

PDF (13.6 MB)SOCIO-1: Land Use1 Key Findings2 Introduction3 Methods3.1 Historical Land Use3.2 Land Use Forecasts3.3 Forest Conditions4 Data Sources4.1 Historical Land Use4.2 Forest Area Conditions5 Results5.1 Historical Land Use5.2 Land Use Forecasts5.3 Forest Conditions6 Discussion and Conclusions7 Needs for Additional Research8 Acknowledgments9 Literature Cited10 Tables and FiguresTable 1--Allocation of southern land among major uses, 1945-1992Table 2--Change in the percent of area in forest and amount of forest area by ecological sectionTable 3--Change in the percent of area in forest and amount of forest area by ecological sectionFigure 1--Land use shares by type for Southern States, 1945 to 1992Figure 2--Percent of (a) forest, (b) urban, and (c) agricultural land uses by countyFigure 3--Percent of nonfederal land in developed usesFigure 4--Changes in percent of (a) forest, (b) urban, and (c) agricultural land usesFigure 5--Summary of changes in percent forest area by ecological sectionFigure 6--Agricultural rents 1960-1994 for five StatesFigure 7--Real prices paid for softwood pulpwood and sawtimber in Louisiana, 1950-1996Figure 8--Population for the United States and for the thirteen States in the Assessment areaFigure 9--Percent changes in the density of population for a) 1950-1999, b) 1950-1960, and c) 1990-1999Figure 10--Areas of land in (a) forest, (b) urban, (c) agricultural and (d) other land uses in 1992 and for four forecast scenariosFigure 11--Base scenario forecasts of changes in percentages of land in (a) forest, (b) urban, and (c) agricultural land usesFigure 12--Forecast changes in percent of forest by ecological section for 1992-2020 under the Base ScenarioFigure 13--Forecast changes in percent under the Timber Price Scenario of (a) forest, (b) urban, and (c) agricultural land usesFigure 14--Forecast changes in percent of forest by ecological section for 1992-2020 under the Timber Price ScenarioFigure 15--Forest Population Density Index (FPD) in (a) people per square mile (ppsm) of forestFigure 16--Numbers of counties in forest population density (FPD) classes, 1992 and 2020Figure 17--Shares of areas in southern counties in 1992 in: (a) forest, (b) agriculture, and (c) urbanFigure 18--Shares of areas in counties classified as interior forest at (a) a fine scale, and (b) a broad scaleFigure 19--Shares of areas in southern counties classified as edge-dominated forest at (a) a fine scale and (b) a broad scale

