January 4th, 2019

Dear Forest Service:

In accordance with 36 C.F.R. Part 218, I, Erica Alexia Ledesma, hereby object to the project described below.

Opportunity to Object, Plan Amendments for Pacific Connector Gas Pipeline on The Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests.

The Forest Service proposes to approve 30.6 miles of the Pacific Connector Pipeline route across the National Forest System. This proposal includes approximately 591 acres of forests for the construction of the Pipeline Project and an additional 186 acres of permanent right of way. This decision would allow crossing of 10.8 miles on the Umpqua National Forest in Douglas County, 13.7 miles on the Rogue River Siskiyou National Forest in Jackson County, and 6 miles on the Fremont-Winema National Forest in Klamath County.

PROJECT LOCATION (Forest/District): Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests, Douglas, Jackson, and Klamath Counties, Oregon.

NAME AND TITLE OF RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Alice B. Carlton, Forest Supervisor and Responsible Official, Umpqua National Forest.

OBJECTOR: Erica Alexia Ledesma

TIMELINESS: This objection is timely filed. Notice of the Opportunity to Objection To "Site Specific" Plan Amendments for Pacific Connector Gas Pipeline proposed decision was published in the Federal Register on November 22, 2019). Forty-five days from November 22, 2019 is January 5, 2020.

REQUEST FOR A PUBLIC MEETING TO DISCUSS RESOLUTION: I, Erica Alexia Ledesma, hereby request a public meeting to discuss potential resolution of the issues raised in this objection.

There are many reasons why I oppose the decision of the Forest Service to throw out the rules for the Jordan Cove LNG is because the Forest Service has failed to disclose site-specific effects that the pipeline would have. It has not generated a plan if it were to have a leakage. Also, what is the possibility of it impacting more terrain then the proposed. The Forest Service has failed to consider reasonable alternatives to this project.

I, Erica Alexia Ledesma, respectfully request that the Forest Service withdraw the recommended project and 1. Prepare a project that meets the standards and guidelines of the existing land use management plan; or 2. Deny the project.

I have been expressing my concerns that this pipeline would have negative impacts on public lands by commenting through the FERC process. Once again, I am trying to convey my concerns through an administrative objection to the Forest Service over its proposal to re-designate my public forest lands as a permanent give-away to a fossil fuel corporation.

STATEMENT OF ISSUES RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SITE-SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDMENTS ACTION: Two of the main reasons I am filing this objection are that the *The Forest Service failed to*

consider site-specific impacts that pipeline construction would have and must disclose impacts and plans for mitigation on a site-specific basis and that they did not analyze or mitigate for increased fire risk from the LNG pipeline.

Thank you,