

Attention Ms. Jackson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Stibnite Gold Project as part of the U.S. Forest Service's permitting process. This is an important project for Valley County and will have a significant impact on the community. As someone who explores, plays and cares about this region of Idaho's backcountry, I want to make sure the Stibnite Gold Project is done the right way.

Midas Gold has made it clear reclamation efforts will start alongside operations. Alternative 3 and 4 would unfortunately delay operations and the benefits of the projects by two years years. Alternative 4 would also use access roads to site that are adjacent to the East Fork of the South Fork of the Salmon River, which puts fish at unnecessary risk. However, under Alternative 2 Midas Gold has identified a safe access route to the site, using Burntlog Road, that keeps vehicles away from our precious waterways. Plus, the company is trying to minimize their impact on the environment by keeping facilities within the existing footprint of historic mining operations. In fact, you can see how the company worked to reduce their disturbance by comparing Alternative 1 and Alternative 2. After spending time reviewing the DEIS in the U.S. Forest Service's virtual public meeting room, I believe Alternative 2 presents the best way for the Stibnite Gold Project to move forward.

I encourage the U.S. Forest Service to move the Stibnite Gold Project forward and adopt Alternative two as the preferred alternative.

Yours,

Nancy Lawrence

P.S

I agree with all the above statement

Alternative 2 is the best option.

Name: Nancy Lawrence