

Kim Clemens
900 Willow Wood Ln.
Delta, Co. 81416
(303) 905-4584
kimclemens@outlook.com

Via e-mail / transmitted electronically
sm.fs.gmugplanning@usda.gov

GMUG National Forest
ATTN: Draft Forest Plan Team

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revised Land Management Plan Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre, and Gunnison National Forests

Concerning the Draft Forest plan:

I mostly supports **Alternative C** of the Proposal. It appears that it is the closest to an accurate reflection of the current management of the GMUG. I am adamantly opposed to Alternative D of the Proposal. Alternative A seems to be a mystery to me. It appears the mapping and information are in direct conflict with current management designation in many areas. In my review and analysis, I feel Alternative A is a failure in accurately reflecting many site specific NEPA analysis and decisions.

Alternative C is the only alternative that provides opportunity to increase or enhance recreational settings and opportunities currently and more importantly over the life of the plan. The enhancement of recreational opportunities on the GMUG will only occur with **Alternative C**. The significant population growth of the GMUG planning area, will not tolerate simply holding current levels of access because visitation from the motorized community will continue to increase.

There simply needs to be more lands accessible for recreation on the GMUG to accommodate future demand. While **Alternative C** moves in that general direction it fails to provide needed access. I'm not comfortable at all with the huge expansion of roadless areas under Alt C. There seems to be no guidance at all for what this management really looks like. Generally, we need flexibility in the plan and only C provides sufficient flexibility on all types of issues- fires, floods, landslides, and recreation.

Thank you for hearing my voice.

Kim Clemens
Delta, Colorado