**Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre, and Gunnison National Forest – Draft Plan Revision Comments**(**August 13 through November 26, 2021**)

Submitted by  
Bureau of Land Management, Upper Colorado River District

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Comment #** | **Page #** | **Line, Figure, Table #** | **Commenter Name, Title, Field Office** | **Comment or Recommendation** | **Rationale, Sources, Notes** |
| 1 | 29 | NA | Christina Stark, AFM, GJFO | Under FW-DC-SPEC-12 should ECO-06 be ECO-05? | FW-DC-SPEC-12 is for big game species desired condition. ECO-05 appears to be focused on connectivity and ECO-06 is for snags and coarse wood. |
| 2 | General | NA | Russell Knight, Wildlife Biologist, GJFO | Alternative C allows for increased motorized travel adjacent to the Beehive and Rapid Creek Wildlife Emphasis Areas. These areas prioritize big game winter range and utilize winter closures to motorized and mechanized travel. Increasing the opportunity for motorized travel without seasonal closures on the adjacent forest lands could negatively impact wintering big game during critical times of the year. | Alternative C would allow for consistent management across the landscape and agency boundaries and would reduce impacts to big game habitat on adjacent public land managed by the BLM. |
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