

February 22, 2018

Cheryl Probert, Forest Supervisor

Nez Perce-Clearwater National forest

903 3rd street

Kamiah, Id. 83536

RE: Forest Plan Revision


Cheryl;

I am a resident of Elk City, ID. I attended the meeting you had at the county Commissioners Office and have read and agree with their response to you regarding issues they have with this designation. These are some comments I have regarding the Wild and Scenic designation for the South fork of the Clearwater.

1. Public Engagement. Having read some of the comments, I am disturbed by the number of form letters from groups such as, Friends of the Clearwater. Folks that use, work, recreate on these lands sometimes are left in the dark when these policies are announced.
2. As the County Commissioners identified, does the south Fork really have ORV's or Qualities that make it exceptional, unique or rare? To regular folks it might seem you want all rivers designated, for what purpose is not clear to me.
3. Public Trust, I heard stated by you that mining would not be affected and that historical mining tailings would actually be protected. Like on Crooked River? Remember, to most of us, USFS, BLM, Fish and Wildlife are the government, not separate agencies unaware of how their policies affect landowners and the public.

I and other family members use the Clearwater Forest all year long for hunting, firewood, ATV riding, snowmobiles, horse riding, and Outfitting. Policies and Designations (W&S) (Roadless) make us feel we're being pushed out of our National Forest, by Government, and by people who believe in limiting access and use. I feel there are numerous other forest management practices that would enhance our forest while having increased access to those same lands. That last point is where we should begin our discussion instead of USFS moving ahead with spending time and tax payer dollars on policies and designations we feel are not in ours, or the forest's best interest.

Regards;

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Nathaniel A. Bow". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name being the most prominent.



1. The first part of the paper is devoted to a review of the literature on the effects of the 1973 oil price shock on the U.S. economy. The second part discusses the impact of the shock on the U.S. trade balance. The third part discusses the impact of the shock on the U.S. current account. The fourth part discusses the impact of the shock on the U.S. government budget. The fifth part discusses the impact of the shock on the U.S. private sector. The sixth part discusses the impact of the shock on the U.S. public sector. The seventh part discusses the impact of the shock on the U.S. economy as a whole. The eighth part discusses the impact of the shock on the U.S. economy as a whole. The ninth part discusses the impact of the shock on the U.S. economy as a whole. The tenth part discusses the impact of the shock on the U.S. economy as a whole.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

Kathy Coon
PO Box 403
Clark City, Idaho
83555

Cheryl Probert
New Force - Clearwater N.F.
903 3rd St
Jamaica Isl 09-24