

EXHIBIT 19

WILD AND FREE ROAMING HORSES AND BURROS ON PUBLIC LANDS REPORT
(Report FS-2200-E), FY 1991.

Part I. Territory Record. Submit the following information for each Wild Horse and Burro Territory.

Name: Heber Ranger District
 National Forest: Apache-Sitgreaves
 State: Arizona
 Size (in acres) by ownership:
 FS: 14,000 BLM: _____ Other: _____
 Total: _____

Territory Plan Status:
 Approved Plan (yes,no): No Fiscal Year Approved: _____
 Plan in conformance with Forest LRMP (yes,no): _____
 Joint FS/BLM territory (yes,no): No
 If yes, lead agency (FS, BLM): _____

Current Population: 1/

	Number of Animals			Animal Months Actual Use		
	FS	BLM	OTHER	FS	BLM	OTHER
Horse:	<u>5</u>	_____	_____	<u>60</u>	_____	_____
Burro:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Fiscal year of latest census upon which current population estimate is based: None

Territory Plan Population Level: 1/2/ None

	Number of Animals			Animal Months Actual Use		
	FS	BLM	OTHER	FS	BLM	OTHER
Horse:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Burro:	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Excess animal removal: List total number of animals removed from this territory during FY 90 and 91. 3/
 Removals During FY 90: None
 Removals During FY 91: None

-1/ Do not duplicate animals or animal months. If animals utilize both FS and BLM lands, prorate population and actual use. If no current census, the data should be based on the most recent census corrected for such factors as reproduction, mortality, or removals since the last census.

2/ Population level as established in Territory Plan and/or LRMP or, based on best estimate from existing information.

3/ Count mare or jenny with foal less than 6 months at side as one. Count weaned foals more than 6 months as one.

Part II. Excess Animal Removal. Submit the following information summarized by Region for FY 90 and FY 91. Count mare or jenny with foal less than 6 months at side as one. Count weaned foals, or foals more than 6 months, as one.

	<u>FY 90</u>		<u>FY 91</u>	
	<u>Horses</u>	<u>Burros</u>	<u>Horses</u>	<u>Burros</u>
1. Total number captured and removed from territories (total of items 1a, 1b, 1c, and 1d.				
a. Number Excess Destroyed				
(1) Old, sick, lame	0	0	0	0
(2) Unadoptable	0	0	0	0
b. Deaths after removal				
(1) Natural Causes	0	0	0	0
(2) Accidental	0	0	0	0
c. Animals transferred BLM. 1/				
d. Excess animals adopted through FS or BLM programs but remain responsibility of FS. 2/	0	0	0	0

1/ Include those excess animals where followup including adoption, monitoring, and title assignments is BLM responsibility.

2/ Include only those animals removed from National Forest System lands and processed by FS or BLM Adopt-a-Horse Program but remain responsibility of the FS.

Part III. Status of adoptees that remain Forest Service Responsibility.

	<u>Horses</u>	<u>Burros</u>
1. Number of adopted animals that remain responsibility of FS.	0	0
2. Number of titles granted this Fiscal Year.	0	0
3. Number of deaths after adoption this fiscal year.	0	0
4. Number of adopted animals eligible for granting title, but no title issued.	0	0

Part IV. Territory adjustments. Report only those territory changes that have been approved through the NEPA and/or the Forest Land Management Planning Process during FY 90 and FY 91.

1. Name of territories discontinued. None

2. Name of territories where boundaries were adjusted.

Part V. State Wild Horse and Burro Program Overview for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (use additional space as needed): None

STATE: Arizona

PROGRAM HIGHLIGHTS: Report management actions, cooperation with groups, special events, awards, etc. Provide one or two anecdotes about noteworthy accomplishments. Of particular interest would be management actions having to do with or documenting relationships between WH&B management activities and movement towards ecological status and rangeland health objectives and/or coordination of WH&B management and planning for permitted domestic livestock.

None

PROGRAM ISSUES: Provide examples of major issues associated with WH&B management i.e. issues associated with drought, accidents, disease outbreaks, harassment/capture/killing of wild horses and burros, interagency disagreements, etc.

Approximately 5 feral horses wander along the Mogollon Rim back and forth from the White River Apache Reservations. The Indians do not manage the horses, neither does the Forest Service. Natural attrition will eliminate the horses eventually.

MAJOR PROGRAM CHALLENGES/NEEDS: From a field perspective, what are the major challenges/needs facing the Wild Horse and Burro Program within the Forest Service today? Provide information relative to this question as a scoping exercise preparatory to the WH&B Activity Review to be conducted in FY 92. Examples could include: monitoring needs, data information needs, FS/BLM relations, management strategies etc. Many of these undoubtedly will relate to funding levels; do not just indicate the need for increased funding, but rather the program needs/activities that additional funding could provide.

Elimination of incidental feral horses and burros from formal management consideration to reduce impacts on management resources, personnel and time. The A/S "herd" should not be recognized at all.