

To Whom it May Concern,

I have reviewed the Plan of Restoration and Operations and met with members of Midas Gold Idaho to better understand the company's plans for the Stibnite Gold Project. Below are my comments on the plan to be included in the comment period.

Alternative 2 of the Stibnite Gold Project presents a plan to repair the environment and boost Idaho's economy. Historic mining operations, development of former town site and natural processes have resulted in significant degradation of many elements of the environment. Loss of fish passage, potential water degradation from previous mining activity and excessive erosion are all of great concern to Idahoans. This is why I think Alternative 2 is the best option. Alternative 5 would leave the site as it is today, Alternative 1 would increase the disturbance area of the project and Alternative 3 and 4 would delay the project unnecessarily by two years. The virtual public meeting room the U.S. Forest has put together makes it easy to compare the different alternatives and see the impacts and benefits of the project. The Stibnite Gold Project, as laid out under Alternative 2, would finance reclamation efforts to address these problems and in turn boost Idaho's economy. It is a win-win for everyone.

Reviewing Midas Gold's plans it is clear to me the company really believes in taking care of the environment and keeping the community safe. I urge you to permit the Stibnite Gold Project as outlined under Alternative 2 and keep this project moving forward. To Whom it May Concern,

I have reviewed the Plan of Restoration and Operations and met with members of Midas Gold Idaho to better understand the company's plans for the Stibnite Gold Project. Below are my comments on the plan to be included in the comment period.

Alternative 2 of the Stibnite Gold Project presents a plan to repair the environment and boost Idaho's economy. Historic mining operations, development of former town site and natural processes have resulted in significant degradation of many elements of the environment. Loss of fish passage, potential water degradation from previous mining activity and excessive erosion are all of great concern to Idahoans. This is why I think Alternative 2 is the best option. Alternative 5 would leave the site as it is today, Alternative 1 would increase the disturbance area of the project and Alternative 3 and 4 would delay the project unnecessarily by two years. The virtual public meeting room the U.S. Forest has put together makes it easy to compare the different alternatives and see the impacts and benefits of the project. The Stibnite Gold Project, as laid out under Alternative 2, would finance reclamation efforts to address these problems and in turn boost Idaho's economy. It is a win-win for everyone.

Reviewing Midas Gold's plans it is clear to me the company really believes in taking care of the environment and keeping the community safe. I urge you to permit the Stibnite Gold Project as outlined under Alternative 2 and keep this project moving forward.

Yours,

Yours,

Name: George Schoell