



405 S. 8th Street #201
Boise, ID USA 83702
info@midasgoldidaho.com

MAX.TSX
MDRPF.OTCQX

www.midasgoldidaho.com

Date: 18 July 2019

Ms. Piper Goessel
Physical Scientist
Payette National Forest
500 North Mission Street
McCall, ID 83638

Subject: Stibnite Gold Project Plan of Restoration and Operations (PRO); Forest Plan Consistency

Dear Piper:

Thank you for your May 1, 2019 email attaching the working draft LRMP (Forest Plan) consistency table that you indicated the Forest Service is using to summarize Forest Plan consistency considerations ("Table"), as part of the Forest Service NEPA and NFMA review process for the Stibnite Gold Project PRO. The Table is quite lengthy, referencing numerous different Forest Plan provisions, so it took us a while with the assistance of our consultant Tetra Tech and legal counsel to review the Table and prepare comments. We are providing you with comments in this letter and the attached annotated version of the Table that I believe will be helpful.

These comments are not exhaustive, and I understand that the Forest Plan consistency review process has progressed since our receipt of this information in May 2019. I propose that after your review of this letter and the attached version of the Table with appropriate members of the Forest Service and AECOM teams that, as a next step, we soon meet to review a more recent version of this assessment and to cover any questions or other discussion items.

General Comments:

1. As recognized in the Project Notice of Intent to Prepare an EIS, the Forest Service decision regarding approval of the PRO extends to whether to require changes or additions to the portions of the PRO on national forest lands, and whether to approve amendments to the Payette National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan ("PFP") or Boise National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan ("BFP"), if required to approve the final PRO. 82 Fed. Reg. 25759, 25760-61 (June 5, 2017). Both the PFP and BFP recognize that under the Mining Laws, 36 C.F.R. 228 Subpart A and other legal authority, the Forest Service is limited in applying standards, guidelines or other Forest Plan management direction to reasonable terms, conditions and measures to minimize or



mitigate effects on national forest lands from locatable mining activities. PFP, Ch. III, page III-4; BFP, Ch. III, p. III-4.

2. Forest Plan consistency requirements are subject to locatable mineral and other valid existing rights under NFMA and its implementing planning regulations. 16 U.S.C. § 1604(i); 36 C.F.R. § 219.15. The Forest Service has the discretion to include one or more Project-specific exemptions or other amendments to the Forest Plan(s) in its Record of Decision regarding approval of the Project PRO, to allow components of the PRO on national forest lands to proceed which would otherwise be inconsistent with Forest Plan direction, as well as the option of requiring reasonable modification of the PRO to achieve consistency. 36 C.F.R. § 219.15(c)(3), (4).

3. Under the Forest Service 36 C.F.R. 228 Subpart A regulations, “Operations” are defined as “All functions, work, and activities in connection with prospecting, exploration, development, mining or processing of mineral resources and all uses reasonably incident thereto, including roads and other means of access on lands subject to the regulations in this part, regardless of whether said operations take place on or off mining claims.” 36 C.F.R. § 228.3(a). All aspects of the plan of operations (PRO) are considered as part of the locatable mineral operation. For example, a gravel pit included in the PRO as supporting access or other components of locatable mineral operations should be considered as part of the locatable mineral right, and not a separate saleable common variety minerals action to which different Forest Plan standards may apply.

4. We recognize that the Table you provided is a working draft. In that regard and based on our review considering the above described points, we believe there is an opportunity, with respect to the items currently identified in the Table as needing a Forest Plan amendment and the much greater number identified in the Table as “pending analysis” or “unsure,” to greatly reduce the number of Forest Plan items determined to be applicable or requiring further analysis, and ultimately the number and character of Forest Plan amendments or PRO adjustments that may be needed for PRO consistency.

5. We recommend that the Forest Service consider as part of the PRO NEPA and NFMA review process a Project-specific amendment to the PFP and BFP that modifies both Forest Plans to incorporate the terms, conditions, and measures contained in the alternative regarding PRO approval that is selected by the Forest Service in the Project ROD, and which supersedes any PFP or BFP management direction that would conflict with the ROD selected alternative. This amendment would apply in conjunction with any more specific PFP or BFP amendments that the Forest Service would include in the Project ROD with respect to particular identified PFP or BFP standards and guidelines. This amendment would help assure clarity regarding Forest Plan consistency compliance, the EIS environmental effects analysis, and the mitigation and other measures that will apply to PRO implementation. This amendment is particularly appropriate to consider given the multitude of PFP and BFP standards and guidelines that the Table identifies as potentially applicable to the Project, many of which appear to be subject to differing interpretations regarding scope, applicability and overlapping and potentially contradictory requirements.



More Specific Comments Regarding Particular Items in the Table:

We asked Tetra Tech to complete a review of the items in individual rows in the Table and provide comments, which are included the annotated copy of the Table attached with this letter. Tetra Tech's review was focused on the standard and guidelines indicated in the Table where the applicability was uncertain or determined to be applicable (Column G = Yes or Unsure), not conforming with the Forest Plans (Column I = No, Pending Analysis, or Unsure), and where a plan amendment was indicated to be needed (Column N = Yes or Pending Analysis). Tetra Tech did not review standards and guidelines indicated by the USFS as not applicable (Column G = No), already conforming with the Forest Plans (Column I = Meets), or where a plan amendment was indicated as not needed (Column N = No). Tetra Tech included a new Column P in the spreadsheet identifying the reasoning why a particular standard or guideline would not require a plan amendment. Some common themes are reflected in that Column P:

1. The Project is a locatable minerals project. All of the activities identified in the PRO are necessary for the Project and should not be considered any other type of project. Specifically, the Project is not a saleable or leasable mineral, right-of-way, recreation, recreation use, travel planning, timber management, vegetation management, or personal firewood project. Standards and guidelines that are clearly directed at these types of projects, even if they generically refer to "management actions" are not applicable. This perspective is supported by 16 U.S.C. § 1604(i) and 36 C.F.R. § 219.15.
2. Several standards and guidelines reflect requirements of federal or state laws. The review should assume that Midas Gold will meet the requirements of the law. An example is the transportation of hazardous materials (row 115).
3. Where an exception is allowed with impacts (degradation) occurring for less than 3 years (rows 45 through 51, 86, and 191), the review should consider that construction of facilities will be stabilized immediately after construction and degradation will not continue once stabilization has been reached.
4. The Idaho Roadless Rule, 36 C.F.R. 294 Subpart C, specifically allows for access for mineral rights. Standards and guidelines in Inventoried Roadless Areas ("IRAs") or recommended wilderness do not apply to access for locatable minerals activities (rows 44, 151, 161, 330, etc.). Per the Roadless Rule "Nothing in this subpart shall affect mining activities conducted pursuant to the General Mining Law of 1872." 36 CFR § 294.25(b). Cutting of timber is allowed in an IRA where it is incidental to implementation of an activity not otherwise prohibited (such as locatable mineral operations).
5. "Motorized use" is a recreational activity term and does not apply to approved administrative uses. Motorized activities needed to implement the PRO will be approved and standards and guides prohibiting such uses do not apply (rows 97, 107, 351, etc.).
6. In many places, reasonable mitigation has been proposed, much of which is already in the PRO. We note also that additional mitigation measures have also been incorporated into Midas Gold's Modified Proposed Action (Mod PRO) document. The spreadsheet indicates that the



standards and guidelines will be met with the implementation of the mitigation, yet the spreadsheet indicates an amendment is needed or consistency is pending analysis. These should be changed to show the standard and guideline is met. See Column P for reasoning.

7. There are four areas where we concur that a Forest Plan consistency determination may depend on pending analysis:

- Determining if the visual quality objectives (“VQOs”) are met;
- Determining if impacts on outstandingly remarkable values in Wild and Scenic River corridors would occur. However, given the limited activity within these areas, it seems unlikely that outstandingly remarkable values will be adversely affected;
- Determining whether desired vegetation conditions of the large tree size class would be degraded and whether 20 percent of acres in a 5th field HUC as large tree size would be maintained (row 493). This standard appears to be directed at vegetation management but does not appear to be clearly limited. The Forest Service should evaluate whether these standards apply to a locatable minerals project, and if so, complete the analysis. We suspect this standard will end up being met if applicable.
- Determining whether the PRO avoids adverse effects on Threatened, Endangered, Proposed or Candidate (“TEPC”) plants or habitat. If disturbance of TEPC plants occurs, we do not anticipate that there would be a magnitude of impacts under the PRO that would qualify as a “likely to adversely affect” determination.

If you have questions or wish to discuss these comments further prior to scheduling the meeting I have proposed at the beginning of this letter, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Alan Haslam
Vice President, Permitting
Midas Gold Idaho, Inc.

Attachments:

Attachment A – Annotated Forest Plan Consistency Review Spreadsheet

cc: Dave Rosenkrance, USFS
Keith Lannom, USFS
Valerie Porter, AECOM
Anne Baldrige, AECOM
Bill Killam, AECOM
Todd Glindeman, Brown and Caldwell

FW/BSF Forest Wide	Equipment acquisition shall conform to type of flow limiting and shall include backflow preventers. Equipment shall be used as well as pump-out area construction. Coordinate with appropriate adjacent landowners and local government in developing roads or road systems that serve the needs of all parties. Obtain rights-of-way setting agreement before the authority to be used, retained, and in cooperation with adjacent State, Tribal, and local government, holder of water rights, and other interested parties, ensure that water diversion structures be at least 100 feet from the project to the extent of the water right and the time period of the water right, and if there are other fish species, or other means, to prevent fish entrapment/obstruction. Where the authority was not retained, negotiate changes to meet other landowner objectives, where possible.	Yes	Unknown	Amendment may be required if utility cannot be installed.	Prior to obtaining ROW or required easements, ROW and PCO maps and site information shall be reviewed to determine the required environmental survey for the Project Facilities. (Section 6.2.1)	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Does not apply. The activity is not an activity as defined in the Project. Change Column 1 to "Yes". Change Column 2 to "Yes". Change Column 3 to "Yes".
FW/BSF Forest Wide	Where the authority to be used, retained, and in cooperation with adjacent State, Tribal, and local government, holder of water rights, and other interested parties, ensure that water diversion structures be at least 100 feet from the project to the extent of the water right and the time period of the water right, and if there are other fish species, or other means, to prevent fish entrapment/obstruction. Where the authority was not retained, negotiate changes to meet other landowner objectives, where possible.	Yes	Unknown	May require easement to EIR. Water rights research needed. Mitigation measures listed.	All features of the Statewide Gold Project have been designed to protect and enhance the fishery, including the road systems. Any crossings of known fish-bearing streams will be constructed to support fish passage, with other appropriate designed and constructed elements or bridges. (PRD 6.2.3)	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Does not apply. Mitigation will incorporate all measures of Column 1, Change Column 2 to "Yes", and Change Column 3 to "Yes".
BS-21	Mechanical vegetation treatments, salvage harvest, prescribed fire, and control of tree loss may only be used in situations where the area was established, or no other option that is a suitable with the final ecosystem record or management plan.	Yes	Unknown	No project components occur in FWS 2.2 riparian reserves or a potential for indirect effects. EIS will discuss impacts.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Not applicable - The PRD is a site-specific mitigation measure for maintenance treatment. Change Column 1 to "Yes". Change Column 2 to "Yes". Change Column 3 to "Yes".
FW/BSF Forest Wide	Proposed actions comply with NEPA should address the State Resource Management Plan to both address consistency with State Section 312 and 319 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act.	Yes	Known	NEPA analysis will be performed with this correspondence. Feasibility consistent with applicable Federal and State. (SRS 02 350)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Addressed in the State Resource Management Plan. No Plan Amendment needed. Current regulation is more conservative. Change Column 1 to "Yes".
FW/BSF Forest Wide	Management actions that occur within occupied sensitive plant habitat will incorporate measures to reduce factors a disturbance to a within desired conditions, or restored where degraded.	Yes	No	PAO mitigation requires that it be more robust than the intent of the guidance. Mitigation measures in redaction.	Removal or disturbance of vegetation will be kept to a minimum by limiting the area of disturbance, to the extent practicable (PRD 6.2.1). After discrete activities, when the need for additional site access, power lines, and other infrastructure will be minimized, electric transmission lines from the Johnson Creek substation to the site and related temporary road roads along this transmission line (PRD 6.2.3).	N/A	Unknown	Pending analysis	Project actions in occupied Sensitive plant habitat will incorporate measures to reduce factors a disturbance to a within desired conditions, or restored where degraded. During any discrete activities, should any other TPC or Section 706 species be located within the project area, the forest habitats will be identified and the project impacts will be avoided. When no TPC, Section 706, and Forest Health status species are then habitat, will be avoided to the extent possible. Where project activities cannot be avoided, mitigating effects of the Project, including but not limited to application of herbicides, pesticides, fungicides, or insecticides, will be avoided. Project activities in occupied Sensitive plant habitat will incorporate measures to ensure habitat conditions are restored to allowed conditions, or restored where degraded. Measure are incorporated in PRD.
FW/BSF Forest Wide	All projects and activities shall maintain or enhance the habitat of the species. All projects and activities shall maintain or enhance the habitat of the species. All projects and activities shall maintain or enhance the habitat of the species.	Yes	No	May require additional to the EIR. The EIS, riparian and stream habitat will be maintained or enhanced in accordance with the guidance. (SRS 02 350)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	All project and activities will maintain or enhance the habitat of the species. All project and activities shall maintain or enhance the habitat of the species. All project and activities shall maintain or enhance the habitat of the species. Change Column 1 to "Yes". Change Column 2 to "Yes". Change Column 3 to "Yes".
BS-18	Management actions, including salvage harvest, may only degrade aquatic, terrestrial, and watershed resource conditions in the temporary (up to 3 years) or short-term (3-15 years) time periods, and must be designed to avoid degradation of existing conditions in the long-term (greater than 15 years).	Yes	Unknown	BS-18 is outside Project components. The EIS will consider the potential for indirect effects that could degrade aquatic, terrestrial, and watershed resource conditions. Impacts could exceed beyond 15 years and therefore the standard could require an amendment whether or not it is mitigated.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	The treatments will be not be PRD. Degradation will not occur for this timeframe (more than 15 years). Site restoration will occur early and be ongoing. Change Column 1 to "Yes". Change Column 2 to "Yes".
FW-13	Management actions, including salvage harvest, may only degrade aquatic, terrestrial, and watershed resource conditions in the temporary (up to 3 years) or short-term (3-15 years) time periods, and must be designed to avoid resource degradation in the short term (3-15 years) and long term (greater than 15 years).	Yes	No	FS approval of a mitigation in a management action, impacts would exceed beyond 15 years and therefore the standard will require an amendment whether or not it is mitigated.	Both historically and newly disturbed areas will be stabilized and seeded and/or replanted in accordance with Forest Service and/or approved guidelines and standards as final landforms are available for seeding. (PRD 6.2.3)	N/A	N/A	Yes	The treatments will be not be PRD. Degradation will not occur for this timeframe (more than 3 years). Site restoration will occur early and be ongoing. Change Column 1 to "Yes". Change Column 2 to "Yes".
BS-16	Management actions, including salvage harvest, may only degrade aquatic, terrestrial, and watershed resource conditions in the temporary (up to 3 years) or short-term (3-15 years) time periods, and must be designed to avoid degradation of existing conditions in the long-term (greater than 15 years).	Yes	No	FS approval of a mitigation in a management action, impacts would exceed beyond 15 years and therefore the standard will require an amendment whether or not it is mitigated.	Vegetation and soil removal will occur in a manner that minimizes erosion and sedimentation. Disturbance of stream and wetland vegetation will be avoided where practicable. (PRD Section 6.2.3). Both historically and newly disturbed areas will be stabilized and seeded and/or replanted in accordance with Forest Service and/or approved guidelines and standards as final landforms are available for seeding. (PRD 6.2.3)	N/A	N/A	Yes	The treatments will be not be PRD. Degradation will not occur for this timeframe (more than 3 years). Site restoration will occur early and be ongoing. Change Column 1 to "Yes". Change Column 2 to "Yes".
BS-20	Management actions, including salvage harvest, may only degrade aquatic, terrestrial, and watershed resource conditions in the temporary (up to 3 years) or short-term (3-15 years) time periods, and must be designed to avoid degradation of existing conditions in the long-term (greater than 15 years).	Yes	No	FS approval of a mitigation in a management action, impacts would exceed beyond 15 years and therefore the standard will require an amendment whether or not it is mitigated.	Vegetation and soil removal will occur in a manner that minimizes erosion and sedimentation. Disturbance of stream and wetland vegetation will be avoided where practicable. (PRD Section 6.2.3). Both historically and newly disturbed areas will be stabilized and seeded and/or replanted in accordance with Forest Service and/or approved guidelines and standards as final landforms are available for seeding. (PRD 6.2.3)	N/A	N/A	Yes	The treatments will be not be PRD. Degradation will not occur for this timeframe (more than 3 years). Site restoration will occur early and be ongoing. Change Column 1 to "Yes". Change Column 2 to "Yes".
BS-20	Management actions, including salvage harvest, may only degrade aquatic, terrestrial, and watershed resource conditions in the temporary (up to 3 years) or short-term (3-15 years) time periods, and must be designed to avoid degradation of existing conditions in the long-term (greater than 15 years).	Yes	No	FS approval of a mitigation in a management action, impacts would exceed beyond 15 years and therefore the standard will require an amendment whether or not it is mitigated.	Vegetation and soil removal will occur in a manner that minimizes erosion and sedimentation. Disturbance of stream and wetland vegetation will be avoided where practicable. (PRD Section 6.2.3). Both historically and newly disturbed areas will be stabilized and seeded and/or replanted in accordance with Forest Service and/or approved guidelines and standards as final landforms are available for seeding. (PRD 6.2.3)	N/A	N/A	Yes	The treatments will be not be PRD. Degradation will not occur for this timeframe (more than 3 years). Site restoration will occur early and be ongoing. Change Column 1 to "Yes". Change Column 2 to "Yes".
BS-21	Management actions, including salvage harvest, may only degrade aquatic, terrestrial, and watershed resource conditions in the temporary (up to 3 years) or short-term (3-15 years) time periods, and must be designed to avoid resource degradation in the short term (3-15 years) and long term (greater than 15 years).	Yes	No	FS approval of a mitigation in a management action, impacts would exceed beyond 15 years and therefore the standard will require an amendment whether or not it is mitigated.	Both historically and newly disturbed areas will be stabilized and seeded and/or replanted in accordance with Forest Service and/or approved guidelines and standards as final landforms are available for seeding. (PRD 6.2.3)	N/A	N/A	Yes	Look at PRD for info about existing and preventing erosion on roads. Degradation will not occur for this timeframe (more than 3 years). Change Column 1 to "Yes". Change Column 2 to "Yes".
BS-21	Management actions, including salvage harvest, may only degrade aquatic, terrestrial, and watershed resource conditions in the temporary (up to 3 years) or short-term (3-15 years) time periods, and must be designed to avoid resource degradation in the short term (3-15 years) and long term (greater than 15 years).	Yes	No	FS approval of a mitigation in a management action, impacts would exceed beyond 15 years and therefore the standard will require an amendment whether or not it is mitigated.	Both historically and newly disturbed areas will be stabilized and seeded and/or replanted in accordance with Forest Service and/or approved guidelines and standards as final landforms are available for seeding. (PRD 6.2.3)	N/A	N/A	Yes	Look at PRD for info about existing and preventing erosion on roads. Degradation will not occur for this timeframe (more than 3 years). Change Column 1 to "Yes". Change Column 2 to "Yes".
BSF Forest Wide	Where retention use in high resolution habitat characteristics of where developing habitat (habitat) is maintained annually (other to ADP or Monitoring Systems). Restoration between winter maintenance activities and activities will be developed in the monitoring plan. Will be addressed through NEPA process. Compliance will be documented in the EIS and Wildlife Technical Report. Detail listed in a mitigation measure.	Yes	No	Barring, Road to monitoring through occupied sensitive habitat. Will be address a mitigation measure and monitoring details will be developed in the monitoring plan. Will be addressed through NEPA process. Compliance will be documented in the EIS and Wildlife Technical Report. Detail listed in a mitigation measure.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Project activities (including vehicle travel) in high resolution habitat characteristics of sensitive develop habitat will be monitored and maintained. Monitoring activities will be developed in Chapter IV of the BSF Forest Plan (Monitoring Systems). Relationships between winter maintenance activities and activities will be evaluated periodically. Where practicable, monitoring will be done in cooperation with State fish and game agencies.
FW/BSF Forest Wide	To address big game stress and enhance during critical habitat periods, thermal cover treatments on entire riparian reaches should be identified and managed during project planning and implementation. Management requirements or mitigation measures needed to maintain these components should be determined during development of project planning. As a general guideline, at least 15 percent thermal cover should be maintained on big game winter ranges when this cover is present. Cover should be maintained in a way that does not prevent access to water. Thermal and hiding cover may or may not occur on the same area.	Yes	No	Will be included as a mitigation measure.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Mitigation requires no Plan Amendment will be needed. Change Column 1 to "Yes". Change Column 2 to "Yes".
FW/BSF Forest Wide	Prohibit measures for National Forest System trails should be included in the final construction permit application for grading, siting, and access use authorizations, and provided the implementation documents.	Yes	Unknown	Will be included as a mitigation measure.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Mitigation requires protection measures. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column 1 to "Yes". Change Column 2 to "Yes".
FW/BSF Forest Wide	New facilities for storage of fuel and other materials shall be located outside of occupied TPC plant habitat.	Yes	No	PRD mitigation measure requires that all new facilities be located outside of the TPC.	Locate the fuel storage and fuel storage facility on the riparian wetland areas previously used as the processing area for the previous wood batch operations, after the prior impacts have been adequately remediated, thereby reducing environmental impacts. (PRD 6.2.4)	Unknown	Unknown	Pending analysis	Mitigation requires no Plan Amendment will be needed. Change Column 1 to "Yes". Change Column 2 to "Yes".
FW/BSF Forest Wide	Design and implement projects within occupied habitat of the riparian forest of this Guidance that details will be added to a mitigation measure.	Yes	Unknown	PRD mitigation measure requires that all new facilities be located outside of the TPC.	Both historically and newly disturbed areas will be stabilized and seeded and/or replanted in accordance with Forest Service and/or approved guidelines and standards as final landforms are available for seeding. (PRD 6.2.3).	Yes	N/A	Pending analysis	Mitigation will be applied. Change Column 1 to "Yes". Change Column 2 to "Yes".
FW/BSF Forest Wide	Mitigation management actions within known nesting or denning sites of fish or game species if those actions would disturb the nesting or denning sites during the nesting or denning period. Site, period, and mitigation measures shall be determined during project planning.	Yes	Unknown	Continue evaluating the standard in relation to the EIS, mitigation, and other measures. Amendments in relation to this standard may vary by alternative.	Mitigation and management actions will be developed in the monitoring plan. Will be addressed through NEPA process. Compliance will be documented in the EIS and Wildlife Technical Report. Detail listed in a mitigation measure.	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Mitigation will be applied. Change Column 1 to "Yes". Change Column 2 to "Yes".
FW/BSF Forest Wide	Mitigation management actions within known nesting or denning sites of fish or game species if those actions would disturb the nesting or denning sites during the nesting or denning period. Site, period, and mitigation measures shall be determined during project planning.	Yes	Unknown	Continue evaluating the standard in relation to the EIS, mitigation, and other measures. Amendments in relation to this standard may vary by alternative.	Mitigation and management actions will be developed in the monitoring plan. Will be addressed through NEPA process. Compliance will be documented in the EIS and Wildlife Technical Report. Detail listed in a mitigation measure.	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Mitigation will be applied. Change Column 1 to "Yes". Change Column 2 to "Yes".

PM/RFI Forest Wide	In-growth termination with brown active stand stands, identify patterns and maintain open stands during annual planning when it is determined that the proposed activity is likely to degrade the stand habitat.	Yes	Unknown	There would be indirect loss of mature forest habitat. Continuous planning and monitoring is required to maintain the stand in relation to the analysis, mitigation, and alternative treatments in relation to the standard may vary by alternative.	Miner Gold will consult for the upgrade and construction of the water transmission pipeline in the Project site along the existing water corridor into the Project site. Miners Gold is currently reviewing additional alternatives for the construction. (PRD 6.2.3)	Mitigation would be required.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	Mitigation will be applied. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 10 to "Forest" Change Column 10 to "Forest"
PM/RFI Forest Wide	Mitigate forest-associated disturbances within existing logging areas of disturbance and displacement of wildlife within the area by reducing those risks.	Yes	No	Appropriate response through forest management.	Miner Gold will minimize disturbance to wildlife habitat by maintaining a canopy closure, minimizing noise and light, and concurrently working to improve wildlife habitat. (PRD 6.2.18) Vegetation will be cleared only in those areas necessary for Project construction or proposed natural disturbance to the degraded forest practices. (PRD 6.1.10)	N/A	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	Mitigation will be applied. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 10 to "Forest"
RFI Forest Wide	Active forest stands that meet the definition of old forest habitat for the applicable PMO will be approved if management actions are proposed to such stands in a way that will continue to meet the definition of old forest habitat.	Yes	No	Will be addressed in a mitigation measure, compliance document in the ES.	Miner Gold will ensure that vegetation will be kept to a minimum by limiting the area of disturbance, to the extent possible. (PRD 6.1.13)	Forest stands that meet the definition of old forest habitat for the applicable PMO under the applicable PMO will be approved if management actions are proposed to such stands in a way that will continue to meet the definition of old forest habitat. Existing stand forest stands, such as riparian and forest vegetation layer (Tree Size Class, Canopy Cover, Dominance Type) will be kept to a minimum necessary for the project.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	Mitigation will be applied. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 10 to "Forest"
RFI Forest Wide	Management actions within large or medium-use class forested areas (Appendix A Definition) that have the potential to contribute to the loss of old forest habitat.	Yes	No	Will be addressed in a mitigation measure, compliance document in the ES.	Miner Gold will ensure that vegetation will be kept to a minimum by limiting the area of disturbance, to the extent possible. (PRD 6.1.13)	Management actions within large or medium-use class forested areas that have the potential to contribute to the loss of old forest habitat for the applicable PMO will not contribute to the loss of old forest habitat.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	Mitigation will be applied. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 10 to "Forest"
PM/RFI Forest Wide	New authorized facilities shall be located outside of RCAs whenever possible. When new facilities must be located in RCAs, they shall be designed to minimize impacts to RCAs as required through avoidance or mitigation.	Yes	No	The forest service will include this issue as a condition of the approval. A mitigation measure will be developed to ensure that the forest service will be protected in the ES.	Miner Gold will design and locate closure related facilities to minimize disturbance of riparian, wetland and riparian habitats in the Project area and for all-site infrastructure. (PRD 6.2.14)	New authorized facilities will be located outside of RCAs whenever possible. When new facilities must be located in RCAs, they shall be designed such that avoiding impacts to RCAs are mitigated through avoidance or mitigation.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	New facilities will be located outside of RCAs whenever possible. When new facilities must be located in RCAs, they shall be designed such that avoiding impacts to RCAs are mitigated through avoidance or mitigation.
PM/RFI Forest Wide	Locate new structures, support facilities, and roads outside RCAs whenever possible. When new facilities must be located in RCAs, they shall be designed to minimize impacts to RCAs as required through avoidance or mitigation.	Yes	No	Will be incorporated as a mitigation measure. ES must show that there will be no alternatives to locating facilities in RCAs.	Miner Gold will design and locate closure related facilities to minimize disturbance of riparian, wetland and riparian habitats in the Project area and for all-site infrastructure. (PRD 6.2.14)	New structures, support facilities, and roads will be located outside RCAs whenever possible. When new facilities must be located in RCAs, they shall be designed such that avoiding impacts to RCAs are mitigated through avoidance or mitigation.	Yes	Pending analysis	New structures, support facilities, and roads will be located outside of RCAs whenever possible. When new facilities must be located in RCAs, they shall be designed such that avoiding impacts to RCAs are mitigated through avoidance or mitigation.
PM/RFI Forest Wide	Monitoring plans for reforestation and closure should be developed to confirm and evaluate the ability to mitigate negative effects to biological resources or riparian habitat. Monitoring should be evaluated and reported to the public and will be used to determine whether negative effects to biological resources.	Yes	Unknown	Monitoring plans will be developed. The monitoring plans will include riparian habitat monitoring.	Stream monitoring. At a minimum, Miner Gold will monitor the surface channel of Meadow Creek, the Hanger Run, Development Run, Storage Facility and FIP for water quality, wetlands and riparian habitat during operations. Surface water monitoring around the active FIP will include Meadow Creek, Hanger Run and Hanger Run. (PRD 6.2.15)	Monitoring plans will be developed. The monitoring plans will include riparian habitat monitoring.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	This is not a requirement. Monitoring Plan will be developed. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 10 to "Forest"
PM/RFI Forest Wide	Where new facilities or practices have been identified as potentially contributing to degradation of riparian habitat, riparian habitat, or riparian habitat, they shall be designed to minimize impacts to riparian habitat, riparian habitat, or riparian habitat.	Yes	No	Will be included as a mitigation measure.	Miner Gold will ensure disturbance to wildlife habitat by maintaining a canopy closure, minimizing noise and light, and concurrently working to improve wildlife habitat. (PRD 6.2.18)	Where new facilities or practices have been identified as potentially contributing to degradation of riparian habitat, riparian habitat, or riparian habitat, they shall be designed such that avoiding impacts to riparian habitat, riparian habitat, or riparian habitat are mitigated through avoidance or mitigation.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	This is not a requirement. Mitigation will be applied. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 10 to "Forest"
PM/RFI Forest Wide	For all project wide analysis, suitable habitat should be determined for sensitive areas on which the project area. Conduct a survey for those species with suitable habitat to determine presence. Document the rationale for not conducting surveys for those species on the project area.	Yes	Unknown	Surveys for some sensitive species have been conducted in the Project area. Effects of the Project on suitable habitat for sensitive species will be assessed during the CE/analysis process.	Surveys would be conducted.	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Not applicable - a suitable habitat analysis is being done in the ES. No Plan Amendment needed for something that is being done. No Plan Amendment needed.
DO-10	Manage the South Fork Salmon River to its Recreation Classification, and provide for the flow of water and debris until the river is formally designated by Congress or released from federal construction as a WHR and later from suitable.	Yes	Minor	N/A analysis will be performed in terms of ODFW as well as being met at the opening of the SRP.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Not applicable. Nothing in the PMR indicates that the South Fork Salmon River will not maintain its free-flowing status until ODFW. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 10 to "Forest"
DO-10	In riparian corridors, mechanical vegetation treatments, including salvage harvest, may be used as long as ODFW are maintained within the river corridor.	Yes	Pending Analysis	Vegetation removal for project components is mechanical vegetation treatment, impact on ODFW will be determined through analysis.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Not applicable. Nothing in the PMR indicates that the South Fork Salmon River will not maintain its free-flowing status until ODFW. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 10 to "Forest"
DO-10	Vegetation restoration or maintenance treatments - including mechanical, and prescribed fire - may occur where they: 1) Minimize or reduce adverse quality impacts to fully support benefits of use and habitat for native and desired non-native fish species; or 2) Minimize or reduce habitat for native and desired non-native wildlife and plant species; or 3) Reduce risk of impacts from established forest fuels, structures, and treatments.	Yes	No	Removal of vegetation for roads and other facilities would not be consistent with the standard. Recommended as an amendment to the standard.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	Not applicable. The PMR is not a vegetation restoration or maintenance treatment. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 10 to "Forest"
DO-20	In riparian corridors, mechanical vegetation treatments, including salvage harvest, may be used as long as ODFW are maintained within the river corridor.	Yes	Pending Analysis	Vegetation removal for project components is mechanical vegetation treatment, impact on ODFW will be determined through analysis.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Not applicable. The PMR is not a vegetation restoration or maintenance treatment. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 10 to "Forest"
DO-20	Mechanical vegetation treatments, including salvage harvest, may occur where they: 1) Minimize or reduce adverse quality impacts to fully support benefits of use and habitat for native and desired non-native fish species; or 2) Minimize or reduce habitat for native and desired non-native wildlife and plant species; or 3) Reduce risk of impacts from established forest fuels, structures, and treatments.	Yes	No	The proposed project would occur in areas of BCR 100 with either direct or indirect effects. Will likely require an amendment because of riparian habitat and water quality and habitat. The mitigation is not going to last degradation entirely.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	Not applicable. The PMR is not a vegetation restoration or maintenance treatment. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 10 to "Forest"
DO-20	Vegetation restoration or maintenance treatments - including mechanical, and prescribed fire - may occur where they: 1) Minimize or reduce adverse quality impacts to fully support benefits of use and habitat for native and desired non-native fish species; or 2) Minimize or reduce habitat for native and desired non-native wildlife and plant species; or 3) Reduce risk of impacts from established forest fuels, structures, and treatments.	Yes	No	Removal of vegetation for roads and other facilities would not be consistent with the standard. Recommended as an amendment to the standard.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	Not applicable. The PMR is not a vegetation restoration or maintenance treatment. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 10 to "Forest"
DO-21	In riparian corridors, mechanical vegetation treatments, including salvage harvest, may be used as long as ODFW are maintained within the river corridor.	Yes	Pending Analysis	Vegetation removal for project components is mechanical vegetation treatment, impact on ODFW will be determined through analysis.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Not applicable. The PMR is not a vegetation restoration or maintenance treatment. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 10 to "Forest"
DO-21	Vegetation restoration or maintenance treatments - including mechanical, and prescribed fire - may occur where they: 1) Minimize or reduce adverse quality impacts to fully support benefits of use and habitat for native and desired non-native fish species; or 2) Minimize or reduce habitat for native and desired non-native wildlife and plant species; or 3) Reduce risk of impacts from established forest fuels, structures, and treatments.	Yes	No	Removal of vegetation for roads and other facilities would not be consistent with the standard. Recommended as an amendment to the standard.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	Not applicable. The PMR is not a vegetation restoration or maintenance treatment. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 10 to "Forest"
DO-21	Mechanical vegetation treatments, including salvage harvest, prescribed fire, and wildland fire use may only be used to maintain values for which the area was established, or to achieve other objectives that are consistent with the BSA establishment record or management plan.	Yes	Unknown	No project components in BSA or MRC 2.1. However, the analysis will consider potential indirect effects to the BSA.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Not applicable. The PMR is not a vegetation restoration or maintenance treatment. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 10 to "Forest"
PM/RFI Forest Wide	When a permit or opportunity to occur is managed to provide for user safety and to maintain open stands, water recreation management should recognize that some activities are not compatible with the river objectives and should be separated when needed to maintain user safety and water recreation experience.	Yes	Unknown	Needs to determine if water recreation activities would be impacted by the Plan. If it is, then the Plan will be amended to include a provision to separate the activities from the water recreation experience.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Not applicable. The PMR does not provide water recreation opportunities. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 10 to "Forest"
PM/RFI Forest Wide	When resolving conflicts between water recreation user groups, appropriate consultation and negotiation should be given to riparian habitat and riparian habitat.	Yes	Unknown	Needs to determine if water recreation activities would be impacted by the Plan. If it is, then the Plan will be amended to include a provision to separate the activities from the water recreation experience.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Not applicable. The PMR does not provide water recreation opportunities. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 10 to "Forest"
PM/RFI Forest Wide	Decisions to develop recreation facilities should be based on avoidance or mitigation of any of the following: 1) Operating efficiency; 2) The need to reduce recreation impacts from recreation facilities; and 3) The need to reduce recreation impacts from recreation facilities.	Yes	Unknown	Applicable for actions that would cause the public to avoid meeting criteria. Compliance will be determined in the ES. Decision would be based on (i) compliance with water quality analysis, and (ii) compliance with the standards.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Not applicable. The PMR is not proposing a new recreation facility. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 10 to "Forest"

FW/BSF Forest Wide	Timelier opportunities for preconstruction enhancement of culturally significant plants that are known to occupy the project area and the Tribes have identified during project scoping or consultation	Yes	No	Will be addressed in a mitigation measure, compliance document in the ES	N/A	Opportunities will be considered for preconstruction enhancement of culturally significant plants that are known to occupy the project area and the Tribes have identified during project scoping or consultation. Tribes will be consulted regarding opportunities for restoration, enhancement, and maintenance of native plants communities that are of interest to them when proposal activities may affect these plant communities.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	Opportunities are being considered	
FW/BSF Forest Wide	Reclamation and mitigation standards that specifically address reclamation, maintenance and use of riparian and riparian habitat should be part of operating plans. Reasonable expectations and frequency of inspections should be identified in approved plans.	Yes	Unclear	A Reclamation and Closure Plan and a Monitoring Plan have been prepared for the project. Compliance with these guidelines will be determined in the ES. Further RIE & DMP for riparian habitat and other RMMs, if needed.	N/A	Detailed in the Reclamation and Closure Plan and the Monitoring Plan - needs to determine needs/monitoring and add RMMs as needed.	N/A	Pending analysis	Included in regulations. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column to "meets" Change Column Area "no"	
FW/BSF Forest Wide	Long term or final reclamation should follow the land for a planned use that is consistent with the overall land use objectives of the area.	Yes	Unclear	Continue evaluating if the project meets the guidelines based on the Reclamation Plan.	Reclamation plan details (need to add)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Required in regulations. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column to "meets" Change Column Area "no"	
FW/BSF Forest Wide	Mineral development standards be constructed and maintained to ensure abatement of impacts that will mitigate degrading effects to soil, water, and other resource values through avoidance or minimization. Mitigation measures and resource maintenance practices for mining areas and development roads should be part of the operating plan. Operations applicable to forest, Benchmark Roads used for commercial mining use and found on the Fuel Sites Standard and Conditions. No longer needed except for haul roads, overpasses, and all the closed by vehicular traffic. If these bridges and culverts removed, and (2) these the road surface changed to a more natural surface in practical and established.	Yes	Unclear	This is a road project. This is a road project. Continue evaluating during the status and/or construction of proposed mitigation.	Reclamation plan details (need to add)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Required in regulations. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column to "meets" Change Column Area "no"	
FW/BSF Forest Wide	For localized mineral operations, degrading effects to riparian resources and water quality should be mitigated.	Yes	Unclear	Continue evaluating during the status and/or construction of proposed mitigation.	Mineral site design and related facilities to ensure protection of riparian resources, wetlands and riparian habitat in the Project area and for off-site infrastructure such as the power line and access road corridor. (PPO 6.2.14)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Required in regulations. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column to "meets" Change Column Area "no"	
FW/BSF Forest Wide	New roads and landings should be located out of RCA where possible. When new roads or landings must be located in RCAs, they should be developed such that degrading effects to RCAs are mitigated.	Yes	No	Will be included as a mitigation measure.	N/A	New roads, landings, and other facilities will be located out of RCAs where possible. When new facilities must be located in RCAs, they will be developed such that degrading effects to RCAs are mitigated.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	Required in regulations. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column to "meets" Change Column Area "no"	New facilities will be located out of RCAs where possible. And will be developed such that degrading effects to RCAs are mitigated.
FW-12	Management actions, including salvage harvest, may only impact riparian, terrestrial, and submerged riparian conditions in the riparian habitat if 3 years or more than 12 years after the disturbance and such be designed to avoid degradation of existing conditions in the long term (greater than 12 years).	Yes	No	ES approval of a mitigation is a management action. Impacts would be assessed based on 3 years and riparian habitat standard will require an amendment, whether or not it is mitigated.	Vegetation and soil removal will occur in a manner that restores erosion and sedimentation. Disturbance of riparian and wetland vegetation will be avoided where practicable. (PPO Section 6.2.2)	N/A	N/A	Yes	The standard will be met per PPO. The standard will occur in the riparian habitat more than 3 years. The restoration will occur early and be complete.	
FW-13	Vegetation restoration or maintenance treatments—including addition of new, mechanical, and amendment fire—may occur when: a) Management or restore riparian habitat for fish and wildlife habitat; b) Management or restore habitat for native and desired non-native wildlife and plant species; or c) Restore and improve riparian habitat for riparian habitat, fisheries, and riparian resources.	Yes	No	Removal of vegetation for TSE, DMP and other facilities would not be consistent with the standard. Recommended an amendment to this standard.	Both historically and newly disturbed areas will be stabilized and revegetated/ restored in accordance with Forest Service and/or approved guidelines and standards in final land forms are available for rearing. (PPO 6.2.2)	N/A	N/A	Yes	This is not a riparian habitat standard. The standard will be met per PPO. The standard will occur in the riparian habitat more than 3 years. The restoration will occur early and be complete.	
FW/BSF Forest Wide	This specific analysis or field monitoring of riparian conditions riparian areas should be conducted in representative areas that are identified as sensitive areas during the project scoping or consultation process. Based on the activities, design, management actions to avoid the riparian habitat, design standards. Refer to the implementation plan for development or construction and riparian areas, location or riparian habitat compliance with this standard.	Yes	No	Field monitoring measures: need part of the report of this standard, compliance will be documented in the ES.	Mineral site design and related facilities to ensure protection of riparian resources, wetlands and riparian habitat in the Project area and for off-site infrastructure such as the power line and access road corridor. (PPO 6.2.14)	N/A	N/A	N/A	The specific analysis is being done. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column to "meets" Change Column Area "no"	
FW/BSF Forest Wide	Damage to or loss of Forest System trails from erosion, debris, landslides, ground disturbance, logging, snowpack, and other proposed fire activities should be repaired or mitigated by the responsible party.	Yes	No	Will be included as a mitigation measure.	N/A	Any damage to or loss of Forest System trails will require a mitigation.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	The mitigation is required and will repair or mitigate any damage. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column to "meets" Change Column Area "no"	
FW/BSF Forest Wide	Stocking of non-native fish species in high elevation and other Forest sites and streams should be discouraged if stocking impairs stream competition, structure, or function of fish like or stream ecosystems. Coordinate management of these ecosystems with state Department of Fish and Game and tribal governments.	Yes	Unclear	No stocking would occur with the Project. May need to include availability of this & have been discussed in conformity to ESF Fishway in SMA consultation/ planning/ review, could be inconsistent? Answer.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The PPO does not propose to stock non-native fish. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column to "meets" Change Column Area "no"	
FW/BSF Forest Wide	If the designated VPO cannot be met with overhead lines, electrical power lines of 20 KV or more should be placed underground, unless geologic structure prevents such installation.	Yes	No	Needs justify use of overhead lines to ES. Amendment likely needed in plans; but analysis should meet what might be for the use.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The PPO includes a VPO power line. It is not a riparian habitat standard. Change Column to "meets" Change Column Area "no"	
FW-13	Mechanical vegetation treatment, including salvage harvest, may only occur when: a) The responsible official determines that wildfire fire use or prescribed fire would result in unreasonable risk to public safety and structures, transmission, or undesirable resource effects; and b) The treatment or removal activity needed to fully support beneficial uses and habitat for native and desired non-native fish, wildlife, or riparian habitat for native and desired non-native wildlife and plant species.	Yes	No	Reasons of vegetation for TSE, DMP and other facilities would not be consistent with the standard. Recommended an amendment to this standard.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	The PPO is not a mechanical vegetation treatment project. This does not apply. Change Column to "meets" Change Column Area "no"	
FW/BSF Forest Wide	In addition to identifying applicable regulations, plans, and policies relevant to project design of proposed fire activities, an analysis and monitoring impact evaluations should also consider other sources of emissions. Identify emissions, include an assessment of potential impacts to sensitive areas, impacts, identify the potential risk for smoke intrusion into sensitive areas, and to sensitive ambient air monitoring stations, where appropriate.	Yes	Unclear	Document adherence in the ES.	Mineral site design and related facilities to ensure protection of riparian resources, wetlands and riparian habitat in the Project area and for off-site infrastructure such as the power line and access road corridor. (PPO 6.2.14)	N/A	N/A	N/A	The PPO is not a prescribed fire activity. This does not apply. Change Column to "meets" Change Column Area "no"	
FW/BSF Forest Wide	Consider and evaluate the impacts of smoke on sensitive areas, such as riparian habitat and other resources. A smoke abatement/ suppression (SAP) or other measures surrounding the project area should be the critical line of consideration. An analysis should be used to support evaluation when the smoke monitoring system is currently the primary pollutant of concern for air quality evaluations related to smoke management activities for compliance with National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).	Yes	Pending Analysis	Document adherence in the ES.	Mineral site design and related facilities to ensure protection of riparian resources, wetlands and riparian habitat in the Project area and for off-site infrastructure such as the power line and access road corridor. (PPO 6.2.14)	N/A	N/A	N/A	The PPO is not a prescribed fire activity. This does not apply. Change Column to "meets" Change Column Area "no"	
FW/BSF Forest Wide	Forest management should be used, as needed, to accomplish the following: a) Provide for the safety and welfare of the users; b) Protect riparian and riparian habitat and other habitat; c) Protect forest resources, such as wildlife, soil, vegetation, and water; d) Provide a diversity of recreational experiences and resource benefits; e) Protect wild and dead forests; f) Comply with Forest contracts or permits, cooperative agreements, road purchase agreements, easement deeds, or other formal documents of the Government requiring that road use be controlled; g) Down-dress logging and fishing opportunities with State agencies.	Yes	No	Will be included as a mitigation measure (or in Forest management guidelines to the PPO)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The PPO is not a management activity. This does not apply. Change Column to "meets" Change Column Area "no"	
FW/BSF Forest Wide	Transport TSE plant species and their associated habitat, water supply points, service areas, and other needs for road and facility construction projects should be included in project planning and used in project implementation.	Yes	Unclear	All transportation will be developed during project planning, including in the PPO and other associated plans.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The PPO includes the protection of TSE plant species. Change Column to "meets" Change Column Area "no"	
FW/BSF Forest Wide	Do an on-site analysis of designated travel ways, proposed on-site development and other activities.	Yes	Unclear	Adherence to this standard will be analyzed in the ES.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	The PPO will be reviewed. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column to "meets" Change Column Area "no"	
FW/BSF Forest Wide	When doing the site assessment, the SMATIS in Appendix B should be used to assist in stabilizing reference and current conditions. Based on a comparison of current and desired conditions, identify management opportunities for stabilization and quality restoration.	Yes	Unclear	Will be included as a mitigation measure.	N/A	The SMATIS in Appendix B of the Forest Plan should be used to assist in stabilizing reference and current conditions. Based on a comparison of current and desired conditions, management opportunities for stabilization and quality restoration will be identified.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	This analysis will be done in the ES. The PPO identifies opportunities for stabilization and quality restoration. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column to "meets" Change Column Area "no"	
BS-17	In Riparian habitats, mechanical vegetation treatments including salvage harvest should be used as long as riparian resources are not degraded.	Yes	Unclear	Vegetation removal for project components: mechanical vegetation treatments including salvage harvest should be used as long as riparian resources are not degraded.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	This does not apply because the PPO is not a mechanical vegetation treatment project. Change Column to "meets" Change Column Area "no"	

FW/BNF Forest Wide	Do not allow collection of sensitive plants except for research or scientific purposes, under the direction of the Forest or Regional Botanist.	Yes	No	Will be incorporated in a mitigation measure.	N/A	Coordination with a Forest Botanist will occur. • When designing and implementing management activities that may affect sensitive or FPC species in their habitats (FPC025, FPC026) • When developing specific lists for mitigation and avoiding for all areas where collection would occur, including but not limited to, Forest Wash, and/or FPC forest areas (FPC025, FPC026, FPC027, FPC028) • Sensitive plants or their analogues are required to be collected as part of salvage or cooperative activities for unavoidable project impacts. A botanist permit will be obtained as part of this work. The Forest or Regional Botanist will be the authority for collection methods and other information (FPC025, FPC026, FPC027, FPC028) • When developing all insecticide and herbicide spray plans and associated burning plans to determine whether deleterious effects to Sensitive, Forest Wash, or FPC plants and their polynorms should be mitigated, and when developing mitigation for deleterious effects of herbicides, fungicides, and/or insecticides on these species or their habitats (MTR002, MTR004 FPC020)	Yes	Pending analysis	Sensitive plants would not be collected unless approved and FPC025, FPC026, FPC027, FPC028
FW/BNF Forest Wide	To meet light, visibility of camps should be mitigated. There should be a general lack of visible ground disturbance.	Yes	No	Will be addressed in a mitigation measure, compliance document in the ES.	N/A	Mitigation measure incorporated in ES document	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	This guideline is for timber harvest activities. The FPC is not a timber harvest plan. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Stump and harvest residue remaining after project completion should appear to be naturally occurring downed material in light. Techniques to mitigate visibility of stumps include: low tree heights, burning, physically removing material, access to other resource needs, and dispersing concentrations.	Yes	No	Will be addressed in a mitigation measure, compliance document in the ES.	N/A	Stump and harvest residue remaining after project completion will be made to appear to be naturally occurring downed material in light and mostly naturally occurring downed material in light. Techniques may include: low tree heights, burning, physically removing material, access to other resource needs, and dispersing concentrations.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	This guideline is for timber harvest activities. The FPC is not a timber harvest plan. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Most timber changes in light should be consistent with seasonal natural changes in light, under a limited number of small natural opening openings that are assessed to only vary from their harvest points.	Yes	No	Will be addressed in a mitigation measure, compliance document in the ES.	N/A	Most timber changes in light will be consistent with seasonal natural changes in light, under a limited number of small natural opening openings that are assessed to only vary from their harvest points.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	This guideline is for timber harvest activities. The FPC is not a timber harvest plan. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Edge-line activities in light, light, and light should not have unnatural opening breaks along them.	Yes	No	Will be addressed in a mitigation measure, compliance document in the ES.	N/A	Edge-line activities in light, light, and light will not have unnatural opening breaks along them.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	This guideline is for timber harvest activities. The FPC is not a timber harvest plan. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW/BNF Forest Wide	In light, roads should only be visible for a short distance from the harvest travel area or view path. Other visible temporary structures should occur primarily in the area of grading and initial opening activity as they are not visible within the same year and regeneration is initiated.	Yes	No	Will be addressed in a mitigation measure, compliance document in the ES.	N/A	In light, roads will only be visible for a short distance from the harvest travel area or view path. Other visible temporary structures should occur primarily in the area of grading and initial opening activity as they are not visible within the same year and regeneration is initiated.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	This guideline is for timber harvest activities. The FPC is not a timber harvest plan. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW/BNF Forest Wide	New road construction should not occur within the same harvest and Special Problem harvest areas.	Yes	Unknown	Compliance will be documented in the ES. New design to accommodate a general road corridor may be in the ES to be dealt with the later landscape NEPA.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	This is a guideline. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW/BNF Forest Wide	During the permit process, consultation may be obtained to help coordinate timing of staking lines with the State Forestry Non-Indigenous areas.	Yes	Unknown	Compliance will be documented in the ES. More data needed.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	This is a guideline. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Facilities identified as necessary should blend with the surrounding landscape character and the RDS setting.	Yes	No	This will be incorporated in a mitigation measure.	N/A	All projects and activities will maintain or enhance the adjacent RDS character by being developed on the Forest RDS strategy map. If information and interpretive services at displays are used, these will be consistent with the RDS character. Facilities will blend with the surrounding landscape character and the RDS setting. RDS encompasses Forest Plan areas will be used to help guide facility development within each RDS class.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	This is a guideline. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Information and interpretive services or displays should be consistent with the RDS class.	Yes	No	Will be incorporated in a mitigation measure.	N/A	All projects and activities will maintain or enhance the adjacent RDS character by being developed on the Forest RDS strategy map. If information and interpretive services at displays are used, these will be consistent with the RDS character. Facilities will blend with the surrounding landscape character and the RDS setting. RDS encompasses Forest Plan areas will be used to help guide facility development within each RDS class.	Yes	Pending analysis	This is a guideline. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW/BNF Forest Wide	When opportunities to mitigate effects and land management practices causing degradation have been identified, consider mitigating through measures such as reforestation, closure, and change in management strategy, alteration, or discontinuance.	Yes	No	Will be included as a mitigation measure.	N/A	When opportunities to mitigate effects and land management practices causing degradation have been identified, the proponent will consider mitigating through measures such as reforestation, closure, and change in management strategy, alteration, or discontinuance.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	This is a guideline, not a standard. It does not apply because the FPC is an opportunity to mitigate current road degradation. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Management activities and facility development in Special Resource areas should be consistent with the goals and objectives of the corridor management plan.	Yes	No	Will be addressed in a mitigation measure. Compliance will be assessed in the ES. New design to accommodate a general road corridor may be in the ES to be dealt with the later landscape NEPA.	N/A	The Special Resource management plan will be used to guide management activities and facility development in Special Resource areas. Compliance will be assessed in the ES.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	This is a guideline. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Field-sited high-risk landscape-generators are identified as not suitable for timber production. Wood products generated from high-risk wood processing areas will not contribute to the ASD.	Yes	No	Compliance will be documented in the ES.	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	This is a timber products project. This is not applicable. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Regenerative activities to meet road-maintenance should be consistent with the Forest or Regional Botanist management plans to help assess effects of additional travel management decisions on plant populations.	Yes	Unknown	Will be addressed in the ES process and Wildlife Technical Report will be submitted to the Forest or Regional Botanist.	N/A	Regenerative activities will maintain or enhance the adjacent RDS character by being developed on the Forest RDS strategy map. If information and interpretive services at displays are used, these will be consistent with the RDS character. Facilities will blend with the surrounding landscape character and the RDS setting. RDS encompasses Forest Plan areas will be used to help guide facility development within each RDS class.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	This is not a travel management project. Consultation is occurring. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW/BNF Forest Wide	When roads access roads (CAR) or the larger road classes (LRC) are identified as not suitable for retention in an activity area, and/or use classes may be utilized to meet desired bridge conditions above the road. However, these roads are not used or should only be used when the resulting fire hazard risk will remain within defined management objectives. The hazard risk as it relates to both the activity area and adjacent areas should be considered.	Yes	Unknown	See the Road Design plan.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	This is not a mitigation project. It is a road management project. This is not applicable. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Transport hazardous materials on the Forest in accordance with 40 CFR 171 in order to reduce the risk of spills of toxic materials and fuels during transport through RDS.	Yes	No	Will be incorporated in a mitigation measure. See transportation analysis and BMPs within the Forest Management Plan.	N/A	Hazardous materials on the Forest will be transported in accordance with 40 CFR 171 in order to reduce the risk of spills of toxic materials and fuels during transport through RDS.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	This is not applicable. No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Roads and other recreation may be visible in light and light. They should blend into the characteristic landscape of the landscape.	Yes	No	Will be addressed in a mitigation measure. Compliance will be assessed in the ES.	N/A	If roads and other recreation are visible in light and light, they will blend into the characteristic landscape of the landscape.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	This will be addressed in a mitigation measure (see column 1). No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Roads and other recreation within the view cone may demonstrate light and light. They should blend into the characteristic landscape of the landscape.	Yes	No	Will be addressed in a mitigation measure. Compliance will be assessed in the ES. Need to discuss definition of "transparent".	N/A	Roads and other recreation within the view cone may demonstrate light and light. They should blend into the characteristic landscape of the landscape.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	This will be addressed in a mitigation measure (see column 1). No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Roads and other recreation may demonstrate light and light. When viewed as background, the visual characteristics will be those of natural occurrences within the surrounding area. Efforts will be made to reduce where constraints at any distance.	Yes	No	Will be addressed in a mitigation measure. Compliance will be assessed in the ES. Analyze needed to show whether containing visuals may occur.	N/A	Roads and other recreation may demonstrate light and light. When viewed as background, the visual characteristics will be those of natural occurrences within the surrounding area. Efforts will be made to reduce where constraints at any distance.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis	This will be addressed in a mitigation measure (see column 1). No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW/BNF Forest Wide	When a structure or facility is created for other than public use, the materials, color, and location should be chosen to reduce visual contrast of the structure.	Yes	No	Will be addressed in a mitigation measure, compliance document in the ES.	N/A	When a structure or facility is created for other than public use, the materials, color, and location will be chosen to reduce visual contrast of the structure.	Yes	Pending analysis	This will be addressed in a mitigation measure (see column 1). No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW/BNF Forest Wide	The use of structural materials and non-reflective surfaces should be considered for structures. An exception to this would be when the function of the structure is to be seen.	Yes	No	Will be addressed in a mitigation measure, compliance document in the ES.	N/A	The use of structural materials and non-reflective surfaces will be considered for structures. An exception to this would be when the function of the structure is to be seen.	Yes	Pending analysis	This will be addressed in a mitigation measure (see column 1). No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Natural or repair colors should be used to help to contain blend with the landscape.	Yes	No	Will be addressed in a mitigation measure, compliance document in the ES.	N/A	Natural or repair colors will be used to help structures blend with the landscape.	No	Pending analysis	This will be addressed in a mitigation measure (see column 1). No Plan Amendment needed. Change Column 1 to "Forest" Change Column 2 to "Yes" Change Column 3 to "Yes"
FW-10	Prescribed fire and wildland fire use may be used as a large area fire management within the corridor.	No	N/A	Wildfire management is part of project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	No
BC-20	Wildland fire use and prescribed fire may only be used when they are necessary to meet the quality needed to fully support biological and habitat for native and desired non-native species, or if necessary to reduce habitat for native and desired non-native species and plant species.	No	N/A	Wildland fire and prescribed fire are part of project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	No

BCO-20	<p>Road construction and reconstruction may only occur where needed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) To provide access related to required or outstanding rights, or b) To respond to public use of road, or c) To support aquatic, terrestrial, and waterbird restoration activities, or d) To address immediate response situations where, if action is not taken, unacceptable impacts to hydrology, aquatic, riparian or terrestrial resources, or health and safety, would result. 	Yes	Minor	The Plan is consistent based on item 11, since then-related work would be associated with status (General Mining Act of 1977). However, some aspects (such as public use of roads) that are not incident to mining may not be covered by item 11.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
BCO-21	Prescribed fire and additional fire use may be used as long as DRVs are maintained within the corridor.	No	N/A	Wildfire management (as part of project)	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PAE-13	Wildland fire use and prescribed fire may only be used where there is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Assessment or review under quality needed to fully support beneficial uses and habitat for native and dependent native fish species, or b) Assessment or review habitat for non-accidental native wildlife and plant species. 	No	N/A	Wildland fire use and prescribed fire are not proposed as part of the Project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PAE-13	Road construction or reconstruction may only occur where needed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) To provide access related to required or outstanding rights, or b) To respond to public use of road, or c) To address immediate response situations where, if action is not taken, unacceptable impacts to hydrology, aquatic, riparian or terrestrial resources, or health and safety, would result. 	Yes	Minor	The Plan is consistent based on item 11, since then-related work would be associated with status (General Mining Act of 1977). However, some aspects (such as public use of roads) that are not incident to mining may not be covered by item 11.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PAE-13	The full range of the suppression strategies may be used to suppress wild fires. Employee tactics to protect riparian habitat that minimize impacts on aquatic, terrestrial, or waterbird resources.	No	N/A	Applicable to the project area but not the plan itself.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PAE-13	Wildland fire use and prescribed fire may only be used where there is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Assessment or review under quality needed to fully support beneficial uses and habitat for native and dependent native fish species, or b) Assessment or review habitat for native and dependent native wildlife and plant species, or c) Reduce risk of impacts from wildland fire to human life, structures, and equipment. 	No	N/A	Wildland fire use and prescribed fire are not proposed as part of the Project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PAE-13	Road construction or reconstruction may only occur where needed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) To provide access related to required or outstanding rights, or b) To respond to public use of road, or c) To address immediate response situations where, if action is not taken, unacceptable impacts to hydrology, aquatic, riparian or terrestrial resources, or health and safety, would result. 	Yes	Minor	The Plan is consistent based on item 11, since then-related work would be associated with status (General Mining Act of 1977). However, some aspects (such as public use of roads) that are not incident to mining may not be covered by item 11.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PAE-13	The full range of the suppression strategies may be used to suppress wild fires. Employee tactics to protect riparian habitat that minimize impacts on aquatic, terrestrial, or waterbird resources.	No	N/A	Applicable to the project area but not the plan itself.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PAE-13	Management actions including mechanical vegetation treatments, salvage harvest, wildfire fuel load prescription, fuel load use authorizations, and road maintenance may be designed and implemented in a manner that would be consistent with the riparian habitat plan, the riparian habitat plan, and long term (long-term) objectives in the 4.1c road disturbance plan.	No	N/A	The project does not require an assessment to this standard. However, riparian measures for the project are designed to minimize impacts to vegetation.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PAE-13	Road construction or reconstruction may only occur where needed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) To provide access related to required or outstanding rights, or b) To respond to public use of road, or c) To address immediate response situations where, if action is not taken, unacceptable impacts to hydrology, aquatic, riparian or terrestrial resources, or health and safety, would result. 	Yes	Minor	The Plan is consistent based on item 11, since then-related work would be associated with status (General Mining Act of 1977). However, some aspects (such as public use of roads) that are not incident to mining may not be covered by item 11.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PAE-13	The full range of the suppression strategies may be used to suppress wild fires. Employee tactics to protect riparian habitat that minimize impacts on aquatic, terrestrial, or waterbird resources.	No	N/A	Applicable to the project area but not the plan itself.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PAE-13	Consistent with the State of Washington Department of Ecology, the Department and additional fire use strategies.	No	N/A	The standard applies to the project area but not the plan itself.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PAE-13	Do not require cleared roads in Level 2 maintenance status or Level 3 roads that have become impassable unless it can be demonstrated through the project level RPA analysis and related Biological Assessment that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) For resources that are within their range of dynamic conditions, restoring those roads for use will not result in degradation to those resources unless subjected to unreasonable fire or long-term benefits to those resource conditions, and b) For resources that are already in a degraded condition, restoring those roads will not further degrade critical riparian attainment of desired resource conditions unless outweighed by demonstrable short- or long-term benefits to those resource conditions, and c) Adverse effects to TREC species or their habitats are weighed against the benefits to riparian habitat (short- or long-term benefits to those TREC species or their habitats) where restoring those roads cannot meet those conditions, riparian streamflow, an assessment to this standard is when restoring Level 2 or 3 classified roads is required to respond to required or outstanding rights, status or treaty, or respond to emergency situations (e.g., wildfire, infrastructure fire or property, or health and safety operations). 	No	N/A	The project meets the definition of an exception since Plan-related work would be associated with status (General Mining Act of 1977).	N/A	N/A	No	
BCO-17	Manage the North Fork Puget River eligible corridor to include riparian habitat, riparian habitat, and riparian DRVs, and tree flowering status, and the other conditions of a suitability study are for study (not suitable for riparian for Compliance, or release it from further consideration as a DRV and Scotts tree).	No	N/A	This standard applies to the project area but not the plan itself.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
BCO-17	Prescribed fire and additional fire use may be used as long as DRVs are maintained within the corridor.	No	N/A	Prescribed fire not part of project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
BCO-17	The full range of the suppression strategies may be used to suppress wild fires. Employee tactics to protect riparian habitat that minimize impacts on aquatic, terrestrial, or waterbird resources.	No	N/A	Applicable to the project area but not the plan itself.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
BCO-17	Mechanical vegetation treatments, salvage harvest, and prescribed fire may be used to maintain values for which the area was established, or to address other objectives as a riparian habitat plan.	No	N/A	The proposed project and alternatives do not cross BCI 17 MPC 2.2.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
BCO-17	Road construction or reconstruction may only occur where needed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) To provide access related to required or outstanding rights, or b) To respond to public use of road, or c) To address immediate response situations where, if action is not taken, unacceptable impacts to hydrology, aquatic, riparian or terrestrial resources, or health and safety, would result. 	No	N/A	The proposed project and alternatives do not cross BCI 17 MPC 2.2.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
BCO-17	The full range of the suppression strategies may be used to suppress wild fires. Employee tactics to protect riparian habitat that minimize impacts on aquatic, terrestrial, or waterbird resources.	No	N/A	The proposed project and alternatives do not cross BCI 17 MPC 2.2.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
BCO-17	Management actions including mechanical vegetation treatments, salvage harvest, prescribed fire, fuel load prescription, fuel load use authorizations, and road maintenance may be designed and implemented in a manner that would be consistent with the riparian habitat plan, the riparian habitat plan, and long term (long-term) objectives in the 4.1c road disturbance plan.	No	N/A	Neither the road or corridor would go through BCI 17, 4.1c.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
BCO-17	Road construction or reconstruction may only occur where needed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) To provide access related to required or outstanding rights, or b) To respond to public use of road, or c) To address immediate response situations where, if action is not taken, unacceptable impacts to hydrology, aquatic, riparian or terrestrial resources, or health and safety, would result. 	No	N/A	Neither the road or corridor would go through BCI 17, 4.1c.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
BCO-17	The full range of the suppression strategies may be used to suppress wild fires. Employee tactics to protect riparian habitat that minimize impacts on aquatic, terrestrial, or waterbird resources.	No	N/A	Applicable to the project area but not the plan itself.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
BCO-17	The full range of treatment activities, including wildfire fuel load prescription, fuel load use authorizations, and road maintenance may be used to maintain values for which the area was established, or to address other objectives as a riparian habitat plan.	No	N/A	Applicable to the project area but not the plan itself.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
BCO-17	The full range of the suppression strategies may be used to suppress wild fires. Employee tactics to protect riparian habitat that minimize impacts on aquatic, terrestrial, or waterbird resources.	No	N/A	Applicable to the project area but not the plan itself.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
BCO-17	Road construction or reconstruction may only occur where needed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) To provide access related to required or outstanding rights, or b) To respond to public use of road, or c) To address immediate response situations where, if action is not taken, unacceptable impacts to hydrology, aquatic, riparian or terrestrial resources, or health and safety, would result. 	Yes	Minor	The Plan is consistent based on item 11, since then-related work would be associated with status (General Mining Act of 1977). However, some aspects (such as public use of roads) that are not incident to mining may not be covered by item 11.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
BCO-17	Management actions including mechanical vegetation treatments, salvage harvest, prescribed fire, fuel load prescription, fuel load use authorizations, and road maintenance may be designed and implemented in a manner that would be consistent with the riparian habitat plan, the riparian habitat plan, and long term (long-term) objectives in the 4.1c road disturbance plan.	No	N/A	The 15-foot rock has an approved riparian habitat plan.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
BCO-17	Existing riparian habitat objectives should be followed on riparian habitat, and riparian habitat objectives should be followed on riparian habitat, and riparian habitat objectives should be followed on riparian habitat.	No	N/A	The standard applies to the project area but not the plan itself.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
BCO-17	Management actions including mechanical vegetation treatments, salvage harvest, prescribed fire, fuel load prescription, fuel load use authorizations, and road maintenance may be designed and implemented in a manner that would be consistent with the riparian habitat plan, the riparian habitat plan, and long term (long-term) objectives in the 4.1c road disturbance plan.	Yes	Pending Analysis	Project would occur from RPA (riparian habitat plan) and riparian habitat plan. Riparian habitat plan objectives should be followed on riparian habitat, and riparian habitat objectives should be followed on riparian habitat.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis
BCO-17	Mechanical vegetation management activities, including salvage harvest, fuel load prescription, fuel load use authorizations, and road maintenance may be designed and implemented in a manner that would be consistent with the riparian habitat plan, the riparian habitat plan, and long term (long-term) objectives in the 4.1c road disturbance plan.	No	N/A	The project impacts the definition of an exception since Plan-related work would be associated with status (General Mining Act of 1977).	N/A	N/A	N/A	No

BCL-17	Mechanical vegetation management activities, including salvage harvest, shall retain all snag 20 inches dbh and at least the maximum number of snags depicted in Table A.6 within each use area where available. Where snag 100 inches dbh are unavailable, retain additional snag 20 inches dbh where available to meet the maximum total number snags per acre depicted in Table A.6. This standard shall not apply to management activities that are authorized under state or federal law for the protection of life and property during an emergency event, to reasonably address other human health and safety concerns, to meet resource best reduction objectives within WCA, to manage the personal use fuel hazard program, or to allow removal of outstanding rights, title or rights of interest to be reasonably constructed or completed with.	No	N/A	N/A	Further the road or powerline would be through MD 57, R-2.	N/A	N/A	No	
BCL-18	For commercial salvage sales, retain the maximum number of snags depicted in Table A.6 within each use area where available, where snag 100 inches dbh are unavailable, retain additional snag 20 inches dbh where available to meet the maximum total number snags per acre depicted in Table A.6. This standard shall not apply to management activities that are authorized under state or federal law for the protection of life and property during an emergency event, to reasonably address other human health and safety concerns, to meet resource best reduction objectives within WCA, to manage the personal use fuel hazard program, or to allow removal of outstanding rights, title or rights of interest to be reasonably constructed or completed with.	No	N/A	N/A	The project meets the definition of an exempt tree removal work as defined in 2601 (Domestic Mining Act of 2012).	N/A	N/A	No	
BCL-19	The personal use fuel hazard program should be managed to retain large snag 20 inches dbh through logging, public education, permit site restrictions or area closures, or other appropriate methods as reasonable address the use resources (Table A.6).	Yes	NO	N/A	A mitigation measure will be added to the master mitigation measure list to state that personal use fuel hazard work will be done as described in the project plan.	N/A	N/A	Yes	No
BCL-21	Use permanent or temporary roads built to implement vegetation management activities, public roadways are not to be used during active vegetation management distribution activities. Effective erosion control measures should be provided in practice design. When a practice is completed, temporary roads should be regraded or reconstructed and permanent roads should be built to meet long-term maintenance needs unless needed to meet transportation management objectives.	No	N/A	N/A	No roads would be built solely for implementing vegetation management activities.	N/A	N/A	No	
BCL-17	Discussed within the vegetation management plan, a detailed site plan for vegetative management projects within the Lower North Fork Payette River case (4C, 175622023) outlined should be maintained and project permits should be developed to facilitate management and disposal of materials. Refer to Construction Practices 1, 4, and 5 in Appendix C.	No	N/A	N/A	This standard applies to projects for vegetative management and is not applicable to this project.	N/A	N/A	No	
BCL-18	Management activities, including prescribed fire, must be designed and implemented in a manner that maintains watershed values, as defined in the Watersheds Act.	Unsure	Pending Analysis	N/A	The proposed project and alternatives do not cross BCL 18 MFC 1.2. However, action must comply with Watersheds Act if fire occurs before or after effects will occur in this M/MS, we must discuss and amend.	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	
BCL-18	Mechanical vegetation management, including salvage harvest, and prescribed fire.	Unsure	Pending Analysis	N/A	The proposed project and alternatives do not cross BCL 18 MFC 1.2. Any pin will determine if indirect effects could occur.	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	This is not a mechanical vegetation management project. Not applicable. Change Column G to "No". Change Column I to "No". Change Column K to "No".
BCL-18	No new material or mechanical uses will be allowed, except where there is a need for material or mechanical uses to reconstruct or rehabilitate rights, title or interest.	No	N/A	N/A	The proposed project and alternatives do not cross BCL 18 MFC 1.2.	N/A	N/A	No	
BCL-18	Logging operations and mechanical uses are allowed only if they do not result in long-term adverse change in watershed values.	Unsure	Pending Analysis	N/A	The proposed project and alternatives do not cross BCL 18 MFC 1.2. However, effects that could result in long term impacts on watershed values due to the location of project activities in relation to watershed areas in BCL 18 MFC 1.2.	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	The project is not a mechanical or mechanical use project. This does not apply. Change Column G to "No". Change Column I to "No". Change Column K to "No".
BCL-18	Road construction or reconstruction may only occur where needed: a) To provide access related to recreation or outstanding rights, or b) To support a public or private activity.	No	N/A	N/A	The proposed project and alternatives do not cross BCL 18 MFC 1.2.	N/A	N/A	No	
BCL-18	The full range of the vegetation management project may be used to suppress wildfires. For suppression tactics that do not require suppression.	No	N/A	N/A	The proposed project and alternatives do not cross BCL 18 MFC 1.2.	N/A	N/A	No	
BCL-18	Mechanical vegetation management, salvage harvest, and prescribed fire may be used to reconstruct or rehabilitate rights, title or interest in a manner that maintains watershed values, as defined in the Watersheds Act.	No	N/A	N/A	The proposed project would not require vegetation within BCL 18.	N/A	N/A	No	
BCL-18	Road construction or reconstruction may only occur where needed: a) To provide access related to recreation or outstanding rights, or b) To support a public or private activity, or c) To support scientific, historical, and wilderness recreational activities, or d) To address immediate response objectives where, if the action is not taken, unacceptable impacts to hydrologic, geologic, riparian or terrestrial resources, or health and safety, would result.	No	N/A	N/A	The proposed project would not require vegetation within BCL 18.	N/A	N/A	No	
BCL-18	The full range of the vegetation management project may be used to suppress wildfires. For suppression tactics that do not require suppression.	No	N/A	N/A	Applicable to the project area but not the plan itself.	N/A	N/A	No	
BCL-18	Management activities including mechanical vegetation management, salvage harvest, prescribed fire, and other activities, and road maintenance—must be designed and implemented in a manner that maintains watershed values, as defined in the Watersheds Act.	No	N/A	N/A	The proposed project would not require vegetation within BCL 18. No plan amendment or waiver necessary for BCL 18 Cascade Release AG.	N/A	N/A	No	
BCL-18	Mechanical vegetation management activities, including salvage harvest, shall retain all snag 20 inches dbh and at least the maximum number of snags depicted in Table A.6 within each use area where available. Where snag 100 inches dbh are unavailable, retain additional snag 20 inches dbh where available to meet the maximum total number snags per acre depicted in Table A.6. This standard shall not apply to management activities that are authorized under state or federal law for the protection of life and property during an emergency event, to reasonably address other human health and safety concerns, to meet resource best reduction objectives within WCA, to manage the personal use fuel hazard program, or to allow removal of outstanding rights, title or rights of interest to be reasonably constructed or completed with.	No	N/A	N/A	The proposed project would not require vegetation within BCL 18.	N/A	N/A	No	
BCL-18	Road construction or reconstruction may only occur where needed: a) To provide access related to recreation or outstanding rights, or b) To support a public or private activity, or c) To support scientific, historical, and wilderness recreational activities, or d) To address immediate response objectives where, if the action is not taken, unacceptable impacts to hydrologic, geologic, riparian or terrestrial resources, or health and safety, would result.	No	N/A	N/A	No road construction or reconstruction would be required in BCL 18.	N/A	N/A	No	
BCL-18	The full range of the vegetation management project may be used to suppress wildfires. For suppression tactics that do not require suppression.	No	N/A	N/A	The proposed project would not require vegetation within BCL 18.	N/A	N/A	No	
BCL-18	The full range of the vegetation management project may be used to suppress wildfires. For suppression tactics that do not require suppression.	No	N/A	N/A	Applicable to the project area but not the plan itself.	N/A	N/A	No	
BCL-18	Road construction or reconstruction may only occur where needed: a) To provide access related to recreation or outstanding rights, or b) To support a public or private activity, or c) To support scientific, historical, and wilderness recreational activities, or d) To address immediate response objectives where, if the action is not taken, unacceptable impacts to hydrologic, geologic, riparian or terrestrial resources, or health and safety, would result.	No	N/A	N/A	No road construction or reconstruction would be required in BCL 18.	N/A	N/A	No	
BCL-18	There shall be no net increase in road densities in the NPS 11 portion of the Lower North Fork Payette River watershed unless it can be demonstrated through the project that NPS 11 will not be degraded beyond the Biological Assessment threshold. a) For resources that are within their target or desired condition, the increase in road densities shall not result in degradation to those resources unless management demonstrates that long term benefits to those resources conditions, and b) For resources that are in a degraded condition, the increase in road densities shall not further degrade or prevent attainment of desired resource conditions unless mitigated by alternative short- or long-term benefits to those resources conditions, and c) Adverse effects to TFC species or their habitat are avoided unless mitigated by demonstrable short- or long-term benefits to those TFC species or their habitat. Consistent with this standard, where additional roads are required to meet road standards or outstanding rights, title or interest, or to meet transportation management objectives, whether threatening life or property, of project road network operations.	No	N/A	N/A	No road construction or reconstruction would be required in BCL 18.	N/A	N/A	No	

BC-18	MPC 3.1 portion of the Warm Lake Field subwatershed area is an ecoregion through the project area. NEM analysis and related Biological Assessment that: a) For resources that are within their range of diurnal conditions, the addition of a new road or landing in an RCA shall not result in degradation to those resources unless outweighed by demonstrable short- or long-term benefits to those resource conditions; and b) For resources that are in a degraded condition, the addition of a new road or landing in an RCA shall not further degrade nor result in a net loss of those resource conditions unless outweighed by demonstrable short- or long-term benefits to those resource conditions; and c) Adverse effects to TERC species or their habitats are avoided unless outweighed by demonstrable short- or long-term benefits to those TERC species or their habitats. An exception to this standard is where construction of new roads in RCA is required to respond to preservation subsistence rights, subsistence or health, or respond to emergency situations (e.g., wildfire threatening life or property, or search and rescue operations).	No	FA	The project meets the definition of an exception under the related Act of 2017 (General Mining Act of 2017)	FA	N/A	N/A	No	
BC-18	In the MPC 3.1 portion of the Warm Lake Field subwatershed area, proposed roads in Level 1, 2, or 3 management status or Level 2 roads that have become impassable unless it can be demonstrated through a project-level NEM analysis and related Biological Assessment that: a) For resources that are within their range of diurnal conditions, proposed roads for use shall not result in degradation to those resources unless outweighed by demonstrable short- or long-term benefits to those resource conditions; and b) For resources that are in a degraded condition, proposed roads shall not further degrade nor result in a net loss of those resource conditions unless outweighed by demonstrable short- or long-term benefits to those resource conditions; and c) Adverse effects to TERC species or their habitats are avoided unless outweighed by demonstrable short- or long-term benefits to those TERC species or their habitats. An exception to this standard is where construction of new roads in RCA is required to respond to preservation subsistence rights, subsistence or health, or respond to emergency situations (e.g., wildfire threatening life or property, or search and rescue operations).	No	FA	BC-18 is outside Project components	FA	N/A	N/A	FA	
BC-18	Implement the Forest Service approved protocols of the contractors or agency for chain-of-custody to maintain riparian positions and habitat of fish species.	No	FA	The Fishes section of approved/agreed conservation strategy for Douglas (Attachment J. 3.1.1)	FA	N/A	N/A	FA	
BC-18	Identify and avoid potential impacts to riparian and forest resources as shown in the following maps/tables (See Table on page 11-300 of the VQR)	Unclear	Pending Analysis	The current proposed project component is more than 3 miles from BCI 18-18. The proposed project and alternatives are more than 1 mile from the Section Three Riparian or Wet Area (see page 11-301 of the 2010 BCI Cover Plan). May require visual analysis system to determine whether it applies.	FA	N/A	N/A	N/A	
BC-18	For commercial salvage sales, retain the maximum number of logs depicted in Table A-6 within each site class unless a higher volume (up to 122 inches dbh) are available to meet maximum total number logs are depicted in Table A-6. This standard shall not apply to management activities that are authorized either otherwise as a result of the protection of life and property during an emergency event, or necessary to protect other human health and safety concerns, to meet national fuel reduction objectives within WSA, or to clear material to maintain riparian, tribal rights, or create to be reasonably accessed or completed.	No	FA	BC-18 is outside Project components	FA	N/A	N/A	No	
BC-18	Management actions, including salvage harvest, use and protection, fire risk development and implementation in a manner that maintains wilderness values, as defined in the Wilderness Act.	No	FA	The proposed project and alternatives do not cross BCI 19 MPC 1.2.	FA	N/A	N/A	No	
BC-19	Mechanical vegetation treatments, including salvage harvest, are prohibited.	No	FA	The proposed project and alternatives do not cross BCI 19 MPC 1.2.	FA	N/A	N/A	No	
BC-19	No new installation of mechanical items will be allowed, except where there is a need to respond to removal or maintenance, safety, or other needs.	No	FA	The proposed project and alternatives do not cross BCI 19 MPC 1.2.	FA	N/A	N/A	No	
BC-19	Existing mechanical equipment is to be removed only if it is not needed to respond to safety or other needs.	No	FA	The proposed project and alternatives do not cross BCI 19 MPC 1.2.	FA	N/A	N/A	No	
BC-19	Road construction or reconstruction may only occur where needed: a) To provide access related to removal or maintenance, safety, or other needs; b) To respond to public or safety, or c) To address immediate response situations where, if the action is not taken, unacceptable impacts to hydrology, aquatic, riparian or terrestrial resources, or health and safety, would result.	No	FA	The proposed project and alternatives do not cross BCI 19 MPC 1.2.	FA	N/A	N/A	No	
BC-19	The full range of fire suppression strategies may be used to suppress wildfires. Fire suppression tactics should minimize impacts to wilderness values.	No	FA	The proposed project and alternatives do not cross BCI 19 MPC 1.2.	FA	N/A	N/A	No	
BC-19	Prohibited fire use and wildland fire use may be used as long as OROs are maintained within the corridor.	No	FA	Applicable to the project area but not the plan itself.	FA	N/A	N/A	No	
BC-19	The full range of fire suppression strategies may be used to suppress wildfires. Emphasis on suppression strategies and tactics that minimize impacts to riparian, tribal, or subsistence values.	No	FA	Applicable to the project area but not the plan itself.	FA	N/A	N/A	No	
BC-19	Road construction or reconstruction may only occur where needed: a) To provide access related to removal or maintenance, safety, or other needs; b) To respond to public or safety, or c) To address immediate response situations where, if the action is not taken, unacceptable impacts to hydrology, aquatic, riparian or terrestrial resources, or health and safety, would result.	No	FA	No project components in BCI 20 MPC 2.2.	FA	N/A	N/A	No	
BC-19	The full range of fire suppression strategies may be used to suppress wildfires. Fire suppression tactics should minimize impacts to wilderness values.	No	FA	No project components in BCI 20 MPC 2.2.	FA	N/A	N/A	No	
BC-19	Management actions, including salvage harvest, may only include aquatic, terrestrial, and watershed riparian conditions in the temporary flow period up to 12 months, and must be designed to avoid resource degradation in the short term (1-15 years) and long term (greater than 15 years).	Unclear	Unclear	No project components in BCI 21 MPC 3.1, however the analysis will consider potential indirect effects.	FA	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	The timeframes will be met per FPCU. Degradation will occur for 18 months (more than 3 years). Site restoration will occur early and be ongoing. Change Column 1 to "Interim" Change Column 10 to "Yes"
BC-19	Mechanical vegetation treatments, including salvage harvest, are prohibited where: a) The responsible official determines that wildland fire use or prescribed fire would result in unacceptable net riparian, aquatic, and riparian, terrestrial, or watershed riparian effects; and b) The riparian or aquatic water quality needed to fully support beneficial uses and habitat for native and desired non-native fish species; or c) The stream or riparian habitat for native and desired non-native wildlife and plant species.	No	FA	No project components in BCI 21 MPC 3.1.	FA	N/A	N/A	No	
BC-19	Wildland fire use and prescribed fire may only be used where there is a need to respond to removal or maintenance, safety, or other needs. a) Maintenance or restore water quality needed to fully support beneficial uses and habitat for native and desired non-native fish species; or b) Maintenance or restore habitat for native and desired non-native wildlife and plant species.	No	FA	Wildland fire and prescribed fire is not part of project. No project components occur in MPA 3.1.	FA	N/A	N/A	No	
BC-19	Road construction or reconstruction may only occur where needed: a) To provide access related to removal or maintenance, safety, or other needs; b) To respond to public or safety, or c) To address immediate response situations where, if the action is not taken, unacceptable impacts to hydrology, aquatic, riparian or terrestrial resources, or health and safety, would result.	No	FA	No project components in BCI 23 MPC 3.1.	FA	N/A	N/A	No	
BC-19	The full range of fire suppression strategies may be used to suppress wildfires. Emphasis on suppression strategies and tactics that minimize impacts to riparian, tribal, or subsistence values.	No	FA	Applicable to the project area but not the plan itself.	FA	N/A	N/A	No	
BC-19	Road construction or reconstruction may only occur where needed: a) To provide access related to removal or maintenance, safety, or other needs; b) To respond to public or safety, or c) To address immediate response situations where, if the action is not taken, unacceptable impacts to hydrology, aquatic, riparian or terrestrial resources, or health and safety, would result.	Yes	Other	The plan is consistent based on terms of the plan-related work under the exception with other (General Mining Act of 2017). However, some aspects (such as visible use of roads) that are not required to mining may not be covered by item 6).	FA	N/A	N/A	No	
BC-19	The full range of fire suppression strategies may be used to suppress wildfires. Fire suppression tactics should minimize impacts to wilderness values.	No	FA	Applicable to the project area but not the plan itself.	FA	N/A	N/A	No	
BC-19	Management actions, including salvage harvest, may only include aquatic, terrestrial, and watershed riparian conditions in the temporary flow period up to 12 months, and must be designed to avoid resource degradation in the short term (1-15 years) and long term (greater than 15 years).	No	FA	The project meets the definition of an exception under the related Act of 2017 (General Mining Act of 2017).	FA	N/A	N/A	No	
BC-19	New roads shall not be built in the MPC 3.2 portion of the management area observations of Warm Lake project to reduce potential impacts to riparian, aquatic, and riparian, terrestrial, or watershed riparian conditions in the temporary flow period up to 12 months, and must be designed to avoid resource degradation in the short term (1-15 years) and long term (greater than 15 years).	No	FA	No roads are proposed to be constructed downstream of Warm Lake (MPC 3.2).	FA	N/A	N/A	No	

NO-20	The full range of fire suppression strategies may be used to suppress wildfires. Emphasize suppression strategies that minimize the impacts of suppression activities on rare classifications and CRNs.	No	NO	NO	Applicable to the project area but not the plan itself.	NO	N/A	N/A	No
NO-20	Road construction and reconstruction may only occur where needed: a) To provide access related to removal or rehabilitation rights, or b) To respond to public safety, or c) To address immediate response situations when, if the action is not taken, unacceptable impacts to hydrology, aquatic, riparian or terrestrial resources, or health and safety, would result.	Yes	NO	NO	The Plan is consistent based on Item 11, since Plan-related work would be associated with state General Mining Act of 1972. However, some aspects (such as public use of roads) that are not incident to mining may not be covered by Item 11.	NO	N/A	N/A	No
NO-20	The full range of fire suppression strategies may be used to suppress wildfires. Emphasize suppression strategies and tactics that minimize impacts on aquatic, terrestrial, or watershed resources.	No	NO	NO	Applicable to the project area but not the plan itself.	NO	N/A	N/A	No
NO-20	The full range of fire suppression strategies may be used to suppress wildfires. Emphasize suppression strategies and tactics that minimize impacts on aquatic, terrestrial, or watershed resources.	No	NO	NO	Applicable to the project area but not the plan itself.	NO	N/A	N/A	No
NO-20	Key riparian areas will be a minimum of 50 percent of road right-of-way for riparian species, or retain a minimum 50-foot riparian buffer height of aquatic riparian species, whichever occurs first, when riparian goals and objectives are not being met.	NO	NO	NO	Standard riparian management is not a part of the project.	NO	N/A	N/A	No
NO-20	Coordinate with the Forest District to develop compatible wildfire fire suppression and related fire risk strategies.	NO	NO	NO	This standard applies to permits to Internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	NO	N/A	N/A	No
NO-20	New roads shall not be built except to replace existing roads in R2As or directly repair human-caused damage to TRF for habitat or riparian systems it can be demonstrated through the project-level NEPA analysis and related Biological Assessment that adverse effects to TRF species or their habitats are avoidable unless outweighed by demonstrable short- or long-term benefits to those TRF species or their habitats.	NO	NO	NO	This standard applies to permits to Internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	NO	N/A	N/A	No
NO-20	To not reopen or build roads in Level 1 maintenance status or Level 2 roads that have become impassible unless it can be demonstrated through the project-level NEPA analysis and related Biological Assessment that: a) For resources that are within their range of natural conditions, reopening these roads for use will result in riparian or habitat resources unless outweighed by demonstrable short- or long-term benefits to those resources conditions; b) For resources that are in a degraded condition, reopening these roads will not further degrade or retard attainment of desired resource conditions unless outweighed by demonstrable short-term benefits to those resource conditions; and c) Reopening roads will not result in riparian or habitat resources unless outweighed by demonstrable short- or long-term benefits to those TRF species or their habitats. When reopening these roads, users need these standards, erosion abatement, an inspection to the standard is when reopening Level 1 or 2 classified roads is required to ensure removal of outstanding rights, status as newly, or required to emergency situations that justify reopening (RIP or property of public and riparian systems).	NO	NO	NO	No proposed reopening of classified roads.	NO	N/A	N/A	No
NO-20	Meet the visual quality objectives as represented on the Forest VQA Map, and where indicated in the table below, as viewed through the following preservation criteria (see Table 4.13 for details):	Yes	NO	NO	Impacts would occur in ROW clearance, cut losses and power from the project. If the VQA, it may not be possible to fully mitigate the visual impacts.	NO	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis
NO-20	Mechanical vegetation management activities, including salvage harvest, shall retain all large (>20 inches dbh) and at least the maximum number of large (dependent in Table 4.6) within each riparian system where available. Where large snags (>20 inches dbh) are unavoidable, retain additional large (>20 inches dbh) where available to meet at least the maximum total number of large snags depicted in Table 4.6. This standard shall not apply to management activities that are authorized under other determinations or a resolution for the protection of life and property during an emergency event, to reasonably address other human health and safety concerns, to meet a regulatory goal reduction objectives within WQA, to manage the potential use of hazardous materials, or to allow removal of outstanding rights, tribal rights or status to be reasonably exercised or completed with.	NO	NO	NO	Retention of snags in the riparian area could be considered a risk to life and property during an emergency event. Therefore, timber removal in the Project area would be considered to be an activity exempt from riparian system assessment.	NO	N/A	N/A	No
NO-20	Mechanical vegetation management activities, including salvage harvest, shall retain all large (>20 inches dbh) and at least the maximum number of large (dependent in Table 4.6) within each riparian system where available. Where large snags (>20 inches dbh) are unavoidable, retain additional large (>20 inches dbh) where available to meet at least the maximum total number of large snags depicted in Table 4.6. This standard shall not apply to management activities that are authorized under other determinations or a resolution for the protection of life and property during an emergency event, to reasonably address other human health and safety concerns, to meet a regulatory goal reduction objectives within WQA, to manage the potential use of hazardous materials, or to allow removal of outstanding rights, tribal rights or status to be reasonably exercised or completed with.	NO	NO	NO	Retention of snags in the riparian area could be considered a risk to life and property during an emergency event. Therefore, timber removal in the Project area would be considered to be an activity exempt from riparian system assessment.	NO	N/A	N/A	No
NO-21	Manage the Johnson-Capitol site near center to its assigned National Classification of Forested, and preserve its CRNs and watershed values until they undergo a sustainability study and the study finds it suitable for designation by Congress, or retention of forest lands participation in a WMA and state-level.	Yes	NO	NO	Retention or visual impacts may occur.	NO	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis
NO-21	The full range of fire suppression strategies may be used to suppress wildfires. Emphasize suppression strategies and tactics that minimize the impacts of suppression activities on rare classifications and CRNs.	No	NO	NO	Applicable to the project area but not the plan itself.	NO	N/A	N/A	No
NO-21	Road construction or reconstruction may only occur where needed: a) To provide access related to removal or rehabilitation rights, or b) To respond to public safety, or c) To address immediate response situations when, if the action is not taken, unacceptable impacts to hydrology, aquatic, riparian or terrestrial resources, or health and safety, would result.	Yes	NO	NO	The Plan is consistent based on Item 11, since Plan-related work would be associated with state General Mining Act of 1972. However, some aspects (such as public use of roads) that are not incident to mining may not be covered by Item 11.	NO	N/A	N/A	No
NO-21	The full range of fire suppression strategies may be used to suppress wildfires. Emphasize suppression strategies and tactics that minimize impacts on aquatic, terrestrial, or watershed resources.	No	NO	NO	Applicable to the project area but not the plan itself.	NO	N/A	N/A	No
NO-21	Wildland fire use and prescribed fire may only be used where there: a) Methods or review author ability needed to fully support benefits to uses and habitat for native and diverse non-rare riparian species, or b) Riparian or riparian habitat for native and diverse non-rare riparian species and objectives.	No	NO	NO	Wildland fire and prescribed fire are not part of project. No project components occur in R2As.	NO	N/A	N/A	No
NO-21	Road construction or reconstruction may only occur where needed: a) To provide access related to removal or rehabilitation rights, or b) To respond to public safety, or c) To address immediate response situations when, if the action is not taken, unacceptable impacts to hydrology, aquatic, riparian or terrestrial resources, or health and safety, would result.	Yes	NO	NO	The Plan is consistent based on Item 11, since Plan-related work would be associated with state General Mining Act of 1972. However, some aspects (such as public use of roads) that are not incident to mining may not be covered by Item 11.	NO	N/A	N/A	No
NO-21	The full range of fire suppression strategies may be used to suppress wildfires. Emphasize suppression strategies and tactics that minimize impacts on aquatic, terrestrial, or watershed resources.	No	NO	NO	Applicable to the project area but not the plan itself.	NO	N/A	N/A	No
NO-21	In the MFC's portion of the Lower Johnson Creek Management Plan, preservation activities associated with vegetation management actions, and associated road construction and reconstruction, shall be designed in a manner that the project-level NEPA analysis and related Biological Assessment will demonstrate that adverse effects to TRF species or their habitats are avoidable unless outweighed by demonstrable short- or long-term benefits to those TRF species or their habitats.	NO	NO	NO	This standard pertains to vegetation management activities performed by the Forest.	NO	N/A	N/A	No

NO-21	New roads and roadways shall be located outside of RAs in the MDC-1 portion of the lower Silverado watershed. Areas can be demonstrated through the project-level NEPA analysis and related Biological Assessment that: a) For resources that are within their range of dispersal corridors, the addition of a new road or winding, or an RAs shall not result in degradation to those resources unless outweighed by demonstrable short- or long-term benefits to those resources; conditions; and b) For resources that are in a degraded condition, the addition of a new road or winding, or an RAs shall not further degrade any current attainment of desired resource conditions unless outweighed by demonstrable short- or long-term benefits to those resources; conditions; and c) Address effects to TFC species or their habitats, use avoided areas, including by demonstrating short- or long-term benefits to those TFC species or their habitats. An exception to this standard is where construction of new roads is required to respond to resource or outstanding rights, status or trends, or required by emergency situations (e.g., wildfires threatening life or property, or search and rescue operations).	No	N/A	The project meets the definition of an exception since this-related work would be associated with state (Special Mining Act of 1872)	N/A	N/A	No	
NO-21	In the Lower Silverado Creek Management Area, except for the MDC-1 portion of the lower Silverado watershed, roads or Level 1 maintenance roads or Level 2 roads that have become impassable unless it can be demonstrated through the project-level NEPA analysis and related Biological Assessment that: a) For resources that are within their range of dispersal corridors, repairing these roads for use shall not result in degradation to those resources unless outweighed by demonstrable short- or long-term benefits to those resources; conditions; and b) For resources that are in a degraded condition, repairing these roads shall not further degrade any current attainment of desired resource conditions unless outweighed by demonstrable short- or long-term benefits to those resources; conditions; and c) Address effects to TFC species or their habitats, use avoided areas, including by demonstrating short- or long-term benefits to those TFC species or their habitats. An exception to this standard is where construction of new roads is required to respond to resource or outstanding rights, status or trends, or required by emergency situations (e.g., wildfires threatening life or property, or search and rescue operations).	No	N/A	The project meets the definition of an exception since this-related work would be associated with state (General Mining Act of 1872)	N/A	N/A	No	
NO-21	The full range of vegetation management activities may be used to reduce or maintain desired vegetation and fuel conditions. The available vegetation management activities include, but are not limited to: a) Mowing b) Hand weeding c) Mechanical treatments d) Chemical treatments e) Prescribed fire f) Grazing g) Silviculture h) Other vegetation management activities	No	N/A	No negative treatments would occur as part of the project.	N/A	N/A	No	
NO-21	The full range of fire suppression strategies may be used to suppress wildfires. Fire suppression strategies that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure include: a) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure b) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure c) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure d) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure e) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure f) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure g) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure h) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure i) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure j) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure k) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure l) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure m) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure n) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure o) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure p) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure q) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure r) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure s) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure t) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure u) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure v) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure w) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure x) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure y) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure z) Fire suppression activities that minimize impacts to habitat, development, and infrastructure	No	N/A	Applicable to the project area but not the plan area.	N/A	N/A	No	
NO-21	Final construction and reconstruction may occur where: a) To provide access related to resource or outstanding rights, or b) To achieve restoration and maintenance objectives for resources, sensitive species, habitat, or natural/built resources c) To support management activities that reduce wildfire risk in wildland-urban interface areas, or d) To meet access and travel management objectives. Impacts to resources will be a maximum of 10 percent of each most sensitive resource species, or other a minimum of 100 feet buffer height of habitat-generating resources, unless otherwise specified in resource goals and objectives or a risk being met.	No	N/A	The Plan is consistent with Section 18, since this-related work would be associated with state (Special Mining Act of 1872). However, some aspects (such as public use of roads) that are not related to mining may not be covered by this act.	N/A	N/A	No	
NO-21	Impacts to resources will be a maximum of 10 percent of each most sensitive resource species, or other a minimum of 100 feet buffer height of habitat-generating resources, unless otherwise specified in resource goals and objectives or a risk being met.	No	N/A	Construction project management is not a part of the project.	N/A	N/A	No	
NO-21	Coordinate with the Forest Service to develop a vegetation management plan for the project area that includes: a) A vegetation management plan b) A vegetation management plan c) A vegetation management plan d) A vegetation management plan e) A vegetation management plan f) A vegetation management plan g) A vegetation management plan h) A vegetation management plan i) A vegetation management plan j) A vegetation management plan k) A vegetation management plan l) A vegetation management plan m) A vegetation management plan n) A vegetation management plan o) A vegetation management plan p) A vegetation management plan q) A vegetation management plan r) A vegetation management plan s) A vegetation management plan t) A vegetation management plan u) A vegetation management plan v) A vegetation management plan w) A vegetation management plan x) A vegetation management plan y) A vegetation management plan z) A vegetation management plan	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	No	
NO-21	New roads shall not be built except to meet existing needs in RAs or directly repair human-caused damage to TFC fish habitat or riparian areas if it can be demonstrated through the project-level NEPA analysis and related Biological Assessment that where effects to TFC species or their habitats are outweighed by demonstrable short- or long-term benefits to those TFC species or their habitats.	No	Reading Analysis	Project-level NEPA analysis and Biological Assessment being prepared.	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Through Column 1 to "Review" and analysis then determine.
NO-21	Meet the visual quality objectives as represented on the Forest VSD Map, and where indicated in the table below, as viewed from the following vantage points: Use table on page 15 of Forest VSD Map.	No	Reading Analysis	Impacts would occur from ROW clearance, cut, cover and down from burning of the VSD. It may not be possible to fully mitigate the visual impacts.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
NO-21	Mechanical vegetation management activities, including salvage harvest, shall meet all (1) to (5) within 60 days and at least the maximum number of days depicted in Table A.6 within each site class where available. Where site class (2) is not available, then additional days 30 days after where available to meet at least the maximum total number days per acre depicted in Table A.6. This standard shall not apply to management activities that an authorized officer determines are needed for the protection of life and property during an emergency event, to reasonably address other human health and safety concerns, to meet hazardous fuel reduction objectives within WAs, to manage the personal use fuelwood program, or if fire removed or outstanding rights, status or trends are reasonably expected or complied with.	No	N/A	Retention of logs in the Project area could be considered a risk to life and property during an emergency event. Therefore, timely removal in the Project area would be considered to be an activity exempt from snag retention requirements.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
NO-21	Mechanical vegetation management activities, including salvage harvest, shall meet all (1) to (5) within 60 days and at least the maximum number of days depicted in Table A.6 within each site class where available. Where site class (2) is not available, then additional days 30 days after where available to meet at least the maximum total number days per acre depicted in Table A.6. This standard shall not apply to management activities that an authorized officer determines are needed for the protection of life and property during an emergency event, to reasonably address other human health and safety concerns, to meet hazardous fuel reduction objectives within WAs, to manage the personal use fuelwood program, or if fire removed or outstanding rights, status or trends are reasonably expected or complied with.	No	N/A	Retention of logs in the Project area could be considered a risk to life and property during an emergency event. Therefore, timely removal in the Project area would be considered to be an activity exempt from snag retention requirements.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
NO-21	Mechanical vegetation management activities, including salvage harvest, shall meet all (1) to (5) within 60 days and at least the maximum number of days depicted in Table A.6 within each site class where available. Where site class (2) is not available, then additional days 30 days after where available to meet at least the maximum total number days per acre depicted in Table A.6. This standard shall not apply to management activities that an authorized officer determines are needed for the protection of life and property during an emergency event, to reasonably address other human health and safety concerns, to meet hazardous fuel reduction objectives within WAs, to manage the personal use fuelwood program, or if fire removed or outstanding rights, status or trends are reasonably expected or complied with.	No	N/A	Retention of logs in the Project area could be considered a risk to life and property during an emergency event. Therefore, timely removal in the Project area would be considered to be an activity exempt from snag retention requirements.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
NO-21	For commercial salvage sales, retain the maximum number of days depicted in Table A.6 within each site class where available. Where site class (2) is not available, then additional days 30 days after where available to meet at least the maximum total number days per acre depicted in Table A.6. This standard shall not apply to activities that an authorized officer determines are needed for the protection of life and property during an emergency event, to reasonably address other human health and safety concerns, to meet hazardous fuel reduction objectives within WAs, or to allow removal of outstanding rights, status or trends to be reasonably expected or complied with.	No	N/A	The project meets the definition of an exception since this-related work would be associated with state (Special Mining Act of 1872)	N/A	N/A	N/A	
NO-21	The personal use fuelwood program should be managed to meet large snag (1-20 inches dbh) through logging, public education, permit use restrictions or area closures, or other appropriate methods as mandated under state or federal law (Table A.6).	No	N/A	A mitigation measure will be added to the master mitigation plan to state that permit use for personal use fuelwood will not be allowed at Burning Booms.	N/A	N/A	No	The Burning Booms area would be closed to public firewood gathering.
NO-21	On non-permanent or temporary lands built to implement vegetation management activities, public recreational use should be restricted during active implementation to minimize disturbance to sensitive habitat and associated species of concern. Effective measures should be provided in project design when activities are completed, temporary roads should be restricted or decommissioned and permanent roads should be built to meet minimum maintenance design criteria to meet transportation management objectives.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
FW/BS Forest VSD	Fire Management Plans should include a process to monitor and evaluate impacts resulting from wildfire and fire use and suppression activities.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
FW/BS Forest VSD	Annually, assess necessary communications with the public regarding planned activities of burning and potential smoke impacts. Especially close proximity to sensitive resources, communication should be aimed at minimizing concerns about health and safety related to smoke.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
FW/BS Forest VSD	Prescribed fire operations shall be conducted consistent with the MDC-1 smoke management program.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
FW/BS Forest VSD	Adhere to the operations and procedures of the Mariposa/Yosemite National Group and the Wildfire Management and Assessment Program to limit potential unintended smoke impacts. Further, when burning activities if local conditions indicate potential smoke impacts, smoke impacts are assessed, or quality objectives.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
FW/BS Forest VSD	Apply control measures as directed by the appropriate CEO, during air pollution episodes (e.g., no new ignition during exceedance thresholds).	No	N/A	This standard pertains to smoke generated by personal burn.	N/A	N/A	N/A	

FW/RF Forest Wide	During the project scale analysis and review, a Forest Status or project review will be conducted to determine whether proposed effects to sensitive and rare plants and their populations should be mitigated.	Yes	No	FW will be incorporated as a mitigation measure.	N/A	Coordination with a Forest botanist will occur: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> When designing and implementing management activities that may affect sensitive or TFC species or their habitats (BT002, TFC04) When developing special files for revegetation and seeding for all areas where reclamation would occur, including in habitat for sensitive, Forest Watch, and/or TFC plant species. (BT002, TFC04) If sensitive plants or their propagules are required to be collected as part of salvage or reclamation activities for unavoidable project impacts, a collection permit will be obtained as part of this work. The Forest or Regional botanist will be the authority for collection methods and other information. (BT002) When developing all insecticide and herbicide spray plans and prescribed burning plans to determine whether degenerating effects to Sensitive, Forest Watch, or TFC plants and their populations should be mitigated, and when developing mitigation for degenerating effects of herbicides, fungicides, and/or rodenticides on these species or their habitats. (BT002, BT004 TFC002) 	Yes	No	
FW/RF Forest Wide	When a suitable and not cost-prohibitive seed and planting stock for revegetation or propagation projects is derived from genetically local sources of native species. When project objectives (such as) if an action plan requires documentation regarding why non-native are preferred should be part of the project planning process.	Yes	No	Meets, though additional detail to match intent of the guideline will be developed in a mitigation measure.	FW mitigation applies but it more general than the intent of this guideline.	Both historically and newly disturbed areas will be stabilized and revegetated in accordance with Forest Service methods approved guidelines and standards as they will have an available for revegetation (PRO 6.2.3).	Seed sources will be used for seedlings and propagules collected from genetically local sources of native species.	Yes	No
FW/RF Forest Wide	In cases where plant collection permits are issued, logging or physically removing individuals should be discouraged in favor of collecting seeds or cuttings.	Yes	No	FW will be incorporated as a mitigation measure.	N/A	If TFC or sensitive plants are likely to be collected by employees, logging or physically removing whole plants should be discouraged in favor of collecting seeds or cuttings for propagation of plants in other areas.		Yes	No
FW/RF Forest Wide	Coordinate with Forest botanist to consider sensitive species-habitat teams when designing and implementing management activities that may affect these species or their habitats.	Yes	No	FW will be addressed in a mitigation measure.	N/A	Coordination with a Forest botanist will occur: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> When designing and implementing management activities that may affect sensitive or TFC species or their habitats. (BT002, TFC04) When developing special files for revegetation and seeding for all areas where reclamation would occur, including in habitat for sensitive, Forest Watch, and/or TFC plant species. (BT002, TFC04) If sensitive plants or their propagules are required to be collected as part of salvage or reclamation activities for unavoidable project impacts, a collection permit will be obtained as part of this work. The Forest or Regional botanist will be the authority for collection methods and other information. (BT002) When developing all insecticide and herbicide spray plans and prescribed burning plans to determine whether degenerating effects to Sensitive, Forest Watch, or TFC plants and their populations should be mitigated, and when developing mitigation for degenerating effects of herbicides, fungicides, and/or rodenticides on these species or their habitats. (BT002, BT004 TFC002) 	Yes	No	
FW/RF Forest Wide	For projects of activities that include application of insecticides, herbicides, fungicides, or rodenticides, degenerating effects on sensitive plant species will be mitigated.	Yes	No	No relevant approved proposed mitigation measures.	N/A	Effects to TFC, Sensitive, and Forest Watch plant species and their habitats will be avoided to the extent possible. Where impacts on these species cannot be avoided, degenerating effects of the project, including due to application of insecticides, herbicides, fungicides, or rodenticides, will be avoided. Forest Watch activities in occupied sensitive plant habitats will incorporate measures to ensure habitat is maintained when it is, within cleared conditions, or restored where degraded.		Yes	No
FW/RF Forest Wide	In revegetation and seeding projects in occupied sensitive plant habitat, a Forest Status report will be consulted to ensure appropriate species are used.	Yes	No	Meets, though additional detail to match intent of the guideline will be developed in a mitigation measure.	FW mitigation applies but it more general than the intent of this guideline.	Both historically and newly disturbed areas will be stabilized and revegetated in accordance with Forest Service methods approved guidelines and standards as they will have an available for revegetation (PRO 6.2.3).	Coordination with a Forest botanist will occur: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> When designing and implementing management activities that may affect sensitive or TFC species or their habitats (BT002, TFC04) When developing special files for revegetation and seeding for all areas where reclamation would occur, including in habitat for sensitive, Forest Watch, and/or TFC plant species. (BT002, TFC04) If sensitive plants or their propagules are required to be collected as part of salvage or reclamation activities for unavoidable project impacts, a collection permit will be obtained as part of this work. The Forest or Regional botanist will be the authority for collection methods and other information. (BT002) When developing all insecticide and herbicide spray plans and prescribed burning plans to determine whether degenerating effects to Sensitive, Forest Watch, or TFC plants and their populations should be mitigated, and when developing mitigation for degenerating effects of herbicides, fungicides, and/or rodenticides on these species or their habitats. (BT002, BT004 TFC002) 	Yes	No
FW/RF Forest Wide	An interdisciplinary team resource advisor should be used to determine incident base and habitat success. This condition should be included in the Fire Management Plan.	No	N/A	Wildfire management is part of project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
FW/RF Forest Wide	When prescribed fire or wildland fire use occurs burn team members, when prescribed or integrated, with the project fire management and distribution or loss of anthropogenic facilities, appropriate personnel should complete a field evaluation to determine the need for any rehabilitation measures.	No	N/A	Wildfire management is part of project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
FW/RF Forest Wide	To minimize mechanical ground disturbance in RCA, prescribed fire and surface fire use should be considered under suitable soil, water, species, and socio-cultural conditions.	No	N/A	Wildfire management is part of project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
FW/RF Forest Wide	Consider a full range of appropriate management responses, from wildland fire use that benefits resources, to full suppression.	No	N/A	Wildfire management is part of project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
FW/RF Forest Wide	Informational information for wildland fire use described in the Fire Management Plan should include identification of sensitive biological resources and social values. When it is determined that wildland fire use may degrade sensitive areas, prescriptions for wildland fire use should mitigate these effects.	No	N/A	Wildfire management is part of project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
FW/RF Forest Wide	Direct ignition of prescribed fire in RCA should not be conducted in project-scale effects analysis demonstrates that it would not degrade or impair structures or soil, water, species, and socio-cultural resources. Refer to BT004 Standard for exceptions.	No	N/A	Wildfire management is part of project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
FW/RF Forest Wide	Once a WFA is approved, incident teams, camps, feedlines, staging areas, helipads, and other centers for incident activities shall be located outside RCA, unless the only available location for such activities is determined and documented by the line officer or manager to be within an RCA, in which case the decision to place these activities inside an RCA is based on the line officer or program manager safety or loss of life or property for structures or wilderness risk.	No	N/A	The standard/guideline pertains to internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
FW/RF Forest Wide	Once a WFA is approved, avoid delivery of thermal, radiant, heat, or additional fuel surface within RCA unless: a) The line officer or manager determines that treatment safety for humans or protection of resources is at risk OR b) The incident resource advisor determines and documents an escaped fire would cause more degradation to RCA than would be caused by addition of thermal, heat or additional delivery to within surface RCA. In no case will the decision to use heavy equipment in RCA be changed when the line officer or manager determines safety or loss of human life or protection of structures is at greatest risk.	No	N/A	The standard/guideline pertains to internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
FW/RF Forest Wide	In areas of existing extensive vegetation, mitigation for avoided wood procurement should be incorporated into final design, design and project alternative evaluation.	Yes	No	FW will be included as a mitigation measure.	Wood management practices are included in the Wood Management Plan, which will be updated in advance of project commencement.	Business words and unferable non-native plants will be managed to prevent seed production and spread. In areas at existing extensive vegetation, mitigation for avoided wood procurement will be incorporated into final design, design and project alternative evaluation. Resources to reduce the potential for spread and establishment of native weed infestations will be included in the updated Wood Management Plan.		Yes	No

FM/RF Forest Wide	Prior to decommissioning roads, opportunities related to those roads for potential development or use as infrastructure for other purposes shall, in other alternative forms of transportation, should be addressed.	Yes	Minor	This is part of the Project Plan (Check this box if there is a plan for this item)	N/A	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Roads that are not designed for public access or motor use, and that no longer need to be managed for forest or riparian access to existing roads should be decommissioned and returning the lands that they occupy to forest resource management.	Yes	Minor	Recreation and Closure Plan describes methods to return new or upgraded roads to their pre-measure condition.	From Recreation and Closure Plan. Maps 5 and 6 will modify the opening points of the Bureau and Thunder Mountain Roads to return them to their appropriate pre-measure width and condition, and Maps 7 and 8 will no longer maintain the road. The roads will be restored to their pre-measure condition. The condition for the Project Road that the historic of roads are returned to similar condition to be (future use)	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Where practical alternative energy roads in ACA that are designed to meet department resources should be evaluated for addition or retention.	Yes	Yes	Will be included as a mitigation measure.	N/A	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Annually prioritize roads to receive maintenance, repair, or improvements to protect the investment, maintain the road's usability, and protect other resources. Road maintenance activities should be prioritized using factors such as user safety, resource protection needs, administrative needs, work contract, the identified safety service level, and available funding.	Yes	Minor	Roads constructed or improved for one use will be maintained as part of the Plan.	Minerals will maintain in contract for the maintenance of the Blanding Flats road to serve the Project site. The goal will be to maintain this road over the life of the plan, through closure and reclamation, to provide safe and environmental sound conditions for the responsibility traffic.	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Classified roads in wilderness use status should be evaluated for physical closure during periods of non-use and closed as appropriate.	Yes	Minor	Roads constructed or improved for one use will be maintained as part of the Plan.	From Recreation and Closure Plan (see map).	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	When considering closure or decommissioning of roads for which an AS247 permit has been made by either a State or County government, the merits of the permit should be evaluated and updated as appropriate.	No	N/A	This standard only applies to permits to permit forest service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Historic qualities should be considered when reviewing proposed modifications to or decommissioning of the habitats and other administrative use structures.	Unknown	Pending Analysis	Comments may be contained on the status-analysis will determine.	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Architectural design should follow practices and concepts outlined in the Built Environment Image Guide (BEIG).	Yes	Yes	Mitigation measure will be applied.	N/A	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	When taking water from full-bearing streams for road and facility construction and maintenance activities, intake flows shall be measured and appropriate measures taken.	Yes	No	Will be included as a mitigation measure.	Minerals will install and maintain water management infrastructure at the Project site with the primary objective of protecting riparian and riparian systems, and riparian systems coming into contact with mining facilities, which also minimizing erosion and channel degradation, preventing fish passage and increasing spawning habitat, and rehabilitating existing areas of stream disturbance (PRC 10).	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	To accommodate roads, including associated facilities and other non-forestry, replacement roads, and other stream crossings that the design for approximately a 100 year flood recurrence interval unless site specific analysis indicates that a higher or greater interval is appropriate.	Yes	Minor	As-built proposed design features meet the intent of the Standard.	Each consent will be sized, and installation will be designed to correct design shortcomings in accordance with Forest Service and State County standards (PRC 6.2.1).	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	In support of road management decisions, use an interdisciplinary science-based road analysis process such as Road Analysis/Planning Decision Support for National Forest Transportation System (RADSAS, 1989 Report 15-4-8).	Yes	Minor	The RADSAS process meets the standard.	Minerals will coordinate with the Forest Service and other County Road Department regarding the road signage and markers, which will include an agreement for such markers to include road maintenance, that markers, and some removal to provide safe and efficient user road access to the Project (PRC 6.2.2).	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Roads shall be constructed to a standard appropriate to their intended use, considering safety and concerns for resource degradation.	Yes	Minor	Measures included in the PRC meet or exceed the intent of the Standard. Landings will be added as a mitigation measure.	To provide for safe, user road access to the Blanding Flats Project and other projects, Minerals will improve the existing building and parking of the Thunder Mountain Road (PRC 6.2.2).	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Proper handling of road waste material (e.g., although not to be used or removed) should be done in a way that avoids result in degradation of soil, water, riparian and aquatic resources.	Yes	No	Will be addressed in a mitigation measure.	Minerals will install and maintain water management infrastructure at the Project site with the primary objective of protecting riparian and riparian systems, and riparian systems coming into contact with mining facilities, which also minimizing erosion and channel degradation, preventing fish passage and increasing spawning habitat, and rehabilitating existing areas of stream disturbance (PRC 10).	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Records will be up-to-date site and survey information should be incorporated into appropriate databases.	Yes	No	Survey information will be provided to the FM/RF for incorporation into appropriate databases. Will be addressed in a mitigation measure.	N/A	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	A management plan should be developed for each historic resource identified in the National Forest Plan. The plan should be updated during the revision process.	Yes	Yes	The project would develop historic resource management plans as appropriate and prepare a Programmatic Agreement. Will be addressed in a mitigation measure.	N/A	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	The National Heritage Strategy should be used to guide decision processes related to the Heritage Program.	Yes	Yes	The project would adhere to National Heritage Strategy guidelines for all decisions related to the Heritage Program. Will be addressed in a mitigation measure.	N/A	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Known disturbances that may affect cultural resources should be identified and avoided. Compliance with Section 106 and 108 of the NHP shall be completed before the resource approval process. The project decision requires.	Yes	Minor	Compliance with Section 106 and 108 of the NHP shall be completed before the resource approval process. The project decision requires.	Cultural survey, field research and will continue to be conducted as to disturbance by Minerals contract archaeologists under guidance from the Forest Service and the Idaho State Historic Preservation Office (IDSHPO). Minerals will avoid identified cultural resource sites (historic or pre-historic) or disturbance to unexcavated resources to meet Forest Service and Idaho SHPO requirements (PRC 6.2.3).	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Conduct cultural resource evaluations in consultation with the appropriate Tribal and State Historic Preservation Offices and other individuals and organizations to gain knowledge of historic resources in the area.	Yes	Minor	Will be addressed in consultation with the Standard.	Cultural survey, field research and will continue to be conducted as to disturbance by Minerals contract archaeologists under guidance from the Forest Service and the Idaho State Historic Preservation Office (IDSHPO). Minerals will avoid identified cultural resource sites (historic or pre-historic) or disturbance to unexcavated resources to meet Forest Service and Idaho SHPO requirements (PRC 6.2.3).	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Three unexcavated cultural resource sites are significant and will be evaluated for National Register of Historic Places eligibility.	Unknown	Pending Analysis	Check Forest data & ethnography. Mitigation measures may cover the intent of this standard.	N/A	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Acquisition of land and interest in land should be guided by the following criteria: Priority 2 acquisition: (not listed in any other category) a) Lands and associated riparian watersheds in water resource plan at lakes and major streams. b) Cultural heritage (land) needed for protection of FERC fish, wildlife, or plant species. c) Lands environmentally sensitive (wild, water) important wetland and riparian areas. d) Lands needed for the protection of significant historical or cultural resources when those resources are threatened or when management may be detrimental to public ownership. e) Lands that enhance recreation opportunities, public access, and professional scientific values. f) Lands needed for protection and management of administrative and Congressional designated areas. g) Lands needed to reduce exposure of the Forest Service user to public in administration and utilization. (Consolidation of staff offices). h) Lands with water rights that can be used to incorporate projects for which the National Forest was created or related resource obligations. Priority 2 acquisition: (not listed in any other category) a) Riparian lands of riparianity that are not riparianity, listed but will provide more effective management of the ecosystem and will meet specific needs for wildlife management, watershed management, research, public recreation, or other related management objectives. Scientific, historical, and support, consolidation objectives. b) Riparian lands needed for protection of listed, proposed but purpose-listed above. c) Lands needed to protect riparian values by eliminating or reducing land conversion by another or other specific authority should be guided by the following criteria: (not listed in any other category) i) Lands needed to support communities or activities that support Forest Service purposes. Lands that support community expansion. j) Lands that will serve a greater public need in their county, city, or other local agency ownership. k) Riparian areas, riparian areas other National Forest System lands. Riparian areas managed with private lands. l) Riparian areas that support special use projects where use and riparian are not substantially consistent with National Forest purposes and objectives. (not listed in any other category). m) Riparian areas, with riparian riparianity (protection of listed, proposed but purpose-listed above). n) Riparian areas that support communities or activities that support Forest Service purposes. Lands that support community expansion. o) Riparian areas that will serve a greater public need in their county, city, or other local agency ownership. p) Riparian areas, riparian areas other National Forest System lands. Riparian areas managed with private lands. q) Riparian areas that support special use projects where use and riparian are not substantially consistent with National Forest purposes and objectives. (not listed in any other category). r) Riparian areas, with riparian riparianity (protection of listed, proposed but purpose-listed above). s) Riparian areas that support communities or activities that support Forest Service purposes. Lands that support community expansion. t) Riparian areas that will serve a greater public need in their county, city, or other local agency ownership.	No	N/A	This is an Internal FS management activity.	N/A	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Priority 2 acquisition: (not listed in any other category) a) Riparian lands of riparianity that are not riparianity, listed but will provide more effective management of the ecosystem and will meet specific needs for wildlife management, watershed management, research, public recreation, or other related management objectives. Scientific, historical, and support, consolidation objectives. b) Riparian lands needed for protection of listed, proposed but purpose-listed above. c) Lands needed to protect riparian values by eliminating or reducing land conversion by another or other specific authority should be guided by the following criteria: (not listed in any other category) i) Lands needed to support communities or activities that support Forest Service purposes. Lands that support community expansion. j) Lands that will serve a greater public need in their county, city, or other local agency ownership. k) Riparian areas, riparian areas other National Forest System lands. Riparian areas managed with private lands. l) Riparian areas that support special use projects where use and riparian are not substantially consistent with National Forest purposes and objectives. (not listed in any other category). m) Riparian areas, with riparian riparianity (protection of listed, proposed but purpose-listed above). n) Riparian areas that support communities or activities that support Forest Service purposes. Lands that support community expansion. o) Riparian areas that will serve a greater public need in their county, city, or other local agency ownership. p) Riparian areas, riparian areas other National Forest System lands. Riparian areas managed with private lands. q) Riparian areas that support special use projects where use and riparian are not substantially consistent with National Forest purposes and objectives. (not listed in any other category). r) Riparian areas, with riparian riparianity (protection of listed, proposed but purpose-listed above). s) Riparian areas that support communities or activities that support Forest Service purposes. Lands that support community expansion. t) Riparian areas that will serve a greater public need in their county, city, or other local agency ownership.	No	N/A	This is an Internal FS management activity.	N/A	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Necessary rights for county roads, state highways, and major utility improvements should be maintained in the long-term interest of management of the National Forest and its public interest.	No	N/A	This is an Internal FS management activity.	N/A	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Where feasible, exchange of interests, crop agreements, and cost-share agreements should be considered as alternatives to purchase of riparian land.	No	N/A	This is an Internal FS management activity.	N/A	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Existing Forest transportation system roads and trails, as well as project-related new construction, should be reviewed in respect to standards.	No	N/A	This is an Internal FS management activity.	N/A	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Ownership boundary lines should be surveyed, marked, and posted to applicable Forest Service standards according to the following procedure: a) Boundary lines adjacent to or near proposed management activities. b) Boundary lines where management activities by adjoining owners is expected or likely to occur. c) Boundary lines at high risk to proximity to potential or planned public development.	No	N/A	This is an Internal FS management activity.	N/A	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Expression of user responsibilities in favor of individual special-use permits and rights-of-way to temporary facilities, sites, or structures should be encouraged. Multiple permits in the same geographic area should be incorporated into one permit if this facilitates permit administration.	No	N/A	This is an Internal FS management activity.	N/A	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Priority for resolving existing authorizations should consider the public and potential riparian effects on human health and safety and resource values that may be affected.	No	N/A	This is an Internal FS management activity.	N/A	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission should be notified that hydroelectric projects in watersheds with water quality protection, riparian values, and/or designated TPEL water bodies are an occurrence that may affect management objectives when riparian effects cannot be effectively avoided or mitigated.	No	N/A	This is an Internal FS management activity.	N/A	N/A	Yes	No
FM/RF Forest Wide	Hydroelectric development that meets public needs and is consistent with the plan for other National Forest resources should be considered.	No	N/A	No hydroelectric development.	N/A	N/A	Yes	No

FW/BNF Forest View	The FERC should be notified when projects are proposed for construction within the designated wilderness, which are not consistent with Forest management objectives and/or the National Forest System. It should be recommended to the FERC that preliminary permits and license bids for projects within areas recommended for wilderness, proposed Research Natural Areas, and riparian and suitable Wild and Scenic River segments until appropriate studies and/or legislative processes are completed.	No	NA	Yes	This is an Internal FS management activity.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	During licensing of new and existing facilities, conditions that require flow and habitat conditions that must be maintained or restored to meet resource and riparian integrity should be recommended to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) Review and Management of applications should be coordinated with the FERC and others. The FERC should be notified of projects that are inconsistent with the National Forest System.	No	NA	Yes	This is an Internal FS management activity.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	During licensing of new and existing hydroelectric facilities, projects requiring that existing activity facilities be located such that degrading effects to other resources are mitigated should be recommended to the FERC. Where effective mitigation cannot be implemented, such facilities should be located.	No	NA	Yes	This is an Internal FS management activity.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Proposed new and previously unpermitted hydroelectric projects that have been approved by FERC should be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. The evaluation should consider beneficial uses, environmental and social consequences, and resolution of conflicts with other resource objectives and activities.	No	NA	Yes	This is an Internal FS management activity.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Access to authorized improvements for recreation needs should be addressed as part of special use authorizations. Where appropriate access is not addressed in existing authorizations, the authorizations should be amended to include it.	No	NA	Yes	This is an Internal FS management activity.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	The 2013 Western Regional Utility Climate Study or its successor, should be used as a reference document to guide when considering low use decisions in areas of riparian habitat and proposed major electric power utility corridors.	No	NA	Yes	This is an Internal FS management activity.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Consider the potential for a bond by installation habitat to cover future project determining costs associated with environmental site work and large buildings.	No	NA	Yes	This is an Internal FS management activity.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Where opportunities to mitigate riparian or wetland habitat and riparian habitat degradation have been identified, consider mitigating through measures such as restoration, closure, and changes in management strategy, activities, or discontinuance.	No	NA	Yes	This is an Internal FS management activity.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Land assessments shall be consistent with Forest Plan goals and objectives, and shall consider the goals and objectives for Rights of Way.	Yes	Meets	Yes	Additional work (FCO or MOU) does not currently have ROW or easement status for most projects to be approved via the 2013-2018 easement bid process. The project is a plan of operations, which is currently in review. ROW or easement work would be communicated and initiated throughout the design process. (Director PSD-4.2)	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Locate and post Wetland or Forest System and riparian habitat boundaries on maps and other lands not under Forest Service management.	Yes	Meets	Yes	This will be incorporated as a mitigation measure.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Locate and post wilderness boundaries, before implementing management activities, on maps and other lands not under Forest Service management.	Yes	No	Yes	This standard may be applicable to the Burreed Basin within a state to the FERC ROW boundary.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Include protection measures for riparian property boundaries and riparian habitat in all hydroelectric, water, and riparian management activities where the potential for disturbing riparian habitat exists. Damage to or loss of riparian habitat and riparian habitat will be mitigated by the appropriate party or management function.	Yes	No	Yes	The project is a plan of operations, which is currently in review. The potential for disturbing riparian habitat will be incorporated into a mitigation measure.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Do not accept special use authorizations applications that do not meet special use planning and application criteria, as prescribed in 36 CFR 251.54.	No	NA	Yes	This is an Internal FS management activity.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Review adequate bonds or other security instruments for special use authorizations if it is not sufficient to ensure adequate performance that may require rehabilitation or when required to insure other performance.	No	NA	Yes	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Use authority granted under Section 510 of the Federal Power Act to participate in FERC licensing processes for any project with the potential to affect riparian habitat under Section 510 of the Federal Power Act to ensure that hydroelectric facilities that may be located within RCA are located, operated, and maintained in a manner that requires degradation of riparian resources.	No	NA	Yes	No hydroelectric development.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Small hydroelectric facilities that are granted easements from lands by the FERC shall be located, operated and maintained to require degradation of riparian resources.	No	NA	Yes	No hydroelectric development.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Applications received before December 31, 2016 that request issuance of a permit or license for a qualifying agricultural water system under public law 94-163 (commonly called the "Duck Bill") shall be processed, subject to the conditions of the law.	No	NA	Yes	No such application.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Access to privately owned property recommended by National Forest System lands shall be provided, subject to reasonable terms and conditions, in accordance with National System Lands Conservation Act of December 2, 1980.	Yes	Meets	Yes	The plan assesses access to private landowners and users of public lands.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Where settlement bonds, listing items, or requirements are present, such should be located, designed, constructed and inspected under the supervision of a professional engineer.	Yes	Meets	Yes	Plan measures are adequate to meet standards.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Include otherwise authorized, all garbage or refuse shall be removed from National Forest System lands.	Yes	Meets	Yes	Applicant-proposed design feature and include mitigation measure (see the text of this condition).	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	New forest for capital investments should be located on lands where the potential for mineral activities is high, at least initially high, within the foreseeable future.	No	NA	Yes	This standard/condition pertains to National Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	On National Forest System lands, where riparian habitat is present, issuing a lease, permit, or license is not recommended where riparian habitat is present, such as where riparian habitat, riparian configuration, and riparian production facilities may result in irreversible or irretrievable conversion of riparian resources. The timing of riparian habitat should be based upon the specific characteristics, using the appropriate evaluation criteria for the management activity involved.	No	NA	Yes	This is an Internal FS management activity.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Reclamation Bonds should be sufficient to ensure the full costs of reclamation, including Forest Service administrative costs, restoration of productivity, and maintenance of long-term physical, chemical, and biological stability. Approved plans should include requirements for regular (annual or biennial) inspections of bonds.	No	NA	Yes	This is an Internal FS management activity.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Permits and authorizations for operation and development of private utility networks shall be based upon the conditions for controlling operating methods in order to prevent degrading effects to surface resources and values.	Yes	Meets	Yes	Check - are the proposed grid cells being treated as a whole or by subcell, under the Plan? Will the new grid cells only be used for ROW for their route or will they be available to others? If only ROW then maybe this is just part of the ROW but if public road has access then maybe it's a violation and this applicant's need discussion.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Common-wealth mineral activities will not be conducted on land allocations such as National Recreation Trails, Research Natural Areas, and where riparian or capital investments, including such as facilities.	No	NA	Yes	No common-wealth mineral activities.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Common-wealth and riparian mineral activities shall not be located and developed within RCA if such activities are riparian sensitive and riparian resources should be located and developed in areas that are not riparian sensitive or riparian resources. The timing of riparian habitat should be based upon the specific characteristics, using the appropriate evaluation criteria for the management activity involved.	Unclear	Unclear	Yes	Check - are the proposed grid cells being treated as a whole or by subcell, under the Plan? Will the new grid cells only be used for ROW for their route or will they be available to others? If only ROW then maybe this is just part of the ROW but if public road has access then maybe it's a violation and this applicant's need discussion.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Mitigate degrading effects from toxic metal mining operations situated within RCA by installing riparian sensitive riparian habitat for access, processing, and disposal facilities outside of RCA, where appropriate.	Yes	Meets	Yes	Will be addressed in a mitigation measure.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	A Central Mineral Exercise (CME) shall review all proposed Plans of Operations in riparian sensitive areas to determine if riparian sensitive or riparian resources damage will occur. If it is determined that the proposed plan will result in riparian sensitive damage, the CME will prepare a formal Surface Use Determination Report to be used in processing and approving the Plan of Operations.	Yes	Meets	Yes	The Riparian Sensitive Areas (RSA) shall be reviewed in conjunction with a Riparian Sensitive Areas (RSA) Review (RSAR) by the CME. (Director at this point is not to exceed the standard)	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Regulate and maintain bonds for all proposed mineral activities that will potentially cause significant surface disturbance and require rehabilitation.	Yes	Meets	Yes	Discussion will include requirements for reclamation bonding.	NA	NA	NA	No
FW/BNF Forest View	Access on and off mining claims shall be authorized where necessary for mineral development, Recreation, Riparian, and other purposes, and compliance with on and off mining claims shall be addressed through Plan of Operations. When the development projects include roads, the NEPA process shall be used to analyze and evaluate proposed routes.	Yes	Meets	Yes	The regulations in this standard will be followed.	NA	NA	NA	No

PM/BNP Forest Wide	Nuisance weeds and undesirable non-native plants should be eradicated where feasible and where necessary. Inundations should be managed to prevent weed production and spread.	Yes	Admin	PMO mitigation measures match part of the intent of the Guidelines but details will be added to mitigation measures.	Only certified nuisance weed-free seed material will be used as part of any sowing and final revegetation. (PNO 6.2.15) Admin GSD will be responsible for nuisance weed control within areas disturbed by Project activities (PNO 6.2.15) The list of nuisance weeds requiring control will be obtained from the Forest Service and Value Conservation Office. Weed control will be achieved using a number of appropriate tactics, including cultural, mechanical, biological, and chemical controls. Only Forest Service approved herbicides will be used on lands administered by the Forest Service. (PNO 6.2.15)	N/A	No	N/A
PM/BNP Forest Wide	Clearing and ground sources on Forest should be maintained as nuisance weed free through inspection and treatment program. Off-Forest inspections and treatments should be coordinated with county weed agents.	Yes	No	PMO mitigation measures match part of the intent of the Guidelines but details will be added to mitigation measures.	Admin GSD will be responsible for nuisance weed control within areas disturbed by Project activities (PNO 6.2.15)	Yes	No	No
PM/BNP Forest Wide	Identify areas with extensive nuisance weed infestations where pre-emptive actions are necessary when planning and implementing management activities. In areas of extensive weed infestations, targeted weed sites should be established as part of project planning. Such sites should be located: (1) where they are easily accessible and visible; (2) on private or well-defined lands; (3) where such water runoff will not carry seeds away from sites; (4) where such water runoff will not directly stream; and (5) where they can be used repeatedly for several projects or activities within the area.	Yes	No	PMO mitigation measures match part of the intent of the Guidelines but details will be added to mitigation measures.	Admin GSD will be responsible for nuisance weed control within areas disturbed by Project activities (PNO 6.2.15) Prior to construction, Admin GSD and contractors will be trained on methods for clearing equipment, identifying problem plant species in the Project area, and procedures to follow when a nuisance or exotic weed is located. (Reclamation and Closure Plan)	Yes	No	No
PM/BNP Forest Wide	Where feasible and practical, weed-free locations should be selected for recreation, ranging from parking, drop points, benches, and seating areas.	Yes	No	Will be included as a mitigation measure.	N/A	Yes	No	No
PM/BNP Forest Wide	Nuisance weed management should determine the project location and amount of nuisance weed infestations. Management strategies should also identify all methods and frequency for inspecting, monitoring, and reporting requirements.	Yes	No	PMO mitigation measures match part of the intent of the Guidelines but details will be added to mitigation measures.	Admin GSD will be responsible for nuisance weed control within areas disturbed by Project activities (PNO 6.2.15)	Yes	No	No
PM/BNP Forest Wide	The Foreman/BNP and trap teams of nuisance weed infestations and susceptibility should be updated in the development of site-specific Integrated Weed Management approaches and strategies used in Coordinated Weed Management Areas.	Yes	No	This information will be included in the updated Weed Management Plan.	N/A	N/A	No	No
PM/BNP Forest Wide	Only certified nuisance weed-free seed material allowed on National Forest System lands.	Yes	No	PMO mitigation measures match part of the intent of the Guidelines but details will be added to mitigation measures.	Only certified nuisance weed-free seed material will be used as part of any sowing and final revegetation. (PNO 6.2.15) Certified seed-free seed will be used. All other introduced, vegetative or structural materials used for the Project, such as straw, mulch, etc., shall also be certified weed-free (Reclamation and Closure Plan)	N/A	No	No
PM/BNP Forest Wide	All seed used on National Forest System lands will be certified to be free of seeds from nuisance weeds listed on the current All-Range Nuisance Weeds List.	Yes	Admin	PMO mitigation measures are sufficient.	Only certified nuisance weed-free seed material will be used as part of any sowing and final revegetation. (PNO 6.2.15) The list of nuisance weeds requiring control will be obtained from the Forest Service and Value Conservation Office. (PNO 6.2.15)	N/A	No	No
PM/BNP Forest Wide	To prevent invasion/colonization of nuisance weeds, the following practices will be included in all special use authorizations, timber sale contracts, service contracts, or grazing agreements when weed-clearing activities are associated with the authorized land use. Additional attention will be focused in direct sale and subsurface contract provisions and in Forest Service handbooks: a) No vegetation, as designated by the Forest Service, which the soil has been reasonably grown during active management project activities, as designated by the Forest Service, to suppress the influence of non-indigenous processes, the invasion or colonization of nuisance weeds. Potential areas will include construction and development sites, long-term utility corridors, spur trails, landings, firebreaks, ridges, rangeland, temporary roads, and fire trails, and firebreaks of riparian meadows. b) Earth-disturbing equipment used on National Forest System lands—such as cars, graders, and front loaders—shall be cleaned to remove all visible plant parts, dirt, and material that may carry nuisance weed seeds. Cleaning shall occur prior to entry onto the project area and again upon leaving the project area. If the project area has nuisance weed infestations, this also applies to fire suppression earth-disturbing equipment contracts when a WFSAWM has been completed.	Yes	No	PMO mitigation measures match part of the intent of this Standard but details will be added to mitigation measures.	Admin GSD will be responsible for nuisance weed control within areas disturbed by Project activities (PNO 6.2.15) Earth-disturbing equipment such as cars, graders, and front loaders will be cleaned to remove all visible plant parts, dirt, and material that may carry nuisance weed seeds prior to entry onto the Project area and again upon leaving the Project area. If the project area has nuisance weed infestations, this also applies to fire suppression earth-disturbing equipment contracts when a WFSAWM has been completed.	Yes	No	No
PM/BNP Forest Wide	Construction, with the exception of fire suppression (per the completion of WFSAWM), shall be required to clean earth-disturbing, construction, and maintenance equipment, and soil, to remove all plant parts, dirt, and material that may carry nuisance weed seeds, prior to entry onto the project, or movement from one Forest project area to another.	Yes	No	PMO mitigation measures match part of the intent of this Standard but details will be added to mitigation measures.	Admin GSD will be responsible for nuisance weed control within areas disturbed by Project activities (PNO 6.2.15)	Yes	No	No
PM/BNP Forest Wide	During WFSAWM operations, identify nuisance weed control and mitigation measures. Forest fire management through detection in the letter of Delegation to the Incident Commander's staff.	Yes	N/A	Management Action	N/A	N/A	No	No
PM/BNP Forest Wide	Materials such as hay, straw, or mulch that are used in: a) construction and inspection activities that are free of nuisance weed seed, and that comply with the WFS seed-free age age of other agents use of non-certified hay, straw, or mulch, b) materials that are not permitted to contain nuisance weed seed, shall be inspected and determined to be free of weed seed before purchase and use.	Yes	No	PMO mitigation measures match part of the intent of this Standard but details will be added to mitigation measures.	Only certified nuisance weed-free seed material will be used as part of any sowing and final revegetation. (PNO 6.2.15) Certified seed-free seed will be used. All other introduced, vegetative or structural materials used for the Project, such as straw, mulch, etc., shall also be certified weed-free (Reclamation and Closure Plan)	Yes	No	No
PM/BNP Forest Wide	Source sites for ground and biomass materials shall be inspected by the project area to ensure that materials are processed, stored, or managed from the source site into the project area or onto the National Forest.	Yes	No	PMO mitigation measures match part of the intent of this Standard but details will be added to mitigation measures.	Admin GSD will be responsible for nuisance weed control within areas disturbed by Project activities (PNO 6.2.15)	Yes	No	No

FM/RF Forest Wet	Direct to remove residual stocks into with removal speed species ground will not be used, unless effective treatment or other mitigation measures are implemented.	Yes	No	NO mitigation measures much part of the scope of this Standard and metrics will be added to a mitigation measure.	Atkins Gold will be responsible for removal weed control within areas disturbed by Project activities (PRO 6.2.15)	In addition to certified removal areas the Project would conduct certified Inference (Section 5.1.1) removal work on nearby areas such as law, shrub, or rubble for use in reclamation activities. When certification is not available, removal materials would be inspected and determined to be free of seed and before purchase and use. This material would be consistent with a Forest Service permit on nearby seed agents. Any borrow or gravel pits used as source material for the Project will be certified as being weed free by Forest Service operations or county weed agents (for off-Forest removal before use in the Project. (NP0102, NP0103, NP0104, NP0105, NP0106, NP0107, NP0108, NP0109)	Yes	No		
FM/RF Forest Wet	The Forest will comply with the rules and director established in the case practices or standards in a manner similar to that required by construction practices.	No	N/A	This standard applies to Forest Service management activities and is therefore not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FM/RF Forest Wet	Projects that may contribute to the spread or establishment of noxious weeds shall include measures to reduce the potential for spread and establishment of noxious weed populations.	Yes	None	This information will be included in the updated Weed Management Plan. The WS will have a weed compliance, IMTS increases.	Atkins Gold will be responsible for removal weed control within areas disturbed by Project activities (PRO 6.2.15)	N/A	N/A	No		
FM/RF Forest Wet	Regulated Weed Management Plan shall be used to remove or remove hazardous or sensitive species and other action species of concern where they are threatened by noxious weeds or non-native vegetation plants.	Yes	No	NO mitigation measures much part of the scope of this Standard but details will be added to a mitigation measure.	Atkins Gold will be responsible for removal weed control within areas disturbed by Project activities (PRO 6.2.15)	Atkins Gold's Weed Management Plan will be updated before Project activities to include specific measures to reduce the potential for spread and establishment of noxious weed populations via Integrated Weed Management. Noxious weed management will include determining the presence, size, extent, and amount of noxious weed populations in the Project area. Management strategies identified in the Weed Management Plan will include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Methods and frequency for treating infestations. • Treatment procedures and materials, including equipment and • Follow up on increasing requirements. Methods to use will be specific consistent with the Risk and Low Growth (See Ec. Biological Opinion on the River National Forest.) <p>How often from BIM that would need to be incorporated into the weed control portion of the Recreational Plan?</p>	No	No		
FM/RF Forest Wet	Implement the Forest Noxious Weed Management Plan upon completion.	No	N/A	The project will not work or affect the Forest Noxious Weed Management Plan. Continue evaluating and updating through development of the reclamation and monitoring plans.	Atkins Gold will be responsible for removal weed control within areas disturbed by Project activities (PRO 6.2.15)	N/A	N/A	No		
FM/RF Forest Wet	Mutual respect generally not considered within Private and Public Resource-Regulated areas. However, exceptions may include: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Search and rescue operations; b) Medical treatment of individuals; c) Wilderness fire suppression; d) Law enforcement activities; e) Wildlife management or relocation activities; f) Trail construction and maintenance; and g) Watershed restoration and/or repair of other resource damage from natural events. 	Yes	Pending Analysis	Compliance will be incorporated in the WS. Compliance shall also include a plan if it does not occur will not meet the ROS values, read intent.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	Muting activities are authorized activities except from these guidelines. This is also not a regulated area project. Change Column C to "No" Change Column F to "No" Change Column H to "No" Change Column I to "No".	
FM/RF Forest Wet	Special use permits may be issued for activities and facilities compatible with the ROS class.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FM/RF Forest Wet	ROS compliance to appropriate "No" use to help guide facility development and recreation activity management within each ROS class.	Yes	No	will be included as a mitigation measure.	N/A	All projects and activities will monitor to enhance the adopted ROS classes as discussed in the Forest ROS Strategic Plan information and interpret services or objects are used, these will be consistent with the ROS class. Facilities will meet with the surrounding landscape character and the ROS using ROS description Forest Plan will be used to help guide facility development within each ROS.	Yes	No		
FM/RF Forest Wet	During planning for new sites, or the reconstruction of existing sites, developed recreation sites should be designed to channel runoff towards drainage areas in order to prevent erosion cover and "spillover" of vegetation within the site.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FM/RF Forest Wet	Commercial livestock grazing should be avoided in developed recreation sites, fence developed recreation sites within range suitable if necessary.	No	N/A	Project does not include livestock grazing management.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FM/RF Forest Wet	Trailhead facilities should be provided and managed commensurate with the appropriate level of use, preserve effect, and best priority. These facilities may be public or private, depending on their location.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FM/RF Forest Wet	Funding practices for trail maintenance should be based on at the five maintenance level and traffic volumes of trail use. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Routine inspection and 2) Type and degree of use. 	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FM/RF Forest Wet	State-allocated grant fund investments should be consistent with Management Area ROS objectives.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FM/RF Forest Wet	Special-use authorizations for public recreation uses should have recreation goals. These plans should address adequate public services, health and safety, and resource protection.	Unsure	Pending Analysis	OSR permits (under 300A) and county-issued permits will be affected. Ask Jeff Alexander whether this means an RTR or if RTR authorizes food uses with setting plans.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis	This is not a public recreation use project. Change Column C to "No" Change Column F to "No" Change Column H to "No".	
FM/RF Forest Wet	When proposed projects are incompatible with the existing public recreation activities and when the proposed use will not require those resources, sufficient and special use permits may be issued. Public use should have precedence over permit use in any facility constructed wholly or partially with public dollars.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FM/RF Forest Wet	Permitted off-trail and public activities should adhere with the approved ROS setting and the address site for the use in which the activity is to be performed.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FM/RF Forest Wet	The needs of both off-trail and non-outfitted users should be considered when setting use limits and/or restrictions.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FM/RF Forest Wet	Diffuser and guide lines of recreation should be located on ground level, as, if necessary, located on posts with safety permit-to-operations.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FM/RF Forest Wet	Historic resources should be considered when reviewing proposed modification to recreation facilities, roads, and other public structures under special use authorizations.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FM/RF Forest Wet	The following standards should be assessed when determining grazing capabilities for individual or groups of allotments during project-level decisions. These guidelines are based on the assumption that typical management practices are occurring as well as occur (for example a deferred rotation grazing system): <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Generally, only a maximum of 10,000 animals or 10,000 head of cattle should be included in the allotment grazing base. b) Wetland Assessment with Capability Group 1-5 and 10. Areas where annual precipitation is 11 inches or more, the preferred course of action is to remove animals from the grazing base that have no impact. Sites with 8 to 11 inches of annual precipitation have less than 60 percent in areas where annual precipitation is less than 21 inches, the preferred course of action is to remove animals that have ground cover less than 80 percent. c) Wetland Assessment with Capability Group 6-8 (Includes wetlands, moderate-high or high water table conditions). Generally, sites with annual precipitation less than 10 to 12 inches, and/or sites with slopes between 25-50 percent that have vegetation and litter cover less than 60 percent, and/or sites where slopes are less than 25 percent that have vegetation and litter cover less than 60 percent, should not be included in the allotment grazing base. 	No	N/A	Deferred grazing management is not a part of the Project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FM/RF Forest Wet	In certain allotments where riparian area restoration is an objective, grazing systems should be designed to incorporate the following parameters when applicable: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Provide riparian vegetation cover (at least 10 inches of height) consistent with riparian vegetation goals as stated in the riparian plan. b) The total density of riparian area grazing animals should be reduced. Grazing period reduction may be necessary to meet the 100% riparian habitat recovery goals. c) Design grazing periods to take advantage of favorable riparian habitat dispersal behavior: minimizing spring use of riparian areas to meet riparian conditions, late fall and no use in early summer, year-round during "hot" seasons. d) Incorporate sufficient grazing season rest to promote grass, shrub, physiological wood, and regeneration of riparian plants. e) Where herbivores may and should use riparian areas in the allotment, modify the frequency of grazing periods, reduce the grazing duration, or reduce grazing intensity to levels that promote the occurrence of healthy diverse trees and shrubs. 	No	N/A	Deferred grazing management is not a part of the Project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FM/RF Forest Wet	After completing riparian treatments, best practices include: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Avoiding grazing on riparian areas, including during recovery or treatment. 	No	N/A	Deferred grazing management is not a part of the Project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		

FW/BNF Forest Wide	Fish passage will be provided at all proposed and recommended stream crossings of existing and potential fish-bearing streams unless protection of state stream native fish subspecies from contaminants, genetic contamination, or avoidance of genetic habitat is determined to be overriding management concerns.	Yes	No	This will be incorporated as a mitigation measure.	Minerals will install and maintain water management infrastructure at the project site with the primary objective of ensuring potential and riparian systems and streamflow. Storm carrying into contact with receiving facilities, and also minimizing erosion and sediment generation, promoting fish passage and increasing spawning habitat, and rehabilitating existing areas of previous disturbance.	Fish passage will be provided at all proposed and recommended stream crossings of existing and potential fish-bearing streams unless protection of state stream native fish subspecies from contaminants, genetic contamination, or avoidance of genetic habitat is determined to be overriding management concerns.	N/A	No		
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Do not authorize storage of fuels and other materials or refueling within TCEC areas there to be some alternative. Storage of fuels and other materials or refueling sites within TCECs shall be approved by the responsible official and have an approved spill containment plan consistent with the amount of fuel.	Yes	No	This will be incorporated as a mitigation measure.	Minerals will locate its truck stop and fuel storage facility on the approved Forest Service property, after the project impacts have been adequately remediated, thereby reducing environmental impacts (FW 2.2.15).	Storage of fuels and other materials or refueling will not occur within TCECs unless there are no other alternatives. Storage of fuels and other materials or refueling sites within TCECs will be approved by the responsible official and have an approved spill containment plan consistent with the amount of fuel.	Yes	No		
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Discretionary actions should avoid risks of forest lands, and actions where the Forest's disturbance is limited should receive priority effects that could lead to a TCEC.	Yes	No	FW mitigation measure matches part of the intent of this Guideline but details will be added to a mitigation measure.	Restoration or disturbance of vegetation will be kept to a minimum by limiting the area of disturbance, to the extent practicable, to meet the safe and efficient operations (FW 2.2.15).	Effects to TCEC, Sensitive, and Forest-Wildlife plant species and their habitats will be avoided to the extent possible (FW 2.2.15).	Yes	No		
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Management actions in occupied Proposed or Candidate species habitat should be limited or restricted if the effects of the actions would contribute to a forest land TCEC listing for those species.	Yes	Unclear	Impacts in occupied Proposed or Candidate species habitat will be avoided to the extent possible as per FW 2.2.15. The BLM will make determinations for Candidate and Proposed species, and mitigation applied as necessary. IS will document whether the Guidelines will likely be able to demonstrate compliance. Mitigation needs to provide rationale for deviation.	Restoration or disturbance of vegetation will be kept to a minimum by limiting the area of disturbance, to the extent practicable, to maintain safe and efficient operations (FW 2.2.15).	N/A	N/A	Pending analysis		This is a guideline. Will be documented in SA, Change Column to "Forest".
FW/BNF Forest Wide	The forest should cooperate with USFS and BLM as appropriate. In providing information, data, and assistance for the development of management plans for species listed under the ESA.	No	N/A	The Guidelines pertain to Forest Management Actions.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FW/BNF Forest Wide	The forest should cooperate with USFS and BLM as appropriate. In providing information, data, and assistance for the evaluation of species that are proposed, or proposed to be listed under the ESA, and for evaluation of proposed critical habitat.	No	N/A	The Guidelines pertain to Forest Management Actions.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Coordination with Forest management agencies to conduct TCEC habitat needs when designing and implementing management actions that may affect TCEC species and their habitats.	Yes	No	Will be addressed in a mitigation measure.	N/A	AGS will coordinate with Forest Service specialists to conduct TCEC habitat needs when designing and implementing management actions for any listed TCEC species and their habitats.	N/A	No		
FW/BNF Forest Wide	During the project scale analysis and review, a Forest habitat should be reviewed to determine whether effects to TCEC plant species and their habitats should be mitigated, through avoidance or minimization.	Yes	No	FW mitigation measure matches part of the intent of this Guideline but details will be added to a mitigation measure.	Only Forest Service approved herbicides will be used on lands administered by the Forest Service (FW 2.2.15).	A Forest habitat will be coordinated with a Forest habitat design and implementation management actions that may affect sensitive or TCEC species or their habitats (FW 2.2.15). When developing species lists for management and listing for the project, where restoration is required, listing in habitat for Sensitive, Forest-Wildlife, and TCEC plant species (BOSTON, TCEC). If sensitive plants or their propagules are required to be collected as part of salvage or restoration actions for unavoidable project impacts, a collection permit will be obtained as part of the work. The Forest or Regional habitat will have authority for collection methods and other information (BOSTON, TCEC). When developing list for sensitive and herbicide spray plans, an approved listing plan to determine how listing effects to Sensitive, Forest-Wildlife, or TCEC plant species and their habitats should be addressed, and how mitigation should be implemented (BOSTON, TCEC).	Yes	No		
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Fire Response actions should be based on techniques to mitigate through avoidance or minimization, adverse effects to TCEC species.	No	N/A	The standard/ guideline pertains to general Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Disposal and loading sites should be located to avoid occupied TCEC plant habitat.	No	N/A	No alternatives or listing needs would be considered as part of the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Land exchange that would result in a net loss of quality or quantity of habitat for TCEC species should not be considered unless benefits of the exchange outweigh the benefits to those species in the long term.	No	N/A	Land exchange would not be considered as part of the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FW/BNF Forest Wide	The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission should be notified that hydroelectric projects in watersheds with TCEC fish species, and/or occupied TCEC plant habitat are consistent with Forest Plan management objectives when adverse effects can not be effectively avoided for plant species or assisted or reintroduced to TCEC fish species.	No	N/A	No hydroelectric development.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Where the effort to do so was retained, proposed or existing sites of use activities should be located on lands or adjacent lands to the extent possible, only if adverse effects of the activities on TCEC species can be minimized.	No	N/A	The standard/ guideline pertains to general Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No		
FW/BNF Forest Wide	The Forest shall consult with the NOAA Fisheries and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) to review and approve, to comply with consultation requirements under the Endangered Species Act and Migration-Nature Act.	Yes	No	FW mitigation measure matches part of the intent of this Guideline but details will be added to a mitigation measure.	Coordination and consultation with NOAA and USFWS would be done if necessary after receiving results of the Section 7 consultation (FW 2.2.15).	Coordination with USFWS and NOAA will be completed per consultation requirements under the Endangered Species Act and Migration-Nature Act and Biological Assessments (BA) with intent of consultation, will be followed for the project.	Yes	No		
FW/BNF Forest Wide	For Forest-wide, watershed, or project-level Biological Opinions (BO) and Biological Assessments (BA) with intent of consultation, requirements shall continue to apply until the final action date unless they are otherwise specifically updated during further review with related regulatory agencies. Consistent with the intent of the BO and BA, the Forest will continue to provide Biological Opinions and BA to the Forest Plan review team (per the Action Charter).	Yes	No	FW mitigation measure matches part of the intent of this Guideline but details will be added to a mitigation measure. Compliance will be documented in the IS.	Only Forest Service approved herbicides will be used on lands administered by the Forest Service (FW 2.2.15).	Requirements in Forest-wide, watershed, or project-level Biological Opinions (BO) and Biological Assessments (BA) with intent of consultation, will be followed for the project.	Yes	No		
FW/BNF Forest Wide	For management actions that include application of herbicides, herbicide, fungicide, or insecticide, mitigation shall avoid or minimize adverse effects on TCEC species and their habitats.	Yes	No	FW mitigation measure matches part of the intent of this Guideline but details will be added to a mitigation measure. Compliance will be documented in the IS.	Only Forest Service approved herbicides will be used on lands administered by the Forest Service (FW 2.2.15).	For management actions that include application of herbicides, fungicide, or insecticide, mitigation will avoid or minimize adverse effects on TCEC species and their habitats. Herbicide use will be avoided to the extent possible and will be consistent with the Best Management Practices (BMP) and Forest Plan Biological Opinions on the Forest National Forest. Mitigation will be implemented as needed for Forest Plan compliance.	Yes	No		
FW/BNF Forest Wide	Forest management actions within occupied TCEC plant species habitat that would adversely affect listing time persistence of those species.	Yes	Unclear	FW mitigation measure matches part of the intent of this Guideline but details will be added to a mitigation measure. Impacts assessed through NEPA and ESA Section 7 consultation.	Restoration or disturbance of vegetation will be kept to a minimum by limiting the area of disturbance, to the extent practicable, to meet the safe and efficient operations (FW 2.2.15). Minerals will locate its truck stop and fuel storage facility on the approved Forest Service property, after the project impacts have been adequately remediated, thereby reducing environmental impacts (FW 2.2.15).	Effects to TCEC, Sensitive, and Forest-Wildlife plant species and their habitats will be avoided to the extent possible. Where impacts to these species cannot be avoided, ongoing effects of the project, including disturbance of riparian, herbicide, fungicide, or insecticide, will be mitigated through actions in occupied sensitive plant habitat with mitigation measures to avoid habitat loss, maintain habitat in which would avoid disturbance, or restore where possible.	Pending analysis	Pending analysis		Will be documented in SA, Change Column to "Forest".

PND/NMF Forest Wide	In preparation and under construction of a TPC plan habitat of new water resources shall be necessary to ensure appropriate needs are met.	Yes	No	PND mitigation measures matches part of the extent of the Guidelines but details will be added to mitigation process.	Both historically and newly situated areas will be identified and treated and/or regulated in accordance with Forest Service and/or approved guidelines and standards as final land forms are available for recording. (PND 6.1.2)	Coordination with a Forest botanist will occur: • When designing and implementing management activities that may affect Sensitive or TPC species in their habitats (PND 6.1.2)(3) • When developing special plans for mitigation and recording for all areas where reclamation would occur, including in habitats for Sensitive, TPC Wetland, and/or TPC plant species. (PND 6.1.2)(3) • If sensitive plants or their propagules are required to be collected as part of salvage or reclamation activities for an essential project impacts, a collection permit will be obtained as part of this work. The Forest or Regional Forester will be the authority for collection methods and other information. (PND 6.1.2)(3) • When developing all insecticide and herbicide spray plans and prescribed burning plans to determine the dispersing effects to Sensitive, TPC Wetland, or TPC plants and their propagules should be mitigated, and if their mitigation should be implemented. (PND 6.1.2)(3)(4)(5)(6)(7)(8)(9)	Yes	No
PND/NMF Forest Wide	Management actions that may contribute to establishment or spread of TPC species. If an occupied TPC plant habitat shall include measures to avoid wood establishment and spread.	Yes	No	PND mitigation measures matches part of the extent of the Guidelines but details will be added to mitigation process and included in the updated Wood Management Plan.	Only certified invasive weed free seed materials will be used as part of this project and will be controlled within a 100-foot buffer of the project. The use of invasive weeds requiring control will be obtained from the Forest Service and Valley County Extension Office. Wood control will be accomplished using a number of appropriate tactics, including: chemical, mechanical, biological, and physical control. Only Forest Service approved herbicides will be used as a weed management in the Forest Service. (PND 6.2.15)	Assess needs and undertake monitoring plans will be evaluated in the Project area. Where it is not practical to eradicate existing infestations, infestations will be managed to prevent seed production and spread to areas of existing natural restoration. Mitigation for invasive weed prevention will be incorporated into road layout, design, and project alternative evaluation. Measures to reduce the potential for spread and establishment of invasive weed infestations will be included in the updated Wood Management Plan.	Yes	No
PND/NMF Forest Wide	Mitigation through avoidance or restrictions, management activities within a riparian corridor of TPC species. If an occupied TPC plant habitat shall include measures to avoid or mitigate effects.	Yes	Meets	ES an analysis and ESA section 7 consultation will determine special impacts and required mitigation measures to avoid negative impacts to TPC species.	Mitigation will minimize disturbances to wildlife habitat by instituting a minimum approach, minimizing noise and light, and concurrently working to improve wildlife habitat. (PND 6.2.11)	Only certified invasive weed (as designated in the final section of the National Wetlands Inventory) has, now, or has been or may be in the future on National Wetlands Inventory in addition to the wetlands on the National Wetlands Inventory. The project will employ certified herbicide application in available natural wetland areas such as flow, slow, or stillwater for use in riparian activities. Where practical, it is not available, then materials will be transported and delivered to the site of use before purchase and use. This project will be coordinated with a Forest Service botanist or state agency. Anyگونه of plants that could be a source material for the project will be certified as being weed-free.	Yes	No
PND/NMF Forest Wide	Vegetative management activities within a riparian corridor of TPC species. If an occupied TPC plant habitat shall include measures to avoid or mitigate effects.	No	N/A	Mitigation: extension management actions which mitigate the riparian habitat are being implemented.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PND/NMF Forest Wide	Wildfire risk assessment has been completed that demonstrates that the risk of wildfire is low within the 200-foot buffer of the riparian corridor. If an occupied TPC plant habitat shall include measures to avoid or mitigate effects.	Yes	No	Mitigation: riparian management actions which mitigate the riparian habitat are being implemented.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PND/NMF Forest Wide	Wildfire risk assessment has been completed that demonstrates that the risk of wildfire is low within the 200-foot buffer of the riparian corridor. If an occupied TPC plant habitat shall include measures to avoid or mitigate effects.	No	N/A	No proposed management actions will change LAU boundaries.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PND/NMF Forest Wide	Wildfire risk assessment has been completed that demonstrates that the risk of wildfire is low within the 200-foot buffer of the riparian corridor. If an occupied TPC plant habitat shall include measures to avoid or mitigate effects.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to riparian Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PND/NMF Forest Wide	Wildfire risk assessment has been completed that demonstrates that the risk of wildfire is low within the 200-foot buffer of the riparian corridor. If an occupied TPC plant habitat shall include measures to avoid or mitigate effects.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to riparian Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PND/NMF Forest Wide	Wildfire risk assessment has been completed that demonstrates that the risk of wildfire is low within the 200-foot buffer of the riparian corridor. If an occupied TPC plant habitat shall include measures to avoid or mitigate effects.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to riparian Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PND/NMF Forest Wide	Wildfire risk assessment has been completed that demonstrates that the risk of wildfire is low within the 200-foot buffer of the riparian corridor. If an occupied TPC plant habitat shall include measures to avoid or mitigate effects.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to riparian Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PND/NMF Forest Wide	Wildfire risk assessment has been completed that demonstrates that the risk of wildfire is low within the 200-foot buffer of the riparian corridor. If an occupied TPC plant habitat shall include measures to avoid or mitigate effects.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to riparian Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PND/NMF Forest Wide	Wildfire risk assessment has been completed that demonstrates that the risk of wildfire is low within the 200-foot buffer of the riparian corridor. If an occupied TPC plant habitat shall include measures to avoid or mitigate effects.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to riparian Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PND/NMF Forest Wide	Wildfire risk assessment has been completed that demonstrates that the risk of wildfire is low within the 200-foot buffer of the riparian corridor. If an occupied TPC plant habitat shall include measures to avoid or mitigate effects.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to riparian Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PND/NMF Forest Wide	Wildfire risk assessment has been completed that demonstrates that the risk of wildfire is low within the 200-foot buffer of the riparian corridor. If an occupied TPC plant habitat shall include measures to avoid or mitigate effects.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to riparian Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PND/NMF Forest Wide	Wildfire risk assessment has been completed that demonstrates that the risk of wildfire is low within the 200-foot buffer of the riparian corridor. If an occupied TPC plant habitat shall include measures to avoid or mitigate effects.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to riparian Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PND/NMF Forest Wide	Wildfire risk assessment has been completed that demonstrates that the risk of wildfire is low within the 200-foot buffer of the riparian corridor. If an occupied TPC plant habitat shall include measures to avoid or mitigate effects.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to riparian Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PND/NMF Forest Wide	Wildfire risk assessment has been completed that demonstrates that the risk of wildfire is low within the 200-foot buffer of the riparian corridor. If an occupied TPC plant habitat shall include measures to avoid or mitigate effects.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to riparian Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PND/NMF Forest Wide	Wildfire risk assessment has been completed that demonstrates that the risk of wildfire is low within the 200-foot buffer of the riparian corridor. If an occupied TPC plant habitat shall include measures to avoid or mitigate effects.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to riparian Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PND/NMF Forest Wide	Wildfire risk assessment has been completed that demonstrates that the risk of wildfire is low within the 200-foot buffer of the riparian corridor. If an occupied TPC plant habitat shall include measures to avoid or mitigate effects.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to riparian Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No
PND/NMF Forest Wide	Wildfire risk assessment has been completed that demonstrates that the risk of wildfire is low within the 200-foot buffer of the riparian corridor. If an occupied TPC plant habitat shall include measures to avoid or mitigate effects.	No	N/A	This standard/guideline pertains to riparian Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A	N/A	N/A	No

BMF Forest Wide	When possible, projects should be designed to meet both sustainable fuel reduction and wildlife habitat conservation objectives. Standards WSP-26, WSP-29, WSP-31, and WPC specify dispersal timing and treatment may be avoided for management activities within the wildland urban interface (WUI) where the authorized official determines that adherence to these standards would result in achievement of hazardous fuel reduction objectives. The authorized official has discretion to make this determination. NRC 4.2, 4.3, and 6.3 standards: The conventional salvage strips, not less than the maximum number of strips depicted in Table A-6 within each site class where available. Where large strips (200 inches DBH) are unavailable, then additional strips 120 inches DBH when available to meet at least the maximum total number strips per acre specified in Table A-6. NRC 4.1, 4.2, 4.4 standards: Mechanical vegetation management activities, including salvage harvest, shall retain all strips 20 inches DBH and at least the maximum number of strips depicted in Table A-6 within each site class, where available. Where large strips (200 inches DBH) are unavailable, then additional strips 120 inches DBH when available to meet at least the maximum total number strips per acre depicted in Table A-6.	No	N/A	Forest Service implementation rules stated that this guideline is not applicable.	N/A		No		
BMF Forest Wide	Deferral as part of 2020 Forest Plan amendment for WLS.	No	N/A	Deferral Deadline.	N/A		N/A		
PMF Forest Wide	Maximum of three (3) percent of the acres within each forested PUG based on a water shed (see Table A-6) shall be large tree size class (medium tree size class for PUG 10, riparian riprapped areas) where analysis of available data indicates that the large tree size class exceeds the size class in PUG 10 for a potential vegetation group in a water shed (see Table A-6). In less than 20 percent of the total PUG acres, management actions that do not exceed the current area occupied by the large tree size class, where applicable: a) Fire or other management actions indicate the quality or quantity of large tree size class for a PUG within the S&P&E HUC would not contribute to habitat distribution or connectivity conditions for TSCS and M&S species in the short or long term, and b) Management actions that cause a reduction in the area occupied by the large tree size class would not impact or result in a net loss of desired vegetation conditions in the short or long term as described in Appendix A, including strip and coarse wood debris.	Yes	Learn	Continue evaluating this standard in relation to the effects, mitigation, and alternatives. Amendments in relation to this standard may vary by alternative.	Removal or disturbance of vegetation will be kept to a minimum by leaving the area of disturbance. In the event of disturbance, no routine site use efficiency operations (PRO 4.3.1)	N/A	N/A	Final analysis	
TM/DMF Forest Wide	No game requirements for traps and for capture priority in the management of water range used in common by livestock and big game.	No	N/A	Livestock grazing management in lock a part of the Project.	N/A		N/A	N/A	No
PM/DMF Forest Wide	The public education and interpretation programs to focus on: address safety, and to promote management activities and positive recreation experiences.	Yes	N/A	Will be included as a mitigation measure.	N/A		N/A	N/A	No
TM/DMF Forest Wide	Non-management activities, covering the period of time prior to having an approved wilderness management plan in place, shall be established once recommended wilderness areas are designated as wilderness.	Yes	N/A	This is an Internal Forest Service activity.	N/A		N/A	N/A	No
PM/DMF Forest Wide	Non-conforming uses in recommended wildlife areas shall not be processed.	Yes	N/A	This is an Internal Forest Service activity and apply only to recommended wilderness, which wouldn't be affected by the Project.	N/A		N/A	N/A	No
PM/DMF Forest Wide	State Off Road Motor Vehicle capital investment funds should not be so forced or used in recommended wilderness.	Yes	N/A	This is an Internal Forest Service activity and apply only to recommended wilderness, which wouldn't be affected by the Project.	N/A		N/A	N/A	No
PM/DMF Forest Wide	Mechanical treatment is recommended wilderness areas where currently none may be allowed to continue unless: a) It degrades wilderness values, b) Resource damage occurs, or c) User conflicts result.	Yes	N/A	This is an Internal Forest Service activity and apply only to recommended wilderness, which wouldn't be affected by the Project.	N/A		N/A	N/A	No
TM/DMF Forest Wide	Retention of RMA should be reviewed and adjusted as appropriate during project planning for proposed development projects within or adjacent to such areas. Consider potential effects, as well as habitat management, when making review and adjustments.	Yes	N/A	This is an Internal Forest Service activity and apply only to recommended wilderness, which wouldn't be affected by the Project.	N/A		N/A	N/A	No
TM/DMF Forest Wide	Changes to existing recreational settings (support R05 classes) are limited to only those that maintain or restore wilderness characteristics.	Yes	Learn	If any changes to tracked attributes or additional restrictions occur at 1940 line actions need to ensure.	N/A		N/A	N/A	Final analysis
PM/DMF Forest Wide	Suitability studies for eligible segments on the Forest should be coordinated with: a) Idaho Department of Water Resources where the State's Comprehensive Water Plans involve National Forest System lands. b) Bureau of Land Management for each study where eligible segments occur in both jurisdictions. The lead agency should be determined before the study begins.	No	N/A	This standard guideline pertains to Internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A		N/A	N/A	No
TM/DMF Forest Wide	Range (CR) for the classification of eligible, suitable, and designated WMA and State WMA considers as follows: a) Preservation to a Wild classification, b) Reversion to a State classification, c) Partial Reversion to a Recreational classification.	No	N/A	This standard guideline pertains to Internal Forest Service management and is not applicable to the project.	N/A		N/A	N/A	No

Jessica's notes

> Table includes Standards and Guidelines only, not Goals or Objectives

> Table includes Standards and Guidelines that are not applicable to the project

> Information in "Notes" column came from USFS (PNF)

> "Design Feature" and "Mitigation Measure" can be blank if there is not a conformance issue

Means the item has been reviewed by USFS and their comments have been incorporated into the revised row

(blank)

Means not revised post USFS comment- some outstanding issue or discussion topic

	BOI-17	BOI-18	BOI-19	BOI-20	BOI-21	PAF-13
Warm Lake Road	X		X			
Powerline Upgrade	X		X	X	X	X
Burntlog Upgrade				X	X	
Substation			X	X	X	
Burntlog New Section						X
Mine Site						X
Powerline New Section					X	X
Johnson Creek Road				X	X	

BOI-17	BOI-19	BOI-20	BOI-21	PAF-13
5.1	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.1
	4.2		5.1	3.2