

DEAR MS JACKSON,

I hope you will take the time to consider my comments on why you should move to permit the project.

More than 10 million tons of spent ore and unlined tailings from previous mining are sitting, unlined in the valley near Meadow Creek at the site of the proposed Stibnite Gold Project. As water flows over this waste material, metals leach into the ground and surface. Therefore, we should not be surprised that the amount of arsenic and antimony in water near site vastly exceed levels that are considered acceptable for aquatic life standards. Midas Gold has a plan to mitigate the impacts of previous, unaffiliated mining projects to improve water quality and fish habitat. Among many other investments, Midas Gold would clean up the roughly 3 million tons of historical tailings and reprocess and properly store them in a state-of-the-art tailings facility. The company would also relocate the 7.5 million tons of used ore underneath the tailings facility liner system—permanently separating it from interacting with water. These efforts to remove legacy materials will improve water quality, a finding reflected in your own agency's draft environmental impact statement. According to chapter 4, removing legacy tailings and waste improves water quality in Meadow Creek Valley (4.12 103-104).

All Americans will benefit when the Stibnite Gold Project comes to life. The comment period should be kept to 60 days, so the process can continue to move forward. It is with this knowledge that I encourage the U.S. Forest Service to select the modified plan of restoration and operations Midas Gold Idaho presented, known as Alternative 2 in the draft Environmental Impact Statement, and permit the project.

Sincerely,

Name: Kenneth Bernstein