

**From:** [Trebon, Meg M -FS](#)  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [Trebon, Meg M -FS](#)  
**Subject:** RE: public comment  
**Date:** Friday, July 17, 2020 10:00:06 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[image002.png](#)  
[image003.png](#)  
[image004.png](#)

---

Hi Robert,

I have added you to both the Tonasket and Methow Valley mailing lists.

Yes, one amendment would allow harvest in Forest Plan Old Growth stands, as has been done in several past projects that amended the same Standard and Guideline on a project-specific basis. This proposal would not alter public review of projects; any future site-specific projects that use the amended standards and guidelines would be subject to public scoping.

Meg



**Meg Trebon**  
**Environmental Coordinator**  
**Forest Service**  
**Okanogan-Wenatchee National Forest**  
**Methow Valley & Tonasket Ranger Districts**

p: 509-996-4032  
f: 509-996-2208  
[meg.trebon@usda.gov](mailto:meg.trebon@usda.gov)

24 West Chewuch Rd  
Winthrop, WA 98862  
[www.fs.fed.us](http://www.fs.fed.us)



**Caring for the land and serving people**

---

**From:** robert kendall [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, July 17, 2020 9:27 AM  
**To:** Trebon, Meg M -FS <[meg.trebon@usda.gov](mailto:meg.trebon@usda.gov)>  
**Subject:** public comment

I wish to remain on the list for notification of FS actions.

I read through the Forest Plan amendments. The change appears to be a work-around dodge to allow logging without review or public oversight. Under these changes, "harvesting", by whatever name you want to call it, could occur up to the threshold of the old-growth definition, correct? Sounds like an exercise in semantics.

Robert Kendall  
[REDACTED]

