

Intermountain Forest Association

445 Milford Street Salida, CO 81201 928-521-9476

April 29, 2021

Scott Fitzwilliams, Forest Supervisor c/o Brett Crary 900 Grand Ave Glenwood Springs, CO 81601

SUBMITTED VIA ONLINE: https://cara.ecosystem-management.org/Public/CommentInput?Project=59419

SUBJECT: White River Aspen Management Project

Dear Mr. Fitzwilliams:

Intermountain Forest Association (IFA) is a member-based organization that advocates for healthy forests and healthy communities, including actively promoting sound forest management that provides a stable and sustainable supply of timber from public and private forestlands. Given that several of IFA's members heavily rely on timber output from the White River National Forest, we are excited about the proposed White River Aspen Management Project. On behalf of the members of the Intermountain Forest Association, I appreciate this opportunity to offer comments on the Notice of Proposed Action.

Overall, we are very supportive of the Aspen Management Project and your initiative to actively manage aspen throughout the White River National Forest to improve resiliency of aspen forests to disturbance agents, improve wildlife habitat, increase the distribution of aspen on National Forest System lands, manage aspen along scenic corridors, and contribute to the Forest's allowable sale quantity. As our forests face increase pressures from climate change, it will be crucial to have a diversity of species that are varied in age and size and are free from disease in order to be more resilient. Given these conditions, we concur with the Purpose and Need for Action, and the Proposed Action as stated on pages 16-18.

<u>Detailed Comments - Proposed Actions</u>

- IFA members vary significantly in the businesses they run and the materials they can economically utilize. As the project is further developed, please keep in mind that forest product markets change rapidly and therefore it is imperative that flexibility be built into the overall project design, including design criteria and specific silvicultural treatments. Some prescriptions may need to be accomplished through contracting methods such as stewardship. Ideally, the best quality material would be offered via traditional timber sales, whereas lower quality/ small diameter would be treated via stewardship.
- Keep in mind that road maintenance (blading, drainage, and surfacing), the
 reopening of level 1 roads, and road decommissioning can be very expensive.
 Therefore, the volume per acre and/or unit must be high enough to be cost
 effective. Furthermore, temporary roads can be very expensive and should be
 minimized as possible.
- As the project develops, we ask that you carefully consider each design criteria that is recommended and determine whether it is actually needed or whether concerns can be met through implementation contracts. Furthermore, if included, we ask that the forthcoming analysis explicitly discuss the economic costs for implementing the various design criteria. It is possible to have design criteria that are so stringent that the project becomes economically infeasible.

We appreciate your consideration of these comments and suggestions as you move forward with the planning of the Yellowjacket Project. I would be happy to discuss these comments if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Molly Pitts

Molly Pitts Intermountain Forest Association Colorado Programs Manger