

October 29, 2020

Linda Jackson
Payette Forest Supervisor
500 N. Mission Street, Building 2
McCall, Idaho 83638-3805.

Submitted electronically at:

https://cara.ecosystem-management.org/Public/CommentInput?Project=50516.

Re: Supplemental Individual Comments Collected by American Whitewater on Stibnite Gold Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement #50516

Dear Supervisor Jackson,

The following is a set of individual comment letters, form plus letters, and form letter signatories collected by American Whitewater (a national non-profit 501(c)(3) river conservation organization) from our members and community regarding the Stibnite Gold Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement, received late on October 28th and submitted October 29th. There are 60 additional form letter signatories and 23 additional form plus and comment letters in this supplemental attachment. These individuals have entrusted American Whitewater with delivering their important public input on this project and many of these form plus and comment letters contain substantive formal comments as defined by the Forest Service. These letters should be counted and entered into the public record individually. Individual addresses and other contact information are included with each comment and they were created uniquely by these individual persons. There should be no question as to the nature of these comments, and that despite their delivery method, these individual comments must be counted, considered, and numbered as such. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Evan Stafford
National Communications Director
American Whitewater
PO Box 1540
Cullowhee, NC 28723
970.420.5377
evan@americanwhitewater.org

Jun John

Subject:

Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS

Comment:

As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit.

The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests.

The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.

Signed by:

Date Submitted	Name	Email	City	State
2020-10-28	Ginger Glaccum	ginger@payetterivercompany.com	Lowman	ID
2020-10-28	welles keating	wekeating2012@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Lara Silven	lara.silven@gmail.com	Frisco	СО
2020-10-28	Liz Elias	lyzajayn29@hotmail.com	Farmington	UT
2020-10-28	Rich Morgan	r.o.morgan89@gmail.com	Denver	СО
2020-10-28	Cassie Bergman	cassie.bergman@gmail.com	White Salmon	WA
2020-10-28	Joseph Jones	derelicts@mac.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-28	Tommy Hilleke	tommyhilleke@comcast.net	Glenwood Springs	СО
2020-10-28	Laura Dewey	Ldzoom@hotmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Franceine Ihm	ihm.franceine@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Jason Lynn	jltahoe@gmail.com	Truckee	CA
2020-10-28	Eric Melson	eric.n.melson@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-28	Katie Lynn	katielorene@gmail.com	Truckee	CA
2020-10-28	Tom Attwater	Tatth2o@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-28	lan Brown	ianbrown500@gmail.com	Cabin John	MD
2020-10-28	Audrey Elias	the.treeling@gmail.com	Hailey	ID
2020-10-28	Mark Connell	markeconnell@gmail.com	Sandy	OR
2020-10-28	Claire Lesh	cteterita@gmail.con	San Rafael	CA
2020-10-28	Anna Bruning	annabruning2@gmail.com	Corvallis	OR
2020-10-28	Liam Harry	liamharry0506@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-28	Devin Knight	norcalknight1@gmail.com	Mount Shasta	CA
2020-10-28	Kent Jensen	jensenkr00@hotmail.com	Colorado Springs	СО
2020-10-28	Dave Lisle	david.alisle@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Kent Perillo	yakslacker@gmail.com	Bellingham	WA
2020-10-28	Maya Gerlach	mayagerlach10@gmail.com	Tacoma	WA
2020-10-28	Jonny Hagman	jonathanhagman@gmail.com	Carbondale	СО
2020-10-28	Sara Leathers	saraleathers@hotmail.com	Brownsburg	IN
2020-10-28	Anya Tyson	anyamtyson@gmail.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-28	Sophia Graham	18sophia.graham@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Jamie McGinnis	jamielmcginnis@gmail.com	Renton	WA
2020-10-28	Paul Seydel	paul.seydel@comcast.net	Portland	OR

2020-10-28	Travis Wilkinson	hotdogman@gmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-28	William Hunt	wasatchbill@comcast.net	Ogden	UT
2020-10-28	Megan George	megeorge22@gmail.con	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-28	Corey Garner	garner.corey@gmail.com	Twin Falls	ID
2020-10-28	John Ward	john208ward406@gmail.com	Garden Valley	ID
2020-10-28	Manila Litz	makilakeiralitz@gmail.com	Missoula	MT
2020-10-28	Riley Revallier	rileyrevallier@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Scott Carlsen	Scottycarlsen123@gmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-28	Erik Ureno	15eureno@gmail.com	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Elliott Marsden	elliottsharp77@gmail.com	Idaho Falls	ID
2020-10-28	Knox Hammack	knoxhammack@gmail.com	Bellingham	WA
2020-10-28	Vilem Helesic	vilhel@centrum.cz	Narragansett	RI
2020-10-28	Andrew Wells	andrewwells4@gmail.com	Bozeman	MT
2020-10-28	Debbie Berg	berg_debbie@hotmail.com	Tok	AK
2020-10-28	Jonah Toran	wigglytoad@gmail.com	Seattle	WA
2020-10-28	Zach Williams	zach11kw@gmail.com	Ketchum	ID
2020-10-28	Wade Landon	wade_landon@nols.edu	Salt Lake City	UT
2020-10-28	Peter Farmelo	pfarmelo@gmail.com	Silverthorne	СО
2020-10-28	Glen Conklin II	rvrscum@hotmail.com	Marion	VA
2020-10-28	Ole Wagenbrenner	olewbrenner@gmail.com		
2020-10-28	Tanner Burgard	tannerburgard@gmail.com	Denver	СО
2020-10-28	Nick Scott	nicholas.skux@gmail.com	Estes Park	СО
2020-10-28	Michael Orloff	mtorloff@gmail.com	Boise	ID
2020-10-28	Steve MacCleary	stevemacc39@gmail.com	Edwards	СО
2020-10-28	Matyas Hilgert	matyas@inwaterwelive.com	Cascade	ID
2020-10-28	Tess Burick	tess.c.burick@gmail.com	Denver	СО
2020-10-28	Taylor Caldwell	caldwell10@aol.com	Placerville	CA
2020-10-28	Kai Kinder	kaikinder@yahoo.com	Bend	OR
2020-10-28	Patrick Prescott	pprescott36@gmail.com	Vancouver	WA

Form Plus and Comment Letters

ate	Subject	Comment	Contact
2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	I had the fantastic opportunity to raft the Lower Salmon River last year. I was amazed by the high quality of the water and the amazing wildlife that inhabits the area. I've been invited to kayak the South Fork Salmon next year, and considering the gem that is the Lower Salmon, I can't imagine how much more pristine and amazing the South Fork Salmon can be. But, I know it is because I've heard the stories. I wan tot be able to tell those stories. I want the people who hear my stories to be able to go there and see it for themselves. This is going to cause unnecessary damage to one of the most remote and pristine places I'll ever travel to. It just isn't worth it. Please don't make the mistake of allowing damage to another truly wild place. Let's keep it wild and allow future generations to enjoy it as such. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Movi	Chris Meinke, 2125 SE Madison St, Portland, OR 97214-3835, meinkec@gmail.com

As a hydrologist, angler, whitewater kayaker, and individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of the Western U.S., I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft EIS. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater, fishing, and exploration opportunities that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. I have not yet had the opportunity to paddle the South Fork Salmon, but having heard dozens of epic stories from friends of their South Fork Salmon trips, I truly hope to experience this PRICELESS resource in its most undisturbed and natural state, without the presence of an environmentally detrimental gold mine. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality and quantity of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish. outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact the livelihood of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Ben Von Thaden, 420 Zang St, Lakewood, CO, 80228-1019, benvonth@gmail.com

2020-10-28 Stibnite Gold Project DEIS-Objection to the project and Request for Supplemental DEIS

I was born and raised in Idaho and value the irreplaceable worth of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local

Kira Tenney. PO Box 1936. Ketchum, ID, 83340-1861, kiratenney@gmail.com

		communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.	
2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Zach Whitt	Zach Whitt, 2925 N County Road 23, Bellvue, CO, 80512-5856, zcwhitt@gmail.com

I value the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho. I make the trip from Washington to go kayaking there every year. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.

Dirk Fabian, 2710 Grove St, Bellingham, WA, 98225-2422, Dirkfabian@yahoo.com

2020-10-28 Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS

As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement, I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state. lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. In other

David Turner, 58 Tall Pine Loop N, Usk, WA, 99180-5122, yaknski@yahoo.com

		words, FUCK NO!	
	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS: PLEASE SAVE OUR PUBLIC LANDS!!	I am deeply concerned with this issue and I want to preserve all the beauty of our wide open spaces and healthy environment that we have left. It is our responsibility to keep the wide open spices thriving. What's wild doesn't belong to us to take over, it is meant to remain in its natural beauty and for our benefit. We must stop taking advantage of what isn't ours to destroy. I sincerely request we stop this proposed project, that will destroy the land of the Gem State. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing	Carly Walther Porino, 110 Canvas Back Ln, Sun Valley, ID, 83353, carlywaltherporino@gmail.com
2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	As a private individual and taxpayer, I am opposed to the Stibnite Gold Mine. The South Fork of the Wild and Scenic Salmon River is too valuable to risk. The fish, recreation, and economic value of the tourist industry in the area are far too important to allow this project to go forward. One of the first places that I ever backpacked as a high school student was right near there. I want future generations to be able to enjoy it. I don't want to have to have my taxes go to yet another superfund cleanup site. Please save the South Fork of the Salmon. Thanks for your consideration.	Cheryl Westerman Alkire, 3105 S Howard St, Spokane, WA, 99203-1749, LibrarianExtraordinaire@gm ail.com

As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please remember that you cannot money, money cannot provide joy other than materialistic things. Thank you for your consideration.

Brady Cooke, 92 Purkey Ave, Pocatello, ID, 83201-3255, thatonepowderskier13@gm ail.com

2020-10-28 NO on Stibnite Gold Mine

I currently live in McCall and strongly oppose development of mining in the area of the South Fork of the Salmon. I oppose this for all of the reasons outlined below, particularly the harm to wildlife and waterways, but also for another reason. I was living in Silverton. Colorado several years ago when the Gold King Mine wastewater spill and environmental disaster occurred. Many communities in multiple states were deeply affected. The site - in one of the most astoundingly beautiful mountain areas in the world became an EPA superfund site. Livelihoods and property values were harmed, as well as hundreds of miles of the Animas River. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state. lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding

Deanna Clauson, 616 Woodlands Dr, Mccall, ID, 83638,

Deanna.clauson@gmail.co

water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.

2020-10-28 Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS

As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Find another way to make money. The earth is dying already. We need to save everything we can right now.

Brent Bramlet, 4160 W Fort George Wright Dr, Spokane, WA, 99224-5260, brentbramlet9@gmail.com

As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. A couple years ago, I went on a weeklong rafting trip down the river and I wouldn't trade that experience for anything in the world. Please don't mess with our clean water just for some gold. You can't drink clean gold, but we all need clean water.

Jacob Hiller, 684 W 1045 N, Centerville, UT, 84014-3415, jakehillwe@yahoo.com

2020-10-28 Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS

As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state. lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. Many animal species call this river home too. Including salmon and steelhead, and many of these fish populations are endangered or threatened. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal

Markus Boyer, 1928 S 8th St W, Missoula, MT, 59801-3441, boyermarkus@amail.com

		enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.	
2020-10-28	Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration. Keep these sacred places safe! It's not worth it.	Amanda Passey, 911 Antelope Dr, Hailey, ID, 83333-8869, passeyamanda@yahoo.co m
2020-10-28	Save the South Fork	As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the	Sarah Emery, 311 Lamprecht Dr, Carbondale, CO, 81623-2189, stuie2e@gmail.com

economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Please protect this area for future generations. Thank you for your consideration.

2020-10-28 Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS

Growing up in Idaho afforded me an unrivaled childhood, the ability to develop as an individual who values the rivers, canyons, and natural processes of a landscape. These processes in many places were able to exist because the river had healed from poorly managed industry. One notable example was the Stibnite mine. When I first was able to travel to the South Fork of the Salmon, so much of the value came from knowing that the river had been brought back from disaster. Yes, gold and antimony are valuable. But protecting Idaho is more valuable. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned Idaho citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.

Nate Moody, 716 E A St, Moscow, ID, 83843-3312, nathanleemoody@gmail.co

Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	The South Fork of the Salmon is precious! As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.	Thomas Fliss, 703 Katie'S Ln, Hood River, OR, 97031, thomas.fliss@gmail.com
Comments on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	As someone who cares deeply about our rivers and the world we live on, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a individual who appreciates and recognizes the value in keeping out natural lands natural. lands for which I am an owner. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. No mine is worth damage to a pristine natural river such as the South Fork Salmon. We must work to protect our endangered fish species and their habitats. The water quality is outstanding and to put it at risk and degrade it is foolish. Thank you for your consideration.	Naomi Elyard, 13 Lyons Rd, Husum, WA, 98623, naomi.elyard@gmail.com

As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. Please do not do this or if you do try to find a way to make a smaller impact on the environment. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.

Andrea Berg, 287 Mckenzie Trl, Tok, AK, 99780, andrea.berg.04@agsd.us

2020-10-28 Stop the Stibnite Gold Project DEIS!!

This project will destroy natural resources for good. As one of the last untouched rivers in the country, we must protect it. Once the river basin is destroyed by humans, it will never be able to be the same. As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho. I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a concerned citizen and stakeholder who utilizes these public lands in their current undiminished state, lands for which I am an owner of and for which the Forest Service is directed to manage in the public interest. The rivers of the South Fork Salmon watershed contain world class whitewater that paddlers from across the country and the world travel to Idaho to visit. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the

Tivon Lewis-Quigley, 2640 Market St, San Francisco, CA, 94114-1917, tivonlewisquigley@gmail.co

		hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of	
		expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.	
	Comment on Stibnite Gold Project DEIS on the Salmon River	As an individual who values the rivers and spectacular whitewater resources of Idaho, I am writing to support Alternative 5, the No Action Alternative outlined in the Stibnite Gold Project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement. I am not writing representing a "special interest group," I am writing as a citizen and a paddler who understands the incredible resource that is the Salmon River. This is a section of River that is special not only to me, but to multiple other people, both within and outside of the whitewater community. The DEIS clearly states that all of the alternatives presented, apart from Alternative 5, would adversely affect federally listed endangered fish species, their habitat, and the watershed's water quality and quantity. It is incredibly important to me personally that the Forest Service protect the outstanding water quality of this major tributary to the Wild and Scenic Salmon River, as this river system is vitally important for native and endangered fish, outdoor recreation, and the economic vitality of the local communities that surround it. Moving forward with this project would not only adversely impact my personal enjoyment of this public resource through whitewater paddling, camping and fishing, it would negatively impact all of the people of Idaho who rely on the hundreds of thousands of visitors each year to our National Forests. The South Fork Salmon River is finally healing from the scars of past mining activity, and the impacts of expanded mining activity represents an unacceptable risk to this watershed. Thank you for your consideration.	Tom Blue, 7501 Riverside Dr, Richmond, VA, 23225-1244, tomablue1@gmail.com
2020-10-28	Requests for Stibnite Gold Project DEIS	I am writing as a citizen of the United States who partakes in outdoor recreation. The salmon river watershed is an amazing resource. The fact that it is one of the last free flowing watersheds in the western United States is amazing in of itself. It is also one of the last habitats for salmon to survive in, which are an important food source and nutrient transporter to a myriad of ecosystems. These public lands are of monumental value to our current and future generations, and should be preserved for all to enjoy. Studying education, I have learned that time outdoors is of incredible importance to developing children, and so it is of utmost importance that we preserve ALL that we can. Putting these mines in would disrupt the habitat and take money away from small towns that need it most during this time of difficulty. Preserved, unspoiled land is a finite resource that we are running out of. Putting in a mine that does not benefit the area and takes money away from surrounding communities is abhorrent. The fact that a Canadian mine company would come into the U.S. and exploit OUR resources for their gain, destroying OUR public land in the process is shocking. I strongly urge everyone to vote against this absurd proposition.	Ellie Coffee, 1608 Laburnum Ave, Chico, CA, 95926-3428, elliekcoffee@gmail.com

2020-10-28	Stibnite Gold Project Is bad for Idaho	As a person who loves rivers, natural places and public lands, I think it would be a terrible idea to allow a Canadian corporation to come in and damage the fragile eco system in the South Fork Salmon drainage. Public lands belong to all Americans and should be preserved for our enjoyment and those of future generations. Resource extraction irreversibly damages wild places and it would be unpatriotic to allow foreign corporations to take advantage of us in this way. Profit for some should not be allowed to ruin what belongs to us all.	Owen Cross, 1608 Laburnum Ave, Chico, CA, 95926-3428, ocross@ncen.org
------------	--	--	--