

Objection Reviewing Officer
USDA Forest Service
Northern Region
26 Fort Missoula Road
Missoula, MT 59804

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

I object to the Custer Gallatin National Forest Plan for the following reason:

The Draft Record of Decision on page 1 states "the Custer Gallatin National Forest has a history of multiple co-existing uses, including recreation.....", yet the Custer Gallatin National Forest Draft Plan focuses on segregating uses to in their words, "avoid user conflict."

In my time of recreating in the Custer Gallatin National Forest, I can honestly say conflict between users is very rare, if ever. People wishing to enjoy our area of public lands are inspired by the unique landscape and appreciate others they meet and share their experiences. In my mind, the Forest Service should not be making decisions based on prejudice, discrimination, intolerance, and bias but this is what has been included in the plan.

The Forest Plan decisions on appropriate recreation activity is not being based on science but rather an arbitrary land allocation based on an assumed condition. I request the Forest Service revisit this decision and adjust these land allocations more fairly based on their statement of having "a history of multiple co-existing recreation uses."

I do not support trail closures to motorized users, and I object to the designation of 77,631 acres of recommended wilderness in the Gallatin Crest of the Hyalite Porcupine Buffalo Horn (HPBH) Wilderness Study Area.

[REDACTED]

Objection Reviewing Officer
USDA Forest Service
Northern Region
26 Fort Missoula Road
Missoula, MT 59804

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] 59047

Phone # or [REDACTED]

I object to the Custer Gallatin National Forest Plan for the following reason:

The Draft Record of Decision on page 1 states "the Custer Gallatin National Forest has a history of multiple co-existing uses, including recreation.....", yet the Custer Gallatin National Forest Draft Plan focuses on segregating uses to in their words, "avoid user conflict.

In my time of recreating in the Custer Gallatin National Forest, I can honestly say conflict between users is very rare, if ever. People wishing to enjoy our area of public lands are inspired by the unique landscape and appreciate others they meet and share their experiences. In my mind, the Forest Service should not be making decisions based on prejudice, discrimination, intolerance, and bias but this is what has been included in the plan.

The Forest Plan decisions on appropriate recreation activity is not being based on science but rather an arbitrary land allocation based on an assumed condition. I request the Forest Service revisit this decision and adjust these land allocations more fairly based on their statement of having "a history of multiple co-existing recreation uses."

I do not support trail closures to motorized users, and I object to the designation of 77,631 acres of recommended wilderness in the Gallatin Crest of the Hyalite Porcupine Buffalo Horn (HPBH) Wilderness Study Area.

[REDACTED]