srs.fs.usda.gov

SOCIO-1: Land Use

Search Bookmarks

Favorites

6 Discussion and Conclusions
7 Needs for Additional Research
8 Acknowledgments
9 Literature Cited
10 Tables and Figures
Table 1--Allocation of southern land among major uses, 1945-1992
Table 2-Change in the percent of area in forest and amount of forest area by ecological section
Table 3--Change in the percent of area in forest and amount of forest area by ecological section
Figure 1--Land use shares by type for Southern States, 1945 to 1992
Figure 2--Percent of (a) forest, (b) urban, and (c) agricultural land uses by county
Figure 3--Percent of nonfederal land in developed uses
Figure 4--Changes in percent of (a) forest, (b) urban, and (c) agricultural land uses
Figure 5--Summary of changes in percent forest area by ecological section
Figure 6--Agricultural rents 1960-1994 for five States
Figure 7--Real prices paid for softwood pulpwood and sawtimber in Louisiana, 1950-1996
Figure 8--Population for the United States and for the thirteen States in the Assessment area
Figure 9--Percent changes in the density of population for a) 1950-1999, b) 1950-1960, and c) 1990-1999
Figure 10--Areas of land in (a) forest, (b) urban, (c) agricultural and (d) other land uses in 1992 and for four forecast scenarios
Figure 11--Base scenario forecasts of changes in percentages of land in (a) forest, (b) urban, and (c) agricultural land uses
Figure 12--Forecast changes in percent of forest by ecological section for 1992-2020 under the Base Scenario
Figure 13--Forecast changes in percent under the Timber Price Scenario of (a) forest, (b) urban, and (c) agricultural land uses
Figure 14--Forecast changes in percent of forest by ecological section for 1992-2020 under the Timber Price Scenario
Figure 15--Forest Population Density Index (FPD) in (a) people per square mile (ppsm) of forest
Figure 16--Numbers of counties in forest population density (FPD) classes, 1992 and 2020
Figure 17--Shares of areas in southern counties in 1992 in: (a) forest, (b) agriculture, and (c) urban
Figure 18--Shares of areas in counties classified as interior forest at (a) a fine scale, and (b) a broad scale
Figure 19--Shares of areas in southern counties classified as edge-dominated forest at (a) a fine scale and (b) a broad scale

Get Acrobat Reader

Figure 6.18--Shares of areas in counties classified as interior forest at (A) a fine scale (7-ha neighborhood), and (B) a broad scale (66-ha neighborhood) [Riitters and others (2000)].

18b