## EXHIBIT 17

```
Page 1
 1
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                           DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
 3
     IN DEFENSE OF ANIMALS, a
     non-profit organization; the
     ANIMAL WELFARE INSTITUTE, a
     non-profit organization; and
     the INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR
     THE PROTECTION OF MUSTANGS and
 6
     BURROS, a non-profit
     organization; PATRICIA HAIGHT,
     an individual; RICHARD POTTS,
 7
     an individual,
 8
                   Plaintiffs,
 9
          VS.
                                          Case No. CV-05-2754-PHX-FJM
10
     UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT,
     DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, ANN
11
     M. VENEMAN as acting UNITED
     STATES SECRETARY OF
12
     AGRICULTURE; UNITED STATES
     FOREST SERVICE; ELAINE J.
13
     ZIEROTH as the acting UNITED
     STATES FOREST SUPERVISOR,
14
                   Defendants.
15
16
17
                     DEPOSITION OF KENDELL L. HUGHES
18
                             October 5, 2006
                                10:30 a.m.
19
                             Phoenix, Arizona
20
21
22
23
                                           REPORTED BY:
24
                                           Jodie Guhr, RPR
                                           Arizona Certified Reporter
25
                                           No. 50191
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

21

24

25

3

6

7

9

11

14

15

18

Page 28

Page 29

Page 26 Is the tribe also responsible for maintaining the

2 fence?

1

3

7

- A. Technically, I -- I'm not sure.
- 4 Q. Okay. Have you, at any time during your employment
- at the Forest Service, taken a census of the wild horse
- 6 population in the Heber Territory?
  - MR. PATRICK: Object, form and foundation.
- 8 You can answer the question.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat it?
- 10 Q. (BY MS. KLEIN) Have you taken a census of the horses
- 11 in the Heber Territory?
- A. Boy, for that direct purpose of a census? Is that
- 13 what you mean? I --
- Q. Why don't you tell me if there's -- if there would be
- a different purpose. We'll start with for a specific purpose
   of counting the horses.
- 17 Have you ever done a census?
- 18 A. In that horse territory?
- 19 Q. Yes.
- 20 A. A formal census, no.
- Q. Have you done an informal census?
- 22 A. For the direct purpose of a census of horses, no.
- Q. Have you done an informal census for some other
- 24 purpose?
- A. As we -- hmm. That's a tough one, how to answer this

- 1 A. A census -- this would be my opinion -- a census is
  - 2 you're going out for that sole purpose.

An inspection could be anything from driving down the highway to driving down the 86 Road, from driving down the 300 Road, observing anything that's -- that -- that may come up.

- Q. So when you say prior to the Rodeo-Chediski Fire that you visually observed no horses, that occurred while you were driving down a forest road; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. Let's talk about after --
- 11 A. In the territory.
  - Q. In the territory.
- 13 Let's talk about after the Rodeo-Chediski Fire.
- 14 A. Rodeo-Chediski Fire.
- 15 Q. Rodeo-Chediski?
- 16 A. (Indicating.)
- 17 Q. Okay. Have you taken a formal census, as you
- 18 describe, for the sole purpose of counting the horses after
- 19 the fire?
- 20 A. Where at?
  - Q. In the Heber Territory?
- 22 A. No
- 23 Q. Have you done one in the Black Mesa District?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Have you done an informal census in the Heber

Page 27

- $1\quad$  type of thing. I -- I guess I would say yes.
- 2 Q. And what did you do?
- 3 A. Just visually observed nothing. Done a visual
- 4 observation.
- 5 Q. Okay. And what did you visually observe?
- 6 A. No horses. Prior to the fire, was that? Did you say
- 7 prior to the fire?
- 8 Q. I did not.
- 9 A. Okay. Well --
- 10 Q. Would you like to go back? And was it your
- 11 understanding that we were talking about a census prior to the
- 12 fire?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So prior to the fire, my understanding is that
- 15 you informally took a census -- we'll use that in the loose
- 16 term -- and visually observed that there weren't any horses;
- 17 is that correct?
- 18 A. Yeah. I wouldn't say census. I would say
- 19 inspection, but --
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. -- I would assume they're -- you're talking about the
- 22 same stuff.
- 23 Q. Okay. And just so I understand, just, the
- 24 terminology, how do you distinguish between a census and an
- 25 inspection?

- Territory? Let me rephrase that.Have you done an inspection
  - Have you done an inspection in the Heber Territory?
  - A. Yeah. We drive the road, I mean, yes.
  - Q. Okay. And what do you see? And what have you seen
  - after the Rodeo-Chediski Fire?
    - A. Where at?
  - Q. In the Heber Territory.
- 8 A. Like I said earlier, there was -- I've seen, what,
  - two to three horses, I believe.
- 10 Q. For an inspection dealing with the Black Mesa
  - District -- so now we're talking outside the Heber
- 12 Territory -- have you seen horses as you were on an
- 13 inspection, for lack of a better term?
  - A. After the fire?
  - Q. After the fire.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. And how many did you see?
  - A. I can't give you a definite number.
- 19 Q. A ballpark figure is fine?
- 20 A. That's hard to -- hard to say, because you go out at
- 21 different times. I mean, it's just hard to answer that
- 22 question.
- Q. All right. In your estimation, based on your
- 24 inspection of the area roughly, how many horses do you think
  - were in the Black Mesa District after the Rodeo-Chediski Fire?

Page 64

Page 62

notices? 1

5

6

- 2 A. Yeah. Usually we post them at public places, post 3 office.
- 4 Q. Okay. I'm going to have you turn to tab 35, which is another letter to Dallas Massey.

Did I get that right this time, Mr. Massey?

- 7 A. Is this the same letter?
- 8 Q. It's a little different letter. It's dated
- July 25th. I think the first one was June 13th. It's very 10 similar in form,

11 If you look at -- let me see if I can find the line 12 for you -- if you look at the last paragraph on the first 13 page, the letter says: We ask for your participation during 14 this effort by trying to keep all gates closed along the Fort 15 Apache Reservation Boundary. This will keep livestock from crossing back and forth and will assist us in completing our 16 17 contract.

I would just like to go back to -- with that comment 18 19 in mine -- just to talking about the fence. What was your 20 understanding about, you know, whether or not the gates were 21 kept open or closed? Just talk to me in general about the 22 fences. 23

- A. In general, when they built the fence, they didn't 24 put gates in the fence.
- 25 Q. And do you know, did -- how long did it stay like

1 time a little bit.

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

- A. Okav.
  - Q. And did you draft this e-mail?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. And, I'm reading a line that says: I hope
- 6 this answers your question -- or, Gene, I hope this answers

7 your question. If not, let me know. Kendell.

So, it's my understanding that this letter is addressing questions asked by Mr. Gene Onken; is that correct?

- Q. The first line says: Acres: 14,000.

Is that referring to the size of the Heber Territory?

- Is that right?
  - A. Yeah. That was what was in previous documents.
- 15 Q. Okay. And what's the second line refer to, or what's the -- what is the point of that line, where it says, 16
  - "Allotments within the Territory"?
- 18 A. There are portions of two allotments within this 19 territory.
- 20 Q. And what's an allotment?
- 21 A. An allotment is an area that is grazed by cattle and
- 22 has a permittee, typically, associated with it.
- 23 Q. Okay. So this line is saying that there are some
- 24 allotments within the Heber and Black Canyon -- is that --
- what's -- I guess I don't understand what the line tells us.

Page 63

that?

1

2

3

13

- A. I'm going to say -- or, I'm going to estimate 2005, 2006.
- 4 Q. So, approximately a half a year or a year or year and 5 a half?
- 6 A. No. I'm going to say that's when they -- when the 7 gates were first starting to be put up.
- 8 Q. Okay. And remind me. They built the fence roughly 9 in?
- 10 A. Late 2002, 2003, sometime in there.
- Q. Okay. So there was a few years when -- where there 11 12 weren't any gates?
  - A. Correct.
- 14 Q. Okay. And at this point, when there's the letter, is 15 this a -- you know, what's the purpose of this last statement? 16 Is it a friendly reminder to, hey, get some gates or is it --
- is it that there were gates and they -- and the tribe left the 17
- 18 gates open? What's -- what was your understanding what the
- gates were like at this -- around July 2005, around that time? 19
- 20 A. I would say -- I would say the gates were like I explained. There might have not have been a whole lot of 21 22 them.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. So --

25

Q. I'm going to have you turn to tab 13. Jump back in

- Page 65 1 A. There's two allotments, portions of two allotments,
  - within this, this area here. One would be the Heber
- 3 allotment, and the other one would be the Black Canyon
- 4 allotment.
- 5 Q. Okay. And when you mean this area, do you mean 6 the --
  - A. That area there.

7

9

11

13

16

17

24

- 8 Q. But the Black -- I just need it for the record,
  - 'cause she can't see what you're pointing to.
- 10 A. Yeah, the territory.
  - Q. The Heber Territory?
- 12 A. Yeah.
  - Q. Okay. And then, the first line says: Why is the
- 14 population "0" and why is it being managed at "0" population.
- Is that Mr. Onken's question? 15
  - A. I would assume.
  - Q. And who is Mr. Onken?
- 18 A. Gene Onken was in the regional range management staffing group, and, among other things, he was in charge of 19
- noxious weeds and Wild Horse Territories in the region.
- 21 Q. Okay. And immediately following the "why is the 22 population "0" and why is it being managed at "0"," it looks
- 23 like this is your explanation following it; is that correct?
  - A. It's an explanation that somebody -- you know,
- 25 somebody else's explanation. I wrote it, but --

1

3

7

8

9

10

Page 68

Page 66

- Q. So you looked at other documents, and then this is --
- 2 A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay. The first one referred to is a 1974 letter.

4 And just for the purposes of us understanding what documents you're talking about, I'm going to have you flip to 5 6 either tab two or tab three.

And, just take a minute to look at tab two and tab three. I just want to know if you're referring to one of these letters in your e-mail that's under, I believe it's, tab

- 11 A. All right. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. Do you know which one it is or is it both?
- 13 A. Tab two. Let me see. Tab three. Just tab two.
- 14 Q. Okay. And did you do anything to verify the
- 15 information from the 1974 letter which we think is tab two?
- Did you do anything to verify whether or not -- what that
- 17 information was based on?
- 18 A. (Indicating.)
- 19 Q. Let me try that again.
- 20 Did you do anything to check the information that was
- 21 in the 1974 letter?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Okay. Your e-mail which is under tab 13 -- again,
- we're just going to keep flipping back between different
- 25 documents. So, you're --

- 1 Q. Okay.
  - 2 A. -- at them, you know.
  - 3 Q. I'm just trying to understand, if we're trying your 4 memory.

5 So, as you're flipping back to these documents, do

- 6 you -- do you remember, oh, yes, I looked at this or is it, 7
- 8 A. Yes, I -- yes, I did look at this.
- 9 Q. That's what I'm trying to say.
  - A. Okay.

10

17

19

24

8

9

- 11 Q. It's not --
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. And then the last, just -- finally, the last document
- 14 that you mention is a 1993 letter by the district ranger, and
- 15 if you flip to tab nine --
- 16 A. Okay.
  - Q. -- is that -- do you remember reviewing this document
- 18 in tab nine?
  - A. Yes. Wait a minute. Yes. Tab nine.
- 20 Q. Okay. And is that -- is the information in your
- 21 e-mail under tab 13 based, in part, on tab nine, when you're
- 22 talking about the 1993 letter?
- 23 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And for the information that's contained in
- 25 tab six, which is the 1991 report, and tab nine, which is the

Page 67

- A. Okay.
- Q. -- you're right --
- 3 A. Okay.
  - Q. -- there on tab 13.
- 5

2

4

6

- Q. Third line down, it says: A 1991 report indicates
- 7 that approximately 5 feral horses -- and then the sentence 8
- 9
- I'll give you a couple minutes to read that portion.
- 10 You ready?
- 11 A. Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. And my question for you is, is that information based
- 13 on the document underneath tab six in the administrative
- 14 record?
- 15 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember reviewing that document, the 16
- 17 one underneath tab six?
- A. Do I remember --18
- Q. Yeah. 19
- 20 A. -- reviewing it?
- 21 Q. Do you remember looking at that document?
  - A. I'm sure I did. I don't remember it at this time,
- 23 but --

22

- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25
  - A. -- I'm sure I did, because I look --

- Page 69 1993 letter, did you do anything to check the accuracy of the
- information or did you just rely on the face of the documents
- as they were written?
- A. Yes, I -- I had to rely on -- I had to rely on them.
- Q. Okay. So you didn't dig into any other records?
- 6 This is where your -- this is the source of your
- 7 information --
  - A. This is, yeah, the --
  - Q. -- are the three documents?
- 10 And this e-mail and the three documents that, I
  - guess, are tab two, tab six and tab nine, did you rely on

  - those documents in making the decision of whether or not to
- remove the horses from the Black Mesa District and Lakeside
- 14 District Territory?
- 15 A. In when?
- 16 Q. When you decided to remove the horses, when the
- 17 Forest Service decided to remove the horses, did the documents
- in tab two and tab six and tab nine, were they part of your
- 19 decision-making process in determining whether the horses
- 20 could be removed?
  - MR. PATRICK: Object to form and foundation.
- THE WITNESS: Can I ask Rich a question? 22
- 23 MS. KLEIN: Sure.
- 24 (Brief off-the-record discussion, 12:45 p.m. until
- 25 12:46 p.m.)

21

Page 70 1 THE WITNESS: So the question was, if I get this 2 right, did I rely on --2 3 MS. KLEIN: This --3 4 THE WITNESS: -- nine --4 5 MS. KLEIN: Two, six and nine. 5 6 THE WITNESS: -- six -- they were part -- yes. 6 7 MS. KLEIN: They were part --7 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, they were part of it. We relied 8 9 on those. 9 Q. (BY MS. KLEIN) And you relied on other things, as 10 10 11 well, in forming your --11 12 A. Yes. 12 13 Q. -- in forming your decision on whether to remove the 13 14 horses from --14 15 A. Yes. 15 16 Q. -- from the territory? 16 17 A. (Indicating.) 17 18 Q. Okay. 18 19 MR. PATRICK: You need to verbalize a response. If 19 20 you're going to say yes, say yes. If you're going to say no, 20 21 say no. 21 22 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 23 Q. (BY MS. KLEIN) I'm going to have you flip back to 23 24 tab 76. I'm going to do the same. 24 25 A. Do you have a specific tab? 25 that -- of the number of horses, I guess, for that territory.

Page 72 grazing on the Black Mesa District where there is no designated Wild Horse Territory. Q. And I'll have you stop there. A. Okay. Q. And I'll just ask you, did you ever have a conversation with Mr. Onken regarding his comments? A. These comments? Not that I'm aware of. Q. Okay. I'll have you skip all the way down to --A. Wait. There may have been, but -- a conversation with this on -- regarding this, but --Q. Do you remember what was said or what -- how it came out? What was discussed? A. No, not at this time. Q. Okay. And I just have one more question. At the bottom of this document under 76A, if you look, it says: So AML equals zero. Do you see where I'm looking at? Q. Do you have any idea what AML means? A. It's a management level. Q. Okay. Is that -- does that statement, so AML equals zero -- what's that? Do you know what that refers to? Does that mean something? A. Let me see. It means that the management level of

Page 71

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. Start with tab 76. You're in the right --

2 A. Okay.

1

5

6

7

8

9

16

3 Q. You're in the right spot. 4

If you flip to the first page under tab 76, it's a fax cover sheet addressed to you from Mr. Gene Onken. And if you turn to tab A, so, 76A, you'll see the same e-mail that we were just discussing in tab 13, I believe, and then you'll also see some additional handwritten notes down below.

Have you ever seen these handwritten notes before?

10

11 Q. And can you just explain to me what the -- what the 12 meaning of the handwritten notes is? I'm just trying to

understand what Mr. Onken is talking about in relation to your

e-mail and whether or not this is -- how this issue was 14

15 resolved.

A. Okay. What was the question?

17 Q. I'd just like you to explain to me what the -- what

the discussion is about. Seems there's a disagreement of some 18

sort, so I'm just trying to understand what this -- what this 19 20

communication is about.

A. Of course, this is not with me, so I'm -- this ain't 21

22 my discussion here, so --

23

24 A. It just says: It appears Kendell may be confusing

the situation of the horses from the Reservation unauthorized

Page 73 1 Q. Okay. All right. And I'll have you flip to the next page, and I'm not sure if yours is three-hole punched, but it 3 looks like it says something to the equivalent of: INFRA ENTRIES. Although, it looks like the N and the T are partly 4 5 wiped out by a three-hole punch.

At the top, it says: Wild Horse & Burro Data Correctly Entered Into INFRA Database.

And under Wild Horse Territories, the first one is listed as the Heber Territory, and you were listed as the responsible person.

And then right after that column is a column dated correct data entries -- or, Correct Data Entered For, and then the years 2002, 2003, 2004.

My first question is why is there an N/A? I'm assuming that's not applicable to -- for the years 2002 and 2003?

A. I'm not sure.

Q. Okay. And what does the "Yes" mean in the column of 2004?

20 A. I would assume that it means that data was entered 21 for 2004.

22 Q. Okay. And, I'll give you a couple minutes to look at the next tab, it's 76AB, and this is a memo, it looks like, 23 referring to the 14th Biennial Wild Horse and Burro Report to 25

Congress, and it's addressed to Regional Foresters, R-1, R-3

_			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 86 Q. Okay. Do you recall any actions that you took to determine whether or not the horses in the Apache-Sitgreaves Forest and, specifically, in your area, the Black Mesa District do you what actions did you take to ensure that the horses on in the Black Mesa District were not wild and free roaming horses?  A. None, that I'm aware of. Q. Did you look for any branding or lack of branding? A. I did look for branding. I did look for marks and brands, stuff like that, I guess. Q. And what did you when you were looking, what did you find? A. It was hard to it was hard to really see good, but it appeared that most of them were not branded or marked, that I could tell. Q. When you were looking, were you just looking with the naked eye? A. Right. Q. Did you have binoculars? A. Naked eye. Q. And how close were you, roughly? Were you a football field away? Were you a half a football field? What was your distance to the horses? A. You know, that varied. It varied quite a bit,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 88 land?  A. What did we do? Q. Uh-huh. A. We discussed it earlier. We posted a notice. Q. Okay. A. Notices of intent to impound. Q. Did you do anything else? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. Okay. You ready? Do you have anything? Do you have any questions that you'd like to ask?  MR. PATRICK: (Indicating.)  MS. KLEIN: Probably not. I think we're done. Thank you for your time.  MR. PATRICK: We'll read and sign.  (The deposition concluded at 1:34 p.m.)  -oOo-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Page 87 it was driving down the road. Sometimes it was a good hundred yards.  Q. Okay. Did you ever make a specific attempt to identify how many of the horses were wild and free roaming, as opposed to strays or trespass animals?  MR. PATRICK: Object, form and foundation.  THE WITNESS: No. I guess, repeat the question again.  Q. (BY MS. KLEIN) Did you ever make an attempt to determine which of the horses were wild and free roaming, as opposed to well, let's start with that, wild and free roaming.  MR. PATRICK: Objection, form and foundation.  Q. (BY MS. KLEIN) Want it one more time?  A. One more time. Wait. With a  Q. Did you attempt to determine what percentage of the horses were wild and free roaming?  MR. PATRICK: Same objection.  THE WITNESS: No.  Q. (BY MS. KLEIN) Did you attempt to determine what percentage of the horses were trespass horses?  A. Hmm. No.  Q. What attempts did you make to notify the public that there might be trespass animals on Forest Service land for the horses, that there might be trespass horses on Forest Service	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 89  STATE OF ARIZONA ) ) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA )  BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing deposition was taken before me, JODIE GUHR, Certified Reporter No. 50191 for the State of Arizona and, by virtue thereof, authorized to administer an oath; that the witness before testifying was duly sworn by me; that the questions propounded by Counsel and the answers of the witness thereto were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed under my direction; that a review of the transcript by the witness was requested; that the foregoing pages contain a full, true, and accurate transcript of all proceedings and testimony had, all to the best of my skill and ability.  I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not related to nor employed by any of the parties hereto and have no interest in the outcome thereof.  DATED at Mesa, Arizona this 20th day of October 2006.  JODIE GUHR, RPR Arizona Certified Reporter No. 50191