

Objection Reviewing Officer
USDA Forest Service
Northern Region
26 Fort Missoula Road
Missoula, MT 59804

Objector:



The Custer Gallatin Forest Plan proposes to close additional access to multiple use recreation. This action is contradictory to the new June 12, 2020 directive from the Chief of the Forest Service. The Secretarial Memorandum which states the purpose of this directive is to "Establish vision, priorities, and direction on:"

- Increasing the productivity of National Forests and Grasslands
- Valuing our Nation's grazing heritage and the National Grasslands
- **Increasing Access to our National Forests**
- Expediting environmental reviews to support active management

According to the Forest Service and their NVUM survey less than 3% of the public recreate in wilderness areas yet more than 1/3 of the Custer Gallatin National Forest is designated wilderness and closed to all motorized and mechanized use. Nearly another million acres is designated as roadless and has additional restrictions on motorized and mechanized use. All in all, 2/3 of the Custer Gallatin National Forest restricts multiple use recreation of both motorized and mechanized use. The 2004 Travel Plan closed nearly 50% of the trails once open to motorized use. Closure after closure in the past 20 years has caused more crowding on the remaining open roads and trails. There has never been a planning action where the Forest Service increases areas of access for motorized recreation.

Most of the public desires motorized and mechanized use. These uses provide public land access opportunities for the elderly, disabled, handicapped, and physically challenged. Access to our public lands provide more than just recreation value, they provide a sense of mental wellness. Sharing outdoor experiences with families of multiple generations is an important aspect of many people's lives. Closing more access to these families and different age groups is unacceptable.

Motorized use is the fastest going outdoor recreation activity in the nation and Montana but the Custer Gallatin has ignored this activity along with the new directive from the Forest Service Chief. The Custer Gallatin National Forest Supervisor is proposing an additional 125,000 acres of wilderness that will remove all motorized and mechanized use in these areas as soon as possible. Why is Supervisor Erickson being allowed to deviate from a national directive from her boss? Public needs of more multiple use recreation are real. This forest is failing to provide for those needs.

The Forest Plan failed to provide an alternate that would increase motorized and mechanized recreational access to the Custer Gallatin National Forest. Comments were submitted to the Forest Service requesting an alternative that increased access for both motorized and mechanized use. This is a clear violation of

NEPA in not providing a wide range of alternatives for the public to comment on. I object to the decision to reduce motorized and mechanized use areas, the lack of an alternative that increases motorized and mechanized access, and the fact the Custer Gallatin Forest Supervisor's decision does not follow the new June 12, 2020 directive from Forest Chief Christiansen. I request the decision be remanded and a new alternative be developed that follows the Chief's directive to increase productivity, increase grazing opportunities, and increase access.

I request the new proposed Custer Gallatin Forest Plan be remanded and a new plan be developed that addresses the needs of those in need of motorized and mechanized transport in order to access their public lands.

