

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
May 20, 2020

Concerning the Northern Extension Pipeline and Associated Drilling Project Pre Decisional Environmental Assessment

Overview

To the ordinary citizen, reading the draft EA pertaining to the above named project is a terrifying experience. After listing the various hazards of the project affecting human health and safety and adding several issues that the author forgot, the reader is left in a state of disbelief that the project would be considered for permitting. You cannot balance the hazards against the facts that Petrox holds a "legal" lease and it will help to meet the public's need for natural gas (which is not true). This country is awash in natural gas.

Objections:

1. Waivers given to the roadless area rule for reasons described in the EA belie the goals and intention of a rule held in high regard by the public.
2. To grant waivers to NSO designations by finding a small flat place for an activity destroys the integrity of the rule.
3. In the almost 60 years we have lived here we have experienced two noticed seismic events - the first well before the dewatering of the coal seam. A few years ago the news described a strange moving of the "now forever" pipelines laid in the late 80's and the 90's which causes joint separation. Earthquake tremors are part of our environment.
4. The EA describes the possibility of coal seam fires which are impossible to stop - but does not mention the real and extremely current possibility of surface wildfires. Everyone who lives this area has watched the HD Mts. constantly in the last several years and ask the question "When will it burn?"

In Conclusion:

Any official who decides that this is a permissible project could and probably will find him or herself responsible for horrific disasters.

M. Theresa Fitzgerald

May 20, 2020

Concerning The Northern
Extension Pipeline and
Associated Drilling Project
The Decisional Environmental
Assessment.

Overview

To the ordinary citizen, reading the draft E A pertaining to the above named project is a terrifying experience. After listing the various hazards of the project affecting human, health and safety and adding several issues that the author forgot,

The reader the reader is left in a state of disbelief that the project would be considered for permitting. You cannot balance the hazards against the facts that Petrof holds a "legal" lease and it will help to meet the public's need for natural gas (which is not true). This country is awash in natural gas.

Objections:

1. Waivers given to the roadless area rule for reasons described in the E.A. belie the goals and intention of a rule held in high regard by the public.
2. To grant waivers to HSO designations by funding a small flat rate for an activity destroys the integrity of the rule.
3. In the almost 60 years we have lived here we have experienced two noted seismic events - the first well before the dewatering of the coal seam. A few years ago the news described a strange moving of the "new forever" pipelines laid in the late 80's and the 90's which causes joint separation. Earthquake tremors are part of our environment.
4. The E.A. describes the possibility of coal seam fires which are impossible to stop but does not mention the real and extremely current

possibility of surface wildfires.
 Everyone who lives in this
 area has watched the H.D.
 Mts. constantly in the last
 several years and asked the
 question "When will it burn?"

In Conclusion!

Any official who decides
 that this is a permissible
 project could and probably
 will find him or herself
 responsible for ~~hundreds~~
 disasters

M. Theresa Fitzgerald