incredible asset that could never be replicated. We make a pilgrimage from Colorado every year to spend a week kayaking the South Salmon and its tributaries. Allowing this mine would be horrible for the state of Idaho and every river runner in the world.</p>	<p>scott dillard, 852 Handy Dr, Carbondale, CO, 81623-9446, scottdillardrealtor@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. I am also extremely concerned that this project does not address tribal concerns and requests of the Nez Perce Tribe on their territory. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Allison Platt, 2148 NW Awbrey Rd, Bend, OR, 97703-1217, alliekatplatt@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS - PLEASE STOP THIS PROJECT	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. This part of Idaho is a national treasure and to allow it to be destroyed would show a complete and total lack of respect for nature, the indigenous people, and the people of Idaho. It's time to start paying attention to far reaching impacts of a project like this. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Ryan Bahn, 3920 W Prospect Ave, Hood River, OR, 97031-7760, ryanbahn@gmail.com
------------	---	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I value the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, and am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group,. I'm writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	JB Brockman, 4172 Amber Pl, Boulder, CO, 80304-0963, jbbrockman9@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Comments from a very concerned Coloradan on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>In Colorado, a state with similar wilderness and water resources to Idaho, we have prioritized the health of our ecosystems because we know that if the environment is healthy, so will be the people that live in it, near it, and because of it. We also know a thing or two about mining here in CO and its impact on our watersheds. Given the economic draw of having a clear watershed in the South fork of the Salmon river, I'm shocked that new mining is even being proposed. Tourism would bring much more diverse spending to an area that could use a sustained economic and environmental recovery plan rather than a shortsighted stopgap that does damage in the short and long term.</p> <p>Furthermore, as an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Kaki Arnaud, 3060 S Adams St, Denver, CO, 80210-6508, karnaud@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I have rafted the south fork of the Salmon River and observed the outdoor businesses associated with outdoor recreational use of this valuable, irreplaceable land. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The negative impact on the outdoors industry, and the income generated by tourists using it must be weighed against any income a mine would generate. Also mines do not last forever and leave the resource depleted. Outdoor recreational use and ecotourism last forever and are a growing industry. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	MARTHA RHOADES, 4820 Sanctuary Trl, Billings, MT, 59101-7246, rheadm4275@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>My name is Regan Byrd, I am a native Idahoan, Registered Nurse, kayaker, and avid outdoor enthusiast. I am writing to please ask that we take a longer and harder look at the DEIS related to the Stibnite Gold Project. There is so much missing information and it must be addressed before this project moves forward. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Regan Byrd, 415 NE Wisconsin St, White Salmon, WA, 98672, Regan.c.byrd@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>My name is James Byrd and I am an RN, event organizer and active outdoor enthusiast who wishes that there be a much deeper, more involved approach to the DEIS of the proposed Stibnite Mine above the East Fork and the South Fork Salmon Rivers. There is much more information needed and clarification before this project is considered. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>James Byrd, 415 NE Wisconsin St, White Salmon, WA, 98672, james.f.byrd@gmail.com</p>
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as an out-of-state visitor, bringing my tourist dollars to remote communities, who hired local guides to run the river, purchased groceries, ate in restaurants and stayed in hotels. I come because of utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Suze Woolf, 314 NW 60th St, Seattle, WA, 98107-2138, woolf_s@msn.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS...	<p>I wonder if the economic gains associated with open pit mining are worth the potential economic losses in tourism dollars to local communities. Maybe it is worth it. But I am sad that we would consider allowing such a high intensity land use to damage such a critical resource for habitat, tourism and recreation. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Spencer J Smith, 450 N 300 W, Provo, UT, 84601-6729, spencersmith1227@gmail.com
2020-10-27	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Seth Furtney, 11 Molas, Durango, CO, 81301-9448, sethfurtney@hotmail.com

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>First off, this is horse shit. No mine, nor the dollars or jobs it will create, are worth damaging the last great undammed river in the lower 48. I've rafted and fished that river and climbed through those hills and I know its beauty. Why would we enrich the few at the expense of the many. Not including the asinine idea that the Federal Government has spent millions/billions on fish rehabilitation only to permit the mine and destroy all their hard work and investment.</p>	<p>Matthew Schmidt, 1073 Mount Pleasant Rd, Kelso, WA, 98626-9209, matthew.schmidtj@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As someone who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Our wild and scenic rivers are an invaluable part of America's greatness. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Susan Balmuth, 18115 NE 108th Ct, Redmond, WA, 98052-2866, susan@balhorne.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an Idaho native and Utah resident, I values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Nick Melander, 1497 S 300 E, Salt Lake City, UT, 84115-1538, eldon_seer@hotmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Yes, me and my traveling, outdoor loving family strongly supports this important message. (TAO) As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Todd Oik, 6700 S Glencoe St, Littleton, CO, 80122-2378, tolk836@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Joe Grasso, 1400 Brown Cir, Boulder, CO, 80305-6727, jgg3@njit.edu</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen, whitewater kayaker, and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jennifer Bahling, 9501 W Forest Park Dr, Hales Corners, WI, 53130-1623, jmbahling@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS Alternative 5	<p>If COVID-19 has done nothing else it has shown us how important it is to get outside and enjoy nature. It is truly stress relieving and rejuvenating. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Sandee Scott</p>	Sandee Scott, 6510 Farm To Market Rd, Whitefish, MT, 59937-8301, sscott15904@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am a paddler, hiker and backpacker that loves our wild lands. I believe that Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement, should be approved. Approving any of these other alternatives is a danger to our environment and disrespects the indigenous people who were on these lands before us colonizers. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Thomas Reynolds, 11 Brown Is, Friday Harbor, WA, 98250-7209, tom.reynolds@alumni.stanford.edu</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Hello, I support and strongly urge that alternative #5, the no actin alternative of the Stibnite Gold Project Environmental Impact Statement is adopted. I travel to Idaho and the South Fork River watersheds at least once per year to enjoy the river setting, waters and wildlife. I want to let you know the river and its surroundings and its wildlife in its natural state has much value to me as I am sure my and other recreationalist coming to this area have to the communities in the area as well as to the communities we pass thru in route to the South Fork of the Salmon. It is my view keeping the area natural is best use, provides far more people recreational opportunity and economic benefit than development of a mine would have. A mine would benefit far fewer people, the benefits would be temporary and inevitably lead to a boom bust cycle and leave behind scars and potential environmental disasters which would become burdens on us the tax payers. Please do what is best for the majority and for the long term and select Alternative 5</p>	<p>Dean Weyer, 1316 Florence St, Enumclaw, WA, 98022-2223, 100deanweyer@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>The best years of my life were spent in the Salmon River region. This area is truly magical and needs to be preserved. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Alison Ward, 6665 Fenton St, Arvada, CO, 80003-4343, alisonoward@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jasmihn Williams, 2115 E Vimont Ave, Salt Lake City, UT, 84109-1738, Jasmihnmariah@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Stibnite Gold Project Comments	<p>I took my first river trip just this past summer. I'm a skilled outdoorswoman, having thru-hiked the Pacific Crest and Appalachian Trails, mountain biked all over the west, and visited more wild places than I'll ever be able to count. So it's pretty difficult for me to have one of those life-changing experiences that so many of us experience in the outdoors: I've seen it all. My time on the river this summer was just that: life-changing. Awe-inspiring. Stunning. It brought me closer to my soul. Lofty as that all sounds, these are the experiences that we as humans are programmed to experience when we're in special places. These are the experiences that show us what it means to be a human and open up the opportunities to step into our best selves. These are the opportunities that are lost when we sacrifice the grandeur and the wildness of our lands to for-profit and exploitative interests. I am writing to you today to express my concern with the Gold Project, and to urge you--as a concerned citizen who truly values our rivers, and as someone who spends a fair amount of money each year to recreate in Idaho and throughout the West--to support Alternative 5 if you must. The South Fork Salmon watershed is special, and protecting the fish species, their habitat, and the water quality is crucial. Thank you.</p>	Danielle Alling, 2842 E 3300 S, Salt Lake City, UT, 84109-2821, danielle.alling@gmail.com
------------	--------------------------------	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. I have rafted on the Salmon with a guiding company, and it was a wonderful experience. We need to safeguard our wild and scenic rivers from reckless exploitation. We are impoverishing future generations by destroying our country's natural national heritage. It is wrong to destroy these works of God for human greed. People of all political persuasions enjoy the outdoors, and particularly places of stellar beauty like Idaho's Salmon River. Recreation on the river supports jobs that do not negatively impact the environment, as mining does. Short term gain should not be more important than a treasure that should be kept pristine for generations. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Louisa McCleary, 2872 NW Cumberland Rd, Portland, OR, 97210-3802, lmcclary1@aol.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-27	Please save the Salmon River from Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>My first rafting experience was on the Salmon River. Observing the wildlife and power of that beautiful river sets in my mind and memories as a life-changing experience. I remember how present the parks felt was in the management of the area and I am dumbfounded that they Forestry department is even considering the devastating impact on this important piece of our country's heritage. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Elizabeth Kois, 7929 Fairview Rd, Boulder, CO, 80303-4725, Lizkois@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Please accept these comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Protecting America's valuable waterways is more important now than ever before, due to climate chaos and the droughts that it exacerbates. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Alice Elshoff, 1454 NW 4th St, Bend, OR, 97703-1823, calice58@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. As a concerned citizen and environmentalist, I strongly urge that this nation needs to preserve and protect those areas still remaining of clean and crystal clear water, with excellent multi-day wilderness paddling adventures, and especially to protect the endangered fish like Chinook Salmon, Steelhead, and Bull Trout, for which the area is listed as critical habitat. Other species of wildlife also depend on these fish to survive, and to keep this area in a true balance of Nature. The river's headwaters, where the mine is proposed, must remain protected and preserved, as all the flora and fauna within! The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jane Perkins, 3218 Marcellina PI, Loveland, CO, 80537-3621, jperkins_bird@hotmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-27	Deeply concerned about the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As a lifetime Idaho resident, I greatly value the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, not to mention the rapidly growing outdoor recreation economy here. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Kellen Williams, 11103 Ripley Ct, Boise, ID, 83713-2672, kellen-williams@hotmail.com
------------	---	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. I feel I am uniquely qualified to comment on this matter as I make a living working on river restoration projects all across the Pacific Northwest. I've seen firsthand the effects of mining and poor river management. I urge the Forest Service to refrain from allowing any detrimental actions to be allowed in this watershed.</p>	Nic Truscott, 2465 Cirrus Ln, Ferndale, WA, 98248-5605, nic.truscott@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please consider the value of this river in an undamaged form, and the great potential for damage to it with this major mine at its head. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Peter Nordgren, 22140 Old Highway 13, Cornucopia, WI, 54827-4784, pnordgre@yahoo.com</p>
------------	--	--	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. So let's not go backward and cause destruction with mining let's go forward and save the South Fork of the Salmon River! Thank you for taking the time to understand my perspective.</p>	<p>Chris Moore, 2116 S Josephine St, Denver, CO, 80210-4804, photogcam@comcast.net</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Please protect our nation's wilderness and waters. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Peter Reagel, 5514 21st Ave S, Seattle, WA, 98108-2908, preagel@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project South Fork of the Salmon River	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed are part of the Salmon fish habitat that we have worked hard to restore as well as water for outdoor recreation. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Debbie Dent, PO Box 32, Wilsall, MT, 59086-0032, ddent0116@yahoo.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Whitewater boater with comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an whitewater boater, taxpayer, and concerned citizen who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that myself and paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. In addition to the active destruction of this watershed is the continued presence of 100 million tons of contaminated mining materials behind a 450-foot high dam with the additional risks of tailings dam failure, acid mine drainage, and cyanide spills, which are all not accounted for in the DEIS. Durango and Silverton, Colorado and the other communities downstream of such left overs from mining can attest to the long standing problems with not just the initial mining but the consequences long after mining operations have closed down. Communities, citizens, and ecosystems pay the economic and environmental costs of allowing mining to occur on our public lands. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. This project is not economically viable and Alternative 5 should be the chosen action on this project. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Barbara Vinson, 1540 S Turnersville Rd, Buda, TX, 78610-4801, 062819b1@opayq.com
------------	---	---	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Urgent Comments re: Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I cannot overstate the value of the rivers and whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. I beg you please to consider the impact to our valued public property and the endangered animals and natural resources that will undoubtedly be ruined by this greedy proposed endeavor.</p>	<p>William Lawson, 304 S Jones Blvd, Las Vegas, NV, 89107-2623, william@singledadd.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I love the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not part of a "special interest group," I am a concerned citizen who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands that I, as a citizen and taxpayer, am an owner of and which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Deirdre Scott, 216 14th Ave N, Buhl, ID, 83316-1704, d248scott@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. I do have family in the region, and have used the area recreationally many times. My father has ties to the Nez Pearce tribe, and would never support any project like this because of how highly he values and respects the Nez Pearce people. He is 86 and unable anymore to participate in this kind of letter writing campaign, so I am speaking for him. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I have seen these kayakers many times on my trips to the region, and have fished the waters with my father. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Kristi Hunziker, 3204 Summitview Ave, Yakima, WA, 98902-2253, hunzykris@yahoo.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. I am a Idaho native and travel back to my beautiful state to enjoy the outdoors supporting hunting, camping, hiking, and whitewater. Please consider protecting the South Fork Salmon River from the impacts of mining. As a Environmental Scientist who has managed oil & gas as well as mines the impacts can be long reaching. I promote progress but do not believe compromising a watershed is a acceptable impact. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Michael Callen, 4585 Cerro Ln, Redding, CA, 96001-3827, michael.t.callen@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Really? Don't go ahead with this mine. Period. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Scott Shively, 2416 E Lachlan St, Meridian, ID, 83642-5208, scottcshively@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Enough. Once again we are being asked to let a few people get rich and then clean up the mess. The west is lettered with toxic mine sites where a few people extracted minerals or metals and then left the mess to the taxpayers by declaring corporate bankruptcy. The posted bonds are never adequate for cleanup. In this case the damage will not be isolated to the mine site, but has the potential to pollute an entire recreation venue, one of the last truly pristine places in the west and a driver of the local economy. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Vincent Furst, 3675 Laduke St, Bozeman, MT, 59718-6124, vincej.1st@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Please stop the Stibnite Gold Mine Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an Idaho native and an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Nicolette Roberts, 6821 W Folk Dr, Boise, ID, 83704-7326, nicoletteroberts15@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am a whitewater paddler who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho. Although I live in New England, I have traveled often to Idaho to enjoy its rivers. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Maryann Auriemma, 802 Pine Meadow Rd, Northfield, MA, 01360-9638, redrockwanderer@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Please protect our water and environment for future generations	<p>I am writing to represent the voice for all the creatures who call the south fork watershed home. As a citizen of the United States, I am stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. More importantly it is our responsibility as stakeholders to value a healthy environment over a hefty pocketbook. We know Cree tribe expressed it best, "When the last tree is cut down, the last fish eaten and the last stream poisoned, you will realize that you cannot eat money." The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Nan Campbell, 140 Oak Run Rd, Carbondale, CO, 81623-2800, nanfields_andstreams@hotmail.com</p>
------------	---	---	---

2020-10-27	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>One of the most treasured resource in Idaho is the wilderness areas that many states in the U.S. and the world no longer have because they failed to protect it. Please consider the following as we have to opportunity for Idaho to continue to be leaders in wilderness management. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Dena Smith, 4 Robison Rd, White Salmon, WA, 98672-8249, dena.smitty@gmail.com
------------	----------------------------	--	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>No to the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values, respects and appreciates the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. Among the many benefits the river offers, the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Taylor Carlin, 645 Rollins St, Missoula, MT, 59801-3794, t.r.carlin1@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. If this mine project is approved the watershed will never be the same and the diversity of the waterway will likely never recover from the affects of the mining project. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Logan Jones, 2732 Meadow Creek Dr, Park City, UT, 84060-6832, logan.jones@parkcity.org</p>

2020-10-27	Whitewater Raft Guide Against the Stibnite Gold Project	I am a raft guide for Northwest Rafting Company on the Middle Fork Salmon and recreational boater on the Main Salmon River in Idaho. I care deeply about this area and its longevity, which means that I am against this Gold project. Shortsighted and consequential, it neglects to factor in the millions of visitors that come for clean water (as well as boost the economy in and around the Forest) and the fish that already work so hard to spawn (maybe concentrate the efforts and jobs around finding solutions for farmers and taking the Snake dams down). Alternative 5 is No Action, which in itself is an action that understands this ecosystem is better as we found it. We as boaters practice Leave no Trace Principles, you should do the same. Thank you.	Elisa Friedmann, 121 Ferry Rd, Grants Pass, OR, 97526-9617, e.r.friedmann@gmail.com
2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I have whitewater kayaked the South Fork of the Salmon river and appreciate it's unique qualities. Alternative 5 is the only option that will preserve the unique qualities of the South Fork of the Salmon river.	John Bonn, 3420 N Adrienne Way, Flagstaff, AZ, 86004-1765, awaact@corniceresearch.com
2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	I want Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's DEIS. I am a concerned citizen who has recreated in these public lands and who appreciates that their staggering beauty owes in large part to their lack of pollution. I'm also a human being whose health and well being depends on the remaining natural order of the world to remain as undisturbed as possible. It matters not that my family and I live on the other side of the country. No impacts are exclusively local any more given the existing toll humans have already taken on the earth's meta-ecosystem. These effects matter more than extracting metal from the ground.	Tom Welander, 591 Grant St SE, Atlanta, GA, 30312-3148, tjwsub@gmail.com

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>No Action on the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. As an outdoor professional in the whitewater industry, I am outraged that such an undertaking with so much clearly negative impact would even be considered. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Avery Potter, 30015 Elk Corridor, Buena Vista, CO, 81211-8693, ap.gossamerflight@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS: Support for Alternative 5</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am one of many paddlers from across the country - and the world - that travel to Idaho to enjoy the amazing whitewater and beautiful forests. This project will not just affect the land it sits on. The effects during mining and the potential for leaks after the mining is complete affects a huge watershed and hundreds of miles of downstream water. I live in Colorado and the Gold Mine spill is fresh on our minds here. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Ellen Babers, 180 Alpine Dr, Durango, CO, 81301-8278, ellen@wildhairproductions.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Mining companies have a long history of not returning the environment where they mine back to the pre-mining condition. In fact, they often leave the land and water in much worse conditions for years to come. Please do not allow this mine to move forward, protect the land, water and wildlife for the current and future generations. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Patrick Rivers, 17 E Morningside Ave, Lombard, IL, 60148-2618, patrickrivers@sbcglobal.net
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers such as myself, and from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jeffrey Barden, 4406 W 36th St, Minneapolis, MN, 55416-4808, jeffdbarden@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Please consider this as priority for future generations! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Linda Roche, 12889 S Willow Ave, Grant, MI, 49327-8732, upturtle@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I have been rafting on the Main and Middle fork of the Salmon a number of times and hope to run the south fork someday. This area of the country should be left for recreationalists as too few places remain as pristine as this area. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	JOHN LEGEL, 3500 Bingham Hill Rd, Fort Collins, CO, 80521-1018, patlegel@aol.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers with thriving fish habitat and whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. Such limited resources are a treasure and we the people must protect such beautiful places. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Leslie Adams, 1 Buckshot Ln, Twin Bridges, MT, 59754-8528, lesadamsmt@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who fishes and values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to strongly support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>David Hohler, 3147 NW Norwood Dr, Corvallis, OR, 97330-1733, dbhohler@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Public Comment - Stibnite Gold Mine on the South Fork Salmon	<p>The proposed mine on the East Fork of the South Fork of the Salmon is a short term, narrow minded, disaster waiting to happen if it is allowed to proceed. This mine will not only hurt the entire ecological system but also degrade the wilderness of everything downstream of the mine. With an endangered Chinook Salmon and many other fishes that are native to the Salmon river and its tributaries, it is hard to see why this proposed mine could have any positive impact for anyone besides the few greedy people who will profit at Midas Gold. This will also directly affect the thousands of people who recreate in these rivers and enjoy the wild lands that were carved and supported by the Salmon river watershed. This is a direct attack on American public land and the Forest Service should critically think about the long term effects that would come from building a mine. The idea that diverting the river around this project will somehow help maintain flow, temperature, and the sediment that every species downstream needs is preposterous and shows how little biological impact was actually taken into account when assessing this project. As we have seen all over the country (and the world) messing with rivers has long term effects that in many cases are irreversible. Dams, mines, and diversions put all riparian and aquatic species at risk and could send already endangered species into an extinction vortex. The idea that this river will repair itself after the mine is stripped of its gold is insidious. The new roads, electrical systems, spillage from diesel and gold-extracting chemicals, and impact of having an infrastructure heavy project will have negative impacts on the entire area for centuries to come. Rivers can and will repair themselves but it will take much longer than any human could predict or are willing to admit. This is unacceptable for a government agency who is supposed to be 'Caring for the land and serving people.' The Salmon watershed is one of the few pristine watersheds that is left in the country. People from all over the world make the trek to this amazing resource every year to get a glimpse at the fishing, whitewater, hiking, and wildlife that the river provides. By building a mine at the headwaters of this resource, you would not only take this away from people who have enjoyed this for decades but eliminate the chance that many people or future generations would be able to see this amazing place. As a proud American I am astonished that we would allow a money hungry private company to come in and destroy one of the most amazing resources we have to offer for our citizens and people from all over the world. As Americans we should strive to be the country that sets the tone for protecting our wild lands for future generations by looking at long term effects. Thank you for your taking the time to read this and think about the devastation that would come from this mine.</p>	Scott Perry, 14730 25th Ave NE, Shoreline, WA, 98155-7318, Sdperry93@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>My Comments on the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>First and foremost: I support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative Outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. These are my reasons, please take time to read and consider them: This project puts at risk multiple necessary resources for what is undeniably a human want, not a need. Clean, trustworthy water is invaluable. Have we not learned from places like Flint, MI? Do we really want to put people at risk of cyanide poisoning in an area of frequent human habitation and recreation? This project acknowledges in its DEIS that water quantity and quality will be affected. This is not acceptable. Furthermore, the state of Idaho has spent billions of dollars trying to support the recovery of numerous endangered salmon populations. This project will negatively affect those goals and will quite literally undermine the progress made in salmon recovery. Do not do this, please. To build a mine in an area recognized as critical habitat for these endangered wildlife populations is irresponsible, and I absolutely oppose this. This land is public land. Thank you for holding a comments period, and please take the time to consider how the public, the people this country and this land are for, actually feel about this project. My opinion is this: such a mine is not a good investment, period. I am a single citizen. I am not part of any special interest group. I saw an email about this and felt strongly enough to take time out of my day and write these paragraphs expressing my strong opinions on this subject. I value rivers and whitewater. Through time spent on our nation's rivers I have made invaluable memories with my family that bonded us together and strengthened our relationships and love for one another. Our public lands give us something exceptional as Americans. There is a reason our national parks are filled with foreigners every summer. Part of what makes us American is the freedom of access we have, and access to wilderness and pristine waters are among those freedoms. Do not take away the freedom and wonder this unique area offers. I am a whitewater and outdoor enthusiast. I know the value of places like the South Fork Salmon watershed, and I absolutely support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative Outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this</p>	<p>Hannah Buckingham, 404 Hurst Creek Rd, Lakeway, TX, 78734-3463, hannah.recreation@gmail.com</p>
-------------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. We must preserve our lands and wild places for future generations to discover and enjoy. We can not risk the possibility of losing this wild sanctuary to more mining. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Evan Oleson, 180 Millstream Ter, Colorado Springs, CO, 80905-4430, evoleson@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-27	South Fork of the Salmon	<p>I love rivers. I love wildlife. I love outdoor recreation. I want to protect the environment and species that live in the areas that bring me over whelming joy. The South Fork of the salmon is a Major tributary to The Main Salmon, a WILD AND SCENIC RIVER. So shouldn't we protect the water that feeds an already protected river? It is the habitat for endangered species of fish such as, Chinook salmon and Steel head. These fish have been fighting for their habitat for years with so many projects trying to harm their habitat. If they could speak they would be exhausted at the amount of times they have to stand up for themselves so that they didnt have to swim in chemicals anymore. This river is not just home to endangered species of fish, but outstanding outdoor recreation that every american and citizen of the world should be able to come and enjoy. Thousands of people come to enjoy the National Parks of Idaho every year. For the paddler, the whitewater is exceptional from a road side day trip to an over night to the head waters of The Main Salmon. World class fishing for our anglers of the world. And some beautiful camping with hot springs hidden about. It's an outdoor paradise. It's our duty to protect the environment, we've done such a poor job of it for years. Now is the time to really put our foot down and say 'you know what.... we dont need more things, we need more flowers, fish and running rivers. We need more areas for bears to eat berries, deer to bed down and eagles to soar.' Its time for the environment to beat big business. She deserves more then any human, because she is the world and was here long before us and will be here long after us. We should start feeding her again instead of starving her of beauty. I think it would be a great thing of The Forest Service to protect this area. It has already been hurt by mining once before. Let's keep the waters clean and free for the generations to come.</p>	Kelsey Molinare, 20 Kayak Rd, Avon, CO, 81620, kelsey.molinare@gmail.com
------------	--------------------------	--	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>It is crucial that we choose to protect the waters and the environment of Idaho as well as all watersheds. Alternative 5 is the only real option as we should not sell out to ruthless extraction companies who are interested in short term profits at the expense of the local environment and everyone downstream. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Mark Shipe, 1872 W Chimazo Dr, Pueblo, CO, 81007-6806, markshipe@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	I would like to make Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The adverse effects on wildlife outweigh the need for this project. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Robert Collis, 1912 N 2nd St, Flagstaff, AZ, 86004-4207, rcollis79@gmail.com
------------	---	---	--

2020-10-27	Please Protect the South Fork Salmon Watershed from the Stibnite Gold Project	<p>As an individual who values the rivers of our country, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group." I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who lives on this planet and in this country and enjoys the wonderful public lands we have kept in their current undiminished state. Public lands belong to the public of the United States and are entrusted to the management the Forest Service for protecting the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed are cherished public resources that should be protected for current and future generations and should definitely not be allowed to be damaged by the Stibnite Gold Project. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. I can't imagine why anyone would even consider allowing this watershed to be damaged. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would negatively impact this amazing public resource for whitewater paddling, camping, and fishing and would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represent a completely unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please protect this amazing watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Piper Wall, 912 Clark Ave, Ames, IA, 50010-6144, piperwall@q.com
------------	---	---	--

2020-10-27	The Stibnite Gold Project will degrade and harm the waterways	<p>It is appealing that such a large project is being considered without a full and thorough environmental impact study. So much of what could potentially pollute the waters is omitted in the draft statement. Name for me open pit gold mine ever to have had no negative impact on nearby waterways. One does not exist. The controls and protections currently required are inadequate to protect this habitat. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Robyn Pack, 1154 E 800 S, Salt Lake City, UT, 84102-3703, robynpack@gmail.com
------------	---	---	---

2020-10-27	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS - COMMENTS	<p>As people who value wild, free-flowing, pure rivers and the spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, my family and I (four voting and tax-paying adults) write to YOU to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative, as outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. We do NOT represent a "special interest group." We are writing this letter as concerned citizens who live in a neighboring state and who love to come to Idaho for campong, bicycling, hiking and white water activities for vacations. We are stakeholders who utilizes Idaho's public lands, and we fully appreciate these current undiminished natural resources (so rare in the West today). These are PUBLIC lands for which the Forest Service is directed to manage for multiple uses in the public's interest. We are well aware and value the rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed, as they contain world class whitewater that paddlers (as we are) and others from across the country and world travel to Idaho to experience. They are an existing shared resource that will outlast any mining or other development project. We see the DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitats, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to us personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River. We value this river system throughout the Pacific Northwest as a vitally important one for native and endangered fish, especially the salmonids. Our PNW states of Washington and Oregon and the federal government have spent billions to try to save the dwindling salmon populations, and it is not yet too late to save them from extinction. But it is close, and this mining project would be another nail in the coffin. We know the value of outdoor recreation in this region and the current economic vitality of the local communities, since we have participated in contributing to them! We also know that moving forward with this mining project would adversely impact not only our and our friends personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping, fishing, hiking and bicycling, but it would also negatively impact all Idahoans who rely on income, directly or indirectly, from hundreds of thousands of annual visitors to Idaho's National Forests. We know that the South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from scars of past mining activity and that the impacts of expanded mining activity represent unacceptable risk to this incredibly valuable watershed. Thank you for honoring this alternative in the DEIS and for your sincere consideration of these comments from the four of us: Dan and Lys Burden and Mike and Dan Brant, residents of Port Townsend, Washington.</p>	Lys Burden, 310 Willow St, Port Townsend, WA, 98368-6417, WPburden@aol.com
------------	---------------------------------------	---	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5; the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The South Fork Salmon watershed rivers contain world-class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. As an individual who values these rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, and as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, I am also an owner. One of the directives of The Forest Service is to manage these open spaces in the public interest. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. This river system is vitally essential for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the local communities' economic vitality. Personally, the Forest Service must protect the outstanding water quality of this significant tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my utilization and enjoyment of this public resource, but it would also negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represent an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>James Rueckel, 1104 E 34th Ave, Spokane, WA, 99203-3152, cayuga23@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. ***** The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Our public lands should Never be For Sale to the highest bidder to destroy and pollute!!!! Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Mary Davis, 8049 SE Ogden St, Portland, OR, 97206-7856, cysliders@aol.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I'm writing today to first off Thank You for giving us the opportunity to weight in on this important issue. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Troy Kasper, 9110 NE 179th PI, Bothell, WA, 98011-3630, tnkasper@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Please, for your consideration: My thoughts on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an user of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through fishing, but it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to the National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Mallory Ubbelohde, 346 E Downington Ave, Salt Lake City, UT, 84115-2208, mallory.ubb@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Please do not allow the Stibnite Gold Project	<p>Clean, clear water is an invaluable resource that too many take for granted. Idaho is one of the few places I have been that has beautiful, clear, flowing rivers. The South Fork of the Salmon is a unique river that should be protected from pollution and mining activity. Please do not allow the Stibnite Gold Mine Project to ruin a natural resource that can not be replaced. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Justin McQueen, 4799 E 2800 N, Eden, UT, 84310-9661, goat_yak@hotmail.com
------------	---	--	---

2020-10-27	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS - Comments	<p>As an individual who values our country's amazing wild spaces, and especially the rivers and lakes that are the lifeblood of the land, I am extremely concerned with the potentially catastrophic environmental consequences the Stibnite Gold Project will have on this amazing area of Idaho. The rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho are world class, and I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not part of a "special interest group," I am a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current unblemished state, lands for which each of us is an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain not only world class whitewater that paddlers from around the world visit, but also support one of the few remaining wild steelhead runs in the greater Columbia basin. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. Any economic benefit of mining is short-lived and not sustainable, as compared to recreation of current and future generations, spending money in Idaho. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	JD Gaffney, 3075 Quinalt Ct SW, Issaquah, WA, 98027-4413, jd.gaffney@comcast.net
------------	---------------------------------------	---	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. We must do more, not less, to protect the integrity of our western rivers and the habitat that they support. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jean Zirnhelt, 670 Deer Trl, Pagosa Springs, CO, 81147-9637, jeanzirnhelt@centurytel.net</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Input regarding the proposed Stibnite mine project</p>	<p>I own a cell phone, computers, and other electronics that I suspect require gold for their operation. My father was District Ranger on both the Big Creek and Krassel Ranger Districts where our family spent summers. Because I worked for a rancher on the Salmon River and because my wife and I bought our Riddle, Oregon home from a couple who had lived and worked at Stibnite during WW II I understand how important jobs are in this area. However, please consider that the (Canadian) mining company will pay no royalties for extracted gold and that most of the revenue will go to the company's management and owners--relatively little will go to the people actually doing the work. Considering the mine's known negative effects to fishing, hunting, camping, wildlife, recreation, and other amenity values (such as a unique and very large backcountry)I strongly oppose going forward with the mine.</p>	<p>Matt Dahlgreen, 917 Idaho St, Wenatchee, WA, 98801-2735, mlmfamily@nwi.net</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I am, luckily, a river guide on the magic and spectacular waters of Idaho. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Sarah Petrillo, 16965 NW Bernietta Ct, Portland, OR, 97229-7948, sarahrosepetrillo@gmail.com</p>
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-27	Comments on South Fork Salmon Mining DEIS	<p>I am writing to you to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing to you as an individual that values the outdoors and as someone who spends a large portion of my income on outdoor recreation and travel. The unspoiled beauty of the South Fork Salmon area inspires and draws recreation enthusiasts like myself from all over the country. Not only do I hope we can preserve this beautiful area, but I also hope that community leaders will recognize the economic benefits to preserving unspoiled spaces. Further, the Forest Service is directed to manage these lands in the public interest. I ask you to truly consider whether mining in this space is in the "public" interest because, from my perspective, it appears that mining in this area is in the interest of only a few private, special interest parties, and not the public. I believe the "public" would be best served if their land, drinking water, and critical natural spaces were saved from pollution and harm. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Please protect this space for us and for our children.</p>	Lea Haynes, 3631 E Spokane St, Tacoma, WA, 98404-3027, leamckee3@gmail.com
------------	---	--	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Just stop the mining, the mountain west need to transition away from extractive resource economies and protect what we have left for tourism and our own well being. What follows is a message from American Whitewater. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Alex Leslie, 7608 254th Ave NE, Redmond, WA, 98053-2602, alle5186@colorado.edu
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>We all have an obligation to the environment. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Karl Ruter, 1367 La Loma Ave, Berkeley, CA, 94708-2031, karl.a.ruter@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Growing up in Northern Idaho, I have had the privilege of kayaking, fishing and hunting on the Salmon River watershed for most of my life. There is no more beautiful and pristine section of that watershed than the South Fork of the Salmon River. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jeff Bowman, 2409 NE 8th St, Bend, OR, 97701-8893, jeffb Bowman@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Hello, How about thinking of the long term environmental impacts of these decisions? And the long term impacts on human health? Aren't these things more important than the short term profits of a few individual human beings? As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Thom Lehman, 840 NE Floral PI, Portland, OR, 97232-2566, thomaslehman71@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a fly fisherman and outdoor enthusiast who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am a concerned citizen who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Srikiran Dravida, 2280 Shiprock Way, Colorado Springs, CO, 80919-3858, srikiran.dravida@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork of the Salmon River is a tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River. As such, it needs to be protected so that mining on the South Fork isn't able to pollute the wild and scenic sections of the Salmon River. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Radford Bean, 1787 SW Fellows St, Mcminnville, OR, 97128-7096, canoeoregon@comcast.net
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Below is a very good, but canned, summation of my case, but as someone who has spent time on the Salmon, I think that this mine should be rejected. The purpose of life should be the search for the good, the true, and the beautiful, said Plato; and the various branches of the Salmon come close to possessing those characteristics. Some places need protection from the depredations of industry and the resulting damage that they end up doing. After all, if America stops being "America the Beautiful," what's the point of living here. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Carrington Tutwiler, 701 E Main St, New Iberia, LA, 70560-3823, ctutwiler@esacadiana.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a small business owner values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jonathan Rugh, 1513 Boxwood Dr, Blacksburg, VA, 24060-1903, shadetreepaddles@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments for Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Chris Valiante, 315 E Harper Ave, Driggs, ID, 83422-5198, chris@twentytwodesigns.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project DEIS-backcountry kayaker's paradise</p>	<p>Dear the national forest service, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am a woman who enjoys kayaking challenging whitewater rivers. The south fork and East fork south fork salmon are some of my favorite sections of river in the country. I am sure that I will never experience more than a handful of rivers on par with the south fork salmon in Idaho. Even though I live in Washington, I jump at any opportunity to make the trip. As a teacher, I am lucky to be able to take those opportunities when they are presented during my vacation. I sincerely hope to enjoy the beautiful waters of the south fork many times in the future. They say that South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please join us in concern for this magical place! Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Allison Rosati, 670 NW Lincoln St, White Salmon, WA, 98672-8935, anrosati17@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I'm not a whitewater enthusiast like many who are potentially writing in, but I'm a climber, former volunteer wilderness ranger in Mount Baker Snoqualmie NF, and am indirectly impacted by this proposal. We have a local orca pod who refuses to eat anything but salmon, and a change like this would deplete some of their food supply further, making it more difficult for them to survive. Furthermore, fresh drinkable water as a resource is becoming more and more scarce with climate change. Losing access to water from the river will have large negative impacts not just for humans but the entire ecosystem of flora and fauna who sustain themselves on the watershed. Please don't approve this. We need to be better land stewards instead of focusing purely on extractive tasks. ==== As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Enji Cooper, 9061 17th Ave SW, Seattle, WA, 98106-2383, yaneurabeya@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Concerns regarding the Stibnite Gold Project	<p>I am a lifelong Idahoan, and one of the most precious and valued aspects of my life in this great state is the preservation of our public lands. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. When considering human impact on our wilderness, we must acknowledge how far-reaching these impacts may be. This project doesn't just have potential to disrupt the local ecosystem, but could also affect other interconnected waterways, mine-driven road traffic, noise pollution, Native American heritage and culture and wildlife migration to neighboring habitats. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Erin Green, 123 N Jantoni Dr, Boise, ID, 83712-8124, eringreenracing@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Every year I make the migration to the south salmon river and it's drainages to start off the spring flow. If this river gets tainted by the mining company my yearly pilgrimage will no longer take place and I'll lose a part of my soul. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Wes Schrecongost, 446 Evans Rd, Mckinleyville, CA, 95519-8136, schrekenspoof2@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Evan Wedsworth, 1523 S 10th St W, Missoula, MT, 59801-3423, ewedsworth@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Please protect mother earth!	<p>Please protect these endangered fish species, their habitat, and the river's water quality and quantity. It is all of our responsibility to protect mother earth. We have disrupted our lands too much already and at a great cost! These salmon play a vital role and are at great risk. So much is at risk if you allow mining in this area. Please do not allow greed into your hearts. Do the right thing for our lands and for those that value these majestic places. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Misty Clark, 500 B Blue Ridge Rd, Black Mountain, NC, 28711-8724, mist322@Hotmail.com
------------	------------------------------	---	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an Idaho resident, outdoorsman, and outdoor industry executive whose business relies on healthy rivers in Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. Outdoor recreation is one of the largest industries in Idaho and supports innumerable businesses and households. Please do not sacrifice the South Fork Salmon for a boom-and-bust mining project at the expense of the long-term health of the river and the economy it supports. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Mark Deming, 1237 Highland Dr, Moscow, ID, 83843-9413, mdeming@nrs.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. As a Northwest kayaker this river is an irreplaceable source of recreation that mining activity would destroy. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests--plus Native Americans heritage & tribal practices. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Steven Woolpert, PO Box 1507, White Salmon, WA, 98672-1507, stevenwoolpert@gmail.com</p>
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-27	STOP the Stibnite Gold Project NOW!!!	<p>I am an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho. I am a wholehearted supporter of Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes and PROTECTS these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the PUBLIC interest. NOT the interests of those who plan to destroy the land and river, just to make a profit from it. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact ALL of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Keep public lands PUBLIC! Listen to the people who care for, and OWN that land. Thank you for your consideration. Evan Cowan Public Land Owner Protector of pristine WILD places.</p>	Evan Cowan, 812 Dee Ann St, Fruita, CO, 81521-8731, evan.g.cowan@gmail.com
------------	---------------------------------------	---	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. ENOUGH OF PROFITS OVER THE ENVIRONMENT. MOTHER EARTH IS SENDING A MESSAGE RIGH NOW, THE QUESTION IS ARE WE LISTENING??? The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Cindy Carter, 1102 N Superior St, Appleton, WI, 54911-4440, cindycat1@sbcglobal.net</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Please vote NO! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Kristen DeAngeli, 371 N Arcadia St, Boise, ID, 83706-2708, chukardogs@msn.com</p>

2020-10-27	Public Comment on the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>To whom it may concern: The proposed mining activity, and resulting environmental footprint and damage, are far too great of a cost to bear for the enrichment of so few. We have seen this story played out time and again and each time it is the recreation stakeholders, the American tax payer, and the environment that get stuck with long term damage of such projects. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	George Fisher, 141 Cotton Way, Pittsburgh, PA, 15201-3015, burchfisher@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I'm writing this message as a recreation user of the South Fork of the Salmon River. I have made numerous trips to the watershed and find this basin to be extremely unique in its scenery, wildlife habitats, and quality of whitewater. Few, if any, rivers across the globe compare in style and recreation opportunities to the South Fork of the Salmon. Any new mining projects conducted in this basin would undoubtedly cause a major downturn in river quality, wildlife habitats, and local tourist spending. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Ari Koeppel, 1620 N San Francisco St, Flagstaff, AZ, 86001-1463, ahkoeppel@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this valuable resource. There are too many adverse impacts that will occur if this project goes forward. I hope to keep Idaho wild and scenic for the future generations to cherish. As a 4th generation Idahoan, I can safely say that we are better than this proposed action. Although mining has indeed checkered our past as a territory and then through statehood, we are above degrading our natural resources now. It is especially troublesome that this project is proposed and will be carried out by a foreign corporation. It would be a shame to lose something so valuable due to generation of corporate mining profits. Thank you for your consideration and review of the stakeholder positions when considering this matter. -Best regards, SKH</p>	Sam Hahn, 715 Moraine Ct, Driggs, ID, 83422-5240, s.khahn1843@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please keep prioritizing the preservation of this watershed! Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Leah Whitis, 437 Clint Cir, Pagosa Springs, CO, 81147-8179, Whitisl@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>My Comments on the Stibnite Gold Project</p>	<p>I make a trip to Idaho every spring and summer to enjoy the rivers and beauty of the state. The south fork salmon is one of the staples in my friends and I's trips to Idaho. It is unique for its wilderness and whitewater. There is simply no other river that offers what the south salmon does. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Lucas Healy, 706 W Broad St, Nevada City, CA, 95959-2130, lucasbhealy@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of The West, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon are critical for fish and wildlife and incredibly valuable to outdoor recreation. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Eric Siegfried, 2850 Loraine Ct, Missoula, MT, 59803-9532, esiegfri@hotmail.com</p>
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	I object to the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>This is about risk versus reward and it is time to stop viewing the risk to the environment as a temporary issue that is a necessary evil. It is not and we do not have natural resources to waste. That is evidenced every year but by this past summer in particular. We need to protect every natural resource because they cannot be recreated. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world-class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, but it would also negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represent an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Claire Whiteside, 3630 Richmond Dr, Fort Collins, CO, 80526-3002, ckwhiteside@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who uses these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. We can live without another gold mine. We cannot live without water. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Molly Bauer, 709 Potrero Way, Salinas, CA, 93907-1981, mollyebauer53@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Comments and Concerns on the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and geological engineering graduate student who utilizes these public lands in their current untrampled state. These lands are owned by the public and the Forest Service is directed to manage them in the public interest, not in the interest of the government or corporations. I think it's important to ask 'why do we want a large-scale mining project in the middle of pristine woodland at the headwaters of an important river?' If the answer is 'money,' please strongly reconsider. The health of the environment impacts the health of people and wildlife, and a mine could severely change the health of that watershed. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit, as well. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally as an engineer, recreator, climate activist, and citizen that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Not to mention the possibly devastating downstream effects on other rivers, lands, wildlife, and communities. Everything is connected and the environmental impact does not stop at the area surrounding the mine. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through camping and paddling, but it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your time and consideration.</p>	Cassidy Grady, 785 Northwoods Dr, Whitefish, MT, 59937-8159, gradycassidy@gmail.com
------------	---	--	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS and the South Fork of the Salmon River	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork is a spectacular resource that should not be put any threat by a mining interest. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Ann Raffel, 226 Clifton Pl, Brooklyn, NY, 11216-5159, a3raffel@yahoo.com
------------	---	--	--

2020-10-27	My Citizen Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an United States citizen and love of nature and the outdoors who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing today representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. My family lives and recreates in Idaho and I have spent a lot of time there in the beautiful wild of that state. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit not just myself. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Outdoor recreation is an economic viable option for the area, not continued decimation of nature. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Kimbey Wright, 4467 N Artesian Ave, Chicago, IL, 60625-3001, kimrosew@gmail.com
------------	---	---	---

2020-10-27	Please stop the Stibnite Gold Project	<p>As an Idaho resident who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The quality of Idaho's public lands are the main reason my family lives here. As stewards of our public lands, I'm hoping the Forest Service will do everything possible to stop this harmful project and protect Idaho's amazing rivers and streams. Filling the pockets of a few by damaging our public lands and polluting the rivers that we all love and use, seems so backward and unnecessary. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Thanks, Eric Walle Boise ID</p>	Eric Walle, 816 E State St, Boise, ID, 83712-7307, twelvebikes@gmail.com
------------	---------------------------------------	---	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>PLEASE! Do not let the beautiful natural space be desecrated by mining activity! This year was my first experience on the Salmon, and I fell deeply in love- this river and surrounding area deserve our utmost reverence, care and respect. We cannot allow the exploitation of this land. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Annie Wiesenberg, 3414 N 575 E, Ogden, UT, 84414-1635, anniemichaelw@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>South Fork Salmon and Stibnite Gold Project</p>	<p>As an individual who values rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of The Northwest, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who uses public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage for the people. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the U.S. and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jonathan Berry, 532 7th Ave SW, Albany, OR, 97321-2316, jberry532@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Aren't EIS reports supposed to propose ways to not impact the environment? Shouldn't we try to do that?</p>	<p>Hi. When the fuck are y'all going to start listening to science over your damned pocketbooks? When all the fish die off? When we die off? Fuck your gold. Take care of this earth and she will take care of you in return. Also, all of this... As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Carissa Perry, 227 Coffee Mill Dr, Wabasha, MN, 55981-1384, caperry89@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>The Priceless beauty of the clean and wonderful Salmon is too high a price for the gold project: Comments on the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>This summer during the COVID crisis, my family had the opportunity to paddle the Main Salmon. We paddled with a group from Utah -- practicing social distancing -- easy in kayaks. It was the trip of a lifetime - a chance to experience wild scenic remote nature. We couldn't stop praising the foresight of the people who preserved this land for us all. We were happy to comply with the reasonable restrictions of camp fires (fire pans), groovers (sanitary functions). We were changed by spending time with people so very different to us in a landscape like nothing we had ever seen. My family and friends are making plans for another Salmon trip next year and some of the better boaters among us are planning for the Middle Fork and the South Fork Salmon. That is why I am writing to comment on the Stibnite Gold Project. I should add that I live in central PA and grew up in Northeast PA. I know about the legacy of mining -- we paddle rivers damaged by acid mine drainage where there are no fish. We marvel at the quantities of money and effort it would take to remediate these rivers. That is why I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Rebecca Renner, 809 Logue St, Williamsport, PA, 17701-2531, ruralrenner@gmail.com</p>
-------------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>We must continue the great work to protect our most vital yet ever dwindling resources of these natural areas. The progress in the name of big business and mining is not at all in our long term best interest or that of future Americans. One of our greatest legacies is protecting these spaces that can never be recreated for generations to come. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Ian Hyde, 3575 Kenwood Ave, Memphis, TN, 38122-4555, ianjordanhyde@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	I oppose Stibnite Gold Project	<p>Born and raised in the beautiful Pacific Northwest, I was fortunate to grow up in a family that was passionate about outdoor recreation, conservation, and respecting mother nature. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Molly Perara, 165 E Sunset Way, Issaquah, WA, 98027-3462, molly.perara@gmail.com</p>
------------	--------------------------------	--	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>This summer, for the first time, my husband and I were able to take our daughters on both the Teton River and the Snake. They are nearly 2 and 4 years old and we are so excited to be able to share our love of rivers with them as they grow. As part of a family that values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world-class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, but it would also negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Joanne Rolls, 1736 S Lake St, Salt Lake City, UT, 84105-3113, richards.joanne@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS- save this precious resource!	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Sandra Newes, 8 Marlowe Dr, Asheville, NC, 28801-1112, slnewes@yahoo.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Do Not proceed with Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I love visiting Idaho for clean outdoor activities to rejuvenate my soul. We must keep our public lands and water ways public and in the most natural way possible. We should not use them for extraction activities of any kind. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Scott Hallenberg, 11317 N Shoreline Ct, Hideout, UT, 84036-1359, scott.hall.images@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit, and that I would like the chance to visit one day as well. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Ryan Hassan, 12125 SE Solstice Ct, Clackamas, OR, 97015-7245, drryanhassan@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Mines near the South Fork Watershed	<p>Two years ago my family spent six spectacular days bonding and growing on the Main Salmon River. Had the pandemic not hit we would have been back out on the Middle Fork this year. We know the spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho personally and highly value the opportunity to visit these public resources as they are, untouched by mining land and water use changes. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who has used and intends to these public resources in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that we have visited and enjoyed and that others from across the country and the world travel to Idaho deserve to visit and enjoy too. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Amy Jiron, 3425 Woody Crk, Evergreen, CO, 80439-7996, ajiron@comcast.net
------------	-------------------------------------	--	--

2020-10-27	Personal comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing this as someone who annually rafts the Salmon and would hate to no longer be able to pass along the experience to my future generations. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Rachael Blondy, 1570 Eisenhower Dr, Boulder, CO, 80303-1293, rachaelblondy@gmail.com</p>
------------	---	---	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The outdoor recreation sector brings in \$887 BILLION DOLLARS to our GDP every year. That industry needs rivers and public lands left wild as they are. Wild as they are for generations to come and it will continue to bring in money into our economy for generations and generations. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Nicholas Dalessio, 161 Juniper Dr, Girdwood, AK, 99587, climbernickd@yahoo.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Please keep our waters free, pure, and protected by the federal government. PROTECTED!!</p>	Kim Larsen, 2043 N 78th St, Seattle, WA, 98103-4907, goodnight66@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I have worked for the USFS in the SF headwaters and main canyon sections of this drainage. This resource is of high value as it sits for our country. It is not in the best interests of the United States to permit this mine. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Travis Bailer, 1494 SW Thelma St, Palm City, FL, 34990-3357, travisbailer@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen, a recreational whitewater paddler, and outdoor enthusiast. I have had the opportunity to hike and kayak across this country, and undisturbed wilderness is a scarce resource that deserves our protection. The South Fork Salmon River, in particular, is a dream destination for me and other paddlers across the world, and is famed not just for world class whitewater, but for scenery and solitude unmatched in this country. Across this country, we have seen the environmental effects of mining, even when everything goes right. We know, thanks to the DEIS, that Alternative 5 is the only option that does not adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. Beyond the scope of the effects listed in the DEIS, we know the potential impacts of tailings dam failures, acid mine draining, and cyanide spills because we have seen these things happen before. The consequences are both devastating and long lasting. There is no debate about the issue - Stibnite Gold Project will permanently scar the South Fork Salmon River and the surrounding lands. The gold will eventually dry up, and while a few mining executives will be richer, the rest of the public that values this unique river and land will be left poorer for generations to come. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Thomas Williams, 324 N Cedarbrook Dr, Auburn, AL, 36830-2652, trw0007@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. SAVE THE RIVER AND THE FISH</p>	Dayton Moore, 8429 Jacobs Rd, Severn, MD, 21144-3012, mooredayton46@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. It takes more than a bit of time and effort to make it from my home in Georgia to the South Fork, but its majestic beauty is reason enough. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. It is important to succeeding generations that this natural resource be preserved in its pristine state. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to their National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Richard Holcomb, 623 Clifton Rd NE, Atlanta, GA, 30307-1709, richardholcomb@yahoo.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Lucky we are to have this beautiful planet and free flowing rivers. As a professional kayaker, I've been lucky to enjoy the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. This is me writing as a passionate human, a citizen who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please help protect our rivers. This planet is all we've got. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Rafa Ortiz, 147 SW Peck Rd, White Salmon, WA, 98672-8629, coolmanguy@hotmail.com</p>
2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am an individual living in Boise Idaho. I strongly believe that we must protect the natural resources of the state. In the long run, that is what makes Idaho unique and what give us value and also economic growth. It is short sighted to compromise natural resources for a limited economic advantage. I have paddled the South Fork Salmon several times and also gone there fly fishing. It, along with the entire salmon river system, is absolutely unique in the world. I want this to be preserved for my kids and grandkids.</p>	<p>Fred Willerup, 1519 N Harrison Blvd, Boise, ID, 83702-2552, fwillerup@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Why I am against the Stibnite Gold Mine on the south fork of the salmon	<p>As a whitewater enthusiast who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Marcus Norris, 8120 Park Side Dr, Raleigh, NC, 27612-7232, marcusnorris75@gmail.com
------------	---	---	---

2020-10-27	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS Comments - Protect the EFS/ SFS Rivers	<p>I personally have had the absolute pleasure of paddling from the East Fork South Fork from Yellow Pine down the South Fork Salmon to the take out on the Main Salmon at Vinegar Creek. This is one of Idaho's absolute must preserve and protect areas for so many reasons more valuable than gold. It is so special and bringing back mining to this area will hurt the environment and the wildlife for generations to come. We don't have as many wild and remote places as this left in the world let alone the United States. Idaho should be proud to have such a place. Let's all do what we can to prevent the Stibnite Mine and roads and additional infrastructure from coming to the East Fork South Fork and South Fork Salmon River drainages. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Robert Beezley, 81 Ponderosa Trl, Durango, CO, 81303-7511, drewboater@gmail.com
------------	---	---	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Alternative 5, No Action Alternative Support</p>	<p>I am writing today to support the No Action Alternative for the South Fork Salmon River. There is no way for a gold mining project to responsibly mitigate all of their impact on the environment. Below, I cite some impactful points that sums up my viewpoint well. ' The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.'</p>	<p>Aidan Anthony, 35 Conwell Ave, Somerville, MA, 02144-1201, aanth4496@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>I oppose the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS!</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. The focus should be to preserve and protect instead of long term unnecessary destruction! Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Trischa Barlet, 5415 Parker Rd E, Sumner, WA, 98390-2718, lohr.trischa@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho and surrounding states, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain areas of undiminished beauty that deserves to be protected. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Kathryn Spangler, 245 Red Rim Dr, Grand Junction, CO, 81507-1155, spangler.kathryn.a@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>The land and river has much more value than the gold extracted from it.</p>	<p>I am a guy who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Statement. I have rafted and explored the Salmon and all its forks. Such pristine beauty is rare in America, rarer in the world. Climate change is real, these few rare places with clean water and free wildlife must be protected for future generation and for the long term health of the planet. Trading your soul for gold is a Devil's bargain. A few get rich, we all pay them in lost rivers and forests and the wildlife they support. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely Douglas Chinn</p>	<p>Douglas Chinn, 600 Manor Ct NE, Albuquerque, NM, 87123-2412, dougbikeabq@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Protect these waters so my kids and grandkids can raft and fish this wild and pristine river! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Douglas Rombough, 614 E Aloha Rd, Salt Lake City, UT, 84103-3329, drrombough@gmail.com</p>
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-27	Personal Comments on Stibnitel Gold Project DEIS	<p>I am an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Let's respect the fish, Native Americans and all the people who rely on this area for spiritual nourishment. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Dr. Kimberly Criffield, 2316 SE 51st Ave, Portland, OR, 97215-3906, kimcriff@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Reflection on the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who is has grown up and plans to stay, live, and build my family in Idaho, I value the rivers and natural resources of Idaho. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. These rivers make me and others who live in Idaho proud. We have a sense of duty to protect our wildlife, lands, and rivers. We all hope to enjoy them for many years with our family and friends. I hope one day to share these rivers with my children. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Amanda Berardinelli, 1108 W Crest Wood Dr, Meridian, ID, 83642-2821, berardinelliar@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-27	Our wild rivers should no longer be subject to mining	<p>Our watersheds and the wildlife within are already operating at a diminished state. Any actions take. To further subject them to degradation are unacceptable. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Mathew Smith, 2692 NW Nordic Ave, Bend, OR, 97703-8631, msmith@tribepilot.com
------------	---	--	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments from avid kayaker on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a long time kayaker and rafter makes yearly trips from my home state of Colorado to enjoy the spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers , including myself, from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Do the right thing protect this amazing area. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Claire Claire, 1524 W Oak St, Fort Collins, CO, 80521-2349, clairecarren@icloud.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>This is to express my opposition to the mining permit being decided upon regarding the South Fork of the Salmon. Once one of the most prolific breeding grounds of pacific salmon, past misuse has decimated this amazing river. Its now clawing its way back, please allow it to continue to heal by taking no action on this permit. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular natural resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Tom Tichy, 590 11th St, Del Norte, CO, 81132-2908, tomtichy1@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing on behalf of any "special interest group." I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Carolyn Porter, 13024 NE 112th St, Kirkland, WA, 98033-4101, crp.purchases@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>It is becoming increasingly important that we do all we can to protect the world's natural habitats, ecosystems, and resources. The South Fork Salmon Watershed area holds much more value than just that of it's minerals that can be extracted. To extract gold from this area would draw upon only the smallest portion of value offered by the area, while simultaneously degrading all other valuable resources in the area. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that people from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. As one of those people who holds these rivers dear, I urge you to protect the pristine landscapes and rivers of the South Fork Salmon Watershed. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Nathan Inner, 4350 S Larson Way, Salt Lake City, UT, 84124-2718, omer.nathan.b@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>i object to Stibnite Gold Project</p>	<p>Please register my support for alternative 5, no action on the Stibnite Gold project. I am an individual who has travelled from Georgia to Idaho to enjoy these pristine wild places. Any commercial development for gold extraction will certainly degrade these environs. As US citizen I am an owner of this resource and I do not want it to be exploited for commercial gain. Thank you for taking this input David Smalley, Norcross GA</p>	<p>David Smalley, 5344 Manteo Inlt, Peachtree Corners, GA, 30092-1131, dmswon@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS PRESERVE OUR NATIONAL TREASURES!	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. **To quote an old, well-loved song, what the world needs now is LOVE, sweet love. That's the only thing that there's just too little of! And I love the pristine, all-natural, wilderness of the South Fork of the Salmon. Anyone deciding on this treacherous Impact Statement should be required to go on a whitewater river trip to experience the majesty and grandeur and outstanding POWER of the Salmon. More precious than gold!** The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Tracy Wiesenberg, 3414 N 575 EAST N, Ogden, UT, 84414, wiesenberg7@comcast.net
------------	---	--	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As a kayaker who has spent many months paddling the rivers of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jackson Harris, 1375 Crooked Mile Ct, Placerville, CA, 95667-9375, geojacksonharris@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Ok, so you know ALL of the reasons why this is a bad idea to do anything to mess with the World Class and important Salmon River so I am not going to bother trying to change and improve this message. Yes, many of us value this river and as a public lands owner, I write this representing my own interests. These personal interests, of course, cross over with the overall interests. Fishing, hunting, rafting and just being in the Salmon are things I enjoy. On top of this, this important watershed is vital to communities in Idaho and the United States. Please take into consideration what the owners of this amazing public land are trying to preserve. Please read very carefully EVERY word of this professional and beautifully crafted letter. Thank you for your time. Thank you for your consideration of your long term impact on this wild and necessary place. In the bible, it says, 'Live by the Golden Rule.' Follow this and your life will improve drastically, I can promise you. Thank you with deep appreciation. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Tracy Wagley, 10 Trailside Cir, Salida, CO, 81201-2708, tracyinexit@yahoo.com
------------	--	---	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on protecting and preserving this public land in Idaho</p>	<p>It has taken far too long for this earth to develop, evolve and grow all the species of plant and animal that live in this watershed, for us to squander it. We as humans and Americans cannot let our momentary ambitions, greed or impatience allow us to squander this resource. I need this resource to remain healthy and natural so that my daughter and son and their children can one day roam those grounds and float on the South Fork as a means to happiness. We need this land to retain it's intrinsic and immense value that can only be used or redeemed as a whole in an untouched state. It's value and worth is far greater than that of any on our even handful of precious metals and minerals that the market now desires. As a citizen and taxpayer of the United States, I expect the First Service to protect and preserve my public lands. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jonathan Reed, 915 Cornell St, Fredericksburg, VA, 22401-4718, embedded.reedo@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>No Gold Project on the South Fork!</p>	<p>Some things are better left to nature! I value the outdoor spaces necessary for my mental survival, and any proposal that could possibly diminish or deface these areas must be rejected! Our wild spaces are what make the United States of America such a unique and beautiful country. It is our duty to protect that against the private interests of a few rich mining executives.</p>	<p>Gary Plant, 2433 Townsend Dr, Wooster, OH, 44691-1832, garyplant12@yahoo.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS *DONT DAMAGE THIS PRISTINE RIVER!!*</p>	<p>PLEASE TAKE CAREFUL CONSIDERATION INTO ALLOWING A GOLD MINE TO DESTROY ONE OF OUR MOST PRISTINE RIVER ECOSYSTEMS AND MOST INCREDIBLE STRETCH OF RIVER FOR RECREATION! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Chris Proctor, 313 Big Elk Rd, Dillon, CO, 80435-5285, proctor010@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project is organized and syndicated environmental criminals</p>	<p>It would be a foolish act beyond comparison to prioritize the extraction of material over the rehabilitation of rivers. Please look at India for a nightmare vision of America if industries are allowed to continue extracting and polluting waterways. Anybody who supports the extraction of resources from this river will be subject to crimes related to habitat and wildlife destruction. What remains of our wild land is the most pristine wild lands area in the lower United States. Gold is a past-time material with a horrendous history. Any industry and its leaders with gold on their hands is subject to being labeled a conqueror, a destroyer, a slave-master, and an environmental rapist; and in years to come, anyone who has allowed these devilish industrialists to destroy more habitat will be subject to the full extent of the law, just like the industry leaders who bullied you into letting them begin their destruction will be held accountable and imprisoned for habitat destruction, mass murder, genocide, and most likely financial crimes, like embezzlement and fraud, as well as crimes of negligence and breaking work environmental treaties. You know the decision is hard, but the right one — shut the Stibnite Gold Project down and bury it for good in a tomb made out of anything but its stolen gold. Sincerely, and with boiling blood, Andrew McLane</p>	<p>Andrew McLane, 6288 Gillis St, Fall River Mills, CA, 96028, a.mclane@icloud.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and natural resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The watershed contains many significant pristine waters and landscapes vulnerable to severe negative impacts from this type of mining activity. The history of these types of cyanide leech facilities is full of grave negative impacts to our natural resources and also full of examples where the mining operators are never held accountable for the loss of wildlife habitat, harmful pollution, and other long term and permanent degradation of public land. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. the impacts to this river will affect waters all across Idaho if this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River is compromised by such outdated mining methods. This river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal use of this area, but would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The external costs of this project, when fully accounted for, are far higher than the public benefit that can come from allowing mining here. It is for good reason that the adjacent State of Montana has banned these obsolete mining methods. We can do a much better job of mining this area with an honest appraisal of external costs and commitment to best available mining practices. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Robert Crayton, 4027 Bridger Canyon Rd, Bozeman, MT, 59715-8433, outsidevida@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho and who travels to the area to spend my tourism dollars among the businesses supported by those resources, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. The comment period should be extended to further allow the public time to speak up about their thoughts on the use of their lands.</p>	Ryan Owen, 626 S Fremont Ave, Springfield, MO, 65804-0110, ryanowen@outlook.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-27	Please stop the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>This is an amazing, wild place that cannot be destroyed. It is one of the most powerful places I have been and is worth more than any mining project can produce. Please protect this place from a devastating mining project that has been proven to create damage well beyond what is acceptable. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Kyle Sillars, 3307 N Hallmark Ln, Missoula, MT, 59801-8681, kylebsillars@hotmail.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>PLEASE do not allow the Stibnite mine to move forward!</p>	<p>Please do not destroy the river that ignited my passion for whitewater and the habitat that nurtures our volatile trout populations. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Keira OBrien, 70 E Little Ave, Driggs, ID, 83422-5177, kjeobrien@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Leave something for our kids!</p>	<p>You can't have a mine near a river without damaging the river. It never happens as the business of mining is too complex. You all know this. Save a few places for our kids. Don't do this mine. Consider your legacy in making this decision. One more mine so that a company can make money for twenty or thirty years? You'd permit this in exchange for allowing a river to flow clean and wild for hundreds or thousands of years? You'd trade the revenue value of the of the mine under the 1872 mining act against the long term value of tourism and the mental health of our people? Do the right thing. Do the patriotic thing. Say no to this mine. Let's give our kids a chance to live with some protected beauty and where local economies can generate a sustainable revenue stream. We can have it all-- business and nature. Revenue and a clean river. But not with this mine. Please say no to it. As someone before me said: 'The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.'</p>	<p>Daniel Zatz, 833 NW Stonepine Dr, Bend, OR, 97703-6814, filmming@zatzworks.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Chadwick Hildner, 2905 N 22nd St, Tacoma, WA, 98406-7101, chadhildner@gmail.com</p>
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	I Disagree on the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I have yet to have the opportunity to visit this and the surrounding rivers. However it is on my bucket list, and when I make it happen I would sure like it to be in at least as good of shape as it is today. I have had many friends go on this river and rave about how wonderful and beautiful it is. What a shame it would be if I were to be able to get there only a few years later and my experience and later story around the campfire be much more bleak than those that were there before me. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of this country, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Eric Chitwood, 1717 N Walnut Ave, Fayetteville, AR, 72703-2631, chitwood101@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The south fork of the salmon is valuable river for recreation. As someone who enjoys rafting I can't imagine a time when the south fork is no longer an option due to mining developmen. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>David Cipoletti, 560 SE Woodland Blvd, Bend, OR, 97702-1610, davidcipoletti@gmail.com</p>
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. I have been a citizen of Valley County in the past and often consider returning when I complete my current trajectory of higher education. Above all, the asset that lures me back to that part of the world is the river. I first visited the South Fork of the Salmon as a young adult in 2005. I had been a river guide on other stretches throughout Idaho and was thrilled to experience a river with engaging whitewater, but more importantly the appearance of a trout fishery to rival the famed Middle Fork. So I pulled out my fly rod. And.....nothing. I later learned of historical events that have recently devastated the fishery and have followed work being done to restore salmon and steelhead runs as well as robust cutthroat populations. I have also seen the region surge in popularity within the whitewater community over the last 15 years as more and more paddlers and rafter make the pilgrimage to Yellowstone. It's a trip I still try to make happen every year, regardless of how far away I live. I have paddled hundreds of rivers all over the United States and the world. I have often referred to the SFS as the single greatest whitewater resource in our country. It is not just a place for elite athletes, but a sacred gem for river users of all skill and interest levels and one of fewer and fewer places on our planet where we can find a brief retreat from the connectivity of modern life. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Daniel Thurber, 714 Riverview Ave, Logan, UT, 84321-4730, daniel_thurber@hotmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The Fact that these asshats want to ruin our environment for profit and pennies on the dollar will benefit only temporarily. How many communities along the Salmon will be decimated and rendered obsolete when there is no more Salmon/ Sttelhead run? Entire communities will be brought under by greed and influence (All Fucking temporary remember!) and the already struggling central Idaho communities will have no chance at survival! All at a time in our country when we need to become MORE in touch with community than ever. Shame on whomever pushes this through bc its all about money and influence. Go Fuck Yourself if you're for the physical raping and pillaging of OUR PUBLIC LANDS FOR PROFIT! THIS IS AN ABSOLUTE NO GO FROM THIS TAXPAYER!! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. IF THIS PASSES YOU ALL WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DETRIMENT BROUGHT BY YOUR GREED!!!</p>	Chris Kelly, 6504 W Kirkwood Boise ID, Boise, ID, 83705, Seabee203@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am a passionate whitewater boater who travels to Idaho at least once a summer to paddle the Salmon river and its tributaries. I spend significant money in the local communities on food, gas, gear and more. I use local outfitters to shuttle vehicles. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Ian Anderson, 211 Crystal Canyon Dr, Carbondale, CO, 81623-9150, ian.anderson@backbonemedi a.net</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources in my home state of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Hannah Nishek, 1733 S 8th St W, Missoula, MT, 59801-3444, hannah.nishek@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Please take action to protect the wonderful and unique South Fork Salmon River Watershed.	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, and as someone who has spent many hours enjoying and appreciating the watershed of the Salmon River, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Cyndi Crayton, 4027 Bridger Canyon Rd, Bozeman, MT, 59715-8433, iwc@integratedweedcontrol.com</p>
------------	---	--	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. I was fortunate to grow up in Idaho spending countless days on the river. I would hate to see the south fork damaged by mining.</p>	<p>Nicholas Dally, 20649 Beaumont Dr, Bend, OR, 97701-7936, nick.dally@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Please Protect our Rivers re: Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a peron who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am a concerned citizen and lover of nature and want our endangered species protected. We must stand up to money greedy cooperations and stand for the value of ecologic diversity and wild lands. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Hillary Roland, 28587 Clover Ln, Evergreen, CO, 80439-8416, hillaryroland@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Please respect and preserve this beautiful and useful area!	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed offer fantastic fishing and camping opportunities that I would like to see preserved for myself and my kids and grandkids. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Steve Walcott, 5083 Jake Ave, Pocatello, ID, 83202-5260, swalcott48@gmail.com
------------	---	--	---

2020-10-27	Please protect the Salmon!	<p>To whom it may concern, I am writing this letter out of concern for the South Fork of the Salmon. I am an avid rafter and I care deeply about the preservation of our rivers. I spent a lot of time rafting in Idaho this summer, and it would be difficult for me to express in words how therapeutic the Salmon was for me given the taxing year we've all had. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Alice Kimbrell, 210 S Harris St, Breckenridge, CO, 80424, kimbrell.alice@gmail.com
------------	----------------------------	---	--

2020-10-27	NO to Stibnite Gold	<p>I have finally started to really explore the state's rivers as a developing whitewater kayaker. Like many, I aspire to enjoy stretches of the Salmon when my skill and the circumstances are ready. I now worry I waited too long and will never enjoy these scenic, rewarding rivers if a gold mine is installed upstream. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. Whitewater adventure sports are finally blooming and growing from a fledgeling concept to a beloved activity. Please protect and maintain the natural lands and these gorgeous rivers that allowed our sport to thrive and grow through word of mouth, stunning photography, and hundreds of action videos that inspire future thrill seekers to come to Idaho for a once-in-a-lifetime experience. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Tracy Martin, PO Box 45157, Boise, ID, 83711-5157, gocougs19191@gmail.com
------------	---------------------	---	---

2020-10-27	The South Fork Salmon represents a wild timelessness that is all too rare	<p>Personally I have not had the opportunity to experience the S. Fork Salmon but have spent many nights dreaming of it and gazing at guidebooks planning a future visit. As someone from the South East, I've seen many of our natural places and rivers somewhat destroyed and almost always showing signs of human presence. Idaho and the west in general represents a wild timelessness that can't be found here in Georgia. I understand the need for strong economies for the people of Idaho but I think we need to take into deep consideration the places that we sacrifice because there are so few left with the raw, natural allure that the South Fork Salmon is known for. Thank you for your consideration. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Taylor Crump, 425 Riverhill Dr, Athens, GA, 30606-4043, tcrump555@gmail.com
------------	---	--	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am just a regular citizen of Idaho and I love hiking and boating in our wonderful forests and on our amazing rivers. I love that we have abundant wildlife including fish and birds that abound in and around our rivers. I know Idaho rivers are a huge draw for rafters and kayaks and nature lovers from around the world. We need these and other tourists who visit our beautiful public lands. Please support Alternative 5 when considering the Stibnite Gold Project. This is the best plan for managing these lands. The South Fork Salmon Watershed should be protected. It is most important to protect the endangered fish species and their habitat. We must focus on preserving the watershed quality. All the other alternatives, except alternative 5 would cause harm to these important resources. I find great enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing. I live in Boise but I know many of my fellow citizens who live in the small mountain towns depend on tourism and the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests for their livelihood. The South Fork Salmon River is worth keeping clean and pristine. We do not need a gold mine at or near it's headwaters. Do not risk contaminating this important watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Kayla Dodson, 705 N Bacon Dr, Boise, ID, 83712-8214, kaylaafuera@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>My personal comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I spent over a decade of my life guiding on central Idaho rivers, and we even named our oldest child 'Frank Church.' The Salmon River is more precious than gold to me, my family, and many other Idahoans! My late husband worked at both the South Fork Ranch and Shepp Ranch on the Salmon River for several years - that is where we met. He was lucky enough to spend many Fall seasons exploring the Frank Church and Gospel Hump wildernesses by river and by mule train. Together, exploring and recreating in this precious area we shared some of the happiest memories of our lives. My family deeply values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support ALTERNATIVE 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," but rather, I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Anna Trentadue, 420 Cowboy Trl, Driggs, ID, 83422, trentadueanna@hotmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Our rivers are a lifeline for wildlife, tourism, and fishing just to name a few. We can't afford to disrupt the delicate balance we have worked so hard to protect. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Teresa Schader, 20468 SOLSTICE Dr, Bend, OR, 97703, teresas999@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I submit this comment on my own and have no affiliation with any 'special interest groups.' I have lived in the State of Idaho for 20 years. I moved here, in part, due to the State's spectacular rivers and whitewater resources. For five years, I lived in the Central Idaho region and frequently recreated in the area proposed in the DEIS. Whenever the opportunity arises, I return to the area to fish, hike, backpack and ski. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jonathon Hallin, 6924 N Madellaine Dr, Coeur D Alene, ID, 83815-6107, jdhallin@hotmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Please, emphasize restoration and conservation for America's Waterways</p>	<p>This project is not in the best interest of our planet, shared habitats, or the longevity of those who depend upon public lands in this country. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Alex Schmidt, 175 O St, Salt Lake City, UT, 84103-3913, Alex@saveourcanyons.org</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a paddler who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Scott Waidelich, 8413 308th Ave SE, Issaquah, WA, 98027-8768, classvcreeker@yao.com</p>

2020-10-27	Don't Let Happen in Idaho What Happened In Colorado	<p>I have lived in Colorado for over 50 years and the disastrous experiences we have sustained through the years with EPA sites like Gold King Mine.....well I don't want to see that happen in the headwaters of the Salmon River! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen, a whitewater enthusiast who visits your state several times a year to enjoy its pristine rivers and a stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Chris Clark, 3945 S Clarkson St, Englewood, CO, 80113-4759, chris.clark34@comcast.net
------------	---	---	--

2020-10-27	No to Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Brock Alan Johnson</p>	<p>Brock Johnson, 1636 Blue Ridge Rd, Silverthorne, CO, 80498-8905, bjohnson@christysports.com</p>
------------	----------------------------------	--	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Please stop the Stibnite Gold Project which is continuing the destruction of western wild places. My husband and I are avid campers and hikers, and want public lands to stay intact, and as wild as possible. The profits of corporations should have small priority compared to those of citizens and tribal groups whose lands these are. As an American citizens who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Anne Hay, 2717 NE 87th St, Seattle, WA, 98115-3455, a.hay@comcast.net</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and economic vitality of our community. Just because financial gain can be made for some the cost on the environment, the people who use these waterways the animals plants and othet native species is to great of an impact . Please do not go forward with allowing this devastation</p>	<p>Fern Greathouse, 4054 W Desert Hollow Dr, Phoenix, AZ, 85083-2465, fern.greathouse@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Hi there. I am in support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's DEIS. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I live near Telluride, Colorado, where the impacts of large-scale gold mining are still being felt today. I understand the need for economic development, but in the case of the Midas project, the benefits of the mine will go to a small group of investors who neither live near or care about the Salmon River. While the resource of metal in the ground is substantial, there is also the tremendous resource of a wild river unpolluted, and ecosystem in tact, and a wilderness preserved. I urge you to consider the loss of these resources as overwhelmingly unacceptable in light of the potential benefit to a few private equity investors from some far away land. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jeffrey Dobronyi, 9770 Budge Ln, Kelly, WY, 83011, jeffdobronyi@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Save the South fork Salmon!!</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please protect this amazing river!!! Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Lisa Dickinson, 121 N N 3rd Ave, Bozeman, MT, 59715-3403, catiwompus@hotmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Protecting nature's beauty benefits us all and will continue to benefit future generations. If it's destroyed by mining, we can't get back what was lost. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jessica Tardieu Haines, 514 N Isabel St, Glendale, CA, 91206-3330, jessica.tardieu@yahoo.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit,. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Adelina Solis, 7536 43rd Ave S, Seattle, WA, 98118-3902, m.silos.m.a@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to SUPPORT ALTERNATIVE 5 - NO ACTION OPTION outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. While I do not live there year-round, I am a concerned citizen who utilizes these public lands every year. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. The DEIS is incomplete as submitted and does not meet the established regulatory mandate as it does not fully evaluate ALL potential impacts due to the omission of critical issues such as tailings dam failure, acid mine drainage and cyanide spill risks. The DEIS should be rejected as incomplete. The proposal should be rejected as it conflicts with any reasonable interpretation of the USFS mandate regarding either multiple-use or responsible resource management. There is no valid needs-scarcity assessment or cost-benefit analysis that justifies authorizing this project under these circumstances in this location. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Robert Bailey, 7253 Roan Creek Rd, Lobelville, TN, 37097-4406, rnb2_2@yahoo.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The upper south fork/Johnson creek area is someplace special that I frequently make extended trips to in order to enjoy the pristine water quality. I have been to Stibnite and I have seen the effects of mining on watersheds across the west. No resource is more important or more precious than clean water. Hindsight tells us that despite claims made by mine owners and operators, things ALWAYS go bad, water is poisoned, wildlife dies. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Chad Belvill, 25007 HIGHWAY 64, El Prado, NM, 87529, cbelvill@me.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS Please Protect River System and Critical Habitat	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. Paddlers support local businesses and positively influence local economies. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you considering my concerns.</p>	Elizabeth Williams, 80 Red Spring Rd, Andover, MA, 01810-3443, ejwmail@gmail.com
------------	---	--	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Growing up in Hawaii I always had a special place for natural water. The salmon river maybe freshwater, and go through the land. But it still connects the ocean that's a part of our entire ecosystem. The project in plan Would affect a small portion of the ecosystem of the salmon river. Which would then lead to other parts of the ecosystem of the same river at a larger hole. And then slowly but eventually, everything but river touches will have its ecosystem affected. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Isabelle Kernell, 1635 Holly Hill Dr, Germantown, TN, 38138-2557, ikernell91@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and is a resident of Idaho on the salmon river, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species and their habitat. Please do not let this mining move forward. It will line the pockets of the rich while destroying nature for animals and humans alike. We are already grossly affecting the local area in a negative way, we do not need to show our future children that our priorities lay with self centered gain. This fishery, this ecosystem, this recreational area, and thia biosphere needs your protection. Please dont continue mining in this area. Please The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Neina Chapa, 1022 E Main Ave, Challis, ID, 83226-7707, Neinerchapan@yahoo.com</p>

2020-10-27	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS Concerns	<p>I have had the privilege to grow up near Yellow Pine, Idaho. The South Fork Salmon and its tributaries were in an important part to my childhood as us kids played in, and around, these rivers. Today, I guide raft trips on the Main Salmon, and I kayak the South Fork Salmon frequently. This year alone, I have been lucky enough to enjoy the South fork Salmon 7 separate times, for many days at a time. This area is so unbelievably special. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned resident of Idaho and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Micah Barker, 550 Shoshone Dr, Hailey, ID, 83333-8470, micahbarker208@gmail.com
------------	-------------------------------------	--	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>NO GOLD MINE!!!! I have read the below message and agree with it: As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to SUPPORT Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Please...YES TO ALTERNATIVE 5</p>	C Murphy, 16 Buttercup Rd, Hailey, ID, 83333-5050, clmurph@hotmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS - PLEASE Don't Do it	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. Losing this resource would be taken the same as a death of a loved one. Knowing that areas exactly like this are exploited all across the country, sometimes not even for substantial economic gain, has me questioning the survival of our species. Stepping outside myself, and considering all the other species, let alone individual organisms the Stibnite Mine would affect; it is appalling that the a mine would ever be deemed an acceptable use of such a beautiful and pristine area. Mining is HIGHLY subject to unforeseen circumstances, when estimating economic benefit. However, from numerous examples across the country, the impact a mine makes on the environment is known. The issues are grand in scale, and often exist in perpetuity. I fret for a society that can't recognize it's actions as parasitic, where constant spread and depletion of local resources in the only goal. We NEED to place emphasis on sustainable economic drivers, that operate within the environment in which we live, because resouce extraction only works until you are out of resources. This IS possible, yet should be an unthinkable goal. Besides, if the profits of the mine were shared with all the people affected by the mine... it probably wouldn't even be considered. Personal greed should never outweigh the universal benefit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jesse Dagle, 177 Brighton St, Lolo, MT, 59847-9602, MTgravity@gmail.com
------------	---	---	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and person who uses these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Daniel Stroka, 1603 Kiersten Ct, Forest Hill, MD, 21050-1906, trainguy2204@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Public comment on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. It is more important to have clean and healthy water air and soil than the benefits of mining gold. The salmon are a vital part of the ecosystem and they should take priority over mining. Please go with alternative 5.</p>	<p>Jonathan Bates, PO Box 2057, Physical: 1409 Broadway Ave, Red Lodge, MT, 59068, catfishbates@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Why I believe the South Fork of the Salmon needs to be protected	<p>I am an River/Fishing guide in in great state of Idaho. I have been working in the tourism industry for over 12 years. One of the many very important aspects of Idaho is the simple fact that for outdoors men and women you can truly get "out" into the wilderness and among these pristine waters. Idahos wild areas have clean water. Water is one of the most important resources in the entire world. I guide overseas as well in Africa and South America during the Winter months...and speaking from experience...there are so many other peoples who suffer from a direct result of unclean waterways. Almost always this is a direct result of development (mining/logging) along the banks or drainage systems of these waterways. To put the South Forks water in jeopardy for mining projects is just absolutely reckless and absurd. I say that as an outdoor professional who is a part of this industry and also as lover of the outdoors in the great state of Idaho. Thank you for reading and taking this comment seriously. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Derik Pritchett, 1 Nip-N-Tuck Rd, Stanley, ID, 83278, dpritchett21@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>NO ON Stibnite Gold Project - Protect the Environment</p>	<p>DEIS clearly identifies risks to this project this is not a time to be destroying ecosystems. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Eric Wentzel, 69130 Hurtley Ranch Rd, Sisters, OR, 97759-9308, ericwentzel@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual, a whitewater enthusiast who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jonathan Umbdenstock, 203 N Heigho Ave, New Meadows, ID, 83654, jon.umbdenstock@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I work on the main salmon and love the confluence of the south fork and the main. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Leia Young, 3101 E 2500 S, Vernal, UT, 84078-9278, ayounj.leia@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>STRONG OBJECTION to Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a human being and outdoor enthusiast who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Amanda Haas, 241 W 200 Salt Lake City UT S, Salt Lake City, UT, 84101, apincin@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Don't Mine the South Fork! Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Personally I value the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Kyle Taylor, 742 Aspen Grove St, Whitefish, MT, 59937-3481, kt_skier@hotmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Please please do not do this. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Annalese Bletsch, 706 Arlington Dr, Vermilion, OH, 44089-2560, annalesejb@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an Idaho native who has hunted, fished and enjoyed Idaho's outdoors, I value the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. My family has enjoyed this area for decades and I want my own children, nieces and nephews and their children can enjoy this beautiful wilderness for many generations that will come after us. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Steven Achabal, 4627 N Berkshire Dr, Boise, ID, 83704-3103, basqing@gmail.com
------------	----------------------------	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I have paddled in and around the Salmon River drainage since 2006, and no where else in the world have I seen beauty like The Salmon Rivers. You are disturbing so much more than just the land by allowing this type of extraction and its effects will be felt by many many more people and creatures than you would think. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Gabriel Rietmann, 38 NW Shasta Pl, Bend, OR, 97703-2633, gaberietmann@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Andrew Foster, California</p>	<p>Andrew Foster, 8 Stetson Ave, Corte Madera, CA, 94925-1529, andrew.theodore.foster@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The DEIS is meant to be followed. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>John Rotola, 11919 Pinto Pony Trl, Oilville, VA, 23129-2014, jrotola@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>We need more wild places! Not mines! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Leighton MacTaggart, 3540 E Avenue T6, Palmdale, CA, 93550-9252, l8nsky007@yahoo.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The Salmon River Basins are all very dear to me. The environmental impacts of this proposal cannot be mitigated in a way that will adequately protect the South Fork of the Salmon and this downstream areas as they deserve and require. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Please don't be on the wrong side of history. Protect our valuable and finite resources.</p>	Justin Kasting, 8532 4th Ave NE, Seattle, WA, 98115-2916, jkasting@hotmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Letter Against Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers, important fish and whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Megan Waller, 4735 SE 31st Ave, Portland, OR, 97202-3641, finicky.finch@yahoo.com
------------	---	---	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Please Protect South Fork Salmon! - Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Due to covid, I lost my job this year and was forced to leave the Mountain West and move back east to hunker down and get by. One of the things fueling me throughout this insane year has been the prospect of returning, and finding a way to be present in the land I love! This year has shown us so clearly how easily "balance" can be upended, and although we've known of climate change for years and done nothing, the point of no return is finally coming upon us. We have no idea what effect this will have on the world! We know that ice will melt, oceans rise and coasts flood - but there is so much we cannot anticipate. It is so, so deeply important that we learn to treat our natural lands with respect, and this very much includes planned mining operations. There must be better ways of extracting resources than using harmful chemicals, diverting waterways and drastically altering habitats - and if there are no better ways, then the "resources" should be left alone, while we learn to better appreciate the ones we have right now. That said, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Maureen Ball, 1894 Route 32, Saugerties, NY, 12477-4493, moeball72@hotmail.com</p>
-------------------	---	---	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Julia abner. Boating enthusiast and respecter of Mother Earth.</p>	<p>Julia Abner, 871 E 4th St, Durango, CO, 81301-5652, julesb08@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>If we allow this watershed to be destroyed, it will be lost forever. Please preserve it for our children and grandchildren. Thank you! Allen Kidd</p>	<p>Allen Kidd, 3605 W Oxley Dr, Muncie, IN, 47304-5969, namahageallen@yahoo.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Don't destroy one of the greatest areas in the world!!!!!! Go to hell</p>	Kevin Dehm, 430 E Simpson Ave, Jackson, WY, 83001-8484, Kevinbdehm@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. As a resident of Maryland I've traveled to the region numerous times specifically because of it's pristine river systems and intact ecosystems. Allowing this mining project would cause me to look to other pristine areas. Stick to managing the forests and leave the ground intact. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Steve Storck, 492 Burnett Rd, Oakland, MD, 21550-7932, storckfam@gcnet.net
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Too many flaws with the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I think these past few months we have all realized how important wild places are to us. Given the current state of the country this area is much more valuable without a mine, having an opportunity for all to keep their mental sanity and get out into the backcountry. What Midas Gold is trying to accomplish will do nothing but destroy an amazing area. When it comes to the DEIS document I see nothing but loop hole that would allow them to leave our rivers contaminated, further destroying the restoration we have already accomplished. On top of that how can they build roads, housing, infrastructure and have heavy equipment going in and out of that area without having an environmental impact? As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. We can achieve restoration without the mine as we continue on the path we are already on. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Danielle Desmond, 67 Garden Ln, McCall, ID, 83638-5011, danielledesmond@hotmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project	<p>As an Idaho Guide and outdoor enthusiast who values the rivers and the species that exist within them, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Bridger Bertram</p>	<p>Bridger Bertram, 208 Fairmont St, Salmon, ID, 83467-3931, bridger.bertram1197@gmail.com</p>
------------	-----------------------------------	---	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>A Locals opinion on the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Hi there, Thanks for reading my comments. My parents moved to McCall in 1976 and I was born in 1988. There has been a lot of change in Idaho and Valley County in the last 20 years. To no surprise, I spent my childhood exploring McCall and spending all my time outside. I still spend most of my free time doing the things i loved as a kid, which included whitewater kayaking. Little did i know at age 15 that i would be kayaking the East Fork of the South Fork and South Fork Salmon Rivers Since then i have returned many springs and summers to enjoy these amazing sections of river that characteristics unlike other places i have paddled. I do spend 4 months each winter in South America (Chile and Argentina) traveling and searching for rivers to kayak. My first trip to Chile was in 2004 and i have since gone back 9 times. I would have returned this year were it not due to covid. The point is that i have kayaked in many countries (China, New Zealand, Mexico, Canada) and the South Fork Salmon + EFSF Salmon rivers are very unique. I would say they are Idaho gems. They used to be relatively unknown to many people outside the paddling community or fishing or other folk that use them. I find now there are a lot of people using and abusing the river. I will say that Midas Gold wasn't on my radar until a few years ago when it picked up traction. I don't think it's hard to guess which side of the fence i stand on. I have seen the post mining effects of past Midas Gold operations in other countries like Argentina. I am not impressed and i know that a few bad ones doesn't mean they're all bad, but in the case of the Stibnite Project is a bad idea in my opinion. There is too much to risk and i don't think the mining benefits outweigh the toll it will have on the environment. There are already endangered fish species that have a challenging time returning to spawn. Any change in the river or surrounding area will have some effect on anything downstream. The South Salmon Watershed is too great to loose to a mining operation. The idea of a new road on Burnt Log Rd is a bad idea as well. I see the problems of transporting anything on the current via Yellowpine. I also work for the USFS and spend a lot of time working around Stibnite/ YP. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally heading from the care of</p>	<p>Glenn Dagleish, 309 Edgewater Cir, McCall, ID, 83638-3709, gdaggle@gmail.com</p>
-------------------	---	---	---

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who loves the outdoors and values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Kseniya Mamlin, 22995 Highway 133, Carbondale, CO, 81623-9493, kseniyamamlin@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I'm not a "special interest group," I am an outdoor lover, a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state! These are lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. Visiting these beautiful land in July of 2020, I know the South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your time and consideration. Blessings. McKenzie</p>	<p>McKenzie Young, 344 S 10th Ave, Pocatello, ID, 83201-4803, younmcke@isu.edu</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Please don't allow this to happen. Once it's given up it's gone forever; humans can't reproduce it and the negative impacts will have a cascading effect. Idaho's economy depends on its most valuable trait - its exquisite natural habitat. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to the watershed of the South Fork of the Salmon River. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Tort Canfield, PO Box 6931, Ketchum, ID, 83340-6931, torycan@aol.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>The South Fork Salmon River must be protected for today and future generations. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. The South Fork Salmon River must be protected for today and future generations. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Geoff Harrison, 1802 N 26th St, Boise, ID, 83702-0221, aguas_blancas@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project DEIS comment</p>	<p>As an family who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. While the rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit, my main objection to the project is because of how it will affect local fish and animal species. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. This so unacceptable. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is VITAL not only to the native and endangered fish, but also to outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Heather Thiry, 254 Ernesto St, Mccall, ID, 83638, hthiry@hotmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Hello, This message is in regard to the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I have had some very enjoyable times over the years on the EF South Fork and South Fork rivers as well as Johnson Creek and wish for the overall high quality of those experiences (boating, fishing, and camping) to be maintained. I have not had sufficient time to review the formally proposed alternatives, but overall I am against options that would: - leaves taxpayers holding the bag for any costs (present or future remediation, etc) - involve new public land disturbance (e.g. I do not like the 400 ac proposed for tailings storage) - diminish water quality - reduce hunting, fishing, boating, camping, or other public access I favor options that: - maintain the existing disturbance footprint - remediate past disturbance without release of toxic substances (e.g. metals via surface and/or groundwater directly or indirectly into live streams) - adequately plan for and prevent issues (e.g. fire, weed control, dust abatement) associated with ancillary infrastructure (transmission lines, roads, etc). Thank-you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Will Conley, 20 Northslope Rd, Lyle, WA, 98635-9447, wyowill@gmail.com</p>
2020-10-27	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS Will Harm Our Environment	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. As an environmental consultant, I have reviewed and written many EISs and have seen too many broken promises regarding safety and clean ups, including company bankruptcies leaving clean up responsibility to government entities. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jane Rosen, 510 E Elm St, Hailey, ID, 83333-8697, jlrosen@cox.net</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>The value of the South Fork of the Salmon is worth more than gold</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Keep the environmental movement progressing forward, not bringing back outdated industries. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Tyler Knudson, 1511 S Michigan Ave, Boise, ID, 83706-3442, tylerknudson@u.boisestate.edu</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. Please don't add to the pollution of our waterways. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Seleney Sanchez, 270 Lloyd St, Livermore, CA, 94550-4027, ssanchez5907@yahoo.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As if enough beautiful/precious wilderness/nature hasn't been stripped enough from us folk that actually have an inherent connection to the land.. but in America.. Even when our own people don't want the specific change.... If it makes \$ and ultimately makes matters worse in the long run.. then we will jump right on top of it!!! Have some respect As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jonathan Olson, 818 S 1100 E, Salt Lake City, UT, 84102-3709, j.olson089@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The S. Fork of Salmon is one of the last wild rivers in the continental US. Please protect it. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Emma Bayes, 5433 8th Rd S, Arlington, VA, 22204-2619, emmarose28@hotmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement, because I am a concerned Idahoan who loves the beauty and natural resources of Idaho. As a concerned citizen, I am public owner of the water for which the Forest Service is supposed to manage in the public interest. People come from all over to visit the rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed. Aside from Alternative 5, all of the alternatives presented would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this tributary to Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Going forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. We see evidence of past mining activity, and the impacts of further mining activity is a dangerous risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Lori Kirk, 12565 N Schicks Ridge Rd, Boise, ID, 83714-9456, kirks@ctcweb.net</p>

2020-10-27	My Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I am deeply upset by the proposed large-scale mining project in the South Fork Salmon! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a very concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. This is not acceptable! It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. If we continue to destroy the natural world, we will have nothing left. Nature is most beautiful when it is pristine. I am incredibly worried about the state of our Idahoan wilderness, should this mining project be allowed. The Idaho wilderness must be protected, AT ALL COSTS. Idaho is such a wonderful state because of all the pristine nature we still have left. A mining project will ruin the South Fork for all those who love it and appreciate it. As a native Idahoan and a lover of the outdoors, I value the gorgeous rivers running through our state. It is imperative to keep these rivers clean. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	McKinley Kirk, 12565 N Schicks Ridge Rd, Boise, ID, 83714-9456, makkirk@icloud.com
------------	---	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The irreversible damage to the ecosystem this proposed mine would do to this watershed, our salmon, bull trout and pristine drainage is not worth the short term gain we would achieve. Look elsewhere and muck fidus! Sincerely Tyler. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Tyler Busby, 263 Moonridge Dr, McCall, ID, 83638-5600, tyler.w.busbymt@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Thank you for considering my comments.</p>	Doug Lovely, 4133 Julaura Ln, Billings, MT, 59106-1744, doug.lovely@me.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who resides in Valley County and deeply values the rivers and spectacular environmental resources of West Central Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The watershed is home to an unbelievable quality of plants and animals, and I am grateful to be a person who is able to hunt, fish, paddle, hike, camp, and so much more on these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. It is not only the fish in this watershed and downstream that would be impacted, but all of the plant and animal species who live in symbiosis with this river. We can not afford to do harm to the elk, moose, wolves, bears, and all other beings who rely on the habitat provided by the South Fork Salmon. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Emily Sehloff, 1253 Herrick St, McCall, ID, 83638-3405, emily.is.great@sbcglobal.net
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>STOP the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as an Idahoan, concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Brhe Zolber, 8678 W Casa Grande Ct, Boise, ID, 83714-2097, brheanna@hotmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Thoughts on the Stibnite Gold Project</p>	<p>As a person who admires the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen who views these public lands in their current undiminished state as a breathtaking ecosystem worthy of being protected. This river system is vital for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic development of local communities that surround it. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that attract paddlers and tourists from across the world. Moving forward with this project would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to the National Forests. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me, personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River. Furthermore, the proposed mine site is located within the Nimi'ipuu people's aboriginal territory. Going forward with the project as proposed would greatly effect the Nimi'ipuu's fishing, hunting, gathering, and pasturing rights as outlined in its 1855 Treaty with the US. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the possibility of expanded mining activity is an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Erica Blum, 1311 14 St E, Corona, NY, 11368, erica.blum@icloud.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who grew up in Idaho and spent many days enjoying the spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Elise Clausen, 3236 Brentwood St, Las Vegas, NV, 89121-3316, eliseclausen@live.com</p>
2020-10-27	Protect our land please	<p>Please do not allow this mine to destroy one of the most beautiful places I have ever visited. Protect our land please.</p>	<p>Sean Robinson, 68 Miners Way, Fairplay, CO, 80440-5291, sean.s.robinson@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Thank you for providing the opportunity to comment. Beyond being passionate about rivers and fish habitat we believe in the importance and necessity to protect the short and long term sustainability of our Watershed. We work hard to contribute within our McCall community- having relocated to the area 5 years ago to take full advantage of Wild and Scenic Salmon River and raise our boys with unfiltered access to the outdoors- which continues to be paramount in the health and well being of the next generation. Stibnite Gold Project will adversely impact access to our precious dwindling resources, DOES NOT provide long term careers for our children and fails to be a leader in environmental protection. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Amanda Keaveny, 1563 McCall Ave, McCall, ID, 83638-6001, keavenykays@icloud.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-27	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I personally kayak the South Fork Salmon and have spent a lot of time in the surrounding area. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Susan Bentley, 2772 S Quercus Ave, Boise, ID, 83709-3545, susanlbentley@gmail.com</p>
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a lifelong resident of Idaho who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of the my home, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please do not let a natural resource that belongs to the people be destroyed by a private interest group. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Ryan Rau, 1160 S Denver Ave, Boise, ID, 83706-3283, ryanrau@u.boisestate.edu</p>
<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>The South Fork Salmon has a Piece of My Heart (and I'm Not Alone)</p>	<p>As an woman who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Teddy Nixon, 231 N Robson, Mesa, AZ, 85201-6639, teddddyyyyyy@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-27</p>	<p>Please protect this one-of-a-kind river (Stibnite Gold Project DEIS commentary)</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Kate Burke, 185 NE Snohomish Ave, White Salmon, WA, 98672-0198, misskburke@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Please don't lose sight of the real treasure in the Headwaters of the Salmon River, not the gold, but the river itself and all the creatures who make it their home. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Andy Quick, 1390 Sheridan Ave, Cody, WY, 82414-3733, andy@gradientmountainsports.net</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values public land for fun and employment, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a stakeholder who utilizes these outdoor resources in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that I and paddlers from across the globe travel to Idaho to enjoy. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. This river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is only beginning to heal from the scars of past, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Edward Dery, 1345 Lower Smith Gap Rd, Kunkletown, PA, 18058-3221, hawkinnv@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Nothing can replace what we have in the wild places like the South Fork Salmon drainage. Please don't permanently diminish this irreplaceable landscape for the greed of so few. Our public lands must be protected from schemes like this, now and forever. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Joshua MacNaughton, 104 N Agassiz St, Flagstaff, AZ, 86002-0018, jdisc@hotmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Dear Decision-maker, I am an avid whitewater kayaker, packrafter, and lover of the South Fork Salmon River. Though I live in Colorado, every year I travel to Idaho to paddle, and if I'm lucky, I get to run the South Fork Salmon while I'm there. I urge the Forest Service to approach this project in a much more precautionary way, and to conduct a Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS) for the Stibnite Gold Project located in the headwaters of Idaho's South Fork Salmon River. The current environmental review fails to adequately analyze environmental impacts resulting from construction of the proposed Stibnite Gold project, or consider a reasonable range of alternatives. The DEIS fails to consider underground mining operations as an alternative to massive open pit development or explain why such an alternative is infeasible. Underground mining operations could greatly reduce the most adverse environmental impacts and must be considered. Critical analysis of the environmental impacts resulting from open pit mining operations, include the controversial use of cyanide heap-leach processes in a sensitive watershed, is either missing entirely or inaccessible to the public. The DEIS contains a summary chart of more than two full pages of incomplete or unavailable information which, by the Forest Service's own admission, are "deemed essential to a reasoned choice among alternatives" (See Table 4.1-2). This is unacceptable, considering the public interests involved. In addition to releasing all incomplete data, please conduct a thorough Supplemental DEIS and comment period that addresses the following: (1) The efficacy and longevity of the lining under the tailings impoundment, and any potential avenues of breach to it or impoundment walls (2) Completion of missing analysis and information necessary to understand potential impacts to water quality, recreation and wildlife, as outlined in DEIS table 4.1-2. Finally, I am urging the agency to deny a permit to the mine if the EIS finds ANY risk to the water quality of the South Fork Salmon River. Thank you for your consideration,</p>	Michael Fiebig, 109 Oak Valley Dr, Durango, CO, 81301-3403, fiebigmi@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I'm a resident of Colorado, and I travel to Idaho when I can to kayak on your spectacular rivers. In particular, the South Fork Salmon is a real gem, remote and remarkably untouched. I'm writing against the Stibnite Gold Project, which poses a great environmental risk, not just to the South Fork but the entire Salmon riparian ecosystem. The folks from American Whitewater speak eloquently on this subject as follows: As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Graham Fitter, 29810 County Road 357 Buena Vista Colorado, Buena Vista, CO, 81211, grahamfitter@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. I typically raft the river and hike in this area at least several times per year, and I believe it's a natural resource that should be preserved for the general public to enjoy. There are surely other areas that are not as beautiful and not such a treasure to sportsmen/women where gold mining can take place. I believe it's important for the Forest Service to give equal weight to all users of public lands, not let extractive uses take priority. Additionally, the South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Kimberly Krebs, 121 Deer Path Ave, Manitou Springs, CO, 80829-2153, kkrebco@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I agree with the templated message below, though I have a quick note. The South Fork Salmon is one of the mostly highly sought after kayak runs in the United States. Some people are fortunate enough to paddle the river every year, and others like myself have only had the starts align once. Even just the one trip was an event more rewarding than any accomplishment I've had at work, or any ballgame I've attended. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jacob Cruser, 3081 Alberta Ave NE, Salem, OR, 97301-1719, jacobcruser@yahoo.com
------------	--	--	---

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. JOHN PASQUA</p>	<p>john pasqua, 843 S Escondido Blvd, Escondido, CA, 92025-5005, killself5150@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>I won't come back if you ruin it. Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I have made many river trips to beautiful Idaho, and spent a lot of money there, so why ruin the state? As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Sherrill Futrell, 151 Inner Cir, Davis, CA, 95618-5421, safutrell@ucdavis.edu</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comment regarding Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. We recently celebrated my husband's birthday in this amazing place and recognize the importance of protecting it. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Emily Hayes, 101 E Hugel St, Ennis, MT, 59729-9074, emihayes@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>No Mine in the Watershed of the South Fork Salmon</p>	<p>As a user of the fantastic whitewater that Idaho has to offer I do not agree with the allowance of a mining operation in the South Fork Salmon watershed. This stretch of river is known as a whitewater paradise with pristine paddling, camping, fishing, and sight seeing. Too many adverse outcomes come from upstream mines and I am strongly opposed to allowing such an operation. It endangers fish as well as the well being of folks who are downstream. I have witnessed too many of our rivers become sludge streams due to mining in Colorado and Utah to not vocalize how terrible of an idea this is. Please, do not allow another mining operation to ruin some of the most pristine wilderness we still have. Thank you, Tyler Burns</p>	<p>Tyler Burns, 10812 S Tamarack Dr, Sandy, UT, 84094-5048, tjburns90@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Alternative 5 - Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Hi there, as an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Ciaran Brown, 2113 NW Hill St, Bend, OR, 97703-1328, ciaranbrown17@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Please STOP the Stibnite Gold Project!!</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, and water source it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Tiffany Root, 789 Richmond Dr, Park City, UT, 84098-6302, sugar.root@yahoo.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Saara Snow, 540 S 2nd St W, Missoula, MT, 59801-1878, saarasnow@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. I visit this river yearly with my family, sometimes more than once a year. I vehemently oppose any actions that would threaten it. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jenny Hawke, 2326 W Frontier St, Riverton, UT, 84065-5846, jennrn1006@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I am a Whitewater kayaker who has traveled to Idaho specifically to kayak the South fork of the salmon. I am strongly opposed to this proposal and it would impact my plans to visit the state in the future. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Ben Hawthorne, 251 E 10th Ave, Colville, WA, 99114-3127, benjhawthorne@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. **It is critical to protect this wild and scenic river AND the water quality and ALL wildlife dependent on it!! Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jean Lawrence, PO Box 713, Fort Collins, CO, 80522-0713, windhorse2014@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-28	Protect the South Fork of the Salmon: say no to the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who has rafted the Salmon and Middle Fork of the Salmon rivers and enjoys spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned private citizen, avid whitewater paddler from Colorado and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that, although I have not enjoyed yet, is worthy enough that paddlers and fisherman from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. We cannot allow such a precious resource as the South Fork of the Salmon to risk being damaged for a gold mine that likely will not be worth the time and effort. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Charles Szasz, 1014 E Grand Ave, Fruita, CO, 81521-3109, charleswszasz@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I have driven from Tennessee 3 times to experience the wonders of the Salmon River and a gold mine would damage that beauty and stop me from contributing to the economic prosperity of that part of Idaho. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Crisler Torrence, 1619 W 54th St, Chattanooga, TN, 37409-2009, bcrislerTORRENCE@hotmail.com</p>
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-28	Oppose Stibnite Gold Project	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The Stibnite Gold project lies in the headwaters of the South Fork of the Salmon, critical habitat for Chinook salmon, steelhead, and bull trout. The Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The US Forest Service itself has said "The South Fork Salmon River contains the most important remaining habitat for summer chinook salmon in the Columbia River basin. The fish were once the largest, most valuable segment of the world's largest runs of chinook salmon." In addition, the rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Do not let Midas threaten our wild fish, ecosystems, scenic beauty and recreational opportunities. Say NO to Midas and the Stibnite project. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Sesi Catalano, 2000 Foothill Rd, Reno, NV, 89511-5427, sonosesi@icloud.com
------------	------------------------------	---	--

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. This proposed project is located in the mountains where I spend a significant amount of time recreating, and impacts the Salmon river drainage where I once earned my livelihood river guiding. The costs of road building and maintenance combined with mine activity impacts outweigh the benefits of the project as proposed. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Wendy Bates, 4232 W Samara Ct, Boise, ID, 83703-4045, wendycbates@hotmail.com
------------	--	--	---

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I have floated the south fork of the salmon, and floated by the confluence of the south fork and the main sail in countless times. This is not a place we can afford to loose. It is not a place we can just throw away to be destroyed by another dirty mine. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Helen Sneath, 900 Lolo St, Missoula, MT, 59802-3556, Helensneath@hotmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Hi, My Name is Ben Litz, I am a logger who lives in western Montana and a citizen who spends the majority of my free time recreating on the rivers and mountains of western Montana and Idaho. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The South Fork of the salmon watershed contains world class whitewater and recreation opportunities that will be put in jeopardy or ruined if this mine is allowed to proceed. For 20 years I have made a living falling timber in Montana and Idaho and I know that the short term gain of this mine is tempting but the value of the river and untarnished wilderness far outweigh the short term monetary gain. Our rivers are not a renewable resource, once an accident happens and the safety precautions that are promised to be put in place fail the south fork will be forever changed and possibly ruined. The value of this watershed will in the long run sustain many more jobs though tourism, fishing, and hunting than a mine ever could. The money brought in by these activities will sustain the community's forever if this mine is not developed and not just a booming few years if it is. Please do not follow in the footsteps of so many other areas that approved mines only to see the area destroyed, the mining company declare bankruptcy and leave the locals to foot the bill of the cleanup. Afterwards we are left with an area that no longer brings in revenue from tourism, no longer has a viable fisheries, no longer has world class hunting or wildlife habitat and no longer has mining jobs sustaining it.</p>	<p>Ben Litz, 2025 Altura Dr, Missoula, MT, 59802-3274, Litzh2o@hotmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the natural beauty of the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a community member who utilizes these public lands in their current natural state. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. This type of human impact in this area is dangerous and has consequences. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Proceeding with this project would negatively impact me and all the of visitors to this area. We have one beautiful world to save here - to stop humans from mindlessly destroying - and this is an example of that. The South Fork Salmon River is not appropriate for new mining activity.</p>	<p>Kristina Haight, 329 NE 8th St, North Bend, WA, 98045-7920, haightk@comcast.net</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. Also, importantly, we need to respect the Nez Perce tribe and their rights and relationship to the land. Too often indigenous people are left completely out of the conversation. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Leah Schulz, 787 W 250 N, Blackfoot, ID, 83221-5213, leahschulz@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. My family has recreated and use these lands for years, they need to be put towards conservation. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Andy McMurray, 3787 Greenville St, Bellingham, WA, 98226-6814, andy.mcmurray@gmail.com</p>
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-28	Please stop Stibnite Gold Project DEIS!	<p>Please don't allow this mining to ruin the headwaters of the Salmon. This water is important in many ways from fishing habitat to recreational activities such as rafting. We all need to share this clean water source and not pollute it with mining. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Barbara Shaffer, 4243 Hooker St, Denver, CO, 80211-1623, barbara_shaffer@hotmail.com
------------	---	---	--

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I paddled the south fork salmon about seven years ago. It was stunning. A close second to the Grand Canyon. I recommend it to friends. I look forward to running it again. It would be a shame if this river lost it's pristine character. Please protect it. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	ROBERT BROWN, 84880 Lorane Hwy, Eugene, OR, 97405-9232, catenifer22@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The Salmon River in Idaho is one of the rivers I've fallen in love with. A unique and priceless ecosystem surrounds this watershed. The natural state of the river is worth more than any amount of gold that could be extracted. Please stop putting selfish desires above our planet's wellbeing. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Carly Lloyd, 30 Legend Cir, Dillon, CO, 80435-7927, carlyroselloyd@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>PROTECT THE SOUTH FORK SALMON!!!! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Brian Healy, 519 Klickitat St, Lyle, WA, 98635-9054, theweirdturnpro1@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Stop poisoning the earth : regarding Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>STOP poisoning the earth, water and people living on the land in question ! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Teodora Ghizila, 13246 124th Ct NE, Kirkland, WA, 98034-5428, teodora_ghizila@hotmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Mining will impact these habitats. We should be moving away from these destructive approaches as we try to mitigate the anthropogenic impact on the environment. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Benjamyn Ward, 5317 Renton Ave S, Seattle, WA, 98118-6137, benjamyn.ward@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an someone who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing, both as as concerned citizen, and a stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. As a member of Team River Runner, I have been privileged to bring disabled veterans to enjoy the whitewater of the Salmon. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>John McClanahan, 11694 Bennington Woods Rd, Reston, VA, 20194-1610, john.mcclanahan3@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Protect the South Fork Salmon DEIS</p>	<p>Thank you for allowing comments on the DEIS for the Gold Project. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Susan Steiner, 2138 Rainbow Ln, Hiawassee, GA, 30546-1786, ssteiner76@hotmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project DEIS - Comments from H. Myers</p>	<p>I'm writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group." I am a stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. We must protect this habitat, and protect the diversity of wildlife and activities that it supports. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Helene Myers, 2323 S Eagleson Rd, Boise, ID, 83705-3716, gigi417@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS, Protect the Salmon River</p>	<p>I'm a PNW resident and someone who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am simply a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I have a dream of rafting this river with my family in the next few years and I want this bucket list trip to be special. I want the water to run cleanly! The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Skylar Casey, 350 Seneca Ave NW, Renton, WA, 98057-5129, sky.eli.casey@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project in the headwaters of the south Salmon</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who loves paddling the rivers of Idaho and hope that my children can paddle these rivers as well. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Peter Benedict, 1493 County Road 106, Carbondale, CO, 81623-2357, pbenedict@crms.org</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>PROTECT WILD SALMON RUNS AND WILD RIVERS! The south fork of the salmon river should be a wild and scenic river, not a mine site. Protect what few wild spaces we still have left, don't sell Idaho to interest groups who do not care about the environmental damage they cause. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Ben Letourneau, 511 N 16Th Ave Bozeman Mt, Bozeman, MT, 59715, ben.letourneau01@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Nick Gottlieb, 365 W End Ave, New York, NY, 10024-6511, ngottlieb@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>It should be noted by now how important the health of our environment is to the health of ourselves. It has been too many incidences that have occurred where the environment has been taken advantage of for the benefit of major corporations. These major corporation constantly put out well being at risk for the benefit of a small group of individuals to make capital gains. The benefits of this project will never outweigh the benefits of a healthy ecosystem, or a healthy community.</p>	<p>Casey Beall, 105 Country Club Rd, Hood River, OR, 97031-9792, caseyj.beall@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I am an Idahoan, avid outdoors-woman, and educator. I am writing to you today with a very important request in support of the years of work of the Nez Perce tribe here in Idaho. Please provide time and resources for a supplemental draft EIS as required by law to include the impact on the Nez Perce tribe, water, land, and local communities near the SF Salmon river. We need additional information on the impact of arsenic, pollution, public and private land, as well as, what type of liner will be used to store tailings, transportation disclosure and risks, impact on native fish including water temperature, and the infrastructure required to maintain the project. Additionally, I strongly oppose Midas Gold's Stibnite project near McCall and the Frank Church-River of No Return Wilderness. This project will threaten pristine land and waters in the South Fork of the Salmon River watershed. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Nichole Lasich, 6291 N Rumford Pl, Boise, ID, 83714-6119, nrnlasich@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-28	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS is NOT for Idaho	<p>As a whitewater boater and environmental scientist who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The eco tourism alone would make more money for the state of Idaho long term than a mining company who will destroy the ecosystem and tourism and cost taxpayers money for years to come when this corrupt company goes bankrupt (like they all do) and leaves it to everyone else to clean up. Do they even have a plan on how they will help clean up a tailings spill or dam breach after the project is over? How will they help the ecosystem and these fish once the gold is extracted and they go back home to their rich little lives unaffected by a fractured river system, and unaccountable. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. Allowing this mine to proceed is essentially writing off these species for extinction. They don't care for the watershed or it's non-human stakeholders, and this gold will just end up in some basement somewhere. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. My profession as a whitewater guide on the Salmon relies on clean water, an intact habitat for enjoying and fishing, and peace of mind that I won't have to worry about tailings entering the watershed and poisoning myself and my guests and all the creatures who depend on a healthy ecosystem. In short, this mine will kill the Salmon River. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Brianna Loff, 917 N 7th St, Boise, ID, 83702-4356, loffbri@icloud.com
------------	---	--	---

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the preservation of pristine rivers and the ecosystems for the wildlife that live therein, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in the current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to. The pristine waters of the South Fork Salmon watershed holds a magic that keeps bringing myself and many others back year after year. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Rachel Fleischut, 721 SW Aplin Rd, White Salmon, WA, 98672-8310, racheleighfly@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and pectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Ronald Chavez, 45 Alder Dr, Newnan, GA, 30263-4777, ronniechavez@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. Mining this natural wilderness irreparably damages the native ecosystem and to the benefit of a small number of people. The outdoor recreation economic opportunities are more sustainable for generations and benefit a larger number of people, particularly those who live in the area than the mine will. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Peter Wilk, PO Box 9147, Salt Lake City, UT, 84109-0147, pete@petewilk.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. Paddling the South Fork Salmon is a goal that in many ways has driven my whitewater career, and inspired me to visit and work in Idaho. My career as a paddler is relatively new. As such, when I spent the past summer in Idaho, every time a group of paddlers would come back with smiles and hours of incredible stories, I could only dream of what it would be like to paddle the South Fork. The prospect of being able to go visit it myself and actually paddle down it has literally defined my lifestyle, inspiring me to spend more time on the river so that I can train to descend it. The idea that this river, which is a pinnacle achievement to me and a place I am so excited to be able to explore, will be degraded before I am able to see it is absolutely heartbreaking. To avoid this heartbreak, I am commenting to PROTECT THE SOUTH FORK SALMON! The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Graham Bendickson, 6021 Woodland Ter, Mc Lean, VA, 22101-4225, graham.bendickson@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I can not believe this is even an idea being entertained. I am an avid boater and someone that strongly values the safety and protection of these beautiful rivers. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Cullen Groom, 1835 Blakeslee Ave, Arcata, CA, 95521-5416, cullen.groom@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>DO NOT MINE THE SOUTH FORK</p>	<p>I am writing to you again to reiterate how much the South Fork of the Salmon means to me and others. The this is an incredibly stretch of river that cannot be replaced. The Stibnite Gold Project would irreversibly harm the fish population, the whitewater, and other parts of the surrounding ecosystem. This is public land and the people who enjoy this area realize it's value is much higher than the gold it contains. Do not mine here.</p>	<p>Ryan Barna, 3040 W 33rd N, Idaho Falls, ID, 83402-5326, ryjobar@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	James Janney Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that I, a paddler from Tennessee, and other paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I've travelled to Idaho to paddle the South Fork of the Salmon on 3 separate occasions since 2014, and I consider it the finest whitewater river of its difficulty and style in North America. On the first 2 trips, my brother and I were able to share the river experience together, which is always a treat for close siblings now separated by thousands of miles. My father has been rafting in Idaho at least yearly since the 1960s and had long dreamed of paddling the South Fork of the Salmon. In 2019, I was able to make his dream come true by accompanying him on a trip down the South Fork. Being able to share an experience on this river with him has been one of the highlights of my lifetime kayaking experience. I hope, with good fortune with my father's health, that my father, my brother, and I are able to accompany my now 1 year old daughter and 3 month old niece down the the South Fork about 12 years from now, and that when we're ready to make that journey, that the river is in even better ecological condition than it is now, as the area continues to recover from the legacy of previous mining. Suffice it to say, I think the South Fork of the Salmon has value equivalent to the revered nearby Middle Fork of the Salmon and Main Salmon, and that it's value will only continue to increase in the future as the popularity of whitewater in the area continues to grow. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	James Janney, 10700 Fox Park Ln, Knoxville, TN, 37931-1917, jim.janney@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>The Stibnite Gold Mine isn't worth it</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity under operations as intended. We have seen the failure of tailings dams of mining and coal fired power plant waste time and again. The question is not if this mine will leak hazardous cyanide leachate into this watershed, but when. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The water, fish and animal species, and recreational value (income generated for local communities) are all day more valuable to the United States and its citizens than any proposed royalties from mining. I urge you to adopt Alternative No. 5.</p>	<p>Landon Harman, 4595 Wolff St, Denver, CO, 80212-2514, landonharman@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>This is one of the last rivers for migration of wild chinook salmon, bull trout, and steelhead in the world and extremely important in the Columbia River basin. This whole project is prioritizing a shiny metal over the lives and territory of native American tribes, the natural beauty of an incredible canyon, and some of the strongest, fittest fish species on earth. This is completely unnecessary and will be met with very strong opposition if built. The role of the Forest Service is to protect and conserve public lands, not destroy and industrialize over them. This project is a disgrace. Don't fucking build it.</p>	<p>Scott McKinstry, 1946 E 3080 S, Salt Lake City, UT, 84106-3934, scottmckinstry1@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. I have kayaked the South Fork of the Salmon down to the Main Salmon and it is an outstanding resource for kayakers, rafters at lower water levels, and even pack rafters now. It is a treasure in the Salmon River drainage and needs to be protected now and for future generations. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Luther Wallace, 1330 Saratoga Ave, Steamboat Springs, CO, 80487-4925, davidk1@q.com</p>
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am writing to submit just the beginning of many concerns regarding the Stibnite GOLD Project. With its current content I am advocating strongly for Alternative 5, no action. I have outlined just a few of the most concerning topics below. Native American Rights: What considerations have been taken into account of Nez Perce rights and positions on this proposal? Social-cultural and economic impacts intertwined with environmental impacts should be addressed in the DEIS. The executive summary does not specifically name any tribes (in particular land stolen in the land grab treaty of 1863) and the need to maintain the hunting and fishing lands of the Nez Perce tribe. Further, the 216 page plan document has ZERO mechanisms for specific inclusion of tribal governments, or a measure of impacts specific to Native American people or tribes who utilize this region for fishing and hunting. This proposal would disproportionately impact local tribes, and there is no mechanism to balance out that disproportionality. (The prefix trib as in tribe or tribal is used twice in the document, with no specific tribes named). Fish: The DEIS p. 3.12.1 describes four special status fish and the Forest Service has preliminarily determined that project will adversely affect bull trout (pg. 4.12-87), Chinook salmon (pg. 4.12-69), steelhead (pg. 4.12-75), and their critical habitats; and may indirectly impact Westslope cutthroat trout (pg. 4.12-93). South Fork Salmon River is not pristine, but it is wild, free-flowing, largely within public lands, undeveloped, and supports native fish. While all fish are of management interest, four special status native salmonids(i.e., fish in the family which includes salmon and trout) are of particular interest because of their status as 4 federally-listed fish or fish of management concern. These all require cold, clear, clean, running water and varying unobstructed migration pathways to complete their life cycles. Chinook and bull trout are listed as threatened species. What are the implications of the Endangered Species Act in these circumstances? What considerations does the best available science recommend in order to maintain and protect these species? Wildlife: The plan describes wildlife habitat restoration, and certain protections for unnamed wildlife, fish, and birds, but neglects to specifically mention small mammal species who are at greatest risk for death/adverse health impacts from antimony runoff. Additionally, the plan includes a "wildlife mortality reporting tool" - thus acknowledging that this will kill wildlife...The goal would be NOT to kill wildlife, rather than have a tool to report the death of the wildlife. Access: "The Payette National Forest did not offer printed copies of the DEIS to the general public; theForest made the DEIS available in electronic form only. The failure to provide printed copies arbitrarily excludes a significant portion of the public from participation in the DEIS process. If a person doesn't have a good computer, reliable Internet service, and enough computer skill to negotiate the document — the DEIS is essentially unavailable. Additionally, it is well-documented that Internet access is much more limited for families that are low-income, rural, American Indian/Alaska Native, Black, or Latino, compared to more affluent white families. The Payette National Forest's failure to provide printed copies hinders public participation and is an abuse of discretion, discriminatory, and unethical. As someone with the privilege to voice my concerns here, I am doing so for those who have not been extended the opportunity. Additionally, the Stibnite Gold Project EIS #50516 Comment Page has been unresponsive most of the day today, and each of the other days that I have attempted to submit comments, further inhibiting public</p>	<p>Megan Degelsmith, 14730 25th Ave NE, Shoreline, WA, 98155-7318, megandegelsmith@gmail.com</p>
-------------------	---	---	--

2020-10-28	No on the Stibnite Gold Project	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The Forest Service is directed to manage public lands in the public interest. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Craig Sosey, 1718 224th Ct NE, Sammamish, WA, 98074-4157, csosey@live.com</p>
2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Our wild public lands are precious and irreplaceable! We need to protect them. I want my children and grandchildren to have them there. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Deborah Buchanan, 11290 NW Skyline Blvd, Portland, OR, 97231-2633, dlbadger@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an outdoor enthusiast who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. I want these wild areas around for the future so I can enjoy them with my children and grandchildren, and future generations. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Wanda Jeffries, 525 S Cavalier Ct, Greenacres, WA, 99016-9605, wandaquilter@comcast.net</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. There are some places in the world that are worth protecting, this is one of them</p>	<p>Kelly Rinck, PO Box 1332, Girdwood, AK, 99587-1332, krinck@hotmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Please review the DEIS more carefully and choose Alternative #5 - No Action Plan</p>	<p>As a journalist with a background in fluvial geomorphology, I have been following this mine for quite some time. I have interviewed Midas Gold multiple times, spoken with several biologists and a fluvial geomorphologist working on the mine, spoken with the Nez Perce Tribe, and chatted with conservationists who are opposed to the issue. I have put a lot of time into learning about the specifics of the project, the differences between the various alternative plans, and the mitigation efforts set forth by Midas Gold. My conclusion is that the DEIS is missing lots of key information regarding environmental impacts of the mine, as well as missing information about people who use the river drainage on a regular basis. This, combined with Midas Gold's lack of plan for the future (I am talking 50+ years out) of the mine, makes me urge you to choose Alternative Plan #5, the no action plan. Missing information from the DEIS includes downstream effects of the mine, which are not mentioned at all in the executive summary of the DEIS. This is a RIVER, and thus anything that happens at the headwaters of the river will have a significant impact on anything and everything downstream of that. This includes the Main Salmon River, which is the second-largest free-flowing river in the United States. Why would you put a detrimental mine at the headwaters to a tributary of the second-largest free-flowing river in the United States? Not only will it impact fish populations in the East Fork South Fork Salmon, but also the Main Salmon, the Snake River, the Columbia River, and it could potentially even impact Orca populations in the Pacific Ocean via lowering Chinook Salmon Populations. The DEIS is also missing evidence to support the fish tunnel that Midas Gold has proposed around the Yellow Pine pit. There is no evidence to show that a fish tunnel will work to allow fish to pass. In fact, a fish tunnel of this sort has never been constructed on a river. All the evidence that Midas Gold is using to support the fish tunnel is coming from fish tunnels between reservoirs, not fish tunnels in rivers. I was told by Midas Gold that whether the tunnel is located in a river vs. between reservoirs should not have an impact on its effectiveness. But where is the evidence to back this up? Where are the scientists who say this is true? Where are the impact statements of other fish tunnels on rivers saying that it worked? Where are the published articles supporting this? There aren't any, because it has never been done before. Realistically, Midas Gold is trying to mitigate negative impacts of the mine as much as they can. But also realistically - it is simply not possible to mitigate the environmental impacts of a mine that is at the headwaters of a large river drainage. There is already a large mining legacy at the headwaters of the East Fork South Fork Salmon, so why would you make it three times worse by re-mining the existing pit, and then adding two additional pits? This is backward thinking. The headwaters of a major river drainage is not the place to put a mine, plain and simple. This is why Alternative Plan #5 is the only reasonable plan to take. No action. All the environmental effects aside, I want to go back to the information that is missing in the DEIS. The DEIS never once mentioned anglers and recreational and whitewater paddlers. These are key demographics who use the river corridor who were completely left out when considering impacts of the mine. The DEIS is lacking in so much information, so if you choose not to pick Alternative Plan #5, I urge you to at least review the DEIS with great scrutiny, as there is so much mitigation and restoration information missing from it. Lots more work needs to be done to realize the full environmental impacts of the mine, not only today, but</p>	<p>Brooke Hess, 800 W 11th St, Reno, NV, 89503-2826, brookehh961@gmail.com</p>
-------------------	---	--	--

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Voicing my opposition to the Stibnite Gold Project</p>	<p>When trying to protect wilderness from development, every victory is temporary, and every loss permanent. Knowing the permanence of damaged caused by mining I must voice my opposition to the Stibnite Gold Project. More specifically, I am voicing my support of Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Ross Allen, 14222 Skislope Way, Truckee, CA, 96161-7009, ross.emerson.allen@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Please protect the SF Salmon</p>	<p>I am an avid whitewater kayaker and professional outdoorsman based out of Washington and Idaho seasonally. For the last seven years I have had the pleasure of working as a multi day river guide on the Salmon river which has allowed me access to the incredible South Fork Salmon on my off days. Not only is this river one of the most classic sections of whitewater you can find but it is also home to some of the most critical fish species—salmon, a keystone species. This river deserves to be protected from the pollutants that are inevitable when mining is present. Please think about the long term ramifications a decision like this will have on such a delicate and important ecosystem. Thank you for your time and consideration.</p>	<p>Rose Triolo, 40 Private Lake Rd, White Salmon, WA, 98672-8619, rose.triolo820@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, and one who has spent many years kayaking and rafting on the Salmon River I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Helen Howard, 1980 Balsa Rd, Bullhead City, AZ, 86442-7250, helenhoward58@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Alternative 5</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Ann Berns</p>	<p>Ann Berns, 8601 E 29th Ave, Denver, CO, 80238-2666, lucinalearning@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF MARKET PRESSURE NOT IN YOUR FAVOR! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF MARKET PRESSURE NOT IN YOUR FAVOR! IM A CAPITALIST TOO BUT LISTEN TO THE MAJORITY!</p>	<p>Kevin McJames, 720 N Wall St, Salt Lake City, UT, 84103-1853, kevinmcjames@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I have visited this area many times and am always awed by how wild and beautiful it is. I vehemently oppose any mining in this area, especially using an outdated method of open pit mining to extract gold. The fact that FWS would risk severely contaminating this unique area is disgraceful. If money and jobs are the driving factors leading this area to be sacrificed, why not promote the fishing and outdoor industry instead? There is way more money to be made sustainably through outdoor tourism than the short term gains generated by mining. Please don't approve this project.</p>	<p>Tyler Kollenbroich, 2654 W Horizon Ridge Pkwy, Henderson, NV, 89052-2858, mrtyguyk@hotmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>We can not afford to lose another one of America's great and scenic rivers. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Erica Berlin, 2654 W HORIZON, Henderson, NV, 89052, berlinerica10@yahoo.com</p>
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-28	Protect the South Fork Salmon	<p>I have been fortunate enough to grow up immersed in all of the incredible outdoor spaces Idaho has to offer. I am a kayaker, rafter biker, rock climber, skier. All of these things are very important to me, but NONE are as important as protecting the dear state I love and its one-of-a-kind nature. We owe it to the land and to the native species and people who have inhabited it to do our absolute best to protect this place. The plan that Midas Gold has for the Stibnite project does not fit in with the goal of protecting the beautiful places we care so much about as Idahoans. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Emily Neufeld, 3905 W Neel St, Boise, ID, 83705-2126, eneufeld59@gmail.com
------------	-------------------------------	---	--

2020-10-28	Please STOP the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The number one reason my family and I travel to (and spend money in) Idaho is to visit the rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed. I implore you to stop the pillaging of our public lands by denying the Stibnite Gold Project! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Kelsie Porter, 207 Summitview Ln, Poncha Springs, CO, 81201-9254, krporter22@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please do not allow the mining industry to exploit our natural lands for monetary gain. There simply are no needs that justify these means. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Emily Vasquez, S RR 783 Box 797, Harper, TX, 78631, emblev@outlook.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>No to Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources across the USA, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please, do what is right for our future. Deep in your heart, you know the answer. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Paul Tanis, 10048 Tittle Way, Elk Grove, CA, 95757-2505, paul.tanis@hotmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Please Do Not Permit Gold Mining on S.F. River</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. As an owner of these public lands, we should protect them for their own sake, not just for our sake. The salmon that depend on this river deserve the opportunity to thrive in their natural habitat. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Amber Polk, 1090 Tanland Dr, Palo Alto, CA, 94303-3749, amber.polk.58@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Laura Keeler, 1609 W Resseguie St, Boise, ID, 83702-4054, keele20l@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>The South Fork Salmon River is a place worth protecting. I've been fortunate enough to kayak it, and it's a spectacular and unique place. As a watershed scientist by training, I'm also aware of how sensitive it is to impactful landuses such as mining. In fact, there is quite a bit of literature demonstrating the sedimentary impacts associated with intensive landuse (e.g., see Megahan et al., 1992). I strongly suggest implementing the no action alternative (Alternative 5)</p>	<p>Drew Coe, 860 Christine Ave, Redding, CA, 96003-3539, drewcoe@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Concern for the South fork</p>	<p>Having grown up near Butte, Montana and living with the consequences I find it hard to understand how open pit cyanide leaching is still an acceptable form of mining especially on public lands. I have worked in and around mines in Idaho, Montana, and Alaska and time after time mining companies promise minimal environmental impacts, great jobs for communities, and responsibility for incidents. It is never true. They kill sensitive species, import workers, and leave the public with the clean up bill. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Eric Oravsky, D17 DOUGLAS Hbr, Juneau, AK, 99801, cheetahsus@yahoo.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>PLEASE! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Kylie North, 1609 W Resseguie St, Boise, ID, 83702-4054, ky.north.lie@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and wild natural resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. We all ultimately lose with the impacts of expanded mining activity and unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Melissa Ruth, 910 E Washington St, Boise, ID, 83712-7321, melissabr32@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I am a life long river user. My business is based on river recreation. My business suffers when my customers are not able to access great river systems. The South Fork is one of the few gems left. Please protect this river for future generations by blocking the proposed mine. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	John Hart, 885 Methodist Rd, Hood River, OR, 97031-8810, john@kayakshed.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Please, mining would hurt a lot more than it would do good. It's not fair to everyone who relies on the waters for diverse reasoning. The future generations will not be able to enjoy this area and it will never be the same. This has also been a very quiet release, making me think that those that are proposing this would like to sneak around and do it without everyone giving an opinion. It's just not fair.</p>	Katherine Berasi, 26 Seventeen Mile Rd, Salmon, ID, 83467-5234, katherineberasi@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The Salmon River is extraordinary. No other river of such length, volume, and gradient has stayed so nearly intact throughout the years. While the natural rhythms of many other rivers have been destroyed, the Salmon continues to flow wild and free, dancing only to seasonal changes. There is no substitute for it. Having guided on the Salmon, I've felt the unparalleled energy of this special place and spent my best days along it's banks. It calls to me like nowhere else ever has. Being simultaneously one of a kind and the last of its kind, The Salmon must be protected at all costs. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Midas Gold may claim that their project would restore the mine to pre-mine conditions but historically there have been no instances where a pit mine has left an environment in better shape than before. These resources are not replaceable. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Megan Matheson, PO Box 1923, Wilson, WY, 83014-1923, megelaynematheson@gmail.com</p>
------------	--	---	---

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Why I support "Alternative 5"</p>	<p>Hello, I am one of the producers of this short film about the South Fork Salmon River: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vww_srAzBTA As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I have spent a lot of time researching this proposal. While Midas' 'Plan Of Restoration And Operations' makes their proposal seem more like a goodwill restoration project than a mining project, I think when you dig deeper, it is obvious that there would be potentially damaging environmental impacts from this project that may outweigh the positives outlined in the PRO. I respect the balance we have to strike between conservation and industry. Mining is an important part of our economy, both for what it contributes to our industries and technology as well as it what it contributes to American jobs. However, I believe this project is not the right fit for this very special area. The risks are too high. This area was already damaged by mining in the past, and has finally come to a place where those impacts have dissipated. I don't think it makes sense to redevelop this mining site. While the industry related to tourism surrounding this river basin might not match those of a potential long term mining project, they should not be ignored. Regardless of financial incentives, I think the foremost concern with this project needs to be the preservation of a very important area of Idaho wilderness. I do not think this proposal should go forward. I support 'Alternative 5'. Thank you for your time and consideration, Michael Dunning michaeldunning@gmail.com 208.721.2102</p>	<p>Mike Dunning, PO Box 193, Sun Valley, ID, 83353-0193, miked2750@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I have grown up exploring and enjoying all that Stanley and its nearby wilderness has to offer. My grandpa taught me to fish on the Salmon River, I have enjoyed swimming in the hot springs since I was little, and I have always marveled in the beauty of the river and its surroundings. No mining project belongs in this untamed and beautiful forest and river ecosystem. I was taught to respect the wilderness and always leave it as I found it. This is a gross violation of every principle for how to treat Idaho's nature. Please think of the wildlife, the delicate balance of the ecosystem, and of people like me who have loved and cherished the Salmon River and grown up learning how to take care of it, and reconsider this proposal.</p>	<p>Malila Freeman, 16 Shooting Star Ln, Hailey, ID, 83333-5072, freemanmalila@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comment - Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As someone who values the rivers, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group. I am writing because I deeply value rivers and water as an essential part of our earth and all living things. I am also a boater who paddles river both because it brings me joy and as part of my work as a river instructor for NOLS. It essential that the Forest Services cares for these public lands and waterways (lands for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest) and works to keep them in their current unpolluted state. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Adrianna Beaudette, 288 Main St, Lander, WY, 82520-3128, Adrianna2828@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Kyra Geissler</p>	<p>Kyra Geissler, 2112 Cleveland Blvd, Caldwell, ID, 83605-4432, kyrageissler@yahoo.com</p>

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	I grew up in Idaho and one of my favorite memories ever was rafting the salmon. I hope someday to have kids of my own i can take on the same trip but destroying this land by mining for gold to a company that is not US based disgusting. Do not allow the mine to be built.	PATRICK PEARSON, 533 S Elizabeth St, Salt Lake City, UT, 84102-3810, patch.pearson@gmail.com
2020-10-28	Stibnite gold mine DEIS	I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's DEIS. I am a whitewater boater who has visited the South Fork of the Salmon River on many occasions and has marveled at the pristine water that makes up the South Fork headwaters. As a user of our Forest Service lands I am afraid of the damage that may be done by this mine to these resources. The clean water, the salmon and steelhead, and the many other recreational opportunities of this area are irreplaceable and cannot be found in but only a few other places. The South Fork of the Salmon river is a jewel that should be protected and left to be enjoyed by all for now and in the future. Thank you.	Jim Dent, 34 Goat Mountain Rd Wilsall Mt, Wilsall, MT, 59086, jimd20904@yahoo.com

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Stibnite gold project puts the present and future at risk - comment on DEIS</p>	<p>Hello! My name is Teal Gardner. I am a 35 year old high school teacher who has spent time with students investigating the probable impacts of the mining project at Stibnite, ID currently being proposed by Midas Gold. Through an educational and multifaceted exploration of place, I have been exposed to various perspectives on the issue. My team of high school students visited Yellow Pine in 2019 to understand more completely what the stakes are for this project. We visited the potential mine site, and received a tour from Midas gold representatives. When we visited we were embraced by the town of Yellow Pine. We met town elders who showed us the old schoolhouse and museum, and learned about the mining impact on Yellow Pine. We noted that there were significant losses in economy after the mine closed post WW2. That fact alone makes one hesitate to approve of the project currently proposed by Midas Gold. There may be short term gains for the economy in Yellow Pine due to large amounts of newcomers to the area, but overall, the boom-bust cycle of mining remains the same. There will be no long term positive local impacts from the influx of people into the area. In fact, a nearby community, McCall, Idaho has demonstrated strong resistance to the project, noting that river water quality will be impacted by the mine, and warning of the loss of biodiversity due to the destructive nature of the project. The nearest, largest population center, McCall, Idaho has had many rallies against the mine. That cultural opposition from a highly invested local population should clearly show the local attitude toward the mine project. People are against the mine. Not only are human beings against the reopening of the mine, but local native populations of plants and animals certainly will not benefit from further mining of the area. Entire areas will be covered in mining tailings and waste rock, which will further reduce habitat availability for animals native to the region. Indeed, the current mass extinction crisis on earth, which has been shown to be a massive die-off of animal and plant species due to anthropogenic factors such as habitat loss, is an excellent reason in and of itself to deny this project the opportunity to go through. As we register the impact of human choices on the habitability of planet earth, we must become more conscientious of the fact that all species and populations of non human lifeforms have a place on earth- they assist in keeping the biosphere habitable through their very activity as living beings. If more crucial habitat is removed, more plants and animals will be lost. Wolverines, for example, which are extremely low in numbers, live in the region where this project is proposed. Wolverine habitat is lost through resource extraction, like mining. There are under 300 of these animals currently accounted for in the United States, Idaho, and the area in question, being one of the identified ranges for Wolverines. Is a bar of gold worth the loss of the potential future of an entire species of animals on earth, the only planet that has ever been identified to have life on it? It is crucial to ensure a biodiverse future for this planet. The idea that human resource extraction of materials from living systems, (like the mountains and streams and rivers impacted by this proposed mine) is of more value to people than the biodiversity that will be disturbed and endangered through the process is an antiquated, and limited view of how life works on earth. It is time for the Forest Service to serve the forests, and to ensure that their mission of 'Advocating a conservation ethic in promoting the health, productivity, diversity, and beauty of forests and associated lands is upheld. I strongly advocate for Alternative 5, no action on the Stibnite Mine project as</p>	<p>Teal Gardner, 736 W Ridenbaugh St, Boise, ID, 83702-2845, teal.gardner@gmail.com</p>
-------------------	--	--	---

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Some Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Water and habitat should be protected As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Ashley Borrego, 2955 Hope St, Huntington Park, CA, 90255-6203, ashleyvictoriaborrego@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project DEIS is inadequate and misleading</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Clearly, mining has the potential to be extremely devastating to any watershed, especially in terms of water quality and fish habitat. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Bill Overington, 5 Lester Rd, Healy, AK, 99743, billoverington80@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I believe in this cause!! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>ANDREW SALDINO, 100 Mount Clare Ave, Asheville, NC, 28801-1212, andrewsaldino1@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Stop The Stibnite Gold Project!</p>	<p>I am a resident of California but value the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources In all our states. I ask that you consider implementing Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as an individual concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Debra Burstiner, 867 Beverly Way, Arcata, CA, 95521-6561, dburstiner@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Greetings. I was privileged enough to be able to purchase a six day Main Salmon rafting trip this summer, and bring economic value and support of jobs to Idaho during this much needed economic downturn. I really enjoyed my time visiting Idaho via river, road, and air on two tiny plane flights over the interior of Idaho. It is a beautiful state. I expect the powers that be to preserve and protect Idaho's natural resources. Mining should only be allowed if it can be performed without adverse effects to other parts of the landscape, especially the waterways and fishies. Idaho receives grand economic return from its boating industries, so please allow that industry to be prosperous without mining damage.</p>	<p>Lisa Peterson, 10303 Montrose Ave, Bethesda, MD, 20814-4151, lisapeterson480@yahoo.com</p>
2020-10-28	Saving a river-no Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Paddle in peace for all living things.</p>	<p>Devon Barker, PO Box 4445, McCall, ID, 83638-4445, devobarker@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Save the South Fork!— Comments on the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers, like myself, from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I am writing as a steward to the environment and someone who vigorously supports demonstrating respect of the natural world during recreational enjoyment of special places, such as the South Fork and the Wild and Scenic Main Salmon Rivers. I am very much in opposition to this mining project inherent with cyanide leach processes and risks of dam failure, acid mine drainage, and cyanide spills, all of which could have lifetime-long downstream effects. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Rachael Neumann, 1566 E Sunnyside Ave, Salt Lake City, UT, 84105-1633, rachael.neumann@live.com</p>
-------------------	---	---	--

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Fishing says no to Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. I fish in Riggins, Idaho for my livelihood. We must not risk the fish and my job with this project.</p>	<p>Michael Hicks, PO Box 1487, Riggins, ID, 83549-1487, hicksy35@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>This summer I watched and listened to the elk crossing the South Fork . As an individual who loves and values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Andrea Masom, PO Box 252, Kendrick, ID, 83537-0252, amasom@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I have traveled to Idaho every two or three years over the past several of decades. I enjoy the beauty and activities on all parts of the Salmon River. I have enjoyed white water paddling and fishing the river. I usually spend over a week in Idaho each visit and my longest visit was 6 weeks, during which I engaged in multiple activities on the Salmon River. It is necessary to protect this resource from the guaranteed devastation of the Stibnite Gold Project. Experience shows again and again that spoils retention dams will fail spreading toxic devastation through out the watershed. Leachate from the mining process is another proven environmental hazard that will pollute the area, destroying more than the river itself. 100 million tons of contaminated spoils left behind would be a tremendous burden on the tax payers of this nation because it will eventually need to be treated / restored. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Joseph Beke, 27 Maple Ave, Flemington, NJ, 08822-1423, jbeke49@hotmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-28	Avid river runner opposes Stibnite Gold Project	<p>I have rafted and kayaked the Salmon River and its tributaries dozens of times. I am totally opposed to this project. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Doug Mavor, 7007 Bristol Ln, Bozeman, MT, 59715-9559, dougmavor@gmail.com
------------	---	---	---

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Hello friends and representatives of Idaho. As a neighbor to your beautiful state, I often find myself traveling to enjoy the amazing rivers each summer. They are spectacular natural resources that deserve tumost respect. We've seen the damage mining can do in places like Colorado a few years ago. AS a state you are one of the leaders in wilderness and natural beauty. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Kyle Gray, PO Box 393, Kamas, UT, 84036-0393, kylegray23@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The outdoors are where we find our escape. Where we enjoy the sounds of birds in the trees and spend time in the cool water of the river. Don't let greed overtake our safe space our calm space, our escape. Sounds of machinery are not a replacement to bird sounds. Dirty water is not a replacement to the cool clean runs. However, this isn't just about us, as humans and our safe space it is the home to an ecosystem full of living breathing communities of species that deserve more than what the results of mining will leave them. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Krista Hamel, 397 N Nebula Ave, Star, ID, 83669-5541, kristabunny01@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I have lived in Cascade, Idaho for the past 10 years, and in Idaho for the past twenty. What drew me to this region and this state were the incredible rivers and stunning wilderness. These are immeasurably valuable to the quality of life here, and I believe that the short-term vision of supporting the mining project will ultimately detract from the region's future. Furthermore, I believe having critical fish and wildlife habitat preserved from the potentially devastating effects of mining is an important value. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I personally make at least one trip down the South Fork Salmon every year, and hope to do so for the rest of my life. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Sean Bierle, 1607 Airport Way, Cascade, ID, 83611, seanbierle@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual and a couple who value the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. These comments are not from a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The Middle Fork was the first real whitewater river that I ever oared, some 35 years ago. The river and it's pristine beauty opened up a new world for me, one that I have been involved in ever since! The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. Imagine the impact these clear, sparkling waters had on me as a young man and on the many others who have been changed by it's spectacular beauty. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	George Love, 320 Cameron PI, Pagosa Springs, CO, 81147-7643, mbrgllove@yahoo.com
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Carter Edmiston</p>	<p>Carter Edmiston, 18114 N Golden Ridge Way, Boise, ID, 83714-8885, carter3e@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>My thoughts on the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Please, as a time when so much of what makes America so amazing is under attack, let's protect what we have. I deeply love the Salmon River, it's wildlife and wilderness. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Christina Penniman, PO Box 813, Woodacre, CA, 94973-0813, kristipenniman@hotmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Public Comment on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an someone born in Idaho, with family still living there that I visit often, this state, its river systems and landscapes are some of my most cherished places in the world. I value and regularly utilize the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho. Therefore, I support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Mandy Eskelson, 640 1/2 E 4th Ave, Durango, CO, 81301-5305, mne0719@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am an individual that values our rivers, endemic fisheries, wildlife, and science based land management. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater, pristine clear waters, a multitude of recreation and critical habitat for wildlife and fisheries. The U.S. Forest Service is tasked with managing public lands in the interest of multiple users and is saddens me that USFS is considering putting the interests of corporations over that of the anglers, paddlers, hunters, campers and hikers that love to recreate on the South Fork. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect our endangered species and their critical habitat as outlined under the original intentions of the Endangered Species Act and protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River. This river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. It concerns me that we are moving back to a time where we abused our public lands for resource extraction instead of sound management to protect our water resources and endangered species for future generations. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Emily Schafsteck, 1603 Curly Jack Rd, Alpine, AZ, 85920, eschafsteck@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-28	Save the South Salmon!	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The south salmon is by far the best kayaking overnigher probably in the world. The experiences that come out of the south salmon are some of the richest possible. To take anything away from that river corridor would secure a fine place in hell for anyone involved. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Mitchell Koehler, 149 Little Mountain Rd, Trout Lake, WA, 98650-9721, mitchell.d.koehler@gmail.com</p>
------------	------------------------	--	---

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Save the Salmon, preserve public lands!</p>	<p>Carl Kautz, 101 E Hugel St, Ennis, MT, 59729-9074, kautz80@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>The south fork salmon is a beautiful place worth protecting. Holding a gold mine in higher priority than our nations true natural resources would be wrong and shortsighted. The south fork salmons health and longevity as well as the health of the fisheries downstream are worth so much more than a mining project to make the rich richer. Please do not allow the stibnite mining project to continue.</p>	<p>Aidan Conn, W MOUNTAIN RD DONNELLY ID, Donnelly, ID, 83615, aidanconn17@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Stop the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>To Whom it May Concern: As a river rafter and fisherman who has run the Salmon two dozen times in the last 40 years, I value the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Kenneth Hosack, 1921 W Briarwood Ave, Littleton, CO, 80120-3636, kennethhosack@gmail.com</p>
2020-10-28	Please Halt the Stibnite Gold Mine	<p>Hello, Please do not go through w the mine. I had the chance to visit some of the salmon river water and it has had an everlasting impact on me. The mine would do detrimental damage to an already vulnerable a ecosystem. And I would one day like to go back to fish maybe even with my kids, if I ever have them. Maybe it's a personal thing for me and possibly selfish but if I am one of many many folks who feel the same way it might just not be. Please consider this plea from a proud American.</p>	<p>Jesse Bardwell, PO Box 595, Shawnee On Delaware, PA, 18356-0595, jessebardwell@yahoo.com</p>
2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Please cease operations of the Stibnite Gold Project. It is imperative that the river and salmon be protected. Mining is absolutely unnecessary and downright selfish as it will poison the water and the species that inhabit it. Please do the responsible thing and reject mining in the beautiful South Fork in order to let wildlife continue to flourish and people to enjoy it. Preserve and protect nature, stop destroying it for profit and greed!</p>	<p>Grace Halliday, BRENTWOOD DRIVE SAN RAFAEL, San Rafael, CA, 94901, gracehalliday85@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. As a resident of Idaho for close to 10 years, I have utilized this area many times for recreation. Idaho is special for it's close access to nature, and it's beauty is unmatched. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that are So important to international travelers, as well as locals like me. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please protect this natural and special place by choosing the "No Action" alternative. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Kathryn Lombard, 4716 W Jewell St, Boise, ID, 83706-2221, katie.lombard@yahoo.com
------------	--	--	---

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Proposed Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who lived for many years in Idaho Falls and Hailey, Idaho, I enjoyed the abundance of beauty and resources the state had to offer, especially the Salmon River region. I am extremely alarmed at the proposed project, the destructive scars of gold mining can be seen throughout the west, in the Sierras of California where I have family and where I currently live in Alaska. The results of large scale mining is environmental damage that takes decades to repair, if ever, especially to native fish species. Gold mining operates as a boom and bust operation, here when prices are high, gone when gold prices no longer make it viable. What's left for someone to clean up is environmental destruction and piles of junk. I am not necessarily opposed to mining, it is a necessary means for critical industry. However, while gold serves some limited industrial needs, the driving motivation for gold mining has always been profit above all else and this is why much scrutiny should be applied to how the benefits of the proposed project compare to the extreme, and potentially very long term risks that it would pose to sensitive fish and wildlife species, outdoor recreation opportunities, and loss of the pristine nature of the area to future generations. The project makes many claims about restoration of the extensive mining operations that have already taken place in the area. While this sounds good, it is imperative that these claims be scrutinized since the projects main stake will always be extracting more gold. They have little to no fundamental interest in restoration other than appeasing other parties so that they can achieve their main goal. History is overwhelmingly full of the examples of mining projects that make these sorts of grand claims, but when prices drop or the project becomes less economically viable for this and/or other reasons, the sites are more or less abandoned with years of environmental damage in their wake left for someone else to clean up. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Timothy Kirk, 7821 Ladasa Pl, Anchorage, AK, 99507-3049, tkmmbean@gmail.com</p>
-------------------	--	--	--

2020-10-28	Idaho's greatest natural wonder and resource is worth so much more	<p>I spend my summer weekends swimming, fishing and drinking (with a water filter) out of the East Fork of the South Fork of the Salmon River. I am raising my kids to appreciate nature in its most natural form, by soaking in this river and its magical and pure setting. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Tim Kelley, 216 N Mobley Dr, Boise, ID, 83712-8133, timothyjameskelley@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am a concerned citizen and I am AGAINST the Stibnite gold project and all the terrible and irreversible effects it will have on the environment. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Maria Woras, 392 Moon Dr, Mccall, ID, 83638-5612, earthmamaworas@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Hello, As a concerned U.S. citizen and annual visitor to Idaho for its lovely rivers, I am asking you to please select Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). I support keeping the proposed site locations in their current undiminished state. I understand that projects involving an EIS can go forward even if significant impacts are identified. However, I urge you to see the impacts identified here as being too important to absorb. Moving forward on the Stibnite Gold Project would deleteriously affect the project area and the region environmentally, socially, and economically. Thank you for considering my voice on this matter.</p>	<p>Chad Niehaus, 548 Locust Ln, Moab, UT, 84532-2716, h2opocket@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>In opposition to the Stibnite Gold Project</p>	<p>I could write to you about the endangered fish or added risks of Damn failure, instead I'd like to invite you to just visit the South fork of the Salmon. I don't see how anyone who floats that magical river could wish destruction upon it, and for what? Whatever money you make won't make much a difference a hundred years from now but that sacred place will still be gone from this earth. We have so few of these sacred places left. Have you ever been to West Virginia? The mines are long gone but their scars are still there. Their people live in poverty and their creeks are stained orange and are lifeless from acid mine run off. Please do the right thing.</p>	<p>Joshua Dickey, PO Box 918, White Salmon, WA, 98672-0918, josh.dickey09@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS - from McCall local, recreationist and BS Environmental Science</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular natural resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen, environmentalist, and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain extremely important fish habitat and inherently valuable wildlife that rely on this watershed. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Hilary Lempit, 475 Ellis Rd, McCall, ID, 83638-5505, h.lempit@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>The only real win is to allow the natural environment to flourish, all else is a losing battle. Is your current dollar worth more than all future generations? What's that word that comes with man-made intervention... maintenance? Good luck with that for more than 100 years. Keep the disruption and concrete away.</p>	<p>Sean Dunfee, 461 El Paso Blvd, Manitou Springs, CO, 80829-2337, seandnf@yahoo.com</p>

2020-10-28	Do Not Allow Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Im filled with joy to call Idaho home! I love it hear and the many incredible places it has! I hope you will reconsider allowing this gold mine to go through. Yes it would make vast sums of money for someone but it will damage an incredible ecosystem that is used and loved by many. The salmon and steelhead have enough issues to deal with please don't add another. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Austin Ziegler, 555 W Mikan Dr, Rexburg, ID, 83440-1391, austinziggy32@gmail.com
------------	---	---	--

2020-10-28	River Lover on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The South Fork of the Salmon is a true American treasure and should be treated as such. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Patrick Chetwood, 4371 E Amity Rd, Boise, ID, 83716-5629, patrickchetwood@hotmail.com</p>
------------	---	---	--

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Gold mining comments</p>	<p>Hello, my name is Kevin Simoneaux. I am a whitewater kayaker, rock climber, mountain biker, and general outdoor enthusiast. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Kevin Simoneaux, 1005 Laurens View Rd, Greenville, SC, 29607-7724, ksimone@g.clemson.edu</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>First, I demand more time for public comment and input. This process is being rushed to silence the democratic of public comment and concern. Second, I support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am an Idaho outdoorsman and am greatly concerned about the health and protection of Idaho rivers. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am a concerned citizen and thus, a public land owner. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. And! Our local community use and treasure. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Meg Simenc, CHAMBERLIN St, Boise, ID, 83706, meganhull8@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I oppose the current DEIS plans to impact the South Fork of the Salmon River watershed. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Devin Shunk, 6400 NW 83rd St, Redmond, OR, 97756-7186, devinshunk@gmail.com</p>
2020-10-28	Stibnite Gold Project CONCERNS	<p>That this proposal would even be considered is unconscionable. How many more precious waterways are we willing to destroy in the name of money? How many times will the Forest Service pander to economic interest at the cost of ecological peril? This project trades sustainability for ruin, the joy and legacy of many for the fortune of few, life in its thriving beauty for money. What good is money without life? The effects of this project will be seen forever as an irreparable scar. You are stealing from the generations before and after you to sell a priceless, irreplaceable entity that cannot nor should ever be possessed. ENOUGH. We are better than this.</p>	<p>Britt Hogstrom, 2299 Highway 141, Trout Lake, WA, 98650-9600, brittannhogstrom@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>**I have lived in Salmon my entire early life and anyone who knows of the area speaks of its beauty, scenery, and serenity. Do we really want to take away the only attraction that keeps people coming back to what could otherwise become a ghost town? Whitewater rafting is a main source of sustenance for my little haven and I would hate to see my little town without a bustling summer. It would be a huge economic downfall in currency circulation and would ruin one of our last attractions to this beautiful place. Not to mention the pollution and repercussions to our ecosystems. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Kirsten Reid, 4342 Expressway, Missoula, MT, 59808-1498, preciousstarr_09@rocketmail.com
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>The South Fork Salmon is a beautiful river and an unparalleled experience as a multi-day trip. It would be a shame if this resource is not protected for present and future generations. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Eric Braun, 1511 Menlo Dr NW, Kennesaw, GA, 30152-6946, ericbraun97@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>Now more than ever we need to preserve and protect our natural resources and eco systems! Stop the destruction with HUGE long term consequences, just to serve greedy short sighted financial interests. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Carrie Carter, 910 SE 37th Ave, Portland, OR, 97214-4366, citizen@carrie-carter.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-28	Stibnite Midas Gold Project DEIS Comment	<p>As a McCall resident of 30+ years, I am extremely against the Midas Gold project being proposed. In addition to the following form letter that covers my concern for our way of life and our land and water quality, there are US government promises and treaties with the indigenous (native) Americans that go against allowing for the Midas proposal. I have not heard of mining projects that have NOT produced what ends up being an environmental disaster on the level of a superfund site. To allow for the Midas project to move forward would ignore the environmental protections needed for the Frank Church Wilderness, the Salmon River and its surrounding beauty. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Dr. Irwin Mulnick, PO Box 1005, McCall, ID, 83638-1005, irwinmulnick@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. I was lucky enough to do a multi day trip on the South Fork and it was incredible. Jeopardizing this opportunity for others is a disgrace. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Listen to the people, not the money. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Maddie Goebel, 115 S Main St, Moscow, ID, 83843-2845, goebel.madeline@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Stop Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I value the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jana Mines, 3135 NE 18th Ave, Portland, OR, 97212-2325, janamines@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	There isn't one single reason to allow a private-for-profit company to desecrate OUR public lands & water. Period. Thank you for your consideration.	Lara McLean, PO Box 6537, Ketchum, ID, 83340-6537, kfdmedic9@gmail.com
2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>We all know this is wrong, and the only folks who are pushing through this agenda are putting dollars before the livelihood of future generations. It has to stop. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Paul Trendler, 1063 NE 10th St, Bend, OR, 97701-4449, paul.trendler@gmail.com

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Stop Stibnite Gold Project DEIS now!</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. My family and I have spent some of the best times of our lives on the Salmon river. It is a truly magical gift of a place that, once experienced, leaves a lasting affect and adoration. Do even think of all this river offers to aquatic life, wildlife, the overall ecosystem and to the people blessed enough to experience it being damaged or altered by the Stibnite Gold Project is abhorrent. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Jen Booth</p>	<p>Jen Booth, 310 Mcconnell Dr, Lyons, CO, 80540-3805, mamaraleigh@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>NO Stibnite Gold Project DEIS!!!</p>	<p>STOP PRIORITIZING MAKING MONEY FOR A FEW INDIVIDUALS WHILE SACRIFICING OUR NATURAL AREAS THAT ARE FOR EVERYONE. If it's not obvious, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. Thank you.</p>	<p>dylan Bueche, 736 S Mcclelland St, Salt Lake City, UT, 84102-3741, dbueche@ymail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I grew up fishing on the salmon and have a picture of myself next to the south fork sign every year as a way of measuring how much I grew each year. Don't ruin this. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Emily Collins, 100 K St NE, Washington, DC, 20002-6273, collins.crain@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I'm writing this letter to protest the Stibnit gold mine, it's a ridiculously brainless idea considering what has happened in the past. There are threatened fish such as salmon, Steele head, and bull trout that depend on the south fork of the salmon river, it is a massive revenue source due to tourism, and a vital resource to the surrounding community's of native people, and locals alike. Pleas keep the best interests of the public in mind, and Keep the Stibnit mine from reopening.</p>	<p>Tor Burningham, 289 N 300 E, Spring City, UT, 84662-7762, toreswyn@conjunct.org</p>

2020-10-28	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>USFS, Payette National Forest Attn: Linda Jackson, Forest Supervisor Dear Ms. Jackson As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed, none of the other Alternatives can adequately mitigate or offset these risks. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Dave Bingaman, 287 Alta Vista Dr, McCall, ID, 83638-5102, davebing70@gmail.com</p>
------------	----------------------------	---	---

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Maya Henderson Parr Student at the University of Puget Sound</p>	Maya Parr, 1519 N Alder St, Tacoma, WA, 98406-6513, mayaaparr23@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-28	The South Fork is worth more than gold	<p>Although I am utilizing a comment template provided by American Whitewater, I wanted to take just a brief moment to write my own short message. I can go on about how much the South Fork Salmon means to me and other folks, but that may seem trivial. To sum up my thoughts, it is my opinion that the forest service and our country at large make a critical reassessment of what we value out of our public lands. The money that will potentially be generated by a gold mine will not be distributed to anyone but high level share holders. The South Fork is worth more than gold, and we need to stop viewing our increasingly limited public lands cravenly as purely resources meant for our benefit. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Robert West, 1625 Walnut St, Eugene, OR, 97403-2063, sleuth001@gmail.com
------------	--	--	--

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Keep idaho rivers wild.</p>	<p>Sam Blumers, 2585 S Race St, Denver, CO, 80210-5161, smlblmrs@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>South Fork Salmon gold mine</p>	<p>No amount of money can compensate the American people if our waterways and fish populations are damaged. No mine! Thank you, Respectfully, Matt Emmons Beulah, Colorado 719-251-1383</p>	<p>Matthew Emmons, PO Box 805, Beulah, CO, 81023-0805, emmonstrecare@yahoo.com</p>

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. We owe it to our children, and the many generations to follow to "leave no trace". Why should we risk the extinction of fish and other wildlife so one company can make billions of dollars? The number of people who benefit from this proposed gold mine is minuscule compared to the number of people & animals who will be harmed by its irreparable damage. It's time to make the the right moral decisions and err on the side of caution and humanity. We can't claim ignorance and say we didn't know the damage was possible. We ALL know. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Jodi Guliuzza, PO Box 2417, McCall, ID, 83638-2417, jodiguliuzza@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>It has been proven time and time again that outdoor recreation creates a more sustainable economy than resource extraction. The Salmon River is a renowned recreation destination. It's protection ensures economic security and environmental health for future generations. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Stephanie Oster, 1201 N Harrison Blvd, Boise, ID, 83702-3443, miauroria@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group." I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who would love to be able to visit this area intact, in lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please, do your job and protect it.</p>	<p>GALE MALESKEY, 509 Brighton St, Bethlehem, PA, 18015-1220, GALEMALESKEY@GMAIL.COM</p>
------------	--	--	--

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. As a soil scientist, I will say that renewed mining will not only be detrimental to the terrestrial ecosystem (E.g. loss of habitat, carbon destabilization) but also the aquatic ecosystem through the mass influx of sediment fr erosion.</p>	Jamie Wright, 40 E 24th Ave, Eugene, OR, 97405-8943, jwright1224.jw@gmail.com
------------	--	---	---

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who rafts and values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Richard Bates, 220 Robinson Hill Rd, Sebago, ME, 04029-3138, bates.rick@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Rebecca Connolly</p>	<p>Rebecca Connolly, 2214 N Washington St, Tacoma, WA, 98406-5838, rconnolly130@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who works in, recreates in, and values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world, including myself, travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. The fishes of this watershed cannot tolerate the assessed impacts from the proposed actions. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed and all the organisms that live in and recreate within it. Thank you for your consideration. Don't let the poor decisions and bad faith of our government lead to poor rivers throughout our country.</p>	Michelle Krall, 13911 SE Allen Rd, Bellevue, WA, 98006-1446, michelle.krall41@gmail.com
------------	--	--	---

2020-10-28	We must protect our river- Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I was born and raised in Idaho which makes me especially connected to our rivers and white water resources. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Jillian Herrold, 2323 W Woodlawn Ave, Boise, ID, 83702-3850, herrold@chapman.edu</p>
2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am a concerned citizen who had paddled the South Fork of the Salmon. The quality of the wilderness is unsurpassed in the Lower 48. I hope to show this place to my children, and them to theirs and wish it's water quality to be pristine in the future. Aside from being a paddler, I am a professional water resources engineer who focused on eco-hydraulics, ecosystem restoration, and fluvial geomorphology. The impacts of a mine on this river would be significant and irreparable. The habit it provides for anadromous fish and bull trout is critically important. We as citizens rely on the Forest service to protect our wild places, please protect the South Fork of the Salmon River</p>	<p>Stephen Sanborn, 76 Grovewood Rd, Asheville, NC, 28804-2832, steve.c.sanborn@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Draft EIS for Stibnite Gold Project</p>	<p>Dear Project Manager, I am a concerned citizen and former USACE Regulatory Project Manager. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The Forest Service is mandated to manage public lands in the public interest and without adverse impacts to either endangered species or waters of the US. The draft EIS plainly states that, save for Alternative 5, all of the alternatives presented would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and both the watershed's water quantity and quality. It is the Forest Service's duty to protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. We have not yet repaired the impacts from past mining activity, we should not further threaten the waterways by re-adding mining activity. Thank you for your time.</p>	<p>Erin Hanlon, 8 Gypsy Hill Rd, Pacifica, CA, 94044-2849, e.i.m.hanlon@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>The impact that this project will have on the watershed of the Salmon River makes following through with such a proposal inexcusable. Clean water is life, and the fact that this is a tributary to a wild and scenic river which provides habitat for endangered fish species means that it is not only environmentally unsound but also selfish and immoral for these companies to be lining their pockets by threatening these resources that are publicly owned by the American people. I am a concerned citizen and a steward of our public lands and I hope that you will honor the right for nature to exist in these places without such threats. Please keep this special waterway protected and do not allow this to move forward.</p>	<p>Christina Platt, Armentrout House, Seneca Rocks, WV, 26884, christinajeanelplatt@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Mining will ruin this precious area. Keep it clean.</p>	<p>Sarah Graser, 4904 SE 34th Ave, Portland, OR, 97202-4104, rahgras@hotmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Stibnite Gold Project, is it worth it?	<p>To Whom it May Concern: I am writing to express my concern about the Stibnite Mine Project. The Salmon River is the 'last wild river', with an unlimited potential to help salmon and steelhead populations rebound. I have been involved in restoration projects on the Yankee Fork of the Salmon River on and off for the last 10 years, and I have direct contact with the biologists who have been part of that project. Millions of dollars have been spent trying to restore a river that was dredged for gold. This river was turned into some very crummy fish habitat through dredging, and more money has been spent trying to fix sections of it than the amount of gold that was pulled from the river. Every fish that returns is a success, but it hardly is the same as historic populations in the Yankee Fork, and many many projects are having to be completed to try and fix what has been destroyed. We have been putting so much time, effort, and money into trying to restore our salmon and steelhead populations, it seems counter-productive to allow large mines into the Salmon River drainage. We know that these mines release toxic chemicals into the environment and the water. This has been seen in other places in Idaho, where mining has not been conducted extremely close to rivers. The Blackfoot River drainage in Southeastern Idaho and the Salt River drainage have both been negatively affected by selenium, which is released as a result of phosphate mining. The native cutthroat populations in these areas suffer, as well as other native and non-native fish species. While fish are most people's primary concern, other wildlife will be affected by these open pit mines as well. Deer and elk habitat will be affected, and their life patterns will also be disrupted. These mines will surely provide jobs to a few people, but do the benefits outweigh the costs? Why should we put more money into restoration in 20 years when the market is down and the mine is no longer profitable? Will our salmon and steelhead populations in the Columbia River Basin be able to take another hit? I sincerely doubt it. Thank you for taking the time to read this letter and listen to the public's concerns.</p>	Selena Barrett, 241 S 2nd W, Soda Springs, ID, 83276-1516, gregsele@isu.edu
------------	--	---	---

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As someone who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>James Kipp, 253 E Belmont Ave, Salt Lake City, UT, 84111-4619, jkipp6@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>SAVE THE SOUTH FORK PLS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please consider mine and others comments. Thank you for your time and consideration.</p>	<p>Annika Witt, 114 Short Swing Ln, Ketchum, ID, 83340, wittannika0@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Commenting on the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>Last year was my 15th summer guiding people from all walks of life down the Middle Fork of the Salmon River, and I am saddened to say that I saw one lonely salmon in August, spawned out and dying on the side of the river. An entire river system is named the 'Salmon' and on one of its forks, only a few can be seen each year coming back to spawn. Perhaps we should rename it the 'Salmon-less' River because unless Alternative 5 is chosen from the DEIS for the Stibnite Gold Project, more salmon will be threatened, and easily forced to extinction. As a career whitewater guide on the Middle fork and Main Salmon rivers, and someone who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Andy Ambelang, 1745 S 4th St W, Missoula, MT, 59801-2231, andyambelang@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am writing at this time as a concerned citizen and also as president of the Baltimore Canoe and Kayak Club of Baltimore Maryland. I would like to lend my support to Alternative 5 (No Action) as outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft EIS. I believe that mining operations (as proposed) would negatively, and very likely irreparably, damage the water quality of the Salmon River that is an important source of tourist 'draw' for the area. There are a significant number of members from our club that regularly book trips on the Salmon (and our club is not unique in this sense) - Our local interest in the Salmon River as a recreational tourist destination is not because there is any lack of quality whitewater streams and rivers in the east...instead the draw is the beauty of the Salmon area, the quality of the water experience that is available there, and the pristine nature of Salmon watershed itself. In addition, I have become aware that the Salmon watershed is a critical area for federally identified endangered fish species whose continued survival is dependent upon the maintaining a viable habitat and water quality. Mining of this type, and in this area, would put both in jeopardy. Therefore I urge the support of Alternative 5 for the protection of this vital watershed and all that it offers in its current natural and protected state.</p>	<p>Ed Blizzard, 1482 Friendly Rd, Pasadena, MD, 21122-5941, pastor.eblizzard@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Stibnite Gold Project DEIS concerns	<p>As an individual who values and regularly uses the wild lands, rivers and natural resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I do not represent a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes public lands in their current unaltered state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain precious and ever diminishing wild habitat and world class whitewater that paddlers and other outdoor enthusiasts from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity, not to mention the impact on thousands of humans down stream. It is incredibly important to me that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, such as salmon and steelhead, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the tourism and economic impact of hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable environmental risk to this watershed. If a decision to mine is reached, we will permanently lose this valuable wild and natural resource. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Thomas Dent, 3199 E Boulder Heights Dr, Boise, ID, 83712-8591, vipertd@msn.com
------------	-------------------------------------	---	--

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Please choose Alt 5--No Action--on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a whitewater kayaker and wilderness lover from nearby Montana, I am asking you to preserve one of the best and most pristine river systems in the lower 48 by choosing Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative for the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am asking this as a concerned public landowner who has run the 'wilderness' section of the SF Salmon four times and also run the EF and SF Salmon. The quality of these rivers is unmatched in the US, and I want to make sure this experience will be available for future generations. Historically, the environmental record on metal mines in the western US is abysmal--I say this as a former resident of Butte, Montana. Typically, mines adversely affect the surrounding streams and the companies that make the profits ultimately abandon them and leave the clean-up to the taxpayers. And, usually the water cannot be cleaned up at any cost. Do you want to take that chance with the SF Salmon? All so some corporation can make a profit? And on gold, which has little use other than as a filling of a rich person's vault? The really rare commodity here is the wild character of the SF Salmon River. The DEIS clearly states the other alternatives, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed.</p>	<p>Jeff Lonn, 2099 Silver Ridge Rd, Hamilton, MT, 59840-9798, jefflonn@hotmail.com</p>
-------------------	--	---	--

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. All of this and it is our duty as stewards of the land to protect the waters for salmon (a keystone species) along with the other animals that would be impacted. Water is life!</p>	<p>Heidi Hanselman, 641 E Carbonate St, Hailey, ID, 83333-8545, heidihanselman@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Debbie Tripp, 1066 E FELDSPAR Ct, Boise, ID, 83712, dtripp@cableone.net</p>

2020-10-28	Save the South Fork!	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. We need to protect the natural spaces we have left- our planet is on a slippery slope and It is up to us to make a difference. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Travis Burch, 1505 Abbey Ct, Belmont, NC, 28012-2859, travisb.burch@gmail.com
------------	----------------------	--	---

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. In an effort to make this more personal, my family has owned property in the Secesh Meadow for over 50 years. The Secesh River, is a tributary of the South Fork of the Salmon, and in my lifetime I've watched native Salmon return to this stream... Albeit in numbers not near what the histories tell us. Their contribution to the ecosystem is well documented. Keystone species is what they are called for those of us lacking. This oasis is indescribable in it's beauty... Mainly because it's been allowed to thrive and had been kept free if humanity's unbridled willingness to soil everything we typically touch. We're finally seeing the benefits of conservation by maintaining a healthy eco system free of mines, deforestation, and a healthy respect for mother nature. I plead to all who will listen. This mine is not necessary to the communities it will effect, and like history tells us, they will reap the land of what they can and run. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Thank you, and please preserve this ancient wonderland for all those who come after us!! Dane C Lawson</p>	Dane Lawson, 5 Coyote Canyon Rd, White Salmon, WA, 98672-8754, daneclawson@gmail.com
------------	--	---	--

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS by a concerned advocate for ecological health from NJ	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Someday I hope to visit and adventure though the area and would like to have the same pristine experience as those do now!</p>	Greg Pizzano, 2 Cedar Ct, Boonton, NJ, 07005-9413, gjp2@optonline.net
------------	--	--	---

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS - Please consider what we will lose with this action</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. We can't afford to lose this beautiful place with all of its ecological balance. Please let's start preserving our planet.</p>	<p>Elizabeth Romero, 68240 Espada Rd, Cathedral City, CA, 92234-5657, danceeveryday7@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. This is an incredible resource that I hope will remain intact for future generations! The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Pat Kearney, PO Box 1973, Jackson, WY, 83001-1973, patkearney24@gmail.com</p>

2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>I can not even believe this is being considered! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Andrew Carpenter, PO Box 588, Victor, ID, 83455-0588, newportdrew@hotmail.com
2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	<p>As an individuals who value the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, We are writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. We are not writing representing a "special interest group," We are writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholders who utilize these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which We are an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	Lenka and Bill McDougall, 2749 S Barnside Way, Boise, ID, 83716-7181, mcdougallfamily@msn.com

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I've been to the Stibnite mine site many times in recent years and the rehabilitation effort needs to continue, to repair the past disastrous mining mess. The restoration effort is no where near complete and the new Midas Gold exploration and preliminary operations has only made the environmental damage worse. I don't believe anything Midas Gold says about the capacity or willingness to do short-term or long-term environmental mitigation from mining. The Forest Service must put water and fish resources first, ahead of mining scams for unneeded gold. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>John McCarthy, 1508 N 11th St, Boise, ID, 83702-3505, macforest@yahoo.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. As a concerned whitewater enthusiast who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater of which there is less of with more and more people using it. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is very important that the water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, be maintained. The South Fork Salmon River and watershed should be protected from the impacts of expanded mining activity . Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Kathryn Kurtz, 2322 Muirhead Ave NW, Olympia, WA, 98502-4155, kathrynkurtzak@gmail.com</p>

<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As a river guide who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I guide on the headwaters of the Snake River. These beautiful Wild and Scenic Rivers are not only valued for their beauty and recreation (South Fork Salmon watershed contains world class whitewater), but also education and inspiration. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Joseph Smith, 560 W Broadway Ave, Jackson, WY, 83001-8641, jjs1988river@gmail.com</p>
<p>2020-10-28</p>	<p>Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS</p>	<p>As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. It is truly a gem, on par with its neighboring forks, the Middle and Main Salmon. Please keep this section of river pristine and untrammled for generations to come. Thank you for your consideration.</p>	<p>Brian Burger, 8602 Flint Rd, Victor, ID, 83455-5520, BURGERBD@GMAIL.COM</p>