From: Eling, Timothy - FS
To: Felton, Andrea - FS
Cc: Miller, Lynette - FS; Kazmierski, Jon- FS
Subject: FW: [External Email]Red River Gorge Management Planning Question
Date: Saturday, July 17, 2021 1:52:09 PM
Attachments: image001.png
image002.png
image003.png
image004.png

FYI: emails with Bill Strachan, Executive Director emeritus RRGCC.

Tim Eling
Staff Officer (GIS, Admin, Planning, Partnerships, Public Affairs)
Forest Service
Daniel Boone National Forest
p: 859-745-3145
c: 859-408-5258
tim.eling@usda.gov
1700 Bypass Road
Winchester, KY 40391
www.fs.fed.us
Caring for the land and serving people

From: Eling, Timothy - FS
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2021 8:30 PM
To: Bill Strachan <william.m.strachan@gmail.com>
Cc: Pope, Michael - FS <Michael.Pope@usda.gov>; Billy Simek <billy@rrgcc.org>; Dodd, Eric -FS <eric.dodd@usda.gov>
Subject: RE: [External Email]Red River Gorge Management Planning Question

Hi Bill,

Eric can correct me if I am wrong but Table 10 is a list of "potential hardening & stabilizing sites". Earlier in the document on p. 15, there is a statement about priority:
"Priority for stabilization work would be given to areas suffering from erosion, compaction, or loss of vegetation, and impacted areas in or within the dripline of a rockshelter."

Note: Emerald City / Global village are outside the scope of this project and LAC as those areas are south of the RRG Geological Area boundary.

I hope this information helps clarify and helps with your official comments.

Tim Eling
Staff Officer (GIS, Admin, Planning, Partnerships, Public Affairs)

Forest Service
Daniel Boone National Forest
p: 859-745-3145
c: 859-408-5258
tim.eling@usda.gov
1700 Bypass Road
Winchester, KY 40391
www.fs.fed.us
Caring for the land and serving people

From: Bill Strachan <william.m.strachan@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 15, 2021 1:21 PM
To: Eling, Timothy - FS <Timothy.Eling@usda.gov>
Cc: Pope, Michael - FS <Michael.Pope@usda.gov>; Billy Simek <billy@rrgcc.org>; Dodd, Eric -FS <eric.dodd@usda.gov>
Subject: Re: [External Email]Red River Gorge Management Planning Question

Tim,

Sorry I missed your call yesterday evening as I was outside mowing. Thanks for the clarifications on Table 10. and on the end date for the comment period. Now I have a couple more questions on Table 10. What was the basis used for selecting the 12 listed climbing areas as potential hardening and stabilization locations? Most of the locations appear to be pretty obvious like MIlitary Wall, Left Flank, Long Wall, and Funk Rock City. However, others such as Dip Wall, Moonshiner's Wall, and Doorish Wall seem to be less popular than other climbing area locations such as Eastern Sky Bridge Ridge, Wall of Denial, and Emerald City / Global village. Also, I am going to be forwarding this email string to others in the RRGCC and Access Fund working on preparing comments and loop them into the conversation.

Thanks,

Bill

On Wed, Jul 14, 2021 at 6:05 PM Eling, Timothy - FS <Timothy.Eling@usda.gov> wrote:

> Hi Bill,
>
> The numbers in 2nd column of Table 10 are an approximation and we often use the word "Maximum" or "up to" to describe the various proposed actions. So for example, Military Wall states 30,013 sq ft. Think of that as a number that is a maximum amount for cliffline stabilization. This number was not from the LAC inventory (and numbers you may have seen from Chris and Nick). The Team simply took the linear length of a Crag and then buffered out about 20-25' so

they had a number for this table. I doubt there would be 30,013 sq ft of stabilization work. As you know, there are nodes along a climbing crag that have some impacts and bare ground clustered around some climbing routes (belay stations & hang outs) then there may be a stretch with minimal impacts until another node.
Long Wall, has a number of 65,463 sq ft because the linear length of the crag is so long.

Table on page 8 states the total amount of stabilization and hardening at clifflisde locations may ***Maximum*** 6.14 acres.

Proposed Activity	Maximum Number
Stabilize and Harden Cliffside Locations	12 sites (6.14 acres)

Page 15 states 12 heavily impacted areas that total 267,550 sq ft (6.14 acres):
"Through partnerships with local recreation and volunteer groups, we propose to stabilize, rehabilitate, and increase resiliency of approximately 267,550 square feet (6.14 acres) across 12 heavily impacted cliffside access locations. Priority for stabilization work would be given to areas suffering from erosion, compaction, or loss of vegetation, and impacted areas in or within the dripline of a rockshelter. Site improvement techniques may include planting of native vegetation, constructing dense graded aggregate pads to reduce erosion, constructing platforms and/or boardwalks, and installing fencing to limit extent of impacts at the base of geologic features

I hope that helps!

Oh, yes July 26 is correct for the last day to submit comments.

Tim Eling
Staff Officer (GIS, Admin, Planning, Partnerships, Public Affairs)
Forest Service
Daniel Boone National Forest
p: 859-745-3145
c: 859-408-5258
tim.eling@usda.gov
1700 Bypass Road
Winchester, KY 40391
www.fs.fed.us
Caring for the land and serving people

From: Bill Strachan <william.m.strachan@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2021 9:42 AM
To: Eling, Timothy - FS <Timothy.Eling@usda.gov>
Cc: Pope, Michael - FS <Michael.Pope@usda.gov>; Billy Simek <billy@rrgcc.org>
Subject: Re: [External Email]Red River Gorge Management Planning Question

Thanks Tim. Another question now though. The comment page states "Your comments are requested by 7/26/2021" not July 24th. Which is the correct date for the end of the public comment period?

On Tue, Jul 13, 2021 at 6:15 PM Eling, Timothy - FS <Timothy.Eling@usda.gov> wrote:

> Hi Bill,
>
> David Pope and I plan to check with the Team to be sure we have facts straight and get back to you soon. I know you want to comment during the 30 day period that ends July 24.
>
> **Tim Eling**
> **Staff Officer (GIS, Admin, Planning, Partnerships, Public Affairs)**
> **Forest Service**
> **Daniel Boone National Forest**
> **p: 859-745-3145**
> **c: 859-408-5258**
> **tim.eling@usda.gov**
> 1700 Bypass Road
> Winchester, KY 40391
> www.fs.fed.us
> **Caring for the land and serving people**
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Bill Strachan <william.m.strachan@gmail.com>
> **Sent:** Tuesday, July 13, 2021 2:17 PM
> **To:** Pope, Michael - FS <Michael.Pope@usda.gov>
> **Cc:** Eling, Timothy - FS <Timothy.Eling@usda.gov>; Billy Simek <billy@rrgcc.org>
> **Subject:** [External Email]Red River Gorge Management Planning Question
>
> [External Email]
> If this message comes from an **unexpected sender** or references a **vague/unexpected topic;**
> Use caution before clicking links or opening attachments.
> Please send any concerns or suspicious messages to: Spam.Abuse@usda.gov
>
> Dear Mr. Pope,
>
> I have a question related to Table 10. Potential Hardening Sites in the Red River Gorge Management Planning, Draft Environmental Assessment and FONSI document. The areas listed in the second column for each named climbing area are significantly greater than the areas measured and/or estimated during the Limits of Acceptable change process. What is the basis for the areas listed in Table 10.? Were these areas remeasured or do the areas in the table include the user created trails between climbsites? The answer to this question will have a bearing on my comments regarding the proposed hardening and stabilization of these climbing areas.

Respectfully yours,

Bill Strachan
Executive Director Emeritus
Red River Gorge Climber's Coalition

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.