# **ANCHORAGE DAILY NEWS**

Opinions

## Respect Alaska tribes' rights on the Tongass question

🖋 Author: Richard Peterson | Opinion 💿 Updated: October 18 🛗 Published October 20



A section of the Tongass National Forest on Prince of Wales Island in Southeast Alaska. (US Forest Service photo)

The Central Council Tlingit and Haida Constitution declares as our peoples' inherent right that our tribal government, "Protect, preserve and enhance Tlingit 'Haa Aani' and Haida 'Íitl' tlagáay', our way of life, its ecosystems and resources, including the right to clean water and access to native foods and traditional practices through our inherent rights to traditional and customary hunting, fishing and gathering."

Central Council of Tlingit and Haida works constructively with all elected officials of any political party without partisanship.

We aim to be collaborative partners, working together in the best interest of Alaska — our homelands. Yet today we are challenge by our disagreement with Alaska elected officials who support the proposed full exemption of the Tongass National Forest from the Roadless Rule. Any elected official in Alaska who supports a full exemption, is disregarding their constituents, undermining the public process, and ignoring the sovereign tribal governments – who's people have lived and depended on these lands and waters since time immemorial.

The indigenous tribal governments of southeast Alaska know our traditional territory, we have lived, depended on and stewarded these lands and waters since time immemorial. We know that the full exemption for development activities would forever harm o homelands.

The Tongass National Forest is the United State's largest national forest and the largest remaining temperate rainforest on earth. Some see it as a salmon forest, a timber forest, a vast wilderness to visit and explore. Indigenous people see it differently. The Tongass is the traditional homeland of the Tlingit, Haida and Tsimshian people, a lineage that stretches so deep in time, we call i immemorial. Our origin stories are derived from these lands. Our ancestors are buried here. Our songs and dances are created here Our languages have always been spoken here.

Who are we? Our people are professional athletes, entrepreneurs, artists, Hollywood actors, CEOs running corporations that have brought millions of dollars to our region, fashion designers, teachers, culture bearers, doting aunties, fishermen, and sovereign tribal governments. We are diverse peoples, but if there is one thread that unites the tribes and people of Southeast Alaska, it is our relationship and connection to our sacred places known today as the Tongass. Our health and well-being, identity and worldview are intricately woven into the fabric that is our homeland.

Six federally recognized tribal governments stepped forward to engage on the Roadless Rule proposals with the state of Alaska ar consult with the U.S. Department of Agriculture; however, our tribes were treated as *"cooperating agencies"* instead of as sovereig tribal governments exercising our inherent rights. Despite inappropriate treatment, these tribes agreed to participate in order to be "at the table" instead of "on the menu." In addition to answering our communities' needs, and despite additional and significa impacts of government shutdowns and reduced program funding from our federal trustee, these tribes satisfied arbitrary and expedited deadlines to meaningfully engage in with state and federal representatives. However, our pleas for respect and for justi have been ignored.

Each of our tribes have different needs and priorities. Some of our communities sought expanded protections to heal local lands after devastating logging practices. Others sought strategic adjustments to the Roadless Rule that would permit controls of local development. And not a single tribal government engaged as a cooperating agency advocated for a full and complete exemption c the Roadless Rule. In a word, our tribes are reasonable in being accountable to the unique needs of each of their communities.

These cooperating tribes cannot help but believe the entire process has repeatedly disrespected and ignored sovereign tribal nations and their tribal citizens. For example, the USDA compensated the Alaska Forest Association, a timber industry lobbying group, with \$200,000 for their time and expertise in engaging in the Roadless process. The state of Alaska received \$2 million. An yet, despite the tribes' traditional indigenous knowledge of their lands and waters, and despite their representation of the communities embedded within the Tongass, our tribes received no compensation.

Our tribal leaders have been repeatedly denied opportunities to engage face-to-face with U.S. Department of Agriculture Secretar Sonny Perdue, who will ultimately determine the fate of our homelands. Meanwhile, Secretary Purdue invited representatives of other governments, environmental non-profit organizations and the timber industry to meet with key USDA officials in Washington, D.C. Our tribal governments have repeatedly requested government-to-government consultation without success.

Southeast Alaska tribes believe the requisite environmental process has been arbitrarily and capriciously rushed to decision despite the magnitude of potential adverse impacts that lifting these protections could be expected to impose upon our homelands.

Our tribal governments concerns are shared by others. During the public scoping period last fall, the vast majority of written com and public testimony, according to the administrative record of the U.S. Forest Service, favored no change to the Roadless Rule ac the board.

Sen. Lisa Murkowski and Gov. Mike Dunleavy support a full exemption of the Tongass from the Roadless Rule. We believe it is the obligation to respect the views of the first people of these lands, and their responsibility to develop compromises that are responour needs. To do less is to undermine tribal governments.

We respect our federal and state elected officials and have successfully collaborated with them on numerous and often contention matters. Yet we cannot compromise our homelands. As the original land managers of Southeast Alaska, we know that a blanket re of protections for our remaining old growth is not a viable solution. A full removal of the Roadless Rule protections must be repla with the opportunity for tribal governments to meaningfully engage with state and federal government officials in the management the lands we depend on.

We acknowledge that compromise is necessary, and our desired outcomes are not unreasonable; however, no outcome is credible tribal governments are respected as full partners in the decision-making process.

Richard Chalyee Éesh Peterson serves as president of the Central Council of Tlingit and Haida Indian Tribes of Alaska.

The views expressed here are the writer's and are not necessarily endorsed by the Anchorage Daily News, which welcomes a broad range viewpoints. To submit a piece for consideration, email commentary(at)adn.com. Send submissions shorter than 200 words to letters@ac or click here to submit via any web browser. Read our full guidelines for letters and commentaries here.

### Voices in the Last Frontier

Get our opinions newsletter with commentary, letters to the editor and more sent to your inbox three days a week.

Email	Required	
		SUBSCRIBE
dr.		

About this Author

#### **Richard Peterson**

Richard Peterson is president of the Central Council of the Tlingit and Haida Indian Tribes of Alaska, a federa recognized Indian tribal government headquartered in Juneau.

#### Comments