12/11/2019

TO: PNW Regional Forester, Objections Reviewing Officer
VIA: https:/cara.ecosystem-management.org/Public/CommentInput?project=28132

Subject: 36 CFR 218 Objection Pacific Connector Pipeline **Site Specific Plan Amendments** for the Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests

Dear Forest Service:
In accordance with 36 C.F.R. Part 218, I, Christopher Myron, hereby object to the project described below.

DOCUMENT TITLE: Opportunity to Object, Plan Amendments for Pacific Connector Gas Pipeline on The Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The Forest Service proposes to approve 30.6 miles of the Pacific Connector Pipeline route across the National Forest System. This proposal includes approximately 591 acres of forests for the construction of the Pipeline Project and an additional 186 acres of permanent right of way. This decision would allow crossing of 10.8 miles on the Umpqua Nation Forest in Douglas County, 13.7 miles on the Rouge River Siskiyou National Forest in Jackson County, and 6 miles on the Fremont-Winema National Forest in Klamath County.

PROJECT LOCATION (Forest/District): Umpqua, Rogue River-Siskiyou, and Fremont-Winema National Forests, Douglas, Jackson, and Klamath Counties, Oregon.

NAME AND TITLE OF RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Alice B. Carlton, Forest Supervisor and Responsible Official, Umpqua National Forest.

OBJECTOR:

Christopher Myron

TIMELINESS: This objection is timely filed. Notice of the Opportunity to Objection To "Site Specific" Plan Amendments for Pacific Connector Gas Pipeline proposed decision was published in the Federal Register on November 22, 2019). Forty-five days from November 22, 2019 is January 5, 2020.

REQUEST FOR MEETING TO DISCUSS RESOLUTION: I, Christopher Myron, hereby request a meeting to discuss potential resolution of the issues raised in this objection.

NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF THOSE ASPECTS OF THE PROPOSED DECISION ADDRESSED BY THE OBJECTION:
[*Summary of Objection Points*]
[EXAMPLE: 1. The NEPA process is flawed. The Forest Service has; failed to disclose site-specific effects; and failed to take a hard look at various issues described herein.]

SUGGESTED REMEDIES THAT WOULD RESOLVE THE OBJECTION:

I, Christopher Myron, respectfully request that the Forest Service withdraw the recommended project and —
1. Prepare a project that meets the standards and guidelines of the existing land use management plan; or

2. Deny the project.

DESCRIBE HOW THE OBJECTION RELATE TO PRIOR COMMENTS:

STATEMENT OF ISSUES RELATED TO THE PROPOSED SITE-SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDMENTS ACTION:

[EXAMPLE: 1. The Forest Service failed to consider an alternative that doesn't require exempting this applicant from their forest plans as written. 2. The cumulative effects of this proposal on watershed, wildlife, and fire management have not been analyzed for "the purpose and the effects" as required by law. 3. The pipeline construction fails to meet requirements of the Northwest Forest Plan aquatic conservation strategy and survey and manage programs, and should not be exempt from them.

Signed,

Christopher Lee Myron