TO: PNW Regional Forester, Objections Reviewing Officer

VIA: https://cara.ecosstem-management.org/Public/Commentinput?project=28132 Subject: 36 CFR 218 Objection Pacific Connector Pipeline Site Specific Plan Amendments for the Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests

Dear Forest Service:

In accordance with 36 C.F.R. Part 218, I, Denda hereby object to the project described below.

Document Title: Opportunity to Object, Plan Amendments for Pacific Connector Gas Pipeline on The Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests.

Project Description: The Forest Service proposes to approve 30.6 miles of the Pacific Connector Pipeline route across the National Forest System. This proposal includes approximately 591 acres of forests for the construction of the Pipeline Project and an additional 186 acres of permanent right of way. This decision would allow crossing of 10.8 miles on the the Umpqua National Forest in Douglas County, 13.7 miles on the Rogue River Siskiyou National Forest in Jackson County, and 6 miles on the Fremont-Winema National Forest in Klamath County.

Project Location (Forest District): Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests, Douglas, Jackson, and Klamath Counties, Oregon.

Name and Title of Resposible Official: Alice B. Carlton, Forest Supervisor and Responsible Official, Umpqua National Forest.

Objector: Name Livida M. Warner
Phone N
Address

Timeliness: This objection is timely filed. Notice of the Opportunity to Objection to "Site Specific" Plan Amendments for Pacific Connector Gas Pipeline proposed decision was published in the Federal Register on November 22, 2019. Forty-five days from November 22, 2019 is January 5, 2020.

Request for a public meeting to discuss resolution. I, Judan Warren

hereby request a public meeting to discuss potential resolution of the issues raised in this objection.

Narrative description of those aspects of the proposed decision addressed by the
objection: I strongly oppose the Garest Services groposal
to the point the tules for the Jordan
Core ANCO. How can their turn their backs
on the damage that will be done in
Dregon? They have failed to look at all the
environmental dangers of this pipeline
Prose problems well 410E SE WYOLVED!
The Forest Service is throwing OREGON under
the bus!
Suggested remedies that would resolve the objection:
I, <u>Senda mon</u> , respectively request that the Forest Service withdraw the recommended prject and 1. Prepare a project that meets the
standards and guidelines of the existing land use management plan; or 2. Deny the
project.
Describe how the objection relates to prior comments:
I have been expressing my concerns about the
neactive impacts of this pipeline through
FERE Governor Kate Brown and to our senators
and representatives, I completely object to the
forest Services proposal to re-designate our public,
forest lands as a permanent giveauby to a foreign
Josel fuel corporation with no binefits to oregon.
Josel fuel corporation with no binefits to Oregon. How can the Forest Service be so neglectful
n its job to protect OREGON? Deny the pipeline Now and FORENER!
Statement of issues related to the proposed site-specific plan amendment action:
The Gorest Service did not consider site-
epecific impacts that pipeline construction
would have and must disclose impacts
and plans for mitigation on a site-pricipic
120 Jack Service and not analyze or
The Forest Service did not analyze or mitigate for increased fire risks from the hole pospeline. And, what about earthquake
the hole supeline And, what about the

of the aquatic Conservation Strategy and should not be exempt from analysis and unitigation of landslides sedimentation and waterway degradation.
Signed, Sunda Im Warner