

Usfs,

I am proud to welcome Midas Gold Idaho into our state and proud to support the Stibnite Gold Project. I hope you will take the time to consider my comments on why you should move to permit the project.

I am a native born Idahoan who is currently living in Nevada and working I've been working in the Gold Mining Industry for over 30 years. I fully believe that the Midas Gold Project can be developed safely and be environmentally compliant with all regulations. This project will not only bring important well paying jobs to the people of Idaho but also offers an opportunity to improve the currently disturbed area from previous mining. I support alternative 2 as a responsible way of mining the project and keeping the beautiful environment in pristine condition.

The U.S. Forest Service's online meeting room has made it easy to review the document and comment within the 60-day timeframe. Looking at the document, there is a clear advantage to Alternative 2 compared to the other options. According to a figure in Chapter 4, Hanger Flats pit lake would fill by year 14 of operations in Alternative 2. Under Alternative 1, it would take 19 years. The faster the lake fills up, the faster groundwater levels will recover around the lake. Alternative 3 and 4 would both delay the project by two years and cost substantially more. Alternative 3 would also place the tailings storage facility in a pristine reach of the East Fork of the South Fork of the Salmon River. While Alternative 4 would put mine traffic to site right next to the river.

Thank you for reviewing my comments. Again, I urge you to permit Midas Gold's carefully thought out plan as outlined under alternative two.

Thank You,

Scott Glenn

Name: Scott Glenn