**TO:** PNW Regional Forester, Objections Reviewing Officer **SUBJECT:** 36 CFR 218 Objection Pacific Connector Pipeline **Site Specific Plan Amendments** for the Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou and Fremont-Winema National Forests.

## Dear Forest Service:

In accordance with 36 C.F.R. Part 2018, I, Vincent F. Zauskey, hereby object to the project as described below ref. for the Pacific Connector Gas Pipeline and Jordan Cove LNG.

I am protesting the considerations now under review by the Forest Supervisor and Responsible Official and request the Forest Service withdraw the recommended project for the Pacific Connector Pipeline/Jordan Cove Liquified Natural Gas facility in Coos Bay. I am requesting a) a project be prepared that meets the standards and guidelines of the existing land use management plan or b) requesting the Forest Service to deny this project.

I have previously expressed my concerns that this pipeline would have negative impacts on public lands including clean water, wildlife, tree and vegetation removal, and habitat augmentation or destruction via the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). Once again, I am attempting to express my concerns through an administrative objection to the Forest Service over its proposal to re-designate my public forest lands and turn those lands over to a foreign fossil fuel corporation, Pembina of Canada.

I am filing this objection because 1) the cumulative effects of the Forest Service's proposal on watersheds, wildlife, ecosystems and fire management have not been fully analyzed for their comprehensive "purpose and the effects" as required by law; 2) The pipeline plan undermines the Forest Service's "survey-manage" protocol which was created to ensure the protection of federally protected endangered species; 3) The Forest Service did not consider site-specific impacts that pipeline construction would have and must disclose those impacts and plans for mitigation on a site-specific basis; 4) Late Successional and Riparian Reserves should not be stripped of protections at the behest of a foreign fossil fuel company; 5) The Forest Service's proposal fails to meet the requirements of the Aquatic Conservation Strategy and should not be exempt from analysis and mitigation of landslides, sedimentation and waterway degradation that would result during construction and could very well result in the case of a natural disaster such as an earthquake or resulting tsunami.

I have lived in southern Oregon for over 50 years and enjoy our public lands for their intrinsic beauty. I particularly value them as wildlife habitat and for the recreational opportunities they offer. With this letter, I am asking the Forest Service to consider reasonable alternatives to this project and take a hard look at all of the various concerns associated with the proposed pipeline.

Sincerely,

Mucant F. Tauskey
Vincent F. Zauskey