

Dear Ms. Jackson,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on Midas Gold Idaho's Plan of Restoration and Operations. Over the last several years, I've had the opportunity to hear a handful of presentations on the Stibnite Gold Project, and Midas' plans for the site. I have known company management for more than two decades. It is with this knowledge that I encourage you to permit the Stibnite Gold Project.

Stephen Quinn, president, has an unblemished reputation in the industry, as a man who genuinely cares about the environment, is diligent in what he does, and does what he says he will do. In my view, as a money manager involved in the gold industry for 30 years, who has reviewed many envelopment plans over the years, Midas' plan stands on its own two-feet as a gold-standard plan to enable a mine be built (and jobs provided) while protecting the environment. It goes well beyond the necessary.

However, in the Stibnite case, the critical issue for environmental concerns is that Midas is taking on the damage in which the site now stands. Midas will leave this site better than they find it today. There can be no doubt about that. Some might prefer the site be restored without a new mine, but that is not going to happen. The various improvements Midas is prepared to undertake are well known and detailed in the impact study; they will happen if the study is approved and the mine proceeds. It is a win-win for jobs and the environment.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Name: Adrian Day