

Attention Linda Jackson,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback on the Stibnite Gold Project as part of Midas Gold Idaho's Comment Period. I have been closely following Midas Gold Idaho's plans since they first came into our state, in large part because much of the proposed project will take place on public land. The more I have learned about the project, the more excited I am about the possibilities it will bring into our state.

The team at Midas has spent countless hours researching and designing the best possible solution for water quality conditions at the site. By cleaning up the legacy tailings that are currently sitting unlined in the valley floor, Midas will improve the water quality for fish, wildlife and nearby residents. Alternative 2 includes a plan to neutralize the metals in the tailings before they are stored in the fully lined facility. This will greatly improve ground and surface water conditions at the site and be a big step forward to improving the environmental conditions at the site. I prefer Alternative 2 because it will allow bring solutions to the site in the most timely manner. Alternative 3 and 4 would delay these benefits by two years and, sadly, Alternative 5 would leave the site as it is today and conditions would likely only get worse.

Thank you for the thorough review of the Stibnite Gold Project. I urge you to now move forward and permit the mine using alternative 2, so Midas Gold can put Americans back to work.

Most Respectfully,

Name: Mary Koski