Dear Secretary Vilsack and Secretary Haaland,

As a private timberland owner it’s exciting to me that you’ll be taking the next steps to advance President Biden’s Executive Order on Strengthening the Nation's Forests, Communities, and Local Economies. As you know, protecting our remaining mature and old-growth forests and trees on federal lands represents one of the simplest and most cost-effective climate policies the U.S. can deploy at scale. But time has run short: the climate and biodiversity crises are growing exponentially worse, with unthinkable tipping points around the corner; Congress has failed to make significant progress on these crises through the tools it has at hand; and therefore it is critical that you fulfill the President’s directive to provide lasting protections for these trees, which may well prove to be our last line of climate defense.

For clarity of direction, ‘mature’ should be defined as 80 years. By establishing the age of mature forests and trees at 80 years, federal agencies will establish a safety net that assures minimum protection of the ecological and carbon benefits they provide for future generations. These forests collectively contain the bulk of the carbon already stored in federal forests and they continue to sequester carbon at high rates. They also provide, across forest types, vital habitat and biodiversity benefits, and important sources of drinking water for communities. Critically, protecting mature forests and trees today will provide the foundation to recover old growth ecosystems which have largely been lost to wholesale clearcut logging in the name of “Sustained Yield Programs” across the landscape, as seen here on Washington State’s Olympic Peninsula, near the center of the biome which has proven to be the most effective medium for atmospheric carbon dioxide removal anywhere on the planet.

President Biden’s Earth Day Executive Order rightly recognized the critical role that mature and old growth forests play as a climate solution, as well as the urgent need to confront the threats forests face. If continued logging of these trees is allowed, the very values that let them play a vital role will be eliminated. Losing our remaining mature & old-growth trees and forests to logging will only make the climate crisis worse:  Scientific research indicates that logging of federal forests is a major source of carbon dioxide emissions to the atmosphere that is at least comparable to, and probably greater than, levels associated with wildfires. Let me be clear: to date logging, not wildfire, drought, or pests, is the greatest threat BY FAR to our mature forests on the planet.

A recent USDA Secretarial Memorandum stated that *“A primary threat to old-growth stands on national forests is no longer timber harvesting, but rather catastrophic wildfire and other disturbances resulting from the combination of climate change and past fire exclusion.”* This statement represents an alarmingly inaccurate assessment of threats to mature and old-growth forests; one designed to satisfy the ever-increasing appetite of the forest products industries. Numerous examples of logging projects across the country that target mature and old growth trees, including projects in the name of “restoration”, “hazardous fuels reduction” and “wildfire mitigation,” underscore this point. Not only is the threat of logging to mature and old-growth federal forests pressing, it is one that is entirely within federal land management agencies’ power to address. Such a rule can be readily structured to leave room for ecologically appropriate risk reduction of uncharacteristic wildfire, which is very largely driven by small trees and brush, not big, fire-resistant trees that have survived for generations. As you are well aware, there is a wealth of solid scientific literature and academic proof/evidence underscoring these points.

If the Biden administration is to do all it can — and must — to limit atmospheric carbon levels, and demonstrate international leadership, these protections must be made through binding regulations that will endure in future administrations, much as the Clinton-era Roadless Rule has done. To ensure a rule can be adopted on the necessary urgent time frame, with opportunity for robust public engagement and environmental review, it is critical for federal agencies to initiate a rule-making process as soon as possible. Therefore, we can’t get hung up dithering over definitions; it’s the underlying management of these mature forests that needs addressing.

In summary, I urge the US Department of Agriculture and US Department of Interior to work together to initiate as soon as possible a rule making based on a definition of mature forests and trees of 80 years, to permanently end the avoidable loss of their critically important carbon, water and wildlife values to logging.