

January 3, 2020

TO: PNW Regional Forester, 1570 Objections

VIA: Fax 503-808-2339; three pages total

Subject: 36 CFR 218 Objection Pacific Connector Pipeline **Site Specific Plan Amendments** for the Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests

Dear Forest Service:

In accordance with 36 C.F.R. Part 218, I, Attila Incze, hereby object to the project described below.

DOCUMENT TITLE: Opportunity to Object, Plan Amendments for Pacific Connector Gas Pipeline on The Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The Forest Service proposes to approve 30.6 miles of the Pacific Connector Pipeline route across the National Forest System. This proposal includes approximately 591 acres of forests for the construction of the Pipeline Project and an additional 186 acres of permanent right of way. This decision would allow crossing of 10.8 miles on the Umpqua National Forest in Douglas County, 13.7 miles on the Rogue River-Siskiyou National Forest in Jackson County, and 6 miles on the Fremont-Winema National Forest in Klamath County.

PROJECT LOCATION (Forest/District): Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests, Douglas, Jackson, and Klamath Counties, Oregon.

NAME AND TITLE OF RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Alice B. Carlton, Forest Supervisor and Responsible Official, Umpqua National Forest.

OBJECTOR: Attila Incze, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

TIMELINESS: This objection is timely filed. Notice of the Opportunity to Objection To "Site Specific" Plan Amendments for Pacific Connector Gas Pipeline proposed decision was published in the Federal Register on November 22, 2019. Forty-five days from November 22, 2019 is January 5, 2020.

Page 2 of 3

REQUEST FOR MEETING TO DISCUSS RESOLUTION: I, Attila Incze, hereby request a meeting to discuss potential resolution of the issues raised in this objection.

NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF THOSE ASPECTS OF THE PROPOSED DECISION ADDRESSED BY THE OBJECTION:

1. The Forest Service has failed to disclose site-specific effects.
2. The Forest Service has failed to adhere to the guidelines and standards of the existing land use management plan.
3. The Forest Service has failed to prioritize benefits to the public at large over private foreign corporate interests.

SUGGESTED REMEDIES THAT WOULD RESOLVE THE OBJECTION

I, Attila Incze, respectfully request that the Forest Service withdraw the recommended project.

1. Prepare a project that meets the guidelines and standards of the existing land use management plan; or
2. Deny the project

DESCRIBE HOW THE OBJECTION RELATES TO PRIOR COMMENTS:

The sporadic FERC planning process for routing this pipeline through public lands has been complex, misleading, formidable and convoluted for ordinary citizens to follow. I value and utilize the forests and watersheds managed by the Forest Service. Throughout the protracted FERC planning process, many citizens, including me, have tried to express our apprehension regarding the consequences for our public lands from this pipeline construction. Now I am attempting, once again, to communicate my alarm through an administrative objective to the Forest Service over its proposal to re-designate my public forest lands as a permanent forfeiture to a foreign energy company. The Forest Service manages the forests and watersheds on behalf of the public, not private foreign energy companies.

Page 3 of 3

**STATEMENTS OF ISSUES RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SITE-SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDMENTS
ACTION:**

1. The pipeline construction fails to meet the requirements of the Northwest Forest Plan aquatic conservation strategy and survey and management programs, and should not be exempted from them.
2. The accumulating effects of this proposal on watershed, wildlife, and fire management have not been evaluated for "the purpose and the effects" as required by law.
3. The Forest Service has failed to consider an alternative that does not require exempting this applicant from their forest plans as written.

Signed,

Attila Incze


